HackerEarth_HDFC_Bank_ML_Hiring_Approach_V1.00

This document covers below mentioned topics;

- Problem statement & Approach
- Data pre-processing
- Final model journey
- Key takeaways
- Appendix

1.) Problem statement & Approach:

- The objective of this challenge is to predict the credit risk of loan delinquency based on user's liability account & their transactions. So, it's a binary classification problem (supervised learning).
- Here, I started with the exploring the data, prepare the data and trained Random
 Forest model (with 10-fold cross validation and hyper-tuned it's parameters). High
 sparsity & imbalanced data labels problem were dealt with this ensemble technique
 (keeping "class_weight" parameter as "balanced"). Below scheme diagram will give
 you a nice overview of the approach that I followed.

Machine learning based approach was leveraged to predict credit risk of Iterate to find the best model Model Model Predicted Model Building / Evaluation / on Test Selection Dataset Training **Testing** Enriched user Evaluate a few Review model fit Evaluate each model Model with the best different ML level dataset and and accuracy from both model fit and sense is algorithms before parameters fitness and accuracy performs selected to predict finalizing, e.g. Apply trained model perspective **Exploratory Data** nature of loan Random Forest, on test data to Analysis delinquency Decision Tree. validate model Random Forest, accuracy to ensure Adaptive Boosting. the model is not Extra Tree, Gradient overfitting Boosting classifier etc. Trained these models by further splitting train data in 80-20% train-test, also cross validated it to avoid overfitting

Note: Above flow diagram would help in understanding interrelated information of all sequential steps that I took in order to build banking behavioural scorecard model for Internal Liability customers

- 2.) Data pre-processing: It's a state-of-art (very important for a model)
 - 'Col1': unique key (dropped it from data)
 - Target variable: 11% of users labelled as delinquent cases, which causes imbalanced in data
 - Categorical variables: There were 14 categorical columns ('Col1', 'Col702', 'Col733', 'Col742', 'Col747', 'Col754', 'Col763', 'Col791', 'Col813', 'Col822', 'Col831', 'Col836', 'Col843', 'Col852');
 - i. Col1: Unique ID column
 - ii. Other columns were loaded as categorical variables because these columns had hyphen ("-") as datapoint. I treated these values as missing values. Also, >90% of these datapoints were missing in these columns

Numerical Variables:

- i. All variables were numerical (int/float/bool)
- ii. Two major problems with some of these variables were missing values & outliers, which were treated as;
 - Missing values: Variables (222) which had more than 50% of missing values were dropped & rest of the variables were imputed by median, because most of them were having high skewness (mostly right) & kurtosis (mostly leptokurtic)
 - Outliers: Did Min-Max Scaling to get all variables in the range of 0-1
- iii. Over 2k+ variables: Most of the variables were just creating noise & had no significant impact over the target variable. So, I tried dimensionality reduction technique & with classification algorithm

3.) Final model Journey:

- Model selection & measure: After data preparation, I split the train data itself into train-test (80-20% rule) & tried simple classification models with their by-default parameters. All these models were giving more than 90% accuracy on both train & test data. The reason being imbalanced data, as these models are accurately measuring non-delinquency in data which resulted in high accuracy. So, I looked at f1-socre, area under the curve (AUC), receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, confusion-matrix, classification report (for both classes) & decide decision/threshold value
- Hyper-tuning: Logistic Regression & Random Forest were giving good f1-score.
 Later, I hyper-tuned these parameters of these 2 classification models with grid search method & turned out to be that Logistic Regression & Random Forest were giving f1-score of 85.73 & 86.54 respectively. Next, I looked out for overfitting for my best classifier (Random Forest) model by 10-fold cross validation, which gave me consistent results for both train & test data. It concludes that my model generalizes well
- **Final model output:** So, finally I choose Random Forest model to predict the credit score probability at the decision boundary of 0.61, where 999 users predicted as labelled 1 out of 20,442. So, parameters of this model were shown in below table;

Paramter	Value
bootstrap	TRUE
class_weight	'balanced'
criterion	'gini'
max_depth	10
max_features	'auto'
max_leaf_nodes	None
min_impurity_decrease	0
min_impurity_split	None
min_samples_leaf	20
min_samples_split	10
min_weight_fraction_leaf	0
n_estimators	200
n_jobs	None
oob_score	FALSE
random_state	29
verbose	0
warm_start	False)

4.) Key takeaways:

- Don't fall for sensitivity/specificity of a model in this type of imbalance data, instead look out of other metrics also, here for example f1-score, confusion-matrix, classification reports etc.
- Don't use algorithms which are memory intensive or taking a lot of time in training the model
- Here train data had only 17.5k records, so I didn't use highly complex model like extreme gradient boosting or light gradient boosting machine, as these models generalize well on data with millions of records.
- Here the data had anonymized features, so it was little difficult to understand the data well in order to get insights & importance of variables
- Data preparation & feature engineering is the most important part of building a model, so understand/explore the data first instead of using any algorithms blindly
- Use either ensemble methods or resampling techniques or modify the loss function to deal with imbalance data issue
- It's important to hyper-tune parameters of the model and even more important to set the classification/decision threshold value
- In trying your best, don't add complexity in the model, which eventually will lead to the overfitting problem

5.) **Appendix:** Few more valuable information regarding code file (python language) & libraries that I used in order to make this model. Packages used & their version:

Pandas: 0.24.1
Numpy: 1.16.2
Matplotlib: 2.1.1
Seaborn: 0.9.0
Statsmodels: 0.10.1
Sklearn: 0.21.3
Imblearn: 0.5.0

Below mentioned functions & algorithms I used from these packages;

- import pandas as pd
- import numpy as np
- import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
- import seaborn as sns
- %matplotlib inline
- from statsmodels.stats.outliers_influence import variance_inflation_factor
- from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler, RobustScaler, StandardScaler
- from sklearn.preprocessing import Imputer
- from sklearn.metrics import f1_score
- from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
- from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
- from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
- from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier,AdaBoostClassifier, ExtraTreesClassifier,GradientBoostingClassifier
- from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, confusion_matrix, roc_auc_score, roc_curve
- from sklearn.model selection import cross val score, KFold
- from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV, RandomizedSearchCV
- from sklearn.discriminant_analysis import LinearDiscriminantAnalysis
- import datetime,time
- import warnings
- warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")