# The mathematics of Geometric Multivariate Analysis

## Stephanie Evert

## $5~{\rm August}~2024$

## Contents

1	Line	ear discriminant analysis	1
	1.1	Background material	1
	1.2	Analysis of variance	2
	1.3	The LDA algorithm	4
		1.3.1 Data set and goals of LDA	4
		1.3.2 Covariance matrix and projection	4
		1.3.3 Coordinate transformation	5
		1.3.4 LDA discriminant	6
		1.3.5 LDA with multiple discriminants	6
	1.4	Repeated-measures LDA	7
	1.5	Implementation	9

#### 1 Linear discriminant analysis

#### Background material 1.1

- originally proposed by Fisher (1936) for a one-dimensional discriminant between two groups
  - uses  $D^2/S$  as separation criterion where D is the difference between the group means and S the within group variance (computed from within-group covariance matrix S)
  - directly solves for minimum, resulting in equation system  $S\lambda = d$
  - Fisher does not discuss an extension to multiple groups (using between-group variance as criterion) nor to a multi-dimensional discriminant
- data matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with n data points  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- LDA algorithm as implemented in the MASS package is described by Venables and Ripley (2002: 331-332):
  - matrix of group means  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{g \times d}$  as row vectors  $\mathbf{m}_i$
  - group indicator matrix  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times g}$  with  $g_{ij} = 1$  iff  $X_i$  belongs to group j  $\overline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the overall mean  $\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i$

  - the "group predictions" are given by **GM**
  - within-group covariance matrix  ${\bf W}$  and between-group covariance matrix  ${\bf B}$  are

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{M})^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{M})}{n - g}, \qquad \mathbf{B} = \frac{(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{1}\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{1}\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{T}})}{g - 1}$$
(1)

- a one-dimensional discriminant is given by a linear combination  $\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$  that maximises the ratio of between-group to within-group variance along the discriminant axis:

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{a}}\tag{2}$$

- NB: this criterion is proportional to the F-statistic of ANOVA; since it differs only by a fixed factor, the choice of a also maximises the F-statistic<sup>1</sup>
- to find the maximum, compute a sphering y = Sx of the variables so that the withingroup covariance matrix becomes  $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{I}$
- the problem is then to maximise  $\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}$  for the transformed between-group matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ subject to  $\|\mathbf{a}\| = 1$  (because the transformation  $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{a}$  yields the same value for (2))
- **a** is then easily found as the largest principal component of  $\mathbf{B}'$
- for an extension to a multi-dimensional discriminant, the first r principal components can be used, and the number of dimensions can be chosen according to their principal values or  $R^2$ ; while this is plausible in the sphered coordinates, Venables & Ripley don't explain what separation criterion it optimises in the original coordinate system
- a different explanation of the LDA algorithm is given by Bishop (2006: 186–190), who explicitly discusses the extension to multiple classes and a multi-dimensional discriminant (Bishop 2006: 191-192)
- Bishop also points out the problem that it is no longer clear which separation criterion should be maximised and refers to Fukunaga (1990: 445-459) for a detailed exposition of different criteria and their optimisation

## Useful Wikipedia articles

- Analysis of variance: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analysis\_of\_variance
- F-test: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/F-test#Formula\_and\_calculation
- F-distribution: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/F-distribution#Definition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Wikipedia article on Analysis of variance for the usual form of the F-statistic. See Wikipedia articles on the F-test and the F-distribution for an explanation of the scaling factors involved.

- MANOVA separation criteria: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multivariate\_analysis\_of\_variance#Hypothesis\_Testing
- Linear discriminant analysis: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear\_discriminant\_analysis, esp. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear\_discriminant\_analysis#Multiclass\_LDA
- Blessing of dimensionality: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curse\_of\_dimensionality#
   Blessing\_of\_dimensionality (but more relevant for Azuma paper)

### Other material

• Implementation of lda() in https://github.com/cran/MASS/blob/master/R/lda.R<sup>2</sup>

## 1.2 Analysis of variance

Unsurprisingly, LDA (Fisher 1936) is closely connected to the analysis of variance or **ANOVA** (Fisher 1925). We start by summarising the ANOVA method following the exposition in DeGroot and Schervish (2012: 754–761), but with modified notation.

- data: n observations  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  belonging to g groups;  $g_i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$  indicates group membership of  $y_i$ ; group sizes are given by  $n_j = |\{g_i = j\}| = \sum_{g_i = j} 1$
- assumptions: items of group j are i.i.d. samples from normal distribution  $N(\mu_j, \sigma^2)$ ; variance  $\sigma^2$  is equal for all groups, but the group means  $\mu_j$  may be different
- ANOVA null hypothesis to be tested is  $H_0: \mu_1 = \ldots = \mu_q$  (equal group means)
- observed overall mean m and group means  $m_j$  are given by

$$m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$
  $m_j = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{g_i = j} y_i$  (3)

• basic idea: sum of squares as measure of variability of the data set can be partitioned into within-group and between-group components:  $S^2 = S_W^2 + S_B^2$  (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 758)

$$S^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - m)^{2}$$

$$S^{2}_{W} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{g_{i}=j} (y_{i} - m_{j})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - m_{g_{i}})^{2}$$

$$S^{2}_{B} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} (m_{j} - m)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (m_{g_{i}} - m)^{2}$$

•  $S_W^2/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi_{n-g}^2$  distribution (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 757); it follows that the within-group variance W is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ 

$$W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - m_{g_i})^2}{n - g} \tag{4}$$

• under  $H_0$  it can be shown that  $S_B^2/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi_{g-1}^2$  distribution (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 759)<sup>3</sup> and the **between-group variance** B is also an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ 

$$B = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{g} n_j (m_j - m)^2}{g - 1} \tag{5}$$

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  local copy in file:///Users/ex47emin/Software/R/MASS-GIT/R/lda.R  $^3$  note that under  $H_0$  we have  $m_j\sim N(\mu,\sigma^2/n_j)$ 

• if  $H_0$  does not hold, we expect B to be larger than  $\sigma^2$  (because of the added variability between the group means  $\mu_i$ ) so that the ratio

$$F = \frac{B}{W} = \frac{S_B^2/(g-1)}{S_W^2/(n-g)} \tag{6}$$

is a suitable test statistic for ANOVA; p-values can be obtained from its  $F_{g-1,n-g}$  distribution under  $H_0$  (DeGroot and Schervish 2012: 759)

Analysis of variance can be generalised to a comparison of group means for multivariate data ( $\mathbf{MANOVA}$ ). Many concepts carry over in a straightforward way, but a suitable test statistic and its sampling distribution under  $H_0$  are less obvious. The summary shown here is based on the Wikipedia article *Multivariate analysis of variance*, again with modified notation.

- data are vectors  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with group membership  $g_i$
- assumption: each group j has a multivariate normal distribution  $N(\mu_j, \Sigma)$  with equal covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , but possibly different group means  $\mu_j$
- MANOVA null hypothesis  $H_0: \mu_1 = \ldots = \mu_g$
- overall mean  $\mathbf{m}$  and group means  $\mathbf{m}_i$  are

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i} \qquad \mathbf{m}_{j} = \frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{g_{i}=j} \mathbf{y}_{i}$$
 (7)

• instead of a sum of squares, we partition the covariance matrix C given by

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(8)

where the transpose cross-product computes all squares and products of  $\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}$ 

• we partition C into within-group and between-group covariance matrices in the form

$$(n-1)\mathbf{C} = (n-q)\mathbf{W} + (q-1)\mathbf{B}$$

with

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{g-1} \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_j (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m}) (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(10)

(cf. Bishop 2006: 191-192)

- according to the Wikipedia article *Multivariate normal distribution*<sup>4</sup> **C** is an unbiased estimator of  $\Sigma$  under  $H_0$ ; correspondingly, **W** is always an unbiased estimator of  $\Sigma$  and **B** is under  $H_0$
- this motivates  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}^{-1}$  as a widely-used test criterion with  $\mathbf{A} \approx \mathbf{I}$  under  $H_0$ ; intuitively,  $\mathbf{A}$  compares the shape and magnitude of the between-group covariance matrix against the within-group covariance matrix; it should, in particular, also detected cases where there are unexpectedly large differences between group means along an axis that has small within-group variance
- the precise choice of a test statistic is less obvious; common options include Wilks's lambda  $\lambda_{\mathrm{Wilks}} = \mathrm{Det}\left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1}$  and the Lawley-Hotelling trace  $\lambda_{\mathrm{LH}} = \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)$
- exact distributions of these test statistics under  $H_0$  are not available, except for g = 2, where they reduce to Hotelling's  $t^2$  distribution<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>but [citation needed]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>but [citation needed]

#### 1.3 The LDA algorithm

#### 1.3.1 Data set and goals of LDA

- data are n feature vectors x<sub>i</sub> ∈ R<sup>d</sup> combined into a data matrix X ∈ R<sup>n×d</sup>
  each data point is assigned to one of g groups indicated by g<sub>i</sub> ∈ {1,...,g}; the sizes of the groups are  $n_i = |\{g_i = j\}|$
- LDA aims to find a one-dimensional projection (the discriminant) that maximises the separation between groups
- Fisher (1936) and most textbooks introduce LDA for the special case g = 2 of two groups, for which an optimal discriminant can easily be derived; we formulate its generalisation to an arbitrary number of groups based on the F statistic of ANOVA<sup>6</sup>
- task: find axis  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that maximises the F statistic of discriminant scores  $y_i = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i$

#### Covariance matrix and projection 1.3.2

- this more explicit derivation corresponds to the LDA algorithm described by Venables and Ripley (2002: 331–332) and thus to (one variant of) its implementation in the MASS package
- overall mean  $\mathbf{m}$  and group means  $\mathbf{m}_i$  are given by

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \qquad \mathbf{m}_{j} = \frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{g_{i}=j} \mathbf{x}_{i}$$

$$\tag{11}$$

• within-group and between-group covariance matrices are defined as in (9) and (10)

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i}) (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{g-1} \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_j (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m}) (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(13)

- given an axis  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the one-dimensional discriminant scores of data points are  $y_i = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_i$ ; due to linearity the overall and group means are  $m = \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{m}$  and  $m_i = \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{m}_i$
- hence the within-group variance (4) can be computed as

$$W = \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}}) (\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}})^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}}) (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a}$$

$$= \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}$$

$$(14)$$

• analogously the between-group variance (5) can be computed as

$$B = \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a} \tag{15}$$

• our goal is to find an axis a that maximises the test statistic F = B/W, so that we can most clearly reject  $H_0$  of equal group means for the discriminant scores  $y_i$ 

$$F = \frac{B}{W} = \frac{\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}} \tag{16}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>our approach implicitly builds on the same distributional assumptions as ANOVA, which motivate the use of the F statistic as an optimality criterion; they are not a necessary pre-requisite for application of the LDA method, but results will be most sensible if  $\Sigma$  is roughly equal across all groups

### 1.3.3 Coordinate transformation

- a convenient approach starts by **sphering** the within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  with a coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}$  such that in the new coordinate system  $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{I}$
- the homomorphism preserves overall and group means:  $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{Sm}$  and  $\mathbf{m}'_i = \mathbf{Sm}_i$
- the within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}'$  in the new coordinate system is

$$\mathbf{W}' = \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x}_{i}' - \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}}') (\mathbf{x}_{i}' - \mathbf{m}_{g_{i}}')^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{m}_{g_{i}}) (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{m}_{g_{i}})^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$= \mathbf{S}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(17)

- in the same way we can easily see that the between-group covariance matrix is  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$
- a suitable coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S}$  can be derived from the **eigenvalue decomposition** of the symmetric, positive semidefinite matrix  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}$  where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_d$  and the columns of  $\mathbf{U}$  are the corresponding eigenvectors; note that  $\mathbf{U}$  is an orthonormal matrix, i.e.  $\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}$  or  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$
- prerequisite: W must be positive definite  $(\lambda_d > 0)$  with good condition number  $\lambda_1/\lambda_d$
- then we can define  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}$  with inverse transformation  $\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- $\bullet$  within-group covariance matrix  $\mathbf{W}'$  in the transformed coordinates:

$$\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{SWS}^{T} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{U}^{T} (\mathbf{UDU}^{T}) \mathbf{UD}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{DD}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I}$$
(18)

### 1.3.4 LDA discriminant

- since the discriminant axis **a** describes a linear form  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto y = \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$  it is subjected to the inverse transformation  $(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{S}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to the identity  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{a}'$
- confirm that the F-statistic is invariant under these transformations:

$$F = \frac{B}{W} = \frac{\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a}'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{a}'} = \frac{B'}{W'}$$
(19)

• it is thus sufficient to find  $\mathbf{a}'$  that maximises F in the transformed coordinates:

$$\frac{B'}{W'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{a}'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}'}{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a}'} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}'}{\|\mathbf{a}'\|^2}$$
(20)

or equivalently maximise  $(\mathbf{a}')^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{a}'$  under the constraint  $\|\mathbf{a}'\| = 1$ 

- it is well-known that the solution is given by the first eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_1$  of  $\mathbf{B}'$ ; this is also easy to see: for every eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_i$  we have  $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{v}_i = \mu_i$  the corresponding eigenvalue, so the best choice is  $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{v}_1$  with the largest eigenvalue  $\mu_1$
- the optimal discriminant axis in original coordinates is thus  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{v}_1$

### 1.3.5 LDA with multiple discriminants

- for g > 2 it is usually necessary to consider a multi-dimensional **discriminant space** (of up to g 1 dimensions) to achieve an optimal separation of groups
- we thus have multiple discriminants  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$  describing linear forms  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto y_k = \mathbf{a}_k^T \mathbf{x}$ , which we collect as rows of the **discriminant matrix**  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$ , so that  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^r$
- overall and group means in the **discriminant space** are  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_j = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_j$  (due to linearity); within-group and between-group covariance matrices are obtained in analogy to (14) and (15) as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{21}$$

• for measuring separation of groups within the discriminant space we use the Lawley-Hotelling trace as a MANOVA test statistic:

$$\lambda_{\rm LH}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\right) \tag{22}$$

our goal is to find a discriminant matrix **A** that maximises  $\lambda_{LH}(\mathbf{A})$ 

• a first important property of  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}$  is its invariance under coordinate transformations in the discriminant space; for any coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$  we have in analogy to (17)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \mapsto \mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1} \mapsto (\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} = (\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1}$$
 (23)

and hence

$$\lambda_{LH} \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{S}^{T}(\mathbf{S}^{T})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}\right)$$
(24)

because of the similarity invariance of the trace, which follows from its cyclic property (Bishop 2006: 696, C.9):  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})$  (Deisenroth et al. 2020: 88)

- this means that only the subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{A}$  is relevant, not the specific basis implied; we can thus assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{A}$  is an orthogonal projection, i.e. its rows  $\mathbf{a}_k^{\mathrm{T}}$  are orthonormal and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{I}_r$
- this enables us to simplify the optimisation problem by sphering  ${\bf W}$  with the same coordinate transformation  ${\bf S}$  as in Sec. 1.3.3

$$W' = SWS^T = I, \qquad B' = SBS^T$$

• using an orthogonal projection  $\mathbf{A}'$  from the transformed coordinates to the discriminant space, eq. (21) becomes

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}' = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{W}'(\mathbf{A}')^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{A}')^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{I}, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}' = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{A}')^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(25)

and the  $\lambda_{\mathrm{LH}}$  statistic is reduced to

$$\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}') = \text{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{A}')^{\text{T}}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (\mathbf{a}'_k)^{\text{T}} \mathbf{B}' \mathbf{a}'_k$$
(26)

- it stands to reason that  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}')$  is maximised by the first r eigenvectors  $\mathbf{a}'_k = \mathbf{v}_k$  of  $\mathbf{B}'$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\mu_k$  (Venables and Ripley 2002: 332), with  $\lambda_{\text{LH}}(\mathbf{A}') = \sum_{k=1}^r \mu_k$ ;
- discriminant axes in the original coordinate system are obtained as in Sec. 1.3.4 by back-transformation  $\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{a}_k'$ , or in matrix notation  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}' \mathbf{S}$  (since  $\mathbf{a}_k^{\mathrm{T}} = (\mathbf{a}_k')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{S}$ )
- note that **A** is usually not an orthogonal projection after the back-transformation, but can be orthogonalised without affecting the  $\lambda_{LH}$  criterion because of (24); our choice of **A**' ensures a reasonable scaling of the discriminant space with roughly unit spherical within-group variance<sup>8</sup>
- the same solution is also given by Bishop (2006: 192); a complete (but very condensed) proof based on direct optimisation of  $\lambda_{\rm LH}$  and other separation criteria can be found in (Fukunaga 1990: 446–452)

## 1.4 Repeated-measures LDA

• standard LDA aims to minimise within-group variance and maximise between-group variance; but in GMA data points sometimes come from multiple **cohorts**, whose differences should not affect the discriminant space; a pertinent example is a study of register variation across varieties of English (Neumann and Evert 2021), where the groups to be separated are text categories and cohorts correspond to the different language varieties

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>we will not attempt a more formal proof here, but it should be possible to derive optimality of this solution from the Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem for the Frobenius norm  $\|\mathbf{B}'\|_F$ , orthogonal decomposition of the Frobenius norm, and the fact that  $\|\mathbf{B}'\|_F = \sum_i \mu_i$ .

norm, and the fact that  $\|\mathbf{B}'\|_F = \sum_k \mu_k$ .

The coordinate transformation **S** ensures that average within-group variance is a unit sphere ( $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{I}$ ). Since  $\mathbf{A}'$  is chosen to be an orthogonal projection, it preserves the spherical property but reduces variance to the proportion captured by the discriminant space.

- standard LDA incorporates between-cohort variance in the within-group variance, and thus aims to "hide" between-cohort variance in the discriminant space (to minimise within-group variance); on the other hand, group means are averaged across cohorts and possibly reduce between-group variance (if there are differences in the group structure between cohorts)
- in the example study, the authors' use of standard LDA may thus have actively played down general differences between language varieties (in order to minimise within-group variance) as well as register divergence between varieties (which is averaged out in the between-group variance)
- it seems more appropriate to treat such cases as a repeated-measures design<sup>9</sup> and develop
  a repeated-measures version of LDA

As in Sec. 1.2 we use repeated-measures ANOVA as a starting point, which is a special case of a two-way layout (Bishop 2006: 772-781). Our notation is as follows:

- data: n observations  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  belonging to g groups and c cohorts;  $g_i \in \{1, \ldots, g\}$  indicates group membership of  $y_i$ ;  $c_i \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$  indicates cohort membership
- the size of each cell in the two-way layout is given by  $n_{jk} = |\{g_i = j \land c_i = k\}|$  for group j and cohort k; overall group sizes are  $n_{j+} = |\{g_i = j\}| = \sum_k n_{jk}$ ; overall cohort sizes are  $n_{+k} = |\{c_i = k\}| = \sum_j n_{jk}$
- $n_{+k} = |\{c_i = k\}| = \sum_j n_{jk}$ • overall mean m as well as the cell means  $m_{jk}$ , group means  $m_{j+}$ , and cohort means  $m_{+k}$  are given below

$$m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \qquad m_{j+} = \frac{1}{n_{j+}} \sum_{g_{i}=j} y_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{j+}} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} m_{jk}$$

$$m_{jk} = \frac{1}{n_{jk}} \sum_{g_{i}=j \wedge c_{i}=k} y_{i} \qquad m_{+k} = \frac{1}{n_{+k}} \sum_{c_{i}=k} y_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{+k}} \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{jk} m_{jk}$$

$$(27)$$

• the overall sum of squares  $S^2$  can be partitioned into four components

$$S^2 = S_g^2 + S_c^2 + S_{g:c}^2 + S_{res}^2$$
 (28)

where  $S_{g:c}^2$  represents the interaction between groups and cohorts and  $S_{res}^2$  is the residual within-cell variance; the five terms are given by

$$S^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - m)^{2}$$

$$S_{g}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j+} (m_{j+} - m)^{2}$$

$$S_{c}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{+k} (m_{+k} - m)^{2}$$

$$S_{g:c}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} (m_{jk} - m_{j+} - m_{+k} + m)^{2}$$

$$S_{res}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} \sum_{q_{i} = j \land c_{i} = k} (y_{i} - m_{jk})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - m_{g_{i}c_{i}})^{2}$$

(Bishop 2006: 775–776)

• various ANOVA hypotheses can be tested by comparing different components of the sum of squares against  $S_{\text{res}}^2$ , though the resulting ratios are F-scores only for equal cell sizes  $n_{jk}$  (Bishop 2006: 777–779)

<sup>9</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Repeated\_measures\_design

• we are not interested in differences between cohorts  $S_c^2$ ; the appropriate test is thus for a nested effect of groups within varieties by comparing  $S_g^2 + S_{g:c}^2 = S_{c/g}^2$  against  $S_{\text{res}}^2$ ; in other terms, our ANOVA test partitions the within-cohort variance1

$$S^2 - S_c^2 = S_{c/g}^2 + S_{res}^2$$

• the nested sum of squares simplifies to

$$S_{c/g}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_{k=1}^c n_{jk} (m_{jk} - m_{+k})^2$$
(30)

which can be seen from (27) and (29):

$$S_{g:c}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} ((m_{jk} - m_{+k}) - (m_{j+} - m))^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} (m_{jk} - m_{+k})^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} (m_{j+} - m)^{2} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} (m_{jk} - m_{+k}) (m_{j+} - m)$$

$$= S_{c/g}^{2} + S_{g}^{2} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g} (m_{j+} - m) \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} (m_{jk} - m_{+k})$$

$$= S_{c/g}^{2} + S_{g}^{2} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j+} (m_{j+} - m)^{2} = S_{c/g}^{2} + S_{g}^{2} - 2 S_{g}^{2}$$

• the corresponding within-nested-group and between-nested-group variances are

$$W = \frac{S_{\text{res}}^2}{n - cq} \qquad B = \frac{S_{c/g}^2}{c(g - 1)} \tag{31}$$

(Bishop 2006: 778, eq. (11.8.14)); note that the df add up to n-c for  $S^2-S_c^2$ 

Repeated-measures LDA simply changes the definitions of means and covariance matrices W, B from Sec. 1.3 to match eq. (27)–(31). All other steps of the algorithm remain valid as described.

- data are n feature vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  combined into a data matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$
- each data point is assigned to one of g groups indicated by  $g_i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$  and one of c cohorts indicated by  $c_i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$
- the size of each (group, cohort)-cell (j, k) in this two-way layout is given by n<sub>jk</sub> = |{g<sub>i</sub> = j ∧ c<sub>i</sub> = k}|; overall group/cohort sizes are n<sub>j+</sub> = |{g<sub>i</sub> = j}| = ∑<sub>k</sub> n<sub>jk</sub> and n<sub>+k</sub> = |{c<sub>i</sub> = k}| = ∑<sub>j</sub> n<sub>jk</sub>
  overall mean **m** and the means for cells (**m**<sub>jk</sub>), groups (**m**<sub>j+</sub>), and cohorts (**m**<sub>+k</sub>) are given

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \qquad \mathbf{m}_{j+} = \frac{1}{n_{j+}} \sum_{g_{i}=j} \mathbf{x}_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{j+}} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} \mathbf{m}_{jk}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_{jk} = \frac{1}{n_{jk}} \sum_{g_{i}=j \wedge c_{i}=k} \mathbf{x}_{i} \qquad \mathbf{m}_{+k} = \frac{1}{n_{+k}} \sum_{c_{i}=k} \mathbf{x}_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{+k}} \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{jk} \mathbf{m}_{jk}$$
(32)

 nested-within-group and nested-between-group covariance matrices are generalised from eq. (29), (30), (31) in analogy to (4) and (5)

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n - cg} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i c_i}) (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_{g_i c_i})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(33)

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c(g-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{g} \sum_{k=1}^{c} n_{jk} (\mathbf{m}_{jk} - \mathbf{m}_{+k}) (\mathbf{m}_{jk} - \mathbf{m}_{+k})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(34)

#### 1.5Implementation

A naive straightforward implementation of LDA consists of the following steps:

- 1. Compute between-group variance matrix **B** and within-group variance matrix **W** according to (12) and (13).
  - let  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{g \times d}$  the row matrix of group means and  $\mathbf{X}_M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  the row matrix containing

  - group means  $\mathbf{m}_{g_i}$  for each data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$  define  $\mathbf{X}_W = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}_M$  so that  $\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} (\mathbf{X}_W)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_W$  define  $\mathbf{X}_B = \mathbf{X}_M \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}}$  so that  $\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{g-1} (\mathbf{X}_B)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_B$  (because  $\mathbf{m}_j$  is repeated  $n_j$  times)
  - **B** can be computed more efficiently from  $\mathbf{M}_B = \operatorname{diag}(n_1, \dots, n_g)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{1}_q \mathbf{m}^T)$
- 2. Determine eigenvalue decomposition  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}$  with  $\mathbf{D} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ , checking that  $\mathbf{W}$  has full rank and a reasonable condition number, i.e.  $\lambda_d > \epsilon \lambda_1$  (based on tol=).
- 3. Construct coordinate transformation  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}$  for sphering  $\mathbf{W}$ . Its inverse is given by  $S^{-1} = UD^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , but doesn't seem to be needed by the algorithm.
- 4. Compute between-group variance matrix  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$  in the new coordinate system.
- 5. Determine eigenvalue decomposition  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$  with  $\mathbf{E} = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots)$ .
- 6. Choose number r of discriminant axes such that  $r \leq g 1$ ,  $r \leq \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}')$  and  $\mu_r > \epsilon \mu_1$  (or perhaps some  $R^2$ -like criterion).
- 7. Construct orthogonal discriminant projection  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{V}_r^{\mathrm{T}}$ , then transform to original coordinates  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{S}$  (or simply  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{V}_r$  to obtain discriminants as column vectors).
- 8. Obtain discriminant scores as  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$ .

To avoid unnecessary computation and potential rounding errors, it is possible to determine the required eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{B}'$  from singular-value decomposition (SVD) of  $\mathbf{X}_W$  and  $\mathbf{M}_B$ without computing the full covariance matrices:

2. Compute the SVD  $\mathbf{X}_W = \mathbf{U}_W \mathbf{\Sigma}_W \mathbf{V}_W^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Since

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n-g} (\mathbf{X}_W)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_W = \frac{1}{n-g} \mathbf{V}_W \mathbf{\Sigma}_W \mathbf{U}_W^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{U}_W \mathbf{\Sigma}_W \mathbf{V}_W^{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{1}{n-g} \mathbf{V}_W \mathbf{\Sigma}_W^2 \mathbf{V}_W^{\mathrm{T}}$$

its eigenvalue decomposition is given by  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}_W$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-q}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_W$ 

4. We have

$$\mathbf{B}' = \frac{1}{g-1} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{M}_B)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}_B \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{1}{g-1} (\mathbf{M}_B')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}_B'$$

with  $\mathbf{M}_B' = \mathbf{M}_B \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ 5. Compute the SVD  $\mathbf{M}_B' = \mathbf{U}_B \mathbf{\Sigma}_B \mathbf{V}_B^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Since

$$\mathbf{B}' = \frac{1}{q-1} (\mathbf{M}_B')^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}_B' = \frac{1}{q-1} \mathbf{V}_B \mathbf{\Sigma}_B^2 \mathbf{V}_B^{\mathrm{T}}$$

its eigenvalue decomposition is given by  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_B$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{g-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_B^2$ 

The LDA implementation MASS::lda() allows users to specify prior probabilities  $p_j$  of groups rather than using their distribution in the data (i.e.  $p_j = n_j/n$ ). This is easily integrated into our algorithm by setting  $n_i = p_i n$ . The easiest and most important case are equal group weights, i.e.  $n_i = n/g$ , which is implemented through two small changes:

1. In the formula for  $\mathbf{M}_B$  use virtual group sizes  $n_j = n/g$  and recompute the mean by averaging over group means  $\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j=1}^g \mathbf{m}_g$ . Priors cannot be adjusted in the approach via  $\mathbf{X}_B$ .

Repeated-measures LDA can now easily be implemented by changing the definitions of W and B:

- 1. Adjust  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  according to eq. (33) and (34).
  - let  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{cg \times d}$  the row matrix of cell means  $\mathbf{m}_{ik}$ , and  $\mathbf{M}_{+C} \in \mathbb{R}^{cg \times d}$  the row matrix containing the cohort mean  $\mathbf{m}_{+k}$  corresponding to each cell mean  $\mathbf{m}_{ik}$
  - let  $\mathbf{X}_M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  the row matrix containing cell means  $\mathbf{m}_{g_i c_i}$  for each data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$  define  $\mathbf{X}_W = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}_M$  so that  $\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n cg} (\mathbf{X}_W)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}_W$

  - define  $\mathbf{M}_B = \operatorname{diag}(n_{11}, \dots, n_{cg})^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}_{+C})$  so that  $\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c(g-1)} (\mathbf{M}_B)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}_B$
  - for a prior with equal group weights, use virtual cell sizes  $n_{jk} = n_{+k}/g$  and recompute cohort means by averaging over cells:  $\mathbf{m}_{+k} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j=1}^{g} \mathbf{m}_{jk}$
  - alternativey, determine the row matrix  $\mathbf{X}_C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  of cohort means  $\mathbf{m}_{+c_i}$  for each data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and set  $\mathbf{X}_B = \mathbf{X}_M - \mathbf{X}_C$  so that  $\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c(q-1)}(\mathbf{X}_B)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{X}_B$ ; adjusting the prior distribution is not possible in this case
- 2. Use scaling factor  $\frac{1}{n-cg}$  instead of  $\frac{1}{n-g}$  in the SVD-based approach. 5. Use scaling factor  $\frac{1}{c(g-1)}$  instead of  $\frac{1}{g-1}$  in the SVD-based approach.
- 6. Note that the rank of the discriminant space may be larger with only  $r \leq c(g-1)$  guaranteed.

## References

- Bishop, C. M. (2006). Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Springer.
- DeGroot, M. H. and Schervish, M. J. (2012). Probability and Statistics. Addison Wesley, Boston, 4th edition.
- Deisenroth, M. P., Faisal, A. A., and Ong, C. S. (2020). Mathematics for Machine Learning. Cambridge University Press. https://mml-book.github.io/.
- Fisher, R. A. (1925). Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1st edition.
- Fisher, R. A. (1936). The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. Annals of Eugenics, 7(2):179-188.
- Fukunaga, K. (1990). Introduction to Statistical Pattern Recognition. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 2nd edition.
- Neumann, S. and Evert, S. (2021). A register variation perspective on varieties of English. In Seoane, E. and Biber, D., editors, Corpus based approaches to register variation, chapter 6, pages 143-178. Benjamins, Amsterdam. Online supplement: https://www.stephanie-evert. de/PUB/NeumannEvert2021/.
- Venables, W. N. and Ripley, B. D. (2002). Modern Applied Statistics with S-PLUS. Springer, New York, 4th edition.