# escudo\_fc.jpg

# Project 2 - Analysing Tweeter data

escudo\_ct.jpg

#### Ali Nehrani

<sup>a</sup>Ozyegin Universidad

## Resumen

The goal of this project was to predict sentiment for the given Twitter post using Python Data sciece packages. I implemented to data processing approaches; Kfold train-test split method and sklearn train-test-split method. I used 5 different types of data obtained from main data and different classification methods. Method score and accuracy is reported.

#### **Contents**

1	Used Python Libraries	1
2	<b>Evaluating Function</b>	1
3	3.1 Loading Data	<b>2</b> 2 2
4	Input Data Generating	2
5	Results	3
1.	Used Python Libraries	
	In my code I used the following modules:	
im im fre im fre fre fre	port os port nltk port numpy as np port re as regex port pandas as pd om collections import Counter port matplotlib.pyplot as plt om sklearn.naive_bayes import BernoulliNB om sklearn.metrics import fl_score,    precision_score, recall_score, accuracy_score om sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split    , cross_val_score, GridSearchCV,    RandomizedSearchCV om sklearn import metrics, svm, model_selection,    feature_extraction, linear_model, tree, ensemble    , neural_network	
2.	Evaluating Function	

I developed the following code for evaluating classification methods over the data. This is based on the similarity if applying different classification methods in sklearn.

I this function **dev** I used "Kfold" from sklearn model-selection module to split data into training and test and k is set to 10. In

the following I calculated accuracy score with different measures including: "metrics.accuracy-score", "precision-score", "recall-score", "accuracy-score" and "f1-score" in kfold case. At the end I returned the average of the score called kfold score. In this **dev** the train-test-split method from "model-selection" is also applied. I computed method score "clss.score".

```
def cl_ts_evaluation(clss, k, data, target, method=
    None, test_ratio = 0.25:
    metric_ac_score = []
    precision = []
    recall = []
    accuracy = []
    f1 = []
    if method == 'kfold':
        kfold = model_selection. KFold(n_splits=k)
        for ind_train , ind_test in kfold . split (data):
             clss.fit(data[ind_train], target[
    ind_train])
            y_pred = clss.predict(data[ind_test]) #
             metric_ac_score.append(metrics.
    accuracy_score(y_pred, target[ind_test]))
             precision.append(precision_score(y_pred,
     target[ind_test], average=None, pos_label=None)
             recall.append(recall_score(y_pred,
    target[ind_test], average=None, pos_label=None))
             accuracy.append(accuracy_score(y_pred,
    target[ind_test]))
             f1.append(f1_score(y_pred, target[
    ind_test], average=None, pos_label=None))
            return [np.mean(metric_ac_score), np.
    mean(precision), np.mean(recall), np.mean(
    accuracy), np.mean(f1)]
    else:
        for I in range(k):
             x_{train}, x_{test}, y_{train}, y_{test} =
    model_selection.train_test_split(data, target,
    test_size = test_ratio)
            clss.fit(x_train,y_train)
             metric_ac_score.append(clss.score(x_test
    , y_test))
    return np.mean(metric_ac_score)
```

#### 3. Preprocessing

## 3.1. Loading Data

Loading data is simple: first we provide the address of the data file in the following lines:

Then the .csv is red:

```
tweetsData = pd.read_csv(fileAddress)
```

Finally I used stemmer

```
stemmer=nltk.PorterStemmer()
df["TweetText"] = list(map(lambda str: stemmer.stem(
    str.lower()), df["TweetText"]))
```

# 3.2. Data prepration

In this section I first removed the improper data i.e. the data in which the emotion is not equal to "positive", "negetive" or "neutral":

```
tweetsData = tweetsData.drop(tweetsData[tweetsData['
Sentiment'] == 'irrelevant'].index)
```

The I tried to remove unavailable data, numbers and spaces by the following commands respectively:

Also the punctuation is removed by the following command:

```
for remove in map(lambda r: regex.compile(regex.escape(r)), [",", ":", "\"", "=", "&", ";", "\"", "\"", "\"", "\"", "\"", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\", "\",
```

The distribution of the emotions is computed by counting number of each emotion in data:

```
negetive = len(df[df["Sentiment"] == "negative"])
positive = len(df[df["Sentiment"] == "positive"])
neutral = len(df[df["Sentiment"] == "neutral"])
t_size = negetive + positive + neutral
dist_negetive = negetive/t_size
dist_positive = positive/t_size
dist_neutral = neutral/t_size
```

In order to enumerate the target, in the following I changed the emotions into numbers; positive to 1, negetive to -1, and neutral to 0:

Determining the data and target:

```
tweetData = np.array(tweetsData['TweetText'])
tweetTarget = np.array(tweetsData['Sentiment'].
values, dtype="|S6")
```

constructing a stopwords by using corpus.stopwords.words from nltk package:

```
stopwords=nltk.corpus.stopwords.words("english")
```

# 4. Input Data Generating

In order to prepare input data for processing, which helps to increase the accuracy, I used vectorizatio method. One proper vectorization method in sklearn is TfidfVectorizer vectorized from feature-extraction.text. This process is done in the following lines and we get **Data-1**:

```
# TfidfVectorizer
tfid=feature_extraction.text.TfidfVectorizer(use_idf
=True, sublinear_tf=True, stop_words=stopwords) #
'english'
data_l=tfid.fit_transform(tweetData)
```

**Data-2** is generated by using bigram TfidfVectorizer method; I set ngram-range=(1, 2) and also token pattern r'+'. Regular expression denoting what constitutes a "token", only used if analyzer == 'word'.

When building the vocabulary ignore terms that have a document frequency strictly lower than the threshold min-df=1.

```
tfid2=feature_extraction.text.TfidfVectorizer(
    use_idf=True, sublinear_tf=True, ngram_range=(1,
    2), token_pattern=r'\b\w+\b', min_df=1,
    stop_words=stopwords)#'english'
data_2=tfid2.fit_transform(tweetData)
```

**Data-3** is generated by using CountVectorizer method which Convert a collection of text documents to a matrix of token counts. This implementation produces a sparse representation of the counts using scipy.sparse.csr-matrix. Prameters are left as default.

```
countVectorize = feature_extraction.text.
    CountVectorizer(stop_words=stopwords) #'english'
data_3=countVectorize.fit_transform(tweetData)
```

**Data-4** is generated by using bigram CountVectorizer method in which the bigram parameters are considered: Token pattern and threshold is set as Data-2 case:

**Data-5** is generated by using bigram HashingVectorizer method. HashingVectorizer converts a collection of text documents to a matrix of token occurrences.

It turns a collection of text documents into a scipy.sparse matrix holding token occurrence counts.

This text vectorizer implementation uses the hashing trick to find the token string name to feature integer index mapping. This strategy has several advantages:

- it is very low memory scalable to large datasets as there is no need to store a vocabulary dictionary in memory
- it is fast to pickle and un-pickle as it holds no state besides the constructor parameters
- it can be used in a streaming (partial fit) or parallel pipeline as there is no state computed during fit.

[Ref. from sklearn website]

```
# HashingVectorizer
hashingVectorizer = feature_extraction.text.
HashingVectorizer(n_features=100)
data_5 = hashingVectorizer.fit_transform(tweetData)
```

The following part of the code computes classification and prodiuces the results:

```
for meth in method:
      i = 0
      for model in models:
          for dat in data:
               print("Model: {}===>".format(str(type(
      model)._name__), data_ind[i], meth))
              print ("-
               print("Data: {}".format(data_ind[i]))
               print ("-
               print("Method: {}".format(meth))
               print ("--
               accuracy = cl_ts_evaluation(clss=model,
      k=10, data=dat, target=tweetTarget, method=meth)
              if meth == 'kfold':
                   print("
                                           Results
                   print("
                   print("metric_ac_score: " + str(
      accuracy [0])
                   print("Precision: " + str(accuracy
      [1]))
                   print("Recall: " + str(accuracy[2]))
                   print ("Accuracy: " + str (accuracy
18
      [3]))
19
                   print("F1: " + str(accuracy[4]))
                   print("
                  print ('Accuracy of the method: \t\t
       {0:.4 f}'. format(accuracy))
                  print ('
```

# 5. Results

In this report I applied the following classification methods:

```
models = [linear_model.LogisticRegression(),
svm.LinearSVC(),
tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=10),
ensemble.RandomForestClassifier(),
BernoulliNB()]
```

The following results are obtained in the case of using train-test-split method of sklearn: In the following I report three maximum scores that I obtained during computation:

```
1. Model: LinearSVC
  Data: data_1
                                0.7669
  Accuracy of the method:
  2. Model: LogisticRegression
  Data: data_4
  Accuracy of the method:
                                0.7614
  3. Model: LinearSVC
  Data: data 4
  Accuracy of the method:
                                0.7600
18
  4. Model: LinearSVC
20
  Data: data_2
21
  Accuracy of the method:
                                0.7595
25
  5. Model: LogisticRegression
  Data: data_3
  Accuracy of the method:
                                0.7592
```

In the following I report the results of train test splitting by Kfold. I used different approaches here which all are reporting the accuracy of the classification. First I want to describe the scores:

recall score: The recall is the ratio  $\frac{tp}{tp+fn}$  where tp is the number of true positives and fn the number of false negatives. The recall is intuitively the ability of the classifier to find all the positive samples.

metrics.accuracy-score: Accuracy classification score. In multilabel classification, this function computes subset accuracy: the set of labels predicted for a sample must exactly match the corresponding set of labels in y-true.

metrics.accuracy-score: The precision is the ratio  $\frac{tp}{(tp+fp)}$  where tp is the number of true positives and fp the number of false positives. The precision is intuitively the ability of the classifier not to label as positive a sample that is negative.

F1 score: Compute the F1 score, also known as balanced F\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*
score or F-measure The F1 score can be interpreted as a weighted
average of the precision and recall, where an F1 score reaches
its best value at 1 and worst score at 0. The relative contribution
of precision and recall to the F1 score are equal. The formula
for the F1 score is:

$$F1 = 2 * \frac{(precision * recall)}{(precision + recall)}$$

In the multi-class and multi-label case, this is the weighted average of the F1 score of each class.

Model: LogisticRegression===>

Data: data\_4

Method: kfold

Precision: 0.63963963964

Data: data\_2

Model: LinearSVC===>

Method: kfold

Precision: 0.638888888889

Data: data\_2

Model: LinearSVC===>

Model: LinearSVC===>