Assignment 3: Bayes Net

## CSC384 Winter 2015 Assignment 3 Question 1

- a) Pr(b|a)
- 0.5
- b) Pr(c|a)
- 0.5
- c) Pr(c|a,-e)
- 0.5714285714285714
- d) Pr(c|a,-f)
- 0.5

## CSC384 Winter 2015 Assignment 3 Question 2

1.

$$V1 = ss , V2 = sm , V3 = cc$$

$$P(cc|ss) = P(cc|ss,sm)$$

$$P(cc = true | ss = okay) = 0.80 = P(cc = true | ss = okay, sm = okay) = 0.80$$

$$P(cc = false | ss = okay) = 0.20 = P(cc = false | ss = okay, sm = okay) = 0.20$$

$$P(cc = true | ss = okay) = 0.80 = P(cc = true | ss = okay, sm = faulty) = 0.80$$

$$P(cc = false | ss = okay) = 0.20 = P(cc = false | ss = okay, sm = faulty) = 0.20$$

$$P(cc = true | ss = faulty) = 0.05 = P(cc = true | ss = faulty, sm = okay) = 0.05$$

$$P(cc = false | ss = faulty) = 0.95 = P(cc = false | ss = faulty, sm = okay) = 0.95$$

$$P(cc = true | ss = faulty) = 0.05 = P(cc = true | ss = faulty, sm = faulty) = 0.05$$

$$P(cc = false | ss = faulty) = 0.95 = P(cc = false | ss = faulty, sm = faulty) = 0.95$$

These statements illustrate that probability of cc is conditionally independent on sm given ss.

$$V = sq$$
,  $d = bad$ 

$$V1 = pv$$
,  $d1 = weak$ 

$$V2 = sp$$
,  $d2 = fouled$ 

$$P(pv = weak) = 0.178$$

$$P(sp = fouled) = 0.200$$

$$P(pv = weak \mid sq = bad) = 0.533$$

$$P(sp = fouled \mid sq = bad) = 0.311$$

$$P(pv = weak \mid sq = bad, sp = fouled) = 0.000$$

$$P(sp = fouled \mid sq = bad, pv = weak) = 0.000$$

These statements illustrate that sq = bad increases the probability of pv = weak and sp = fouled. However, once it is known that sp = fouled, which explains away the reason sq = bad, probability of pv = weak decreases and vice-versa.

3.

$$V = ss, d = okay$$

$$V1 = sm, d1 = okay$$

$$V2 = mf$$
,  $d2 = okay$ 

$$V3 = bv$$
,  $d3 = strong$ 

P(ss = okay|sm = okay) < P(ss = okay|sm = okay, mf = okay) < P(ss = okay|sm = okay, mf = okay, bv = strong)

$$P(ss = okay | sm = okay) = 0.598$$

$$P(ss = okay | sm = okay, mf = okay) = 0.605$$

$$P(ss = okay | sm = okay, mf = okay, bv = strong) = 0.980$$

These statements illustrate that probability of ss = okay increases monotonically as we add the evidence items of sm = okay, mf = okay, and bv = strong.

V = st, d = true

V1 = sq, d1 = bad

V2 = fs, d2 = faulty

V3 = tm, d3 = good

V4 = asys, d4 = faulty

V5 = cc, d5 = true

P(st = true | sq = bad) > P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty) < P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good) > P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good, asys = faulty) < P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good, asys = faulty, cc = true)

[0.2803836125216086, 0.7196163874783914]

P(st = true | sq = bad) = 0.462

P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty) = 0.032

P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good) = 0.034

P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good, asys = faulty) = 0.015

P(st = true | sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good, asys = faulty, cc = true) = 0.020

These statements illustrate that probability of st = true both increases and decreases as we add the evidence items of sq = bad, fs = faulty, tm = good, asys = faulty, and cc = true.