

Midterm 2 V2_SOEN342

Software Requirements and Specifications (Concordia University)



Scan to open on Studocu

SOEN 342 Software Requirements Specifications

Fall 2015

Midterm Exam #2 – Example Questions

Name:	Total Points:
ID:	/

Instructions. This example SOEN 342 Midterm #2 contains questions from previous years that you can use to test your preparation. Note that the midterm is a **closed book** exam. The real exam will contain more questions: about 4-5 larger questions that you will need to solve in about 5-15mins, plus some multiple-choice questions. Also, note that the actual midterm will not necessarily cover the same questions as the ones here (or even the same type of questions)!

- This is a closed book, 60 min. exam
- **Do not** detach any pages from this exam!
- Check if your booklet has all 8 pages
- The only allowed tool is an ENCS-approved calculator
- Provide all answers in this booklet
- You may write with pen or pencil
- You will get marks for brief and precise answers. You will not get marks for long essays or for information that is correct but does not answer the question.
- If you leave the room, you must submit your exam and cannot return until the end of the exam period
- You may hand in your exam and leave the room after 20 mins. have passed and until 10 mins. before the end.
- At the end of the exam, **remain seated** until all exams have been collected.

 $7\,\mathrm{pts}$

	DOLLIVOIZ	1 age 2 of 0	Widterin Exam #2 Example & destions						
$(7^{ m pts})$	1. Consider the fo	ollowing domain description	for email clients:						
	The client has one mailbox which consists of a number of different folders. Ea folder contains a number of messages. A message cannot exist in more th one folder and cannot exist outside a folder. A user can invoke a view on message and in fact a user may have multiple views, each corresponding to single message.								
		(a) (1 pt) Name an appropriate method for identifying conceptual classes in this domain description:							
	(b) (1 pt) Use scription:	the method to create a list	of domain concepts based on the provided de-						
	•		_ •						
	•								
	•								
	· / · - /		email client as a UML class diagram. Make sure ling associations, multiplicities, and aggregations.						
	. , . = ,	trate the difference between re a brief explanation for ea	aggregation and composition using your domain ch:						
	Aggregation	<i>n</i> :							

Composition:

$(7^{ m pts})$	2. You elicited the following requirements for a library loan system:
	1. A book can be on stack if and only if it is not on reserve or on loan
	2. A book can be on reserve if and only if it is not on stack or on loan
	3. A book can be on loan if and only if it is not on reserve or on stack
	4. A book can be requested if and only if it is on stack or on reserve
	(a) (2 pts) Translate these requirements into propositional logic:
	1
	2
	3
	J
	4
	(b) (1 pt) Consider the two requirements 1. and 3. together. Are they consistent? Prove or disprove (Hint: you do not need to create a complete truth table):

 \Rightarrow Continued on next page!

(c) (4 pts) Using a *proof by resolution*, show that the statement

If a book is on loan then it can not be requested logically follows from the requirements:

(6^{pts})	3. Consider the following specification for a course planning system:	
		6 pts

The course section life cycle starts from its planning. Once the decision for opening the registration for the course is received, the course is opened. While the course is opened, the requests for registering can be accepted. The course will not be actually taught until the class size reaches a certain minimum. The requests to register are accepted until the course reaches the predefined maximum number of students, or the registration deadline has passed; in both cases, the course section becomes closed. If the class size is below the minimum, the class is cancelled. Closing the section when there are not enough students will have the same effect as cancelling it.

(a) (4 pts) Draw an UML state machine diagram to specify Course Section behaviour. Use hierarchical states where appropriate:

		ver gives you $\frac{1}{2}$ points, each wrong answer get a negative score for this question).
State machines can be	used to describe legal sys	tem events within a use case.
True	☐ False	Don't know
Activity diagrams are	useful to model use cases w	with many alternative flows or extensions.
True	False	Don't know
State machines are an	alternative modeling tech	nique to domain models in RE.
True	False	Don't know
State diagrams can she	ow how a <i>single</i> object bel	haves across different use cases.
True	☐ False	Don't know

 $known = dom \ birthday$

$(6^{\rm pts})$	4. Consider the following Z schema specification for a birthday book application:	
	$[NAME,\ DATE]$	6 pts
	$BirthdayBook___$ $known: m{P}NAME$	
	$known: extbf{ extit{P}NAME} \ birthday: NAME ightarrow DATE$	

(a) (3 pts) Write a non-robust Z schema for the *UpdateBirthday* operation, which changes the date of an *existing* entry (i.e., if a name is not in the system, it will not be added by the UpdateBirthday operation).

\UpdateBirthday		

⇒ Continued on next page!

(b) (1 pt) Now	make the	operation	${\rm robust}$	by	using	the	following	two	${\rm schemas}$	for	error
handling:											

 $REPORT ::= ok \mid already_known \mid not_known$

Success		
result!: REPORT		
result! = ok		
NotKnown		
$\Xi Birth day Book$		
name?:NAME		
result!: REPORT		
$name? \not\in known$		
result! = not known		

Define a robust version of UpdateBirthday that returns ok in case of success and not_known in case of an error:

 $RUpdateBirthday = _$

(c) (2 pts) Now show the combined schemas for the RUpdateBirthday operation:

$__UpdateBirthday___$

Note: This sheet will also be provided in the actual exam

Truth tables for \neg , \wedge , and \vee

Truth tables for \leftrightarrow and \rightarrow

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$	p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
Τ	Т	Т	Τ	Т	Τ
Τ	F	F	${ m T}$	F	\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}	Т	F	\mathbf{F}	Т	${ m T}$
F	F	T	F	F	${ m T}$

Equivalence Rules

Equivalence Rule	Name
$\frac{}{} p \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg p$	double negation
$p \to q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \lor q$	implication
	De Morgan's laws
$\neg(p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \land \neg q$	
$p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$	commutativity
$p \land q \Leftrightarrow q \land p$	
$p \lor (q \land r) \Leftrightarrow (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$	distributivity
$p \land (q \lor r) \Leftrightarrow (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$	
$ p \land (q \land r) \Leftrightarrow (p \land q) \land r $	associativity
$p \lor (q \lor r) \Leftrightarrow (p \lor q) \lor r$	

Inference Rules

Inference Rule	Name
$\left.\begin{array}{c}p\\q\end{array}\right\}\Rightarrow p\wedge q$	conjunction
$\left. egin{array}{c} p \ p ightarrow q \end{array} ight\} \Rightarrow q$	modus ponens
$ \left. \begin{array}{c} \neg q \\ p \to q \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \neg p $	modus tollens
$\left[\begin{array}{c} p \to q \\ q \to r \end{array}\right] \Rightarrow p \to r$	chaining
$ \begin{pmatrix} p \lor q \\ \neg p \lor r \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow q \lor r $	resolution
$p \land q \Rightarrow p$	simplification
$p \Rightarrow p \lor q$	addition