

# The mathematics of Geometric Multivariate Analysis

Stephanie Evert

7 July 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Linear discriminant analysis</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background material . . . . .	1
1.2	Analysis of variance . . . . .	3
1.3	The LDA algorithm . . . . .	4
1.3.1	Data set and goals of LDA . . . . .	4
1.3.2	Covariance matrix and projection . . . . .	5
1.3.3	Coordinate transformation . . . . .	5
1.3.4	LDA discriminant . . . . .	6
1.3.5	LDA with multiple discriminants . . . . .	6
1.4	Repeated-measures LDA . . . . .	7
1.5	Implementation . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>		<b>8</b>
2.1	. . . . .	8
<b>3</b>		<b>8</b>
3.1	. . . . .	8

## 1 Linear discriminant analysis

### 1.1 Background material

- originally proposed by Fisher (1936) for a one-dimensional discriminant between two groups
  - uses  $D^2/S$  as separation criterion where  $D$  is the difference between the group means and  $S$  the within group variance (computed from within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ )
  - directly solves for minimum, resulting in equation system  $\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{d}$
  - Fisher does not discuss an extension to multiple groups (using between-group variance as criterion) nor to a multi-dimensional discriminant
- data matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with  $n$  data points  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- LDA algorithm as implemented in the MASS package is described by Venables and Ripley (2002: 331–332):

- matrix of group means  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{g \times d}$  as row vectors  $\mathbf{m}_j$
- group indicator matrix  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times g}$  with  $g_{ij} = 1$  iff  $X_i$  belongs to group  $j$
- $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the overall mean  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i$
- the “group predictions” are given by  $\mathbf{GM}$
- within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  and between-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  are

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{GM})^T(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{GM})}{n - g}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \frac{(\mathbf{GM} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T)^T(\mathbf{GM} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T)}{g - 1} \quad (1)$$

- a one-dimensional discriminant is given by a linear combination  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}$  that maximises the ratio of between-group to within-group variance along the discriminant axis:

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}} \quad (2)$$

- NB: this criterion is proportional to the F-statistic of ANOVA; since it differs only by a fixed factor, the choice of  $\mathbf{a}$  also maximises the F-statistic<sup>1</sup>
- to find the maximum, compute a sphering  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}$  of the variables so that the within-group covariance matrix becomes  $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{I}$
- the problem is then to maximise  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}$  for the transformed between-group matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  subject to  $\|\mathbf{a}\| = 1$  (because the transformation  $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{a}$  yields the same value for (2))
- $\mathbf{a}$  is then easily found as the largest principal component of  $\mathbf{B}'$
- for an extension to a multi-dimensional discriminant, the first  $r$  principal components can be used, and the number of dimensions can be chosen according to their principal values or  $R^2$ ; while this is plausible in the sphered coordinates, Venables & Ripley don't explain what separation criterion it optimises in the original coordinate system
- a different explanation of the LDA algorithm is given by Bishop (2006: 186–190), who explicitly discusses the extension to multiple classes and a multi-dimensional discriminant (Bishop 2006: 191–192)
- Bishop also points out the problem that it is no longer clear which separation criterion should be maximised and refers to Fukunaga (1990: 445–459) for a detailed exposition of different criteria and their optimisation

## Useful Wikipedia articles

- Analysis of variance: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analysis\\_of\\_variance](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analysis_of_variance)
- F-test: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/F-test#Formula\\_and\\_calculation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/F-test#Formula_and_calculation)
- F-distribution: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/F-distribution#Definition>
- MANOVA separation criteria: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multivariate\\_analysis\\_of\\_variance#Hypothesis\\_Testing](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multivariate_analysis_of_variance#Hypothesis_Testing)
- Linear discriminant analysis: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear\\_discriminant\\_analysis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_discriminant_analysis), esp. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear\\_discriminant\\_analysis#Multiclass\\_LDA](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_discriminant_analysis#Multiclass_LDA)
- Blessing of dimensionality: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curse\\_of\\_dimensionality#Blessing\\_of\\_dimensionality](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curse_of_dimensionality#Blessing_of_dimensionality) (but more relevant for Azuma paper)

## Other material

- Implementation of `lda()` in <https://github.com/cran/MASS/blob/master/R/lda.R><sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>See Wikipedia article on Analysis of variance for the usual form of the F-statistic. See Wikipedia articles on the F-test and the F-distribution for an explanation of the scaling factors involved.

<sup>2</sup>local copy in `file:///Users/ex47emin/Software/R/MASS-GIT/R/lda.R`

## 1.2 Analysis of variance

Unsurprisingly, LDA (Fisher 1936) is closely connected to the analysis of variance or **ANOVA** (Fisher 1925). We start by summarising the ANOVA method following the exposition in DeGroot and Schervish (2012: 754–761), but with modified notation.

- data:  $n$  observations  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  belonging to  $g$  groups;  $g_i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$  indicates group membership of  $y_i$ ; group sizes are given by  $n_j = |\{g_i = j\}| = \sum_{g_i=j} 1$
- assumptions: items of group  $j$  are i.i.d. samples from normal distribution  $N(\mu_j, \sigma^2)$ ; variance  $\sigma^2$  is equal for all groups, but the group means  $\mu_j$  may be different
- ANOVA null hypothesis to be tested is  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_g$  (equal group means)
- observed overall mean  $m$  and group means  $m_j$  are given by

$$m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \quad m_j = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{g_i=j} y_i \quad (3)$$

- basic idea: **sum of squares** as measure of variability of the data set can be partitioned into within-group and between-group components:  $S^2 = S_W^2 + S_B^2$  (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 758)

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m)^2 \\ S_W^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_{g_i=j} (y_i - m_j)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m_{g_i})^2 \\ S_B^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^g n_j (m_j - m)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (m_{g_i} - m)^2 \end{aligned}$$

- $S_W^2/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi_{n-g}^2$  distribution (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 757); it follows that the **within-group variance**  $W$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$

$$W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m_{g_i})^2}{n - g} \quad (4)$$

- under  $H_0$  it can be shown that  $S_B^2/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi_{g-1}^2$  distribution (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 759)<sup>3</sup> and the **between-group variance**  $B$  is also an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$

$$B = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^g n_j (m_j - m)^2}{g - 1} \quad (5)$$

- if  $H_0$  does not hold, we expect  $B$  to be larger than  $\sigma^2$  (because of the added variability between the group means  $\mu_j$ ) so that the ratio

$$F = \frac{B}{W} = \frac{S_B^2/(g-1)}{S_W^2/(n-g)} \quad (6)$$

is a suitable test statistic for ANOVA; p-values can be obtained from its  $F_{g-1, n-g}$  distribution under  $H_0$  (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 759)

Analysis of variance can be generalised to a comparison of group means for multivariate data (**MANOVA**). Many concepts carry over in a straightforward way, but a suitable test statistic and its sampling distribution under  $H_0$  are less obvious. The summary shown here is based on the Wikipedia article *Multivariate analysis of variance*, again with modified notation.

---

<sup>3</sup>note that under  $H_0$  we have  $m_j \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n_j)$

- data are vectors  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with group membership  $g_i$
- assumption: each group  $j$  has a multivariate normal distribution  $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  with equal covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ , but possibly different group means  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$
- MANOVA null hypothesis  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \dots = \boldsymbol{\mu}_g$
- overall mean  $\mathbf{m}$  and group means  $\mathbf{m}_j$  are

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{y}_i \quad \mathbf{m}_j = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{g_i=j} \mathbf{y}_i \quad (7)$$

- instead of a sum of squares, we partition the **covariance matrix**  $\mathbf{C}$  given by

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m})(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m})^T \quad (8)$$

where the transpose cross-product computes all squares and products of  $\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}$

- we partition  $\mathbf{C}$  into within-group and between-group covariance matrices in the form

$$(n-1)\mathbf{C} = (n-g)\mathbf{W} + (g-1)\mathbf{B}$$

with

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^T \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{g-1} \sum_{j=1}^g n_j (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m})(\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m})^T \quad (10)$$

(cf. Bishop 2006: 191–192)

- according to the Wikipedia article *Multivariate normal distribution*<sup>4</sup>  $\mathbf{C}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  under  $H_0$ ; correspondingly,  $\mathbf{W}$  is always an unbiased estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  is under  $H_0$
- this motivates  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}^{-1}$  as a widely-used test criterion with  $\mathbf{A} \approx \mathbf{I}$  under  $H_0$ ; intuitively,  $\mathbf{A}$  compares the shape and magnitude of the between-group covariance matrix against the within-group covariance matrix; it should, in particular, also detected cases where there are unexpectedly large differences between group means along an axis that has small within-group variance
- the precise choice of a test statistic is less obvious; common options include Wilks's lambda  $\lambda_{\text{Wilks}} = \text{Det}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}$  and the Lawley-Hotelling trace  $\lambda_{\text{LH}} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$
- exact distributions of these test statistics under  $H_0$  are not available, except for  $g = 2$ , where they reduce to Hotelling's  $t^2$  distribution<sup>5</sup>

## 1.3 The LDA algorithm

### 1.3.1 Data set and goals of LDA

- data are  $n$  feature vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  combined into a data matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$
- each data point is assigned to one of  $g$  groups indicated by  $g_i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ ; the sizes of the groups are  $n_j = |\{g_i = j\}|$
- LDA aims to find a one-dimensional projection (the **discriminant**) that maximises the separation between groups
- Fisher (1936) and most textbooks introduce LDA for the special case  $g = 2$  of two groups, for which an optimal discriminant can easily be derived; we formulate its generalisation to an arbitrary number of groups based on the  $F$  statistic of ANOVA<sup>6</sup>
- **task**: find axis  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that maximises the  $F$  statistic of discriminant scores  $y_i = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i$

---

<sup>4</sup>but [citation needed]

<sup>5</sup>but [citation needed]

<sup>6</sup>our approach implicitly builds on the same distributional assumptions as ANOVA, which motivate the use of the  $F$  statistic as an optimality criterion; they are not a necessary pre-requisite for application of the LDA method, but results will be most sensible if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is roughly equal across all groups

### 1.3.2 Covariance matrix and projection

- this more explicit derivation corresponds to the LDA algorithm described by Venables and Ripley (2002: 331–332) and thus to (one variant of) its implementation in the MASS package
- overall mean  $\mathbf{m}$  and group means  $\mathbf{m}_j$  are given by

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \quad \mathbf{m}_j = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{g_i=j} \mathbf{x}_i \quad (11)$$

- within-group and between-group **covariance matrices** are defined as in (9) and (10)

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^T \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{g-1} \sum_{j=1}^g n_j (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m})(\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m})^T \quad (13)$$

- given an axis  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the one-dimensional discriminant scores of data points are  $y_i = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i$ ; due to linearity the overall and group means are  $m = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{m}$  and  $m_j = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{m}_j$
- hence the within-group variance (4) can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{m}_{g_i})(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^T \\ &= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^T \mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

- analogously the between-group variance (5) can be computed as

$$B = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a} \quad (15)$$

- our goal is to find an axis  $\mathbf{a}$  that maximises the test statistic  $F = B/W$ , so that we can most clearly reject  $H_0$  of equal group means for the discriminant scores  $y_i$

$$F = \frac{B}{W} = \frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}} \quad (16)$$

### 1.3.3 Coordinate transformation

- a convenient approach starts by **sphering** the within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  with a coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x}$  such that in the new coordinate system  $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{I}$
- the homomorphism preserves overall and group means:  $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{m}'_j = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{m}_j$
- the within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}'$  in the new coordinate system is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}' &= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}'_i - \mathbf{m}'_{g_i})(\mathbf{x}'_i - \mathbf{m}'_{g_i})^T \\ &= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{m}_{g_i})(\mathbf{S} \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^T \\ &= \mathbf{S} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{S}^T \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

- in the same way we can easily see that the between-group covariance matrix is  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{S}^T$

- a suitable coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S}$  can be derived from the **eigenvalue decomposition** of the symmetric, positive semidefinite matrix  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^T$  where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d$  and the columns of  $\mathbf{U}$  are the corresponding eigenvectors; note that  $\mathbf{U}$  is an orthonormal matrix, i.e.  $\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^T$  or  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$
- prerequisite:  $\mathbf{W}$  must be positive definite ( $\lambda_d > 0$ ) with good condition number  $\lambda_1/\lambda_d$
- then we can define  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}^T$  with inverse transformation  $\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}'$  in the transformed coordinates:

$$\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{S}^T = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}^T(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^T)\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I} \quad (18)$$

#### 1.3.4 LDA discriminant

- since the discriminant axis  $\mathbf{a}$  describes a linear form  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto y = \mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{x}$  it is subjected to the inverse transformation  $(\mathbf{a}')^T = \mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{S}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to the identity  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{a}'$
- confirm that the F-statistic is invariant under these transformations:

$$F = \frac{B}{W} = \frac{\mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{B}\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a}} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{S}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{a}'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{a}'} = \frac{B'}{W'} \quad (19)$$

- it is thus sufficient to find  $\mathbf{a}'$  that maximises  $F$  in the transformed coordinates:

$$\frac{B'}{W'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{a}'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{a}'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}'}{\|\mathbf{a}'\|^2} \quad (20)$$

or equivalently maximise  $(\mathbf{a}')^T\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}'$  under the constraint  $\|\mathbf{a}'\| = 1$

- it is well-known that the solution is given by the first eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_1$  of  $\mathbf{B}'$ ; this is also easy to see: for every eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_i$  we have  $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i^T\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{v}_i = \mu_i$  the corresponding eigenvalue, so the best choice is  $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{v}_1$  with the largest eigenvalue  $\mu_1$
- the optimal discriminant axis in original coordinates is thus  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{v}_1$

#### 1.3.5 LDA with multiple discriminants

- for  $g > 2$  it is usually necessary to consider a multi-dimensional **discriminant space** (of up to  $g - 1$  dimensions) to achieve an optimal separation of groups
- we thus have multiple discriminants  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$  describing linear forms  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto y_k = \mathbf{a}_k^T\mathbf{x}$ , which we collect as rows of the **discriminant matrix**  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$ , so that  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^r$
- overall and group means in the **discriminant space** are  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_j = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_j$  (due to linearity); within-group and between-group covariance matrices are obtained in analogy to (14) and (15) as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}^T, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^T \quad (21)$$

- for measuring separation of groups within the discriminant space we use the Lawley-Hotelling trace as a MANOVA test statistic:

$$\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}) \quad (22)$$

our goal is to find a discriminant matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that maximises  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A})$

- a first important property of  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}$  is its invariance under coordinate transformations in the discriminant space; for any coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$  we have in analogy to (17)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \mapsto \mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{S}^T, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1} \mapsto (\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} = (\mathbf{S}^T)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1} \quad (23)$$

and hence

$$\lambda_{\text{LH}} \mapsto \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{S}^T(\mathbf{S}^T)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}) \quad (24)$$

because of the similarity invariance of the trace, which follows from its cyclic property (Bishop 2006: 696, C.9):  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$  (Deisenroth et al. 2020: 88)

- this means that only the subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{A}$  is relevant, not the specific basis implied; we can thus assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{A}$  is an orthogonal projection, i.e. its rows  $\mathbf{a}_k^T$  are orthonormal and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{I}_r$ .
- this enables us to simplify the optimisation problem by sphering  $\mathbf{W}$  with the same coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S}$  as in Sec. 1.3.3

$$\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{S}^T = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}^T$$

- using an orthogonal projection  $\mathbf{A}'$  from the transformed coordinates to the discriminant space, eq. (21) becomes

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}' = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{W}'(\mathbf{A}')^T = \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{A}')^T = \mathbf{I}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}' = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{A}')^T \quad (25)$$

and the  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}$  statistic is reduced to

$$\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}') = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{A}')^T) = \sum_{k=1}^r (\mathbf{a}'_k)^T \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}'_k \quad (26)$$

- it stands to reason that  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}')$  is maximised by the first  $r$  eigenvectors  $\mathbf{a}'_k = \mathbf{v}_k$  of  $\mathbf{B}'$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\mu_k$  (Venables and Ripley 2002: 332), with  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}') = \sum_{k=1}^r \mu_k$ ;<sup>7</sup>
- discriminant axes in the original coordinate system are obtained as in Sec. 1.3.4 by back-transformation  $\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{a}'_k$ , or in matrix notation  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{S}$  (since  $\mathbf{a}_k^T = (\mathbf{a}'_k)^T \mathbf{S}$ );
- note that  $\mathbf{A}$  is usually not an orthogonal projection after the back-transformation, but can be orthogonalised without affecting the  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}$  criterion because of (24); our choice of  $\mathbf{A}'$  ensures a reasonable scaling of the discriminant space with roughly unit spherical within-group variance<sup>8</sup>
- the same solution is also given by Bishop (2006: 192); a complete (but very condensed) proof based on direct optimisation of  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}$  and other separation criteria can be found in (Fukunaga 1990: 446–452)

## 1.4 Repeated-measures LDA

- **repeated-measures** as appropriate terminology: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Repeated\\_measures\\_design](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Repeated_measures_design)

## 1.5 Implementation

A naive straightforward implementation of LDA consists of the following steps:

1. Compute between-group variance matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  and within-group variance matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  according to (12) and (13).
  - let  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{g \times d}$  the row matrix of group means and  $\mathbf{X}_M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  the row matrix containing group means  $\mathbf{m}_{g_i}$  for each data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$
  - define  $\mathbf{X}_W = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_M$  so that  $\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} (\mathbf{X}_W)^T \mathbf{X}_W$
  - define  $\mathbf{X}_B = \mathbf{X}_M - \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{m}^T$  so that  $\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{g-1} (\mathbf{X}_B)^T \mathbf{X}_B$  (because  $\mathbf{m}_j$  is repeated  $n_j$  times)
  - $\mathbf{B}$  can be computed more efficiently from  $\mathbf{M}_B = \text{diag}(n_1, \dots, n_g)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{1}_g \mathbf{m})$
2. Determine eigenvalue decomposition  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^T$  with  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ , checking that  $\mathbf{W}$  has full rank and a reasonable condition number, i.e.  $\lambda_d > \epsilon \lambda_1$  (based on `tol=`).

or corresponding  
eq. for repeated-  
measures LDA

<sup>7</sup>we will not attempt a more formal proof here, but it should be possible to derive optimality of this solution from the Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem for the Frobenius norm  $\|\mathbf{B}'\|_F$ , orthogonal decomposition of the Frobenius norm, and the fact that  $\|\mathbf{B}'\|_F = \sum_k \mu_k$ .

<sup>8</sup>The coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S}$  ensures that average within-group variance is a unit sphere ( $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{I}$ ). Since  $\mathbf{A}'$  is chosen to be an orthogonal projection, it preserves the spherical property but reduces variance to the proportion captured by the discriminant space.

3. Construct coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}^T$  for sphering  $\mathbf{W}$ . Its inverse is given by  $\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , but doesn't seem to be needed by the algorithm.
4. Compute between-group variance matrix  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}^T$  in the new coordinate system.
5. Determine eigenvalue decomposition  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V}^T$  with  $\mathbf{E} = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ .
6. Choose number  $r$  of discriminant axes such that  $r \leq g - 1$ ,  $r \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}')$  and  $\mu_r > \epsilon\mu_1$  (or perhaps some  $R^2$ -like criterion).
7. Construct orthogonal discriminant projection  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{V}_r^T$ , then transform to original coordinates  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{S}$  (or simply  $\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{V}_r$  to obtain discriminants as column vectors).
8. Obtain discriminant scores as  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}^T$ .

To avoid unnecessary computation and potential rounding errors, it is possible to determine the required eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{B}'$  from singular-value decomposition (SVD) of  $\mathbf{X}_W$  and  $\mathbf{M}_B$  without computing the full covariance matrices:

2. Compute the SVD  $\mathbf{X}_W = \mathbf{U}_W\mathbf{\Sigma}_W\mathbf{V}_W^T$ . Since

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g}(\mathbf{X}_W)^T\mathbf{X}_W = \frac{1}{n-g}\mathbf{V}_W\mathbf{\Sigma}_W\mathbf{U}_W^T\mathbf{U}_W\mathbf{\Sigma}_W\mathbf{V}_W^T = \frac{1}{n-g}\mathbf{V}_W\mathbf{\Sigma}_W^2\mathbf{V}_W^T$$

its eigenvalue decomposition is given by  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}_W$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-g}}\mathbf{\Sigma}_W$

4. We have

$$\mathbf{B}' = \frac{1}{g-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{M}_B)^T\mathbf{M}_B\mathbf{S}^T = \frac{1}{g-1}(\mathbf{M}'_B)^T\mathbf{M}'_B$$

with  $\mathbf{M}'_B = \mathbf{M}_B\mathbf{S}^T$

5. Compute the SVD  $\mathbf{M}'_B = \mathbf{U}_B\mathbf{\Sigma}_B\mathbf{V}_B^T$ . Since

$$\mathbf{B}' = \frac{1}{g-1}(\mathbf{M}'_B)^T\mathbf{M}'_B = \frac{1}{g-1}\mathbf{V}_B\mathbf{\Sigma}_B^2\mathbf{V}_B^T$$

its eigenvalue decomposition is given by  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_B$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{g-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}_B^2$

## 2

### 2.1

## 3

### 3.1

## References

- Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer.
- DeGroot, M. H. and Schervish, M. J. (2012). *Probability and Statistics*. Addison Wesley, Boston, 4th edition.
- Deisenroth, M. P., Faisal, A. A., and Ong, C. S. (2020). *Mathematics for Machine Learning*. Cambridge University Press. <https://mml-book.github.io/>.
- Fisher, R. A. (1925). *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1st edition.
- Fisher, R. A. (1936). The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. *Annals of Eugenics*, 7(2):179–188.



- Fukunaga, K. (1990). *Introduction to Statistical Pattern Recognition*. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 2nd edition.
- Venables, W. N. and Ripley, B. D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S-PLUS*. Springer, New York, 4th edition.

## Todo list

 or corresponding eq. for repeated-measures LDA . . . . .	7
--	---