Spiking Neural Networks for Control

Max Schaufelberger
February 9, 2024 —– KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Table of Contents

Introduction

What are we talking about

Control a Linear system



Use Spiking neural networks



[Xue+22]

What are we talking about

Control a Linear system

- Tracking of reference trajectory

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Only stable systems

Use Spiking neural networks

- Third Generation of NN
- Working with discrete spikes
- Inherently fit for temporal data

Goal / Motivation

Artificial SNN can already solve various cognitive task such as

- Memorization
- Basic Logic
- Simulation of Dynamic Systems
- Control

Although with varying levels of biologic plausibility. We set out to build a controlled dynamic system based on SNN using learning and biologic plausibility

- Allow for black-box deployment without manual parameter tuning
- "Limit ourselves to use the brains capabilities to design a controller"

Method

1. Simulate

Use a spiking network to simulate a dynamic system

2. Control

Devise a control scheme to control the network output

3. Learn

Apply biologically plausible learning rules to our network

4. Combine

Integrate all three steps into a single controller

Simulation

Simulation of Linear systems



- Build NN that outputs $\hat{x}$ from the system $\dot{x} = Ax + c$ given c
- Group of LIF neurons with with intrinsic Voltage, tracking the projected error $V_i = F(x - \hat{x}) + \mu r_i$
- Network decoding $\hat{x} = F^T r$

$$\dot{V} = -\lambda_V V + Fc + W^f o(t) + W^s r(t) + \sigma_V \eta(t)$$

Geometric





Control

Control Concept



[HC19]

- (Almost) identical network architecture
- Network output is external input into (previous) simulating network $\longleftrightarrow$ Network state contains control signal
- Governed by PD-control as $c = \dot{x} - Ax$
- In presence of output matrix $C \neq \mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \text{rank}(B^T C^T) = rank(B^T)$

Examples

Insert Picture

Insert Picture

Learning

$V_i = F_i(x - \hat{x}) - \mu r_i$

Learning rules [BD15]

Slow Learning rule $W^s = F(A + \lambda_d \mathbf{I})F^T$

- Online Learning of Student teacher dynamics $\dot{\hat{x}} = M\hat{x} + c$
- Error Feedback Ke during Training
- $\delta M \propto e\hat{x}^T \longrightarrow \delta W^s \propto F(e\hat{x}^T)F^T \approx Fer^T$
- Error alignment?
- Supervised Learning rule

Fast Learning rule $W^f = FF^T + \mu \mathbb{I}$

- Voltage measures system error
- Minimize average Voltage outside of Neuron Threshold
- Biologically plausible pre×post locally
- Unsupervised Learning Rule

Examples

Insert Picture

Insert Picture

Combined Learning

Control Concept



[HC19]

Control Concept



[HC19]

Control Concept



[HC19]

Control Concept II







Problems

In conjunction, problems can arise:

- Divergence in Learning
- Control with Noise
- Reliance on analytic results
- Biologically implausible Learning



Dual Network

No Learning rule for control network available

Open Loop Control:

- Incapable of noise detection or correction
- No Compensation of Training error

Highly dependent on governing dynamics from $c_{contr} = \dot{x}_{ref} - Ax_{ref}$



Dual Network with Feedback

No Learning rule for control network available

~~Open loop Control:~~

- ~~Incapable of noise detection or correction~~
- ~~No Compensation of Training error~~

~~Highly dependent on governing dynamics from~~ $\cancel{e_{contr} = \dot{x}_{ref} - Ax_{ref}}$



Single Network

~~No Learning rule for control network available~~

Open Loop Control:

- Incapable of noise detection or correction
- No Compensation of Training error

Highly dependent on governing dynamics from $c_{\text{contr}} = \dot{x}_{\text{ref}} - Ax_{\text{ref}}$

Orthonormality restriction on Input Matrix $B \in \mathbb{B} := \{M \mid M^T M = \mathbb{I}\}$



Single Network with Feedback

~~No Learning rule for control network available~~

~~Open loop Control:~~

- ~~Incapable of noise detection or correction~~
- ~~No Compensation of Training error~~

~~Highly dependent on governing dynamics from~~ $\cancel{c_{contr} = \dot{x}_{ref} - Ax_{ref}}$

Orthonormality restriction on Input Matrix $B \in \mathbb{B} := \{M \mid M^T M = \mathbb{I}\}$

Examples

1 Example working with single Network working

1 Example of 2 networks working

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Open loop and inaccurate learning of slow weights W^s need to be addressed.
- Highly dependent on initial conditions in learning
- Impressive accuracy

- In ideal conditions useable results achievable
- Limited Applicability → Only of theoretical Interest
- Results are somewhat translatable to NEF and LSMs

Choice between biologic plausibility or and Input Matrix Restriction for accurate results

Future Work

- Enable non-linear dynamics
- Obey Dale's Law for neuron excitation and inhibition
- Optimize Control
- Learning of En- and Decoder F
- Allow for synaptic delays

Bibliography I

[BD15] Ralph Bourdoukan and Sophie Denève. "Enforcing balance allows local supervised learning in spiking recurrent networks". In: **Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems**. Ed. by C. Cortes, N. Lawrence, D. Lee, M. Sugiyama, and R. Garnett. Vol. 28. Curran Associates, Inc., 2015. URL: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2015/file/3871bd64012152bfb53fdf04b401193f-Paper.pdf.

[BMD13] Martin Boerlin, Christian K. Machens, and Sophie Denève. "Predictive Coding of Dynamical Variables in Balanced Spiking Networks". In: **PLOS Computational Biology** 9.11 (Nov. 14, 2013). Publisher: Public Library of Science, e1003258. ISSN: 1553-7358. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003258. URL: https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003258 (visited on 09/20/2022).

Bibliography II

[HC19] Fuqiang Huang and ShiNung Ching. "Spiking networks as efficient distributed controllers". In: **Biological Cybernetics** 113.1 (Apr. 2019), pp. 179–190. ISSN: 0340-1200, 1432-0770. DOI: 10.1007/s00422-018-0769-7. URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00422-018-0769-7 (visited on 10/23/2022).

[Xue+22] Xiaohe Xue, Ralf D. Wimmer, Michael M. Halassa, and Zhe Sage Chen. "Spiking Recurrent Neural Networks Represent Task-Relevant Neural Sequences in Rule-Dependent Computation". In: **Cognitive Computation** 15.4 (Feb. 2022), pp. 1167–1189. ISSN: 1866-9964. DOI: 10.1007/s12559-022-09994-2. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12559-022-09994-2.

BackupSlides

Autoencoder





$$\hat{x} = Do(t)$$
$$\dot{r} = -\lambda r + o(t) \tag{2}$$

Autoencoder II



$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} &= -\lambda x + c \\
\hat{x} &= Dr
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

$$\dot{r} = -\lambda r + o(t)$$
$$x = Dr$$

Autoencoder III



$$\dot{x} = c \tag{4}$$



$$\dot{x} = Ax + c \tag{5}$$

Geometric





Geometric



Minimize the cost J (Greedy)

$$J = \int_0^T \|x - \hat{x}\|_2^2 + C(r)\, dt \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= F_i(x - \hat{x}) - \mu r_i \\ \dot{V}_i &= -\lambda_V V_i + F_i c(t) \\ &\quad + W^f o(t) + W^s r(t) + \sigma_V \eta(t) \\ W^f &= FF^T + \mu I \\ W^s &= F\,(A + \lambda_d I)\,F^T \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Example Simple

content...

Example Big

content...

Conclusion

content...

Control

Control Concept



[HC19]

Add a separator here

Control Concept



[HC19]

Add a separator here



Control with SNN

$$u = F^T r + \Omega o(t) \quad (8)$$

Slow and Instantaneous decoding

$$\dot{V}(t) = -\lambda_V V(t) + \Omega^T B^T A e(t) + \Omega^T B^T c(t) + W^s r(t) + W^f o(t) + \sigma_V \eta(t) \quad (9)$$

Requires full state information on x and $\hat{x}$

$$c = \dot{x} - Ax \quad (10)$$

It is necessary on $B \in \mathbb{R}^{nxp}$

$$\mathrm{rank}\,(B^T C^T) = p \quad (11)$$

Example in Ideal Conditions

works fine+ add plot

Example with 2 networks

works bad+ add plot

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Acceptable results in ideal conditions

Conclusion

- Acceptable results in ideal conditions
- Rank condition is limiting factor

Conclusion

- Acceptable results in ideal conditions
- Rank condition is limiting factor
- Network noise is invisible to the control

Conclusion

- Acceptable results in ideal conditions
- Rank condition is limiting factor
- Network noise is invisible to the control
- Simple open loop controller in the definition of c

Learning

Fast Learning rule

Slow Learning rule
Online Teacher-Student Scheme

Fast Learning rule
content...

Slow Learning rule





[BD15]

Online Teacher-Student Scheme for M under $\dot{x} = Mx + c$

Matrix update under squared loss

$$\delta M \propto e\hat{x}^T \longrightarrow \delta W^s \propto F^T\left(e\hat{x}^T\right)F \approx F^T e r \tag{12}$$

Learned Control

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Very limited applicability
- Open loop + rank condition limiting factor
- Too inaccurate learning of slow weights W^s
- Too dependent on initial conditions in learning

- In ideal conditions useable results achievable
- Only of theoretical interest
- Impressive accuracy
- Results are somewhat translatable to NEF and LSMs

Future Work

Future Work

- Enable non-linear dynamics

Future Work

- Enable non-linear dynamics

- Obey Dale's Law for neuron excitation and inhibition

Future Work

- Enable non-linear dynamics
- Obey Dale's Law for neuron excitation and inhibition
- Optimize Control

Future Work

- Enable non-linear dynamics
- Obey Dale's Law for neuron excitation and inhibition
- Optimize Control
- Learning of En- and Decoder F

Future Work

- Enable non-linear dynamics
- Obey Dale's Law for neuron excitation and inhibition
- Optimize Control
- Learning of En- and Decoder F
- Allow for synaptic delays

Frame title

Block

Lorem ipsum!

Bibliography

[BD15] Ralph Bourdoukan and Sophie Denève. “Enforcing balance allows local supervised learning in spiking recurrent networks”. In: **Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems**. Ed. by C. Cortes, N. Lawrence, D. Lee, M. Sugiyama, and R. Garnett. Vol. 28. Curran Associates, Inc., 2015. URL: `https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2015/file/3871bd64012152bfb53fdf04b401193f-Paper.pdf`.

[BMD13] Martin Boerlin, Christian K. Machens, and Sophie Denève. “Predictive Coding of Dynamical Variables in Balanced Spiking Networks”. In: **PLOS Computational Biology** 9.11 (Nov. 14, 2013). Publisher: Public Library of Science, e1003258. ISSN: 1553-7358. DOI: `10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003258`. URL: `https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003258` (visited on

KTH
VETENSKAP
OCH KONST