
INTRODUCTION

The cycle of life and death of stars baffled astronomers for many years. The study of stellar structure and evolution continues -up to this date- to be of paramount importance, since it is crucial to our understanding of various branches of astronomy, e.g. the structure of galaxies, and chemical history of the Universe.

The aim of this thesis is to investigate and get an insight in one of the most debated topics in Stellar Astrophysics; the connection between the progenitor and remnant masses, especially in the case of double neutron star (DNS) binary systems. The existence of those systems was recently confirmed by the detection of gravitational waves emitted during a merging event, accompanied by the detection of a kilonova -the "afterglow" of such an event- as described in the seminal paper of the LIGO/VIRGO collaborations (?).

In this chapter, a synopsis that extends from the formation to the death of Helium stars will be attempted. A detailed coverage of the principles of stellar evolution is beyond the scope of this thesis and a fundamental knowledge is assumed. Moreover, for the interested reader, there are classical textbooks (????) covering almost every aspect in the field of stellar astrophysics. Nevertheless, for the sake of completeness, a small introduction to several fundamental notions, tailored to our needs, will also be carried out in the next few pages.

1.1 Helium stars

From the large primordial molecular clouds, protostars are being constantly formed via a process called *gravoturbulent cloud fragmentation*. When the accretion of the surrounding material from the protostellar core ceases, the protostar is said to be in the *pre-main sequence* (PMS) phase of its evolution, and continues to contract under the force of gravity until the central temperature becomes sufficiently high for nuclear fusion reactions on Hydrogen to occur. At this point, the star enters the *main sequence* (MS) evolutionary phase as a zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) star where it will spend most of its life.

During the MS stage, the star converts Hydrogen to Helium either via the pp-chain reactions, or via the CNO cycles, depending on its initial mass and chemical composition. Slowly but steadily, the Hydrogen in the core is being consumed by the aforementioned nuclear networks, and Helium builds up forming a Helium core. This process continues until the Hydrogen in the stellar core is depleted, resulting to an inert Hydrogen envelope engulfing the newly formed He-core; subsequently, the star exits the MS phase and the nuclear reactions in its interior that provided the necessary pressure support against gravity, effectively stop. Since the star is not in an equilibrium state anymore, it starts to contract until Hydrogen is ignited in a shell around the inert Helium core. At this point, the star enters the so-called

Figure 1.1: The evolution of a $3.0M_{\odot}$ He-star, with and without mass loss. The point where the star enters the He-MS as a He-ZAMS can be seen as a small hook inside the black circle. An analytic explanation of the letters along the evolutionary tracks, is provided in the text.

red-giant branch (RGB) and the Hydrogen-rich envelope, on top of the H-burning shell, inflates rapidly whilst the He-core continues to contract due to the *mirror principle* (see ?, p. 369).

As we will explain in a moment, the Hydrogen envelope can be lost when the star is in the RGB phase, with more than one ways, exposing the He-core of the star. This naked, Hydrogen deficient, He-core is what we refer to as a *Helium star*. We can classify He-stars into two groups: low-mass *hot subdwarfs* (sd) that can be further subdivided into several categories (e.g. sdB, sdO) based on their spectra, and more massive *Wolf-Rayet* (WR) stars that can also be subdivided into several classes (e.g. WN, WC). For a more detailed discussion we refer the reader to the work of ?????.

1.1.1 Formation of Helium stars

Helium stars can be formed either in isolation or as part of a binary system. In both scenarios, the physical mechanism that is responsible for the stripping of the Hydrogen envelope is of the utmost importance.

In the former case of a single He-star, the necessary mass loss is being achieved due to strong, radiation-driven, stellar winds. However, the specifics of such a process have not been fully resolved yet, and an enhanced mass loss scheme, e.g. caused by rotational mixing, magnetic fields, or even strong He-flashes should be considered for the progenitor of the He-star (??).

In the case where the He-star progenitor is part of a binary system, the required strong mass loss can be achieved via different channels, depending on how wide the binary system is. These channels include the stable Roche-lobe overflow (RLO) and the Common Envelope (CE) ejection. We will discuss these mass loss mechanisms below. It should be mentioned that sdB stars can also originate from the merging of two Helium white dwarfs (He-WD) in a close binary, resulting to an object with enough mass to ignite Helium (?).

1.1.2 Evolution of single Helium stars

Once the He-star progenitor has been stripped from its Hydrogen envelope during its RGB phase, the compression of the core continues until it reaches the necessary conditions for Helium to ignite at its centre. The ignition of core Helium burning signifies the transition to the Helium main sequence (He-MS) as a He-ZAMS star (see Fig 1.1). The last two concepts are defined in a similar way to the (Hydrogen) main sequence and ZAMS respectively.

During the He-MS stage, the star burns its ${}^4\text{He}$ supplies via the triple-alpha process producing Carbon (${}^{12}\text{C}$) and the stable Oxygen isotope ${}^{16}\text{O}$, as a byproduct. When the Helium in the core is depleted, the contraction/expansion process we described above is repeated; the idle metal core that has been formed, consists mainly of Carbon, Oxygen, Neon, and Magnesium and it is surrounded by a He-rich envelope. This whole structure will contract until Helium is ignited in a shell at the bottom of the envelope, followed by the ignition of Carbon in the centre (given that the star is massive enough). The fate of the He-star at this point depends on its mass; if it has not retain enough mass for Carbon ignition, it will gradually cool off and end its life as a Carbon/Oxygen white dwarf (CO WD). On the other hand, if it is massive enough to ignite Carbon, either on or off centre, its fate could be an Oxygen/Neon/Magnesium white dwarf (ONeMg WD), a hybrid white dwarf (CONeMg WD), or even collapse as a supernova.

To demonstrate the aforementioned stages, the evolutionary track of a $3.0M_{\odot}$ He-star (with and without mass loss) is illustrated in the $T_c - \rho_c$ plane (Fig 1.1) (see also ???). The letters denote the beginning and end of several phases up to the off-centre neon ignition. The A-B phase shows the

contraction that follows after the RGB stage of the progenitor. The moment of core He-ignition is denoted by the black circle at point B, and signifies the entrance to the He-MS phase (B-C). At point C, Helium has been exhausted and the core contracts whilst He-shell burning follows. During the D-E phase, the Carbon is ignited in the core making a loop in the diagram around $\rho_c = 10^6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. Finally, the E-F/F' shows the Carbon-shell burning along the contraction of the O-Ne-Mg core; at the endpoint (F/F'), Neon is ignited off-centre.

For a more complete and comprehensive overview of the evolution of single He-stars, we provide, in the form of a Kippenhahn diagram, the net energy production rate with respect to the internal structure of the $3.0M_\odot$ star (with mass loss) we used in the example above (Fig 1.2). In this diagram, the x-axis expresses the remaining time of the calculations whilst the y-axis shows the inner structure of the star in terms of mass coordinates. The color scale is associated with the energy production.

Figure 1.2: Kippenhahn diagramm for a $3.0M_\odot$ He-star with mass loss. The green and gold hatched areas denote convective and thermohaline mixing respectively. Red solid lines represent areas with semi-convective mixing. The black, red, and green dotted lines show the build-up of Helium, Carbon, and Oxygen core mass respectively

By taking a careful look at Fig 1.2, we observe that during the He-burning in the convective core, the star experiences an approximately $\sim 0.3M_\odot$ mass loss via stellar winds. As a result of this core burning process, a C-core of $\sim 1.2M_\odot$ is formed that continues to grow up to $\sim 1.42M_\odot$ due to He-shell burning. Similarly, an Oxygen core is formed of about $\sim 1.34M_\odot$ as a result of the more advanced burning stages.

Mixing mechanisms

Although protostars begin their life with, a more or less, uniform elemental composition which is the same as the composition of the cloud they originated from, the ongoing nuclear reactions transform and create new elements that are not necessarily distributed uniformly throughout the stellar interior. This is because there are several ways for a star to stir and mix its material. These mixing mechanisms are usually caused by various instabilities and can contribute on different levels based on specific conditions. In this section we will briefly explain four major mixing processes leaving the effects of rotation for later discussion.

Maybe the most effective way to transfer material is with *convection*. Thermal variations across different shells of the star will lead to density variations and, consequently, to buoyancy driven flows of the fluid. Essentially, what this means is that a hot parcel of fluid will rise due to buoyancy forces and a colder parcel will sink. This process is very efficient for transporting more heavy elements produced in the deep interior of the star towards the surface via bulk motions (dredge-up) and vice versa. The stability of a layer against convection is given by the *Ledoux* criterion

$$\nabla_{\text{rad}} < \nabla_{\text{ad}} - \frac{\phi}{\delta} \nabla_{\mu} \quad (1.1)$$

which, in the case of chemically homogeneous layers ($\nabla_{\mu} = 0$), reduces to the *Schwartzschild* criterion

$$\nabla_{\text{rad}} < \nabla_{\text{ad}} \quad (1.2)$$

For a detailed explanation of the symbols and the implications of those criteria, see (?, pp. 49-51).

The composition gradient in the Ledoux criterion acts as a stabilizing agent in weakly, thermally unstable regions leading to a slower mixing rate. These zones are not mixed by convection but rather by another process, called *semi-convection* (see ??).

Another mechanism that has an important consequence in stellar evolution, is *convective overshooting*. During this phenomenon, a parcel of fluid carried away by convection will overshoot beyond the boundary

of the unstable region and into the stable region. This is caused by the inertia of the convective material and thus, it travels some distance further than the region in which it was accelerated until it loses all of its momentum. For this reason, convective overshooting introduces a large uncertainty in the extent of mixed regions.

The fourth major mixing process is called *thermohaline* mixing. It occurs when the molecular weight decreases with depth, e.g. a Helium layer on top of a hydrogen-rich layer due to accretion in a binary system. The heavier elements will eventually sink in whilst the lighter material will rise, re-establishing the mean molecular weight being larger as we move towards the centre of the star. However, thermohaline mixing is believed to play a lesser role in the evolution of single stars and becomes important in accreting binaries (see also ??).

Effects of rotation

The evolution of stars can be significantly altered if they are rotating. This is true for most -if not all- stars found in nature, since they inherit angular momentum during the collapse of the already turbulent molecular cloud they originated from. Rotation can influence the shape of stars, their lifetimes since the centrifugal force lowers the internal pressure that is necessary to balance gravity, and their abundance profiles. The latter is a result of several rotation-induced instabilities like the Eddington-Sweet circulation, the dynamical shear instability, and the secular shear instability, to name a few. Especially the Eddington-Sweet circulation and the shear instability play an important role to the transportation of angular momentum between different layers of the star.

The importance of rotational mixing is difficult to be overstated. As an example, we mention the results of ? who found that, for massive stars, a bifurcation of the evolutionary tracks in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram appears around a critical rotation. This is caused by the inability of the composition gradient (∇_μ) to prevent turbulent diffusion above this critical rotation, thus the diffusive mixing leads to an almost chemically homogeneous evolution, i.e. the star exhibits the same composition everywhere. These homogeneous models are likely to result to the formation of WR stars before the end of their Hydrogen-burning phase, which increases the WR lifetime, and potentially lead to the formation of gamma-ray bursts in low-metallicity environments (?).

A detailed coverage of the effects of rotation in stellar evolution is offered by ??????. More on the rotation-driven transportation of angular momentum and associated mechanisms can be found in ?? as well as in the work of ? where a discussion on the importance of dynamo-generated magnetic fields takes place.

Stellar winds and mass loss

It has been long since we first discovered that stars experience a continuous outflow of material from their surface causing them to gradually lose a significant fraction of their initial mass. This ejection of material is called *stellar wind* and several mechanisms trying to explain its origin have been proposed over the years.

Stellar winds do not affect all stars in the same way; it depends on the mass of the star and its current evolutionary stage. Low-mass stars that are in the MS phase, like our Sun, are hardly influenced from the generated winds. However, more massive and post-MS stars experience strong, usually radiation accelerated ¹, winds that peel off large quantities of mass, and changing this way their surface chemical composition. The mass loss rates and the terminal velocities of these winds appear to vary significantly with luminosity, temperature, metallicity, and other global stellar parameters such as the radius (????).

Observations of spectral features have allowed us to establish several empirical relations and constraints for the mass loss rates; especially in the case of WR stars which are known for their strong

¹These winds can be driven either by radiation pressure on dust condensations that have been formed in the upper atmosphere of stars, or by radiation pressure on the resonance absorption lines of metals such as carbon and nitrogen.

optically thick winds, the mass loss rates have been revised downwards by almost an order of magnitude (?) compared to earlier estimations (??) due to the influence of clumping and the asymmetrical structure of the winds. The prescription of ? is currently the most popular for the mass loss rate of WR stars, although ? raises a word of caution since in the aforementioned prescription, the considered metallicity dependence is not related to the initial metallicity, but rather to the self-enrichment of Carbon and Oxygen at the surface due to mass loss.

Finally, it should be mentioned that in the case of rotating stars, stellar winds will also carry away some of the specific angular momentum of the star along with the ejecta material. Additionally, magnetic fields coupled to the wind plasma in a co-rotation, will slow down the spin of the star which, in turn, will affect the mass loss rate and the angular momentum losses of the system. This is known as *magnetic braking* and plays an important role in stellar evolution, especially in the case of binary systems (see ?). For a complete review of our current understanding of stellar winds see ??.

1.2 Evolution of binary systems

So far we have concerned ourselves with the evolution of single He-stars. However, the majority of stars are formed in binary systems, being gravitationally bound to each other, and exhibiting a variety of orbital periods that can range from minutes to millions of years. If the two stars are well separated, then the interaction between them should be minimal and both stars will evolve essentially as if they were isolated. Nevertheless, in the case of a close binary, strong interactions might initiate mass transfer from one star to another, altering significantly their structure, how they evolve, and subsequently, their final fate.

In the next few pages, an attempt to briefly explain the basic concepts that govern any interacting binary system will be made. At the end, we will comment on the formation of double neutron star (DNS) binaries which is of particular interest for the aim of this thesis. For a more detailed coverage of the evolution of binary systems we refer to ?????.

1.2.1 Interaction and orbital parameters

In any multiple star system, the gravitational fields of all interacting components influence the motion of the whole system which is governed by the, well known, Newton's laws of motion. This is dubbed as *n-body problem* and is one of the most notoriously difficult problems in physics since it exhibits a chaotic behaviour with no general analytical solution; for this reason, a numerical approach is required.

In the case of a binary system, the n-body problem is reduced to the *restricted three-body problem* with the effective gravitational potential

$$\Phi = -G \left(\frac{M_1}{r_1} + \frac{M_2}{r_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r_3^2 \quad (1.3)$$

where a full explanation of the symbols is given in (?, p. 639). If we require the cumulative forces acting on a test mass, m , to vanish

$$\mathbf{F}_t = -m \nabla \Phi = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

then eq(1.3) yields five stationary solutions where the gravitational force cancels out the centrifugal force caused by the relative motion of the two stars around each other. The points where eq(1.4) holds true, are called *Lagrangian points* or *libration points*, L_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Hence, if a test mass was to be positioned in any of those five equilibrium points, it would maintain its position relative to the two stars. More information on the stability of Lagrangian points, in the sense of what would happen if one applied a small perturbation on a test mass sitting in a libration point, can be found in ???.

Figure 1.3: Left panel: Equipotential lines in the x-y plane of orbit of a rotating binary with a reduced mass ratio of $\mu = 0.316$. The inner equipotential surface that passes from the L_1 Lagrangian point, defines the "teardrop"-shaped Roche-lobes, one for each star. The image was created using a `python` script, courtesy of ?. Right Panel: 3D representation of equipotential surfaces. The image was adopted from ?.

From the five Lagrangian points, the L_1 plays a key role in the evolution of binary systems since the equipotential surface² passing through that inner point, defines the *Roche-lobe*. The shape of the equipotential surfaces is illustrated in Fig 1.3; they assume a concentric spherical shape in proximity to the two stars whilst, as a result of the combined gravitational influence of the two masses, they get distorted when we move further away.

During the various evolutionary stages, the star might inflate and increase its radius to such a degree that the volume of the star exceeds the volume defined by its Roche-lobe. This will cause a transfer of surface material from that star to its companion via the L_1 point triggered by the unbalanced pressure in that direction; this process is known as *Roche-lobe overflow* (RLOF) and the donor star can lose a substantial amount of its total mass. Unfortunately, there is no analytical expression for the size of a Roche-lobe in a given binary. However, ? has proposed a numerical approximation of the radius of the Roche-lobe given by the following equation

$$\frac{R_L}{\alpha} = \frac{0.49q^{2/3}}{0.6q^{2/3} + \ln(1 + q^{1/3})} \quad (1.5)$$

where α is the orbital separation, and $q \equiv M_{\text{donor}}/M_{\text{accretor}}$ is the mass ratio of the binary components. These are the two most important orbital parameters that we need to know in order to follow the evolution of the binary.

It is maybe worth mentioning that as matter spills across the inner Lagrangian point, it forms an *accretion disk* rather than falling directly onto the companion star. This happens simply because the accreted material possesses the same angular momentum as the donor star, and unless there are non-conservative processes able to remove some of the angular momentum of the accreted gas, it will continue to orbit around the companion star.

Based on which equipotential surfaces are filled, we can classify binary systems into three categories (see also ?): (i) *detached binaries* where the radii of both stars are much smaller than their orbital separation; neither of the two stars fills its respective Roche-lobe, and they evolve almost independently of each other, (ii) *semi-detached binaries* where only one of the two stars fills its Roche-lobe leading to distortion of the equipotential surfaces from their spherical shape, and mass transfer occurs, and (iii) *contact binaries* where both stars fill their Roche-lobe. This situation results to a shared, common atmosphere that might be ejected, stripping the system from a significant amount of mass.

1.2.2 Mass transfer

From the discussion above, it becomes clear that the mass transfer rate via RLOF and its stability depends on the extent to which the donor star overfills its Roche-lobe. Especially the stability of the mass transfer depends on how the donor star responds to this sudden mass loss; for *stable* mass transfer, the donor must remain within its Roche-lobe (see ???? for discussion).

Based on the evolutionary status of the donor star when it fills its Roche-lobe, we can discern three cases of mass transfer (??):

- (i) *Case A*: mass transfer commences while the star is still in the MS, i.e. during core Hydrogen burning;

²An equipotential surface is defined as the collection of all points in the system that share the same value of the effective gravitational potential, Φ .

- (ii) *Case B*: the donor star fills its Roche-lobe after the Hydrogen has been depleted from its core but before Helium ignition;
- (iii) *Case C*: refers to RLOF after the exhaustion of Helium in the core, and includes all the subsequent stages.

For Helium stars in particular, we can define the cases *BA*, *BB*, and *BC* for mass transfer during He core burning, He-shell burning, and Carbon core burning respectively.

Finally, if the donor star is massive enough, it is likely to experience very strong stellar winds that will remove a considerable amount of mass even without filling its Roche-lobe. A fraction of this mass lost via winds might be accreted by its companion star; this is referred to as *wind mass transfer*, and although it provides a less efficient way to transfer mass, compared to RLOF, it can be important in some binaries.

1.2.3 Common envelope

When mass is transferred via RLOF in a dynamically unstable manner, i.e. when the convective envelope of the donor star continues to expand despite the mass loss, the mass loss rate naturally increases. The companion star accretes material stably at its thermal timescale, which is orders of magnitude larger than the dynamical timescale at which the donor star loses mass, thus it grows until it fills its Roche-lobe as well (?); at this point, the two stars form a contact binary system, and share a common envelope (CE).

Since the orbital plane of the two stars lies within the CE, a drag force will be developed due to friction, caused by the motion of the stars. The system then goes through a *spiral-in* phase, during which a dissipation of orbital angular momentum leads to the decay of the orbit (i.e., a reduction of the orbital separation). This plunge phase occurs on an orbital timescale of a few years (?), and the energy lost from the orbit is being deposited in the surrounding envelope. The reaction of the envelope to this energy deposition is to expand and potentially ejected from the binary. The ultimate outcome of the CE stage is a more tight binary with a reduced total mass (assuming that the orbital shrinkage during the CE phase didn't result to a merging of the two cores). For this reason, although the physical background of the CE phase described above is still not well understood, it has been hypothesized that it plays a crucial role in the formation of a wide variety of binary systems, including double neutron star systems. For a complete review of our current understanding of CE evolution, we refer to the work of ?.

1.2.4 Angular momentum transfer

Orbital angular momentum variations, and the mechanisms via which this can occur play an important role in the evolution of a binary since it directly affects the orbital period of the system. Angular momentum can be extracted from a binary system on different timescales mainly via the following processes:

- (i) *Non-conservative mass transfer*: If during mass transfer, the companion star accretes only a fraction of the mass lost from the donor, the rest will carry away some of the specific angular momentum.
- (ii) *Magnetic braking*: As it was mentioned above, the differential rotation of stars is responsible for the production of magnetic fields and, subsequently, magnetized stellar winds. Interactions with the magnetic fields can give rise to tidal effects, causing a rotating star to sync and corotate with the orbit (tidally locked system). If the spin of the star is originally larger than the orbital period, this is essentially translated to angular momentum removal from the binary (see also ?).
- (iii) *Gravitational waves*: These are perturbations of the space-time manifold caused by the rapid rotation of the components in a close binary. Like classical waves, gravitational waves carry energy

(often dubbed as gravitational radiation) that is being lost from the system causing the angular momentum to decrease and the orbit to shrink.

1.2.5 Double neutron star systems

1.3 Stellar transients

Couple of words for the different types of stellar transients and how can we observe them

1.3.1 Classification of Supernovae

Explain in details the difference between core collapse SNe and type Ia and different subdivision

1.3.2 Type Ib/c Supernovae

Explain in details this particular branch

1.3.3 X-ray binaries

HMXB, LMXB, UCXB

DRAFT