

# Genome Sequencing & Assembly

Michael Schatz

Nov. 17, 2014

CSHL Adv. Sequencing Course





# Outline

## I. Assembly theory

1. Assembly by analogy
2. De Bruijn and Overlap graph
3. Coverage, read length, errors, and repeats

## 2. Whole Genome Alignment

1. Aligning & visualizing with MUMmer

## 3. Genome assemblers

1. ALLPATHS-LG: recommended for Illumina-only projects
2. Celera Assembler: recommended for long read projects

## 4. Summary & Recommendations

# Shredded Book Reconstruction

- Dickens accidentally shreds the first printing of A Tale of Two Cities
  - Text printed on 5 long spools

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times, it was the age of wisdom, it was the age of foolishness, ...

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times, it was the age of wisdom, it was the age of foolishness, ...

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times, it was the age of wisdom, it was the age of foolishness, ...

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times, it was the age of wisdom, it was the age of foolishness, ...

It was the best of times, it was the worst of times, it was the age of wisdom, it was the age of foolishness, ...

- How can he reconstruct the text?
  - $5 \text{ copies} \times 138,656 \text{ words} / 5 \text{ words per fragment} = 138k \text{ fragments}$
  - The short fragments from every copy are mixed together
  - Some fragments are identical

It was the best of

age of wisdom, it was

best of times, it was

it was the age of

it was the age of

it was the worst of

of times, it was the

of times, it was the

of wisdom, it was the

the age of wisdom, it

the best of times, it

the worst of times, it

times, it was the age

times, it was the worst

was the age of wisdom,

was the age of foolishness,

was the best of times,

was the worst of times,

wisdom, it was the age

worst of times, it was

# Greedy Reconstruction

It was the best of

was the best of times,

the best of times, it

best of times, it was

of times, it was the

of times, it was the

times, it was the worst

times, it was the age

The repeated sequence make the correct reconstruction ambiguous

- It was the best of times, it was the [worst/age]

Model the assembly problem as a graph problem

# de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $D_k = (V, E)$ 
  - $V$  = All length- $k$  subfragments ( $k < l$ )
  - $E$  = Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
    - Nodes overlap by  $k-1$  words

Original Fragment

It was the best of

Directed Edge

It was the best → was the best of


- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
  - Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed

de Bruijn, 1946


Idury and Waterman, 1995

Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001

# de Bruijn Graph Assembly



# de Bruijn Graph Assembly



# The full tale


... it was the best of times it was the worst of times ...

... it was the age of wisdom it was the age of foolishness ...

... it was the epoch of belief it was the epoch of incredulity ...

... it was the season of light it was the season of darkness ...

... it was the spring of hope it was the winter of despair ...



# Milestones in Genome Assembly

Nature Vol. 265 February 24 1977 487

## articles


### Nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage $\Phi$ X174 DNA

F. Sanger, G. M. Air\*, B. G. Barrell, N. L. Brown\*, A. R. Coulson, J. C. Fiddes,  
C. A. Hutchison III, P. M. Slocombe\* & M. Smith\*

MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QH, UK

A DNA sequence for the genome of bacteriophage  $\Phi$ X174 of approximately 5,375 nucleotides has been determined using the rapid and simple 'plus and minus' method. The sequence identifies many of the features responsible for the production of the various proteins encoded by the genome, including initiation and termination sites for the proteins and RNAs. Two pairs of genes are coded by the same region of DNA using different reading frames.

The genome of bacteriophage  $\Phi$ X174 is a single-stranded, circular molecule containing approximately 5,375 nucleotides, nine known proteins. The order of these genes, as determined by genetic techniques<sup>1–3</sup>, is A–B–C–D–E–F–G–H. Genes F, G and H code for structural proteins, while genes A, B, C and D code for enzymes. Two pairs of genes are coded by the same basic protein




1977. Sanger et al.  
1<sup>st</sup> Complete Organism  
5375 bp

1995. Fleischmann et al.  
1<sup>st</sup> Free Living Organism  
TIGR Assembler. 1.8Mbp



1998. C.elegans SC  
1<sup>st</sup> Multicellular Organism  
BAC-by-BAC Phrap. 97Mbp



2000. Myers et al.  
1<sup>st</sup> Large WGS Assembly.  
Celera Assembler. 116 Mbp



2001. Venter et al., IHGSC  
Human Genome  
Celera Assembler/GigaAssembler. 2.9 Gbp



2010. Li et al.  
1<sup>st</sup> Large SGS Assembly.  
SOAPdenovo 2.2 Gbp

Like Dickens, we must computationally reconstruct a genome from short fragments

# Assembly Applications



- Novel genomes



- Metagenomes




- Sequencing assays
  - Structural variations
  - Transcript assembly
  - ...



# Ingredients for a good assembly


## Coverage



### High coverage is required

- Oversample the genome to ensure every base is sequenced with long overlaps between reads
- Biased coverage will also fragment assembly


## Read Length



### Reads & mates must be longer than the repeats

- Short reads will have **false overlaps** forming hairball assembly graphs
- With long enough reads, assemble entire chromosomes into contigs

## Quality




### Errors obscure overlaps


- Reads are assembled by finding kmers shared in pair of reads
- High error rate requires very short seeds, increasing complexity and forming assembly hairballs

**Current challenges in *de novo* plant genome sequencing and assembly**  
Schatz MC, Witkowski, McCombie, WR (2012) *Genome Biology*. 12:243


# Illumina Sequencing by Synthesis




1. Prepare




2. Attach



3. Amplify




4. Image



5. Basecall

Metzker (2010) Nature Reviews Genetics 11:31-46  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l99aKKHcxC4>


# Typical sequencing coverage




Imagine raindrops on a sidewalk

We want to cover the entire sidewalk but each drop costs \$1


# Ix sequencing




# 2x sequencing



# 4x sequencing



# 8x sequencing



# Poisson Distribution

The probability of a given number of events occurring in a fixed interval of time and/or space if these events occur with a known average rate and independently of the time since the last event.


Formulation comes from the limit of the binomial equation

Resembles a normal distribution, but over the positive values, and with only a single parameter.

**Key property:**



- ***The standard deviation is the square root of the mean.***

$$P(k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$



# Unitigging / Unipathing

- After simplification and correction, compress graph down to its non-branching initial contigs
  - Aka “unitigs”, “unipaths”
  - Unitigs end because of (1) lack of coverage, (2) errors, (3) heterozygosity, and (4) repeats




# Repetitive regions

Repeat Type	Definition / Example	Prevalence
Low-complexity DNA / Microsatellites	$(b_1 b_2 \dots b_k)^N$ where $1 \leq k \leq 6$ CACACACACACACACACACA	2%
SINEs (Short Interspersed Nuclear Elements)	<i>Alu</i> sequence (~280 bp) Mariner elements (~80 bp)	13%
LINEs (Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements)	~500 – 5,000 bp	21%
LTR (long terminal repeat) retrotransposons	Ty1-copia, Ty3-gypsy, Pao-BEL (~100 – 5,000 bp)	8%
Other DNA transposons		3%
Gene families & segmental duplications		4%

- Over 50% of mammalian genomes are repetitive
  - Large plant genomes tend to be even worse
  - Wheat: 16 Gbp; Pine: 24 Gbp

# Repeats and Coverage Statistics



- If  $n$  reads are a uniform random sample of the genome of length  $G$ , we expect  $k = n \Delta/G$  reads to start in a region of length  $\Delta$ .
  - If we see many more reads than  $k$  (if the arrival rate is  $> A$ ) , it is likely to be a collapsed repeat

$$\Pr(X - \text{copy}) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{X\Delta}{G}\right)^k \left(\frac{G - X\Delta}{G}\right)^{n-k}$$

$$A(\Delta, k) = \ln \left( \frac{\Pr(1 - \text{copy})}{\Pr(2 - \text{copy})} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{\frac{(\Delta n / G)^k}{k!} e^{\frac{-\Delta n}{G}}}{\frac{(2\Delta n / G)^k}{k!} e^{\frac{-2\Delta n}{G}}} \right) = \frac{n\Delta}{G} - k \ln 2$$

**The fragment assembly string graph**  
Myers, EW (2005) Bioinformatics. 21(suppl 2): ii79-85.

# Paired-end and Mate-pairs


## Paired-end sequencing

- Read one end of the molecule, flip, and read the other end
- Generate pair of reads separated by up to 500bp with inward orientation



## Mate-pair sequencing

- Circularize long molecules (1-10kbp), shear into fragments, & sequence
- Mate failures create short paired-end reads



2x100 @ ~10kbp (outies)




2x100 @ 300bp (innies)



# Scaffolding


- Initial contigs (*aka* unipaths, unitigs) terminate at
  - Coverage gaps: especially extreme GC
  - Conflicts: errors, repeat boundaries
- Use mate-pairs to resolve correct order through assembly graph
  - Place sequence to satisfy the mate constraints
  - Mates through repeat nodes are tangled
- Final scaffold may have internal gaps called sequencing gaps
  - We know the order, orientation, and spacing, but just not the bases. Fill with Ns instead



# N50 size

Def: 50% of the genome is in contigs as large as the N50 value

Example: 1 Mbp genome



N50 size = 30 kbp

$$(300\text{k}+100\text{k}+45\text{k}+45\text{k}+30\text{k} = 520\text{k} \geq 500\text{kbP})$$

**A greater N50 is indicative of improvement in every dimension:**

- Better resolution of genes and flanking regulatory regions
- Better resolution of transposons and other complex sequences
- Better resolution of chromosome organization
- Better sequence for all downstream analysis



# Outline

- I. Assembly theory
  1. Assembly by analogy
  2. De Bruijn and Overlap graph
  3. Coverage, read length, errors, and repeats
2. Whole Genome Alignment
  - I. Aligning & visualizing with MUMmer
3. Genome assemblers
  1. ALLPATHS-LG: recommended for Illumina-only projects
  2. Celera Assembler: recommended for long read projects
4. Summary and Recommendations



# Whole Genome Alignment with MUMmer

Slides Courtesy of Adam M. Phillippy  
University of Maryland


# Goal of WGA

- For two genomes,  $A$  and  $B$ , find a mapping from each position in  $A$  to its corresponding position in  $B$



# Not so fast...

- Genome A may have insertions, deletions, translocations, inversions, duplications or SNPs with respect to B (sometimes all of the above)




# WGA visualization

- How can we visualize *whole genome* alignments?



- With an alignment dot plot

- $N \times M$  matrix


- Let  $i$  = position in genome A
    - Let  $j$  = position in genome B
    - Fill cell  $(i,j)$  if  $A_i$  shows similarity to  $B_j$



- A perfect alignment between A and B would completely fill the positive diagonal




# SV Types



- Different structural variation types / misassemblies will be apparent by their pattern of breakpoints
- Most breakpoints will be at or near repeats
- Things quickly get complicated in real genomes

[http://mummer.sf.net/manual/  
AlignmentTypes.pdf](http://mummer.sf.net/manual/AlignmentTypes.pdf)



**Alignment of 2 strains of *Y. pestis***  
<http://mummer.sourceforge.net/manual/>



# Outline

## I. Assembly theory

- 1. Assembly by analogy
- 2. De Bruijn and Overlap graph
- 3. Coverage, read length, errors, and repeats


## 2. Whole Genome Alignment

- 1. Aligning & visualizing with MUMmer

## 3. Genome assemblers

- 1. ALLPATHS-LG: recommended for Illumina-only projects
- 2. Celera Assembler: recommended for long read projects

## 4. Summary and Recommendations




# Genome assembly with ALLPATHS-LG

## Iain MacCallum

## How ALLPATHS-LG works

---



## ALLPATHS-LG sequencing model

---

Libraries (insert types)	Fragment size (bp)	Read length (bases)	Sequence coverage (x)	Required
Fragment	180*	$\geq 100$	45	yes
Short jump	3,000	$\geq 100$ preferable	45	yes
Long jump	6,000	$\geq 100$ preferable	5	no**
Fosmid jump	40,000	$\geq 26$	1	no**

\*See next slide.

\*\*For best results. Normally not used for small genomes.  
However essential to assemble long repeats or duplications.


Cutting coverage in half still works, with some reduction in quality of results.

All: protocols are either available, or in progress.

## Read doubling

---

To close a read pair (red), we require the existence of another read pair (blue), overlapping perfectly like this:



More than one closure allowed (but rare).


## Localization

---

- I. Find ‘seed’ unipaths, evenly spaced across genome**  
(ideally long, of copy number CN = 1)



- II. Form neighborhood around each seed**




reaches to other unipaths (CN = 1)  
directly and indirectly


read pairs reach into repeats

and are extended by other  
unipaths

.....



# Population structure of *Oryza sativa*



**Whole genome de novo assemblies of three divergent strains of *O. sativa* documents novel gene space of aus and indica**  
Schatz, MC, Maron, L, Stein, et al (2014) *In press.*

# Pan-genomics of draft assemblies


## Strategy:

1. Align the genomes to each other  
(MUMmer)
2. Identify segments of genome A that do not align anywhere to genome B  
(BEDTools)


→ Megabases specific to each genome!!!!

3. Screen regions that fail to align with their k-mer frequencies (jellyfish)
  - In reality, “Genome specific regions” averaged over 10,000x kmer coverage while unique regions were ~50x

→ 100s of KB specific to each genome!!!



# Reference-free kmer analysis




Draft assemblies are difficult to conclusively analyze to determine if a given sequence is truly specific to one genome or another


- The sequence may be mis-assembled (or incompletely assembled in the other genome)
- Use k-mer analysis to rule out mis-assemblies
- Here we see the *Sub1A (A-2)* locus present only in IR64

# Strain specific regions


(A) Nipponbare





(B) IR64



(C) DJ123



# *Oryza sativa* Gene Diversity



## Overall sequence content


In each sector, the top number is the total number of base pairs, the middle number is the number of exonic bases, and the bottom is the gene count. If a gene is partially shared, it is assigned to the sector with the most exonic bases.

## Genic content

In each sector, the top number is the median CDS length, the middle number is the average number of exons per gene, and the bottom is the percentage InterPro/homology.



# Strain specific regions

- Very high quality representation of the “gene-space”
  - Overall identity ~99.9%
  - Less than 1% of exonic bases missing
- Genome-specific genes enriched for disease resistance
  - Reflects their geographic and environmental diversity
  - Detailed analysis of the *S5* hybrid sterility locus, the *Sub1* submergence tolerance locus, the *LRK* gene cluster associated with improved yield, and the *Pup1* cluster associated with phosphorus deficiency
- Assemblies fragmented at (high copy) repeats
  - Missing regions have mean k-mer coverage >10,000x
  - Difficult to identify full length gene models and regulatory features




# Genome assembly with the Celera Assembler

# Assembly Complexity



# Assembly Complexity




**The advantages of SMRT sequencing**

Roberts, RJ, Carneiro, MO, Schatz, MC (2013) *Genome Biology*. 14:405


# Long Read Sequencing Technology

PacBio RS II




CSHL/PacBio

Moleculo



(Voskoboynik et al. 2013)


Oxford Nanopore




CSHL/ONT

# PacBio SMRT Sequencing

Imaging of fluorescently phospholinked labeled nucleotides as they are incorporated by a polymerase anchored to a Zero-Mode Waveguide (ZMW).



# SMRT Sequencing Data




Match	83.7%
Insertions	11.5%
Deletions	3.4%
Mismatch	1.4%

TTGTAAGCAGTTGAAAACATATGTGTGGATTAGATAAAGAACATGAAAG  
||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| ||| |||  
TTGTAAGCAGTTGAAAACATATGTGT-GATTAG-ATAAAGAACATGGAAG  
  
ATTATAAA-CAGTTGATCCATT-AGAAGA-AAACGCAGGCGCTAGG  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
A-TATAAAATCAGTTGATCCATTAGAA-AGAACGC-AAAGGC-GCTAGG  
  
CAACCTTGAAATGTAATCGCACTGAGAACACAAGATTTATTCCGCGCCCG  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
C-ACCTTG-ATGT-AT--CACTGAGAACACAAGATTTATTCCGCGCCCG  
  
TAACGAATCAAGATTCTGAAAACACAT-ATAACAACCTCCAAAA-CACAA  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
T-ACGAATC-AGATTCTGAAAACA-ATGAT---ACCTCCAAAAAGCACAA  
  
-AGGAGGGGAAAGGGGGGAATATCT-ATAAAAGATTACAAATTAGA-TGA  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
GAGGAGG-AA---GAATATCTGAT-AAAGATTACAAATT-GAGTGA  
  
ACT-AATTCACAAAT-AATAACACTTTA-ACAGAATTGAT-GGAA-GTT  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
ACTAAATTCACAA-ATAATAACACTTTAGACAAATTGATGGGAAGGTT  
  
TCGGAGAGATCCAACAAATGGGC-ATCGCCTTGAGTTAC-AATCAA  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
TC-GAGAGATCC-AAACAAAT-GGCAGATCG-CTTGACGTTACAATCAA  
  
ATCCAGTGGAAAATATAATTATGCAATCCAGGAACATTACAAATTAG  
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  
ATCCAGT-GAAAATATA-TTATGC-ATCCA-GAACTTATTACAAATTAG

Sample of 100k reads aligned with BLASR requiring >100bp alignment

# PacBio Assembly Algorithms


## PBJelly



### Gap Filling and Assembly Upgrade

English et al (2012)  
PLOS One. 7(11): e47768


## PacBioToCA & ECTools



### Hybrid/PB-only Error Correction

Koren, Schatz, et al (2012)  
Nature Biotechnology. 30:693–700

## HGAP & Quiver



$$\Pr(\mathbf{R} \mid T)$$
$$\Pr(\mathbf{R} \mid T) = \prod_k \Pr(R_k \mid T)$$

	Initial Assembly	Quiver Consensus
QV	43.4	54.5
Accuracy	99.99540%	99.99964%
Differences	141	11

### PB-only Correction & Polishing


Chin et al (2013)  
Nature Methods. 10:563–569

< 5x

PacBio Coverage

> 50x

# Consensus Accuracy and Coverage



Coverage can overcome random errors

- Dashed: error model from binomial sampling
- Solid: observed accuracy


Koren, Schatz, et al (2012)  
Nature Biotechnology. 30:693–700

$$CNS\ Error = \sum_{i=\lceil c/2 \rceil}^c \binom{c}{i} (e)^i (1-e)^{n-i}$$

# *S. pombe* dg21

PacBio RS II sequencing at CSHL

- Size selection using an 7 Kb elution window on a BluePippin™ device from Sage Science




# *S. pombe* dg21

ASM294 Reference sequence

- 12.6Mbp; 3 chromo + mitochondria; N50: 4.53Mbp

PacBio assembly using HGAP + Celera Assembler

- 12.7Mbp; 13 non-redundant contigs; N50: 3.83Mbp; >99.98% id




# *S. pombe* dg21

ASM294 Reference sequence

- 12.6Mbp; 3 chromo + mitochondria; N50: 4.53Mbp

PacBio assembly using HGAP + Celera Assembler


- 12.7Mbp; 13 non-redundant contigs; N50: 3.83Mbp; >99.98% id



# *O. sativa* pv Indica (IR64)

PacBio RS II sequencing at PacBio

- Size selection using an 10 Kb elution window on a BluePippin™ device from Sage Science




# *O. sativa* pv Indica (IR64)

Genome size: ~370 Mb

Chromosome N50: ~29.7 Mbp




Assembly	Contig NG50
MiSeq Fragments 25x 456bp (3 runs 2x300 @ 450 FLASH)	19 kbp
“ALLPATHS-recipe” 50x 2x100bp @ 180 36x 2x50bp @ 2100 51x 2x50bp @ 4800	18 kbp
HGAP 22.7x @ 10kbp	4.0 Mbp
Nipponbare BAC-by-BAC Assembly	5.1 Mbp



# Human CHM I

<http://blog.pacificbiosciences.com/2014/02/data-release-54x-long-read-coverage-for.html>



Genome size: 3.0 Gb

Chromosome N50: 90.5 Mbp

Average read length: 7,680 bp

## *CHM I* *hert* sequenced at PacBio

- Sequenced using the P5 enzyme and C3 chemistry
- Size selection using an 20kb elution window on a BluePippin™ device from Sage Science
- Total coverage: 54x

Sum of Contig Lengths: 3.2 Gb

N50 Contig Length: 4.38 Mbp

Max Contig: 44 Mbp

High quality draft assembly  
Assembly Performance: 4.38Mbp/90.5Mbp = 4.5%  
Sanger HuRef assembly: 107kbp / 90.5Mbp = .1%


# Current Collaborations




***Indica & Aus Rice***  
McCombie/Ware/McCouch



***Pinapple***  
UIUC



***Asian Sea Bass***  
Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory




***P. hominis***  
NYU




***M. ligano***  
Hannon

## PacBio® Advances in Read Length



# Advances in Assembly



**Error correction and assembly complexity of single molecule sequencing reads.**

Lee, H\*, Gurtowski, J\*, Yoo, S, Marcus, S, McCombie, WR, Schatz, MC


<http://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2014/06/18/006395>



# Oxford Nanopore MinION



- Thumb drive sized sequencer powered over USB
- Capacity for 512 reads at once
- Senses DNA by measuring changes to ion flow



# What should we expect from an assembly?

**Analysis of dozens of genomes from across the tree of life with real and simulated data**

## ***Summary & Recommendations***

- < 100 Mbp: HGAP/PacBio2CA @ 100x PB C3-P5  
expect near perfect chromosome arms
- < 1GB: HGAP/PacBio2CA @ 100x PB C3-P5  
high quality assembly: contig N50 over 1Mbp
- > 1GB: hybrid/gap filling  
expect contig N50 to be 100kbp – 1Mbp
- > 5GB: Email mschatz@cshl.edu




**Error correction and assembly complexity of single molecule sequencing reads.**

Lee, H\*, Gurtowski, J\*, Yoo, S, Marcus, S, McCombie, WR, Schatz, MC

<http://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2014/06/18/006395>

# Assembly Summary



Assembly quality depends on

1. **Coverage:** low coverage is mathematically hopeless
  2. **Repeat composition:** high repeat content is challenging
  3. **Read length:** longer reads help resolve repeats
  4. **Error rate:** errors reduce coverage, obscure true overlaps
- 
- Assembly is a hierarchical, starting from individual reads, build high confidence contigs/unitigs, incorporate the mates to build scaffolds
    - Extensive error correction is the key to getting the best assembly possible from a given data set
  - Watch out for collapsed repeats & other misassemblies
    - Globally/Locally reassemble data from scratch with better parameters & stitch the 2 assemblies together

# Acknowledgements

## Schatz Lab

Rahul Amin  
Tyler Gavin  
James Gurtowski  
Han Fang  
Hayan Lee  
Maria Nattestad  
Aspyn Palatnick  
Srividya Ramakrishnan  
  
Eric Biggers  
Ke Jiang  
Shoshana Marcus  
Giuseppe Narzisi  
Rachel Sherman  
Greg Vrture  
Alejandro Wences

## CSHL

Hannon Lab  
Gingeras Lab  
Jackson Lab  
Hicks Lab  
Iossifov Lab  
Levy Lab  
Lippman Lab  
Lyon Lab  
Martienssen Lab  
McCombie Lab  
Tuveson Lab  
Ware Lab  
Wigler Lab  
  
Pacific Biosciences  
Oxford Nanopore





Thank you

<http://schatzlab.cshl.edu>

@mike\_schatz