Imperfect Information in Health Care Markets Exercise Session 7 - Rothschild-Stiglitz

#### Exercise 12

In this exercise we show that in the Rothschild-Stiglitz model only one contract per type can be sold in equilibrium. We do this by contradiction. Suppose this was not true, i.e. suppose there were two contracts  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$  that are bought by consumers with high risk.

- a) Draw in a coverage, premium diagram such two contracts and the indifference curve of the high risk consumers.
- b) Draw the isoprofit lines of the insurers through these contracts.
- c) Find a deviation contract that yields strictly positive profit (and is bought by some players if offered).
- d) Now suppose there were two contracts  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $(p_2, q_2)$  that are bought by consumers with **low** risk. Do the same as above but be careful when arguing that the deviation contract is strictly profitable.

Let's first show that the high risk types will not bey two definent contrads. Exc. 12 By contradiction: Let us assume they do buy two different contracts in equilibrium.  $R_2 = \frac{Slope at}{g=1}$   $IC_h$   $R_1 = \frac{1}{2}$   $T_h B > 0$ .  $T_h A = 0$ a) indefence curve has to go through tolk contracts as instead they would only buy one of flage cartracts As insurances want to make positive profits (they would not offer contract A it it made negotive profits) 6)  $\begin{array}{c|c} 0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} q_2 \\ q_2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_1 \end{array}$ C) All contracts in Mar- area yield possive profils for the insurance and are preferred by the high risk types (because they are below their IC) We do not know whether the low rik type => Contradiction, as a contract in the red region is would buy these contracts or not, but we at least weakly preferred by both the insurances DO know that it she buys it, it will and the consumers. -> High types do not buy two contracts in equilibrium. result in positive profits for the inpurance - since de < dh)

Exc. 12 d) Now assume there are two contracts A = (p1, 9, 1 and B = (p2, 92) that are bought by the low types in equilibrium. Want to show: This is impossible since there would be a profitable deviation for in surances. PT /Ch (high types alway) preter Bover A sincer Kair (Cs are steeper) Note: Offering contracts t and  $\frac{B}{T_e^B > 0} IC_e$   $\frac{1}{T_e^A = 0}$ B has to be profitable for insurances independent of chat contract high types are byging. => Jusurances could offer profitable deviation contracts 1 9 in the red area t, so A and B being sold con ust have been an equilibrium. In conclusion (Exc. 12a)-d), no type will buy two for use) different confracts in equilibrium

\* As the high risk type will not prefer these contracts over contract B.

In the Rothschild-Stiglitz model, assume that all consumers have the utility function  $u(x) = -0.5x^2 + 10x$ , that W = 9, L = 5,  $\alpha_h = 1/2$  and  $\alpha_l = 1/4$ .

a) Derive the isoprofit curve of an insurance company insuring a consumer with risk  $\alpha$ , i.e. if coverage is q what does the premium have to be to achieve expected profits of  $\overline{\pi}$ ?

Exc. 13 a)

How do the profits of an insurance depend on p and g?

TT = p - Q.L.g Some profit level

 $c=p = \overline{\pi} + \alpha \cdot 2 \cdot q$ P(9) =) iso profit curves have slope a.L

# Exercise 13 b)

Derive the consumer's indifference curve, i.e. if coverage is q what does the premium have to be to achieve an expected utility of  $\bar{u}$ ?

Exc.	13 6)		$l(x) = -0.5 \cdot x^{2} +$	10x		
for the lug	h type (d	<u><sup>2</sup> <del>1</del></u> ):				
ū =	1 <u>.</u> u	$(9 - p - (1 - q) \cdot 5)$	F 1/2 · u (9-p)	$) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( 9 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)$	$p - (1-q) \cdot 5)^{2} + 10 \cdot (9 - p - (1 - q) \cdot 5) $ $\frac{1}{4} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1 - 2 - q)^{2} + 10 \cdot (9 - q) + $	
calculate and . <=> 4 ū	simplify ( = 163	$loss case = 2p^2 + 60q - 25q$	$\frac{1}{2} = 14p + 10pq$	F		
=>	$\rho = \frac{5}{2}$	<u>9-7</u> 2 + 7375-253	2 +50q -84 2	see next	pages	
for the	low type (	$d = \frac{1}{4}$ :				
ū = -	1 4 u (9-	$-\rho - (1 - q) \cdot 5) +$	3 4 u (9-p)			
plag in u(k) =)	=) p(q)	= <u>5q-9</u> 4 + V1525	-759 <sup>2</sup> + 1509	32 ū · 4		

equation from 13.6):

 $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{9}{2} - p - (1 - q) \cdot 5 \right)^2 + 10 \cdot (9 - p - (1 - q) \cdot 5) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{9}{2} - p \right)^2 + 10 \cdot (9 - p) \right)$ 

 $\langle = \rangle 4 \overline{u} = 20(9 - p - (1 - q) \cdot 5) - (9 - p - (1 - q) \cdot 5)^2 - (9 - p)^2 + 20(9 - p)$ 

- $= 40(9-p) 100(1-q) (81 9p 45(1-q) 9p + p^{2} + p(1-q)5 45(1-q) + p(1-q).5 + (1-q)^{2}.25) (81 18p + p^{2})$
- $= 360 40p 100 + 100q (81 18p 90(1-q) + p^{2} + 10p(1-q) + (1-q)^{2}25) 81 + 18p p^{2}$
- $= 260 40p + 100q 81 + 18p + 90(1-q) p^2 10p(1-q) (1-q)^2 \cdot 25 81 + 18p p^2$
- $= 98 4p + 1009 + 90(1-9) 2p^2 10p(1-9) 25(1-29+9^2)$

= 163 - 14p + 10pg + 60g - 2p2 - 25g2

= 163 - 2p<sup>2</sup> + 60g - 25g<sup>2</sup> - 14p + 10pg

pq - formala: equation from 13.6):  $x^2 + px + q = 0$  $4 \overline{u} = 163 - 2p^2 + 60q - 25q^2 - 14p + 10pq$ =)  $X = -\frac{P}{2} \pm \sqrt{(\frac{P}{2})^2 - q'}$  $(=) p^{2} + (7 - 5q) \cdot p - 81,5 - 30q + 12,5q^{2} + 2\overline{q} = 0$  "p" "Q" $= p = \frac{5q-7}{2} + \frac{7}{(5q-7)^2} - \frac{12}{15q^2} - \frac{2}{4} + \frac{81}{5} + \frac{30q}{4}$  $= \frac{5_{q}-7}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \cdot (25_{q}^{2} - 70_{q} + 49)} - \frac{1}{4} (50_{q}^{2} + 8\overline{u} - 326 - 120_{q})$  $= \frac{5q-7}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{-25q^2 + 50q} + 375 - 8\overline{q}$ 



# Exercise 13 c)

Verify that the slope of the indifference curve of a consumer with higher risk is higher. Verify that the slope of the indifference curve is higher than the slope of the isoprofit curve for q < 1 and equal for q = 1.

Slope of isoposit curve : a. 2 1 Exc. 13 c) for  $d = \frac{1}{2}$ :  $p'(q) = \frac{5}{2} + 50(1-q) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{375-259^2 + 50q - 8u'}}$  $\frac{q=1}{2}\frac{5}{2}=\chi_{h}$ for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ :  $p'(q) = \frac{5}{4} + 150(1-q) \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1525-75g^2 + 150q} - 32 \cdot \frac{1}{7}}$ 9=1 5 = 4= dr.L 1 2 Ζð - $= \frac{\partial \sqrt{x}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial x}$ X? x7 = X2  $\chi^2 \cdot \chi = \chi^3$ 1 2 = 1/2 · X  $\sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{x} = x^{-1}$ = 1. + 12 = ) 1 x = x 2  $=\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ 

Slopes of the indifference carries at level  $\overline{u} = 1$ 



# Exercise 13 d)

If risk types were observable what would be the equilibrium contracts for the two risk types?

Exc. 13 d)

riskaversion, competition => full coverage, zero profits

=) the contracts will have coverage q=1 and premium  $p=k\cdot L$ , with  $k=d_L$  or  $k=d_{\#}$ 

# Exercise 13 e)

What is the Rothschild-Stiglitz equilibrium (i.e. the equilibrium when risk types are not observed by the insurance companies)? For which shares of high risk types is there a full coverage pooling contract breaking this equilibrium?

Exc. 13e)

the to construct the RS-equilibrium (condidate, because sometimes equilibrium does not exist): - high type gets full course contract at fir previous (here: dy L = 5/ - contract (PR, 92) for the law type satisfies two things: 1. yields zero profits for insurances from the C-type => Pe= 9e . R2. L= 9e . 5 2. high type is indifferent botween his contract and (PL, 92) () utility of 4-type of his contract: u (9 - 5) = u (6,5) = 43, 875 utility of (pe, ge) - compact: 1 · u ( 9 - p2 - 5(1-92) + 1 · u (9 - p2) = 43,875 isoprofit likes => Pe = 0,4193 (rame stope 12.2) => Contract of the low type is (perge) = (0,4193; 0,325).

What is the share I of light risk types in TCh TTO h high T) Exc. 13e) (continued) the population?! ICe TTo pool (many low types; ITo Pool (many low types; Ins T) 1,257+1,25---19 Whenever the indifference curve of the low type through her equilibrium condidate contract is above the Zero-isoprofit pooling like (also partly above is sufficient), the RS - equilibrium condidate is broken by pooling. Let us assume the share of high risk types is J. Then, the fair premium for a full coverage pooling contract would be p= J. dn. L + (1- J). de. L = 1,255 + 1,25 (expected) whiling at los type from this full coverage contract: exp. utility from his (9,4,193; 0,3355) contrad:  $u(9 \cdot 1,25 \cdot 1,25r) = \dots \approx 47,47 - 2,81r - 0,78r^2$  $u((0, 4193; 0, 5355)) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot u(5, 2582) + \frac{3}{4} u(8, 5807)$ 

for which share I is the low type indifferent between these two contracts? -7 46,44 = 47,47 - 2,817 - 0,7872 (=) - (=) y = 0,3365 (size y is aslare between Oand 1) So, the equilibrium andidate is booken for 7 < 9.3365 and us equilibrium exists in this case.

# Exercise 14 (Briefly dealt with in the lecture)

Suppose the government mandates that coverage levels have to be at least  $\bar{q}$ . How does this affect the Rothschild-Stiglitz equilibrium? Who benefits/loses from this intervention?

Exc. 14

• If  $\overline{q} \leq q_{\ell}^{*}$ , there is no effect and the same contracts can be offered. · If  $\bar{q} > q_{e}^{\star}$ , the insurance offers  $(\bar{q}, \tilde{p})$ , where  $\tilde{p}$  is on h's indifference curve (corresponding to  $\bar{q}$ ). Du this case, there are two possibilities : i) l's indifference came Hennegh (q, p) is always before the zero-profit pooling line => the two contracts form an equilibrium, the situation is more efficient and the how type is worse off and the insurance makes positive profits. (i) L'S IC through (q, p) is party above the zero-profit pooling line => the equilibrium (candidate) is broken by pooling. No prediction possible. ' P 🔶