## **Project Report**

## **Text Summarization**

*Team:* Saiabhinav Chekka and Dharani Doppalapudi


#### **Problem Statement:**

Highlights are a good low-level overview of a news article, but they are not a concrete synopsis. We hope to build a summary generating system with this project that can convey the most relevant information in a few sentences. This project can help two sorts of users: those who want to digest a particle news item as soon as possible, and those who want to follow news via digital media but are concerned about gazing at a screen for an extended period. This program benefits users who have the means and ability to utilize the internet to read news items. This initiative might serve as a supplement to a digital news publication.

Users will navigate through summaries of digital news items on the User Interface. If the user wishes to learn more about the story after reading the summary, they can click on the article to continue reading. We do not see any concerns with this app's trust or privacy at this time because the user selects whether to read the complete narrative based on the summary supplied.

#### **Data Collection:**

The data is downloaded from the DeepMind Q&A Dataset at this link (<a href="https://cs.nyu.edu/~kcho/DMQA/">https://cs.nyu.edu/~kcho/DMQA/</a>). This dataset contains both story and story highlights which were already scrapped from the website which has both the main story and a story highlight as shown below.



## Data Management:

## How did you clean and pre-process the data?

Text data is preprocessed involving the following steps:

- Removing punctuation
- Removing stop words (words that do not add meaning at word level analysis)
- Lemmatization (converts different forms of a single word into one form)

#### What recording of the variables was done after the data was collected?

- The data essential contained 2 parts, the story (text of a news article) and its highlights.
- After loading the data, a pandas data frame is created with 2 columns for the story and highlights.
- For further analysis, all the story rows are preprocessed using the steps mentioned above and a column of the word count of each story is added to the data frame along with the cleaned stories.


#### Was any of the data manipulated manually after it was collected?

 Data were preprocessed using pre-existing libraries and a few manual preprocessing which we thought were necessary like removing text inside brackets.

# Data Analysis:

- At this stage of the project, we are using story highlights to compare our generated summaries.
- We are particularly focusing on word-level analysis.

- Implemented TF-IDF to look for unique words.
- A subset of 100 stories was taken for quick analysis.
- We used cosine similarity to check how similar the generated text is with highlights.
   A decent score of about 80% (rough average) is observed on word-level summaries. For
   future work, we would like to improve this system using context-based sentence
   ranking to rank high for more relevant sentences in the story.




In this visualization, we could see the 10 most unique elements of all four stories. This could help us understand the primary topic concerning the story. I think this feature can extend in categorizing the stories and making the search for news stories easy.

# **Argument:**

- With respect to this project, as the data is text and summaries are generated, the uniqueness of words pertaining to each story might be key in generating summaries.
- This would not be a causal argument since there is no supporting evidence to prove it.
   It is just intuition in this type of problems

# Design:



- The above figure shows the design flow of this project.
- Data from the deep mind is preprocessed first and then it is used for generating summaries using 2 methods, Extractive and Abstractive.
- The results are compared to decide which method is effective for this data.

#### Intervention:

- Our design flow is expected to produce a proper summary given a large text as input with a target output being a grammatically correct text condensed text of key points.
- But we are least expecting it to give good results on content-based evaluation.
- This is a text-in, text-out design of delivery.
- We are using a content-based evaluation metric, the cosine similarity score for measuring the results.

# Stakeholder Analysis:

- News articles have already been digitalized. This project can benefit 2 types of users, one who wants to consume a particle news story as quickly as possible and one who follows news through the digital medium but also worries about staring at the screen for a long time.
- Users with resources and the ability to use the internet to browse through news stories benefit from this application.

#### Context:

This project could be a subpart of a digital newspaper. Users will scroll through the
User Interface for summaries of digital newspaper stories. User can choose to continue
reading by clicking on the article if the user wants to know more about the story after
reading the summary.

At this point, we do not see any trust or privacy issues with this application because it
is the user who decides whether to continue reading the full story based on the
summary provided.

#### **Ethics:**

- One of the purposes of this project could be to save users time. By looking at the summary, the user gets a brief idea of the topic.
- Though modern NLP (Natural Language Processing) algorithms are performing better
  in understanding the context of a given text, they are far from understanding the
  human intentions behind indirect speeches. This could result in an inappropriate
  summary.
- For such reasons, it is advisable to take the computer-generated summaries with a grain of salt. The intention behind generating these summaries is to get a shorter form of original stories. At the current level of the project, the sentences in the summary are selected from the original text.

#### Results & observations:

We used story highlights as a reference to compare with the generated summaries. We observed that Abstractive summaries showed ~83% average similarity score whereas the Extractive method was able to achieve ~75% average similarity score.

The figure below shows the comparison between the actual story and summaries generated using Extractive and Abstractive methods respectively. It can be observed that the sentences generated by the Extractive method are a collection from the original text/story whereas, in the Abstractive summary, the text is newly generated.

```
## Main story
subset_stories.story[13]

"(CNN) -- A single-engine airplane made an emergency landing on a California highway Sunday morning, though no major
injuries were reported, authorities said.\n\nThe Piper Commanche 260 carrying a married couple landed on the southboun
d lanes of U.S. Highway 101.\n\nThe Piper Commanche 260 carrying a married couple landed on the southbound lanes of U.
S. Highway 101 just outside Santa Barbara and a few miles from the airport, said California Highway Patrol spokesman
Officer James Richards.\n\nThe plane's engine quit, and as the pilot descended, he lost control of the plane and land
ed in the southbound lane facing oncoming traffic. The plane struck two vehicles while landing, then spun and hit ano
ther one with its tail, Richards said.\n\nOne vehicle passenger was treated for minor injuries, he said. No other inj
uries were reported.\n\nThe landing happened at 10:36 a.m. (1:36 p.m. ET) and held up traffic for less than two hour
s, Richards said.\n\nHe added that the plane had departed Temecula in southwestern Riverside County, California, and
was destined for the airport in Santa Barbara, a flight of about 180 miles.\n\nThe pilot told authorities that he att
empted to switch fuel lines during the flight, but was unable to restore power to the plane. He said he alerted a tow
er at the airport that a landing on the highway was imminent, Richardson said.\n\n"
```


```
## Extractive Summary
subset_stories.summary[13]

' (CNN) -- A single-engine airplane made an emergency landing on a California highway Sunday morning, though no major injuries were reported, authorities said. The Piper Comanche 260 carrying a married couple landed on the southbound l anes of U.S. Highway 101 just outside Santa Barbara and a few miles from the airport, said California Highway Patrol spokesman Officer James Richards.'

## Abstractive Summary
subset_stories.summary2[13]
```

'<pad> the single-engine plane made an emergency landing on a California highway. no major injuries were reported. the pilot lost control of the plane and landed in the southbound lane facing oncoming traffic. the plane struck two vehicles while landing, then spun and hit another one with its tail. the landing happened at 10:36 a.m. (1:36 p.m. ET) and held up traffic for less than two hours, authorities say.</s>'

The following figures shows the distribution of similarity scores among all the stories.



# **Appendix**

## Code for Data Preprocessing and Analysis:

We performed data cleansing and replaced it with necessary annotations. Our code snippet for cleansing data is as follows.

```
def remove_punctuation(text):
                             punctuationfree="".join([i for i in text if i not in string.punctuation])
                               return punctuationfree
def remove_stopwords(text):
              stopwords = nltk.corpus.stopwords.words('english')
              output= [i for i in text if i not in stopwords]
              return output
def lemmatizer(text):
               wordnet_lemmatizer = WordNetLemmatizer()
              lemm_text = [wordnet_lemmatizer.lemmatize(word) for word in text]
               return lemm_text
def cleaned(text):
                \texttt{rm\_punc} = \texttt{remove\_punctuation(text).replace('\n','').replace(',','').replace(','','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'','').replace('\'',''
                                                                                                                                                                    .replace(')','').replace('(','').replace('',','').lower().replace('cnn','')\
.replace('--','').replace("'", '').replace(""", '')
               tokens = word_tokenize(rm_punc)
               stopWords = remove_stopwords(tokens)
               lemmatize = lemmatizer(stopWords)
               return lemmatize
```

The following pandas data frame is created with necessary variables after preprocessing the data. The summary column is generated after our analysis.

	story	highlights	cleaned_stories	counts	summary	clean_sent
0	At the start of a big week for the Higgs boson	[U.Sbased scientists say their data points t	start	[{'particle': 12, ''': 10, 'experiment': 5, '	At the start of a big week for the Higgs boso	start big week higgs boson sought-after partic
1	(CNN)George Zimmerman acquitted by a Florid	[Zimmerman posts \$5,000 bail; he was accused o	0 george 1 zimmerman 2	[{'''': 13, 'zimmerman': 12, 'said': 9, 'polic	(CNN)George Zimmerman acquitted by a Flori	george zimmerman acquitted florida jury death
2	(CNN) Zlatan Ibrahimovic scored his third g	[Barcelona move three points clear of Real Mad	0 zlatan 1 ibrahimovic 2	[{'minute': 7, 'goal': 6, 'point': 3, 'lead':	The move worked as Ibrahimovic pounced to sco	zlatan ibrahimovic scored third goal many game
3	(CNN) Nobel laureate Norman E. Borlaug, an	[Borlaug died at the age of 95 from complicati	0 nobel 1 laureate 2	[{'university': 7, 'food': 4, 'borlaug': 4, ''	(CNN) Nobel laureate Norman E. Borlaug, an	nobel laureate norman e borlaug agricultural s
4	(CNN)Louisiana Gov. Bobby Jindal on Monday sto	[Louisiana Gov. Bobby Jindal decried "no-go zo	0 louisiana 1 gov 2 b	[{'''': 40, '``': 23, 'jindal': 16, 'zone': 8,	Bobby Jindal on Monday stood by his criticism	louisiana gov bobby jindal monday stood critic

### Code for generating summary:

```
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from nltk.tokenize import word_tokenize, sent_tokenize
                 sent_score(text):
rm_punc = remove_punctuation(text).replace('\n','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace('\.','').replace(\.'','').replace(\.'','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').replace(\.''','').re
                  stopWords = stopwords.words("english")
words = word_tokenize(rm_punc)
                 ## creating a dictionary for word frequencies
freqTable = dict()
for word in words:
    word = word.lower()
    if word in stopWords:
                                 continue
if word in freqTable:
                                  freqTable[word] += 1
else:
    freqTable[word] = 1
                  # creating a dictionary to keep the score of the sentence
sentences = sent_tokenize(text)
sentenceValue = dict()
                  for sentence in sentences:
                                  for word, freq in freqTable.items():
    if word.lower() in sentence.lower():
        if sentence in sentenceValue:
            sentenceValue(sentence) += freq
                                                                      else:
                                                                                          sentenceValue[sentence] = freq
                   sumValues = 0
                  for sentence in sentenceValue:
    sumValues += sentenceValue[sentence]
                  ## Average value of a sentence from original text
average = int(sumValues / len(sentenceValue))
                   ## Storing sentence in to our summary
                 ## Storing seatch...
summary = ''
for sentence in sentences:
   if (sentence in sentenceValue) and (sentenceValue[sentence] > (1.5*average)):
        summary += " " + sentence
```

As can be seen below, the first cell shows the story highlight, 2nd cell displays generated summary of the story and 3<sup>rd</sup> cell displays the original story.

```
subset_stories.highlights[0]

['U.S.-based scientists say their data points toward the existence of the Higgs boson',
'Finding the Higgs boson would help explain the origin of mass',
"But the research at the Tevatron collider doesn't provide a conclusive answer",
'Attention now turns to a seminar Wednesday on data from the Large Hadron Collider']

subset_stories.summary[0]

" At the start of a big week for the Higgs boson, the most sought-after particle in all of physics, scientists in III inois said Monday that they had crept closer to proving that the particle exists but had been unable to reach a defin itive conclusion. The scientists outlined their final analysis based on more than 10 years of research and 500 trilli on particle collisions using the U.S. Department of Energy's Fermilab Tevatron collider near Batavia, Illinois, whose budgetary woes shut it down last year. Their announcement came two days before researchers at the Large Hadron Collid er under the Alps are due to unveil their latest results at an eagerly awaited seminar at the CERM particle physics I aboratory in Geneva, Switzerland. More science news from CNN Light Years/huThe results from the Tevatron, stemming from the two different experiments, suggest that if the Higgs boson does exist, it would have a mass between 115 and 1 35 GeV -- about 130 times the mass of the proton."
```

subset\_stories.story[0]

'At the start of a big week for the Higgs boson, the most sought-after particle in all of physics, scientists in Illi nois said Monday that they had crept closer to proving that the particle exists but had been unable to reach a definitive conclusion.\n\nThe scientists outlined their final analysis based on more than 10 years of research and 500 tril lion particle collisions using the U.S. Department of Energy's Fermilab Tevatron collider near Batavia, Illinois, whose budgetary woes shut it down last year.\n\n\mathbb{m}hat is the Higgs boson and why is it important?\n\nTheir announcement came two days before researchers at the Large Hadron Collider under the Alps are due to unveil their latest results at an eagerly awaited seminar at the CERN particle physics laboratory in Geneva, Switzerland.\n\n^oUor data strongly point toward the existence of the Higgs boson," Rob Roser, a spokesman for one of two independent experiments at the Te vatron, said in a statement. "But it will take results from the experiments at the Large Hadron Collider in Europe to establish a discovery. "\n\nRead more: The woman at the edge of physics\n\nFinding the Higgs boson would help explain the origin of mass, one of the open questions in physicists\' current understanding of the way the universe works.\n\n\nThe particle has been so difficult to pin down that the physicist Leon Lederman reportedly wanted to call his book "The Goddamn Particle." But he truncated that epithet to "The God Particle," which may have helped elevate the partic le\'s allure in popular culture.\n\nMore science news from CNN Light Years\n\nThe results from the Tevatron, stemming from the two different experiments, suggest that if the Higgs boson does exist, it would have a mass between 115 and 135 GeV -- about 130 times the mass of the proton.\n\nBefore the Tevatron closed, the experiments there sent beams of particles whizzing around a four-mile circumference in opposite directions. Traveling at a fraction below the speed of light, the particles would crash into

## Code for similarity check:

Below is our code for checking the similarity. For example, the similarity between story number 49 and the summary of story number 50 is only 52% whereas the similarity score between story number 50 and its summary is 84%.

```
def cosine_distance_countvectorizer_method(s1, s2):
    # sentences to list
    allsentences = [s1 , s2]

# packages
    from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
    from scipy.spatial import distance

# text to vector
vectorizer = CountVectorizer()
    all_sentences to_vector = vectorizer.fit_transform(allsentences)
    text_to_vector_v1 = all_sentences_to_vector.toarray()[0].tolist()
    text_to_vector_v2 = all_sentences_to_vector.toarray()[1].tolist()

# distance of similarity
    cosine = distance.cosine(text_to_vector_v1, text_to_vector_v2)
    print('Similarity score of genereated summary and provided news highlights: ',round((1-cosine)*100,2),'%')
    return cosine

cosine_distance_countvectorizer_method(subset_stories.summary[50] , subset_stories.story[49])

Similarity score of genereated summary and provided news highlights: 51.93 %

cosine_distance_countvectorizer_method(subset_stories.summary[50] , subset_stories.story[50])
```

Similarity score of genereated summary and provided news highlights: 84.4 %

#### TF-IDF Code:

Before the similarity check, a TF-IDF (Term Frequency – Inverse Document Frequency) vectorizer is trained. The IDF part gives us the unique words used in each story. Using these words, we checked if the same words were used in the story highlights.

For our analysis purpose, we made a few visualizations of the unique words in the first 4 stories. The following code is used to extract the top 10 unique words.

The following code is used for visualizing the unique words and their corresponding importance. Just, for example, the first 4 stories are visualized here.