# Revised<sup>6</sup> Report on the Algorithmic Language Scheme

## — Non-Normative Appendices —

MICHAEL SPERBER R. KENT DYBVIG, MATTHEW FLATT, ANTON VAN STRAATEN (Editors)

26 September 2007

\*\*Unofficial version incorporating errata\*\*

https://weinholt.se/scheme/r6rs/
15 December 2018

## **SUMMARY**

This document contains non-normative appendices to the  $Revised^6$  Report on the Algorithmic Language Scheme. These appendices contain advice for users and suggestions for implementors on issues not fit for standardization, in particular on platform-specific issues.

This document frequently refers back to the Revised<sup>6</sup> Report on the Algorithmic Language Scheme [3] and the Revised <sup>6</sup> Report on the Algorithmic Language Scheme — Libraries — [4]; references to the report are identified by designations such as "report section" or "report chapter", and references to the library report are identified by designations such as "library section" or "library chapter".

We intend this report to belong to the entire Scheme community, and so we grant permission to copy it in whole or in part without fee. In particular, we encourage implementors of Scheme to use this report as a starting point for manuals and other documentation, modifying it as necessary.

 $2~{\rm Revised}^6$  Scheme Non-Normative Appendices (latest erratum: 15 December 2018)

## CONTENTS

Α	Standard-conformant mode	3
В	Optional case insensitivity	3
$\mathbf{C}$	Use of square brackets	3
D	Scripts	3
	D.1 Script interpreter	4
	D.2 Syntax	4
	D.3 Platform considerations	4
$\mathbf{E}$	Source code representation	5
F	Use of library versions	5
G	Unique library names	5
Re	eferences	5

#### Standard-conformant mode Appendix A.

Scheme implementations compliant with the report may operate in a variety of modes. In particular, in addition to one or more modes conformant with the requirements of the report, an implementation may offer non-conformant These modes are by nature implementationspecific, and may differ in the language and available libraries. In particular, non-conformant language extensions may be available, including unsafe libraries or otherwise unsafe features, and the semantics of the language may differ from the semantics described in the report. Moreover, the default mode offered by a Scheme implementation may be non-conformant, and such a Scheme implementation may require special settings or declarations to enter the report-conformant mode. Implementors should clearly document the nature of the default mode and how to enter a report-conformant mode.

#### Appendix B. Optional case insensitivity

In contrast with earlier revisions of the report [2], the syntax of data distinguishes upper and lower case in identifiers and in characters specified via their names. For example, the identifiers X and x are different, and the character #\space cannot be written #\SPACE.

Implementors may wish to support case-insensitive syntax for backward compatibility or other reasons. If they do so, they should adopt the following directives to control case folding.

## #!fold-case #!no-fold-case

These directives may appear anywhere comments may appear and are treated as comments, except that they affect the reading of subsequent lexemes. The #!fold-case causes the reader to case-fold (see library section 1.2) each (identifier) and (character name). The #!no-fold-case directive causes the reader to return to the default, nonfolding behavior.

#### Appendix C. Use of square brackets

Even though matched square brackets are synonymous with parentheses in the syntax, many programmers use square brackets only in a few select places. In particular, programmers should restrict use of square brackets to places in syntactic forms where two consecutive open parentheses would otherwise be common. These are the applicable forms specified in the report and the library report:

• For cond forms (see report section 11.4.5). (cond clause) may take one of the follow forms:

```
[\langle \text{test} \rangle \langle \text{expression}_1 \rangle \dots]
\lceil \langle \text{test} \rangle = \rangle \langle \text{expression} \rangle \rceil
[else \langle expression_1 \rangle \langle expression_2 \rangle \dots ]
```

• For case forms (see report section 11.4.5), a (case clause) may take one of the follow forms:

```
[(\langle datum_1 \rangle ...) \langle expression_1 \rangle \langle expression_2 \rangle ...]
[else \langle expression_1 \rangle \langle expression_2 \rangle \dots ]
```

• For let, let\*, letrec, letrec\* forms (see report section 11.4.6), (bindings) may take the following

```
([\langle variable_1 \rangle \langle init_1 \rangle] ...)
```

• For let-values and let-values\* forms (see report section 11.4.6), (mv-bindings) may take the following form:

```
([\langle formals_1 \rangle \langle init_1 \rangle] ...)
```

• For syntax-rules forms (see report section 11.19), a (syntax rule) may take the following form:

```
[\langle srpattern \rangle \langle template \rangle]
```

• For identifier-syntax forms (see report section 11.19), the two clauses may take the following form.

```
[\langle id_1 \rangle \langle template_1 \rangle]
[(set! \langle id_2 \rangle \langle pattern \rangle) \langle template_2 \rangle]
```

• For do forms (see library section 5), the variable bindings may take the following form:

```
([\langle variable_1 \rangle \langle init_1 \rangle \langle step_1 \rangle] ...)
```

• For case-lambda forms (see library section 5), a (case-lambda clause) may take the following form:

```
[\langle formals \rangle \langle body \rangle]
```

• For guard forms (see library section 7.1), a (cond clause) may take one of the follow forms:

```
[\langle test \rangle \langle expression_1 \rangle \dots]
[\langle \text{test} \rangle => \langle \text{expression} \rangle]
[else \langle expression_1 \rangle \langle expression_2 \rangle \dots ]
```

• For syntax-case forms (see library chapter 12.4), a (syntax-case rule) may take one of the following forms:

```
[\(\rho\) attern\(\rangle\) (output expression\(\rangle\)]
[\langle pattern \rangle \langle fender \rangle \langle output expression \rangle ]
```

#### Appendix D. Scripts

A Scheme script is a top-level program (see report chapter 8) which is packaged such that it is directly executable by conforming implementations of Scheme, on one or more plaforms.

4 Revised<sup>6</sup> Scheme Non-Normative Appendices (latest erratum: 15 December 2018)

## D.1. Script interpreter

Where applicable, implementations should provide a *script interpreter* in the form of an executable program named **scheme-script** that is capable of initiating the execution of Scheme scripts, as described below.

Rationale: Distributing a Scheme program that is portable with respect to both Scheme implementations and operating systems is challenging, even if that program has been written in standard Scheme. Languages with a single or primary implementation can at least rely on a standard name for their script interpreters. Standardizing the name of the executable used to start a Scheme script removes one barrier to the distribution of Scheme scripts.

## D.2. Syntax

A Scheme *script* is a delimited piece of text, typically a file, which consists of an optional script header, followed by a top-level program. A script header has the following syntax:

```
\begin{split} & \langle \text{script header} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{shebang} \rangle \ / \text{usr/bin/env} \ \langle \text{space} \rangle \\ & \text{scheme-script} \ \langle \text{linefeed} \rangle \\ & \langle \text{shebang} \rangle \longrightarrow \#! \ | \ \#! \ \langle \text{space} \rangle \end{split}
```

## D.2.1. Script header

The *script header*, if present on the first line of a script, is used by Unix-like operating systems to identify the interpreter to execute that script.

The script header syntax given above is the recommended portable form that programmers should use. However, if the first line of a script begins with #!/ or #!\space\, implementations should ignore it on all platforms, even if it does not conform to the recommended syntax.

Rationale: Requiring script interpreters to recognize and ignore the script header helps ensure that Scheme scripts written for Unix-like systems can also run on other kinds of systems. Furthermore, recognizing all #!/ or #!(space) combinations allows local customizations to be performed by altering a script header from its default form.

#### D.2.2. Example

```
(call-with-port
      (open-file-input-port
            (cadr (command-line)))
  get-bytes-all))
```

#### D.3. Platform considerations

Many platforms require that scripts be marked as executable in some way. The platform-specific details of this are beyond the scope of this report. Scripts that are not suitably marked as executable will fail to execute on many platforms. Other platform-specific notes for some popular operating systems follow.

## D.3.1. Apple Mac OS X

The Mac OS X operating system supports the Unix-like script header for shell scripts that run in the Terminal. Depending on the intended usage, it may be advisable to choose a file name ending in .command for a script, as this makes the script double-clickable.

#### D.3.2. Unix

Scheme scripts on Unix-like operating systems are supported by the presence of the script header. Scripts that omit the script header are unlikely to be directly executable on Unix-like systems.

To support installation of the Scheme script interpreter in non-standard paths, scripts should use the /usr/bin/env program as specified in the recommended script header syntax. (Note that on many Unix-like systems, this also allows the script interpreter itself to be implemented as a shell script.)

#### D.3.3. Microsoft Windows

The Windows operating system allows a file extension to be associated with a script interpreter such as scheme-script. This association may be configured appropriately by Scheme implementations, installation programs, or by the user.

#### D.3.4. Selecting an implementation

If multiple implementations of Scheme are installed on a machine, the user may wish to specify which implementation should be used to execute Scheme scripts by default. Most platforms support some mechanism for choosing between alternative implementations of a program. For example, Debian GNU/Linux uses the /etc/alternatives

mechanism to do this; Microsoft Windows uses file extension associations. Implementations are expected to configure this appropriately, e.g., as part of their installation procedure. Failing that, users must perform any necessary configuration to choose their preferred Scheme script interpreter.

#### Appendix E. Source code representation

The report does not specify how source code is represented and stored. The only requirement the report imposes is that the source code of a top-level program (see report section 8.1) or a script (see section D.2) be delimited. The source code of a library is self-delimiting in the sense that, if the beginning of a library form can be identified, so can the end.

Implementations may take radically different approaches to storing source code for libraries, among them: files in the file system where each file contains an arbitrary number of library forms, files in the file system where each file contains exactly one library form, records in a database, and data structures in memory.

Similarly, programs and scripts may be stored in a variety of formats. Platform constraints may restrict the choices available to an implementation, which is why the report neither mandates nor recommends a specific method for storage.

Implementations may provide a means for importing libraries coming from the outside via an interface that accepts a UTF-8 text file in Unicode Normalization Form C where line endings are encoded as linefeed characters. Such text files may contain an arbitrary number of library forms. (Authors of such files should include an #!r6rs comment if the file is written purely with the lexical and datum syntax described in the report. See report section 4.2.3.) After importing such a file, the libraries defined in it should be available to other libraries and files. An implementation may store the file as is, or convert it to some storage format to achieve this.

Similarly, implementations may provide a means for executing a program represented as a UTF-8 text file containing its source code. Again, authors of such files should include an #!r6rs comment if the file is written purely with the lexical and datum syntax described in the report. This report does not describe a file format that allows both libraries and programs to appear in the same file.

#### Appendix F. Use of library versions

Names for libraries may include a version. An (import spec) may designate a set of acceptable versions that may be imported. Conversely, only one version of each library should be part of a program. This allows using the "name part" of a (library name) for different purposes than the  $\langle \text{version} \rangle$ .

In particular, if several different variants of a library exists where it is feasible that they coexist in the same program, it is recommended that different names be used for the variants. In contrast, for compatible versions of a library where coexistence of several versions is unnecessary and undesirable, it is recommended that the same name and different versions be used. In particular, it is recommended that new versions of libraries that are conservative extensions of old ones differ only in the version, not in the name.

Correspondingly, it is recommended that (import spec)s do not constrain an import to a single version, but instead specify a wide range of acceptable versions of a library.

Implementations that allow two libraries of the same name with different versions to coexist in the same program should report when processing a program that actually makes use of this extension.

#### Appendix G. Unique library names

Programmers should choose names for distributed libraries that do not collide with other libraries' names. This appendix suggests a convention for generating unique library names, similar to the convention for Java [1].

A unique library name can be formed by associating the library with an Internet domain name, such as mit.edu. The lower-case components of the domain are reversed to form a prefix for the library name. Adding further name components to establish a hierarchy may be advisable, depending on the size of the organization associated with the domain name, the number of libraries to be distributed from it, and other organizational properties or conventions associated with the library.

Programmers should use library names that are suitable for use as part of file names. Special characters in domain names that do not fit conventions of commonly used file systems should be replaced by hyphens or suitable "escape sequences" that, as much as possible, are suitable for avoiding collisions. Here are some examples for possible library names according to this convention:

```
(edu mit swiss cheese)
(de deinprogramm educational graphics turtle)
(com pan-am booking passenger)
```

The name of a library does not necessarily indicate an Internet address where the package is distributed.

#### REFERENCES

[1] James Gosling, Bill Joy, Guy Steele, and Gilad Bracha. The Java<sup>TM</sup> Language Specification. Addison-Wesley, third edition, 2005.

- 6 Revised<sup>6</sup> Scheme Non-Normative Appendices (latest erratum: 15 December 2018)
- [2] Richard Kelsey, William Clinger, and Jonathan Rees. Revised<sup>5</sup> report on the algorithmic language Scheme. *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, 11(1):7–105, 1998.
- [3] Michael Sperber, R. Kent Dybvig, Matthew Flatt, Anton van Straaten, Richard Kelsey, William Clinger, and Jonathan Rees. Revised<sup>6</sup> report on the algorithmic language Scheme. http://www.r6rs.org/, 2007.
- [4] Michael Sperber, R. Kent Dybvig, Matthew Flatt, Anton van Straaten, Richard Kelsey, William Clinger, and Jonathan Rees. Revised<sup>6</sup> report on the algorithmic language Scheme (Libraries). http://www.r6rs.org/, 2007.