Enantiospecific Total Synthesis of the Highly Strained (-)-Presilphiperfolan-8-ol via a Pd-Catalyzed Tandem Cyclization

Pengfei Hu^{1,2} and Scott A. Snyder*^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 130 Scripps Way, Jupiter, FL 33458 (USA) ²Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637 (USA)

Supporting Information

Experimental Data for Compounds

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere with dry solvents under anhydrous conditions, unless otherwise noted. Dry tetrahydrofuran (THF), toluene, diethyl ether (Et₂O), dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂), and acetonitrile (CH₃CN) were obtained by passing commercially available pre-dried, oxygen-free formulations through activated alumina columns. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically (¹H and ¹³C NMR) homogeneous materials, unless otherwise stated. Reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. Reactions were magnetically stirred and monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) using UV light as visualizing agent, and an ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid and cerium sulfate, and heat as developing agents. SiliCycle silica gel (60, academic grade, particle size 0.040-0.063 mm) was used for flash column chromatography. Preparative thin-layer chromatography separations were carried out on 0.50 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 and 500 MHz instruments and calibrated using residual undeuterated solvent as an internal reference. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = singlettriplet, q = quartet, br = broad, app = apparent. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1000 series FT-IR spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on Agilent 6244 Tof-MS using ESI (Electrospray Ionization) at the University of Chicago Mass Spectroscopy Core Facility.

Abbreviations. EtOAc = ethyl acetate, THF = tetrahydrofuran, *n*-BuLi = *n*-butyllithium, KHMDS = potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide, Comins' reagent = *N*-(5-chloro-2-pyridyl)bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide), Pd(OAc)₂ = palladium acetate, DPEphos = (oxydi-2,1-phenylene)bis(diphenylphosphine), Et₃N = triethylamine, NMO = 4-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide, *m*CPBA = *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, LiHMDS = lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide, Stryker's reagent = [(Ph₃P)₃CuH]₆ = (triphenylphosphine)copper hydride hexamer, TMSOTf = trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate, *p*-ABSA = 4-acetamidobenzenesulfonyl azide, DBU = 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, DIBAL-H = diisobutylaluminum hydride, *t*-BuOH = *tert*-butanol, DMP = Dess-Martin periodinane = 1,1,1-tris(acetyloxy)-1,1-dihydro-1,2-benziodoxol-3-(1H)-one, TBAF = tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride, MeOH = methanol.

(2S,5R)-2-(2,4-dimethylpent-4-en-2-yl)-5-methylcyclohexanone (29). 1 To a solution of (R)-pulegone (17, 9.13 g, 60 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (270 mL) at -78 °C was added TiCl₄ (6.45 mL, 58.8 mmol, 0.98 equiv) dropwise over the course of 10 min. After stirring the resultant brown solution at -78 °C for another 10 min, a solution of methallyltrimethylsilane (13.7 mL, 78 mmol, 1.3 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) was then added via syringe pump over 30 min. The color of the reaction solution turned blue during the addition. Once the addition was complete, the reaction contents were stirred at -78 °C for a further 10 min and then warmed to 0 °C. Upon completion, typically 10 to 15 min after the reaction solution reached 0 °C, the contents were quenched by slowly adding a mixture of Et₃N (42 mL) and MeOH (12 mL). Et₂O (500 mL) was then added and the resultant white precipitate was removed by filtration. The filtrate was washed with 1 N HCl (2 × 300 mL), saturated agueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL), H₂O (200 mL) and brine (100 mL), and then the resultant organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant yellow oil was then dissolved in MeOH (60 mL) and treated with solid KOH (2.69 g, 48 mmol, 0.8 equiv) at 23 °C. After stirring the resultant mixture at 23 °C for 4 h, the reaction contents were quenched by the addition of 1 N HCl (100 mL), Et₂O (300 mL) was added, and the contents were poured into a separatory funnel. After separating the layers, the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL), H₂O (100 mL), and brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. A small portion of the resultant crude product was purified by a quick filtration through a short pad of silica gel using hexanes/Et₂O (50/1) as the eluent; these studies revealed that 29 and epi-29 had been generated as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers. The entire crude product mixture was then purified via very careful column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O = $100/1 \rightarrow 50/1$) to give pure (2S,5R)-2-(2,4-dimethylpent-4-en-2-yl)-5-methylcyclohexanone (29, 4.46 g, 36% yield) as a colorless oil along with an additional sample that was a mixture of the diastereomers (29 and epi-29, 4.28 g. 34% yield) as a colorless oil. The latter mixture was redissolved in MeOH (20.5 mL) and retreated with KOH (0.92 g, 16.4 mmol, 0.8 equiv) at 23 °C followed by the same work-up and column chromatography purification to afford an additional sample of 29 (2.01 g, 16% yield) and a mixed sample of **29** and *epi-***29** (2.12 g, 17% yield). Thus, pure **29** (6.47 g, 52% yield) was isolated from two runs of thermodynamic isomerization along with some additional mixed fractions. [Note: The purity of the reagents is crucial in this reaction. methallyltrimethylsilane should be dried over the course of 24 h using 4Å molecular sieves before use and TiCl₄ needs to be properly stored with a SureSealTM cap; efforts using either technical grade (R)-pulegone (Aldrich) gave a very messy reaction and a low yield of the desired product. In addition, using slightly less than one equivalent of TiCl₄ is important to obtain a reproducible yield of 29, especially at large scale]. 29: $R_f = 0.27$ (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O, 100/3); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +20.0^\circ$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 2955, 2871, 1709, 1640, 1456, 1374, 1319, 1266, 1121, 1050, 984, 891 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.86–4.80 (m, 1 H), 4.61–4.58 (m, 1 H), 2.41–2.35 (m, 1 H), 2.30–2.20 (m, 2 H), 2.17–2.10 (m, 1 H), 2.06–1.96 (m, 2 H), 1.94–1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.76 (s, 3 H), 1.46 (qd, J = 12.9, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.38–1.27 (m, 1 H), 1.04 (s, 3 H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.3, 143.7, 114.3, 57.4, 52.6, 47.5, 36.6, 35.2, 34.8, 28.5, 26.4, 25.5, 24.8, 22.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for

 $C_{14}H_{25}O^{+}[M + H^{+}]$ 209.1900, found 209.1897.

(2S,3R,6R)-2-allyl-6-(2,4-dimethylpent-4-en-2-yl)-3-methylcyclohexanone $(30)^2$ and (2R,3R,6S)-2-allyl-6-(2,4-dimethylpent-4-en-2-yl)-3-methylcyclohexanone (epi-30). solution of i-Pr₂NH (5.21 mL, 37.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in THF (80 mL) at 0 °C was added n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 13.6 mL, 34.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv). The resultant solution was then stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. The solution was then cooled to -78 °C and a solution of 29 (6.47 g, 31.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (70 mL) was added dropwise over the course of 30 min. Once the addition was complete, the reaction contents were then warmed to 0 °C and kept at that temperature for 1.5 h before being cooled to -78 °C again. Allyl bromide (3.22 mL, 37.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added at -78 °C and the resultant reaction mixture was then slowly warmed to 23 °C and stirred overnight for 12 h. Upon completion, the reaction contents were quenched by the addition of H₂O (100 mL) and EtOAc (100 mL) and poured into a separatory funnel. After separating the layers, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (100 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (200 mL) and brine (100 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O, $100/1 \rightarrow 50/1$) to give a 5:1 mixture of **30** and *epi-30* (6.94 g, 90% yield combined) as a colorless oil. A small portion of the material was purified further in order to characterize the major diastereomer **30**. **30**: $R_f = 0.60$ (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O, 100/3); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +21.0^\circ$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 3074, 2954, 2927, 2873, 1711, 1638, 1473, 1457, 1374, 1066, 909, 894 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.90–5.75 (m, 1 H), 5.03–4.96 (m, 1 H), 4.95–4.90 (m, 1 H), 4.83-4.79 (m, 1 H), 4.59-4.54 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.40-2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.27 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.7 Hz, 1 H, 2.23–2.16 (m, 1 H), 2.15–2.03 (m, 2 H), 1.97 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.90–1.83 (m, 1 H), 1.75 (s, 3 H), 1.65–1.54 (m, 1 H), 1.52–1.39 (m, 2 H), 1.05 (s, 3 H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.0, 143.7, 137.4, 115.3, 114.4, 58.8, 58.3, 47.6, 41.2, 35.4, 35.3, 30.6, 29.1, 26.5, 25.5, 24.9, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{17}H_{29}O^{+}[M + H^{+}]$ 249.2213, found 249.2211.

Alkenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate 31. A mixture of 30 and *epi*-30 (7.33 g, 29.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF (250 mL) and the resultant solution was cooled to -78 °C. Next, KHMDS (1.0 M in THF, 38.4 mL, 38.4 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added slowly over the course of 30 min at -78 °C. Once the addition was complete, the reaction contents were warmed to 0 °C and kept at that temperature for 2 h before being cooled again to -78 °C. A solution of Comins' reagent (12.7 g, 32.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in THF (50 mL) was then added slowly over the course of 30 min at -78 °C and the resultant mixture was stirred for a further 20 min at -78 °C.

Upon completion, the reaction contents were quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and then warmed to 23 °C. H₂O (100 mL) was added to dissolve any remaining solids, and the mixture was poured into a separatory funnel and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was then extracted with hexanes (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL), H₂O (100 mL) and brine (100 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (Et₃N-buffered silica gel, hexanes) to give a 5:1 mixture of 31 and its alkene regioisomer (9.54 g, 85% yield) as a colorless oil. These two isomers were not separable by chromatography and thus were characterized as a mixture. [Note: the product was found to be unstable on standard silica gel and Et₃N-buffering proved necessary for successful purification]. **31**: $R_f = 0.43$ (silica gel, hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +129.1^\circ$ (c = 1.1, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 2969, 1641, 1457, 1412, 1244, 1209, 1143, 1039, 981, 876, 810, 608, 513 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, major product only) δ 5.76–5.66 (m, 1 H), 5.16–5.10 (m, 1 H), 5.10–5.05 (m, 1 H), 4.90–4.86 (m, 1 H), 4.69–4.65 (m, 1 H), 3.40–3.30 (m, 1 H), 2.74–2.66 (m, 1 H), 2.46–2.43 (m, 1 H), 2.41-2.35 (m, 1 H), 2.32-2.17 (m, 1 H), 2.13 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.98 (d, J = 13.1Hz, 1 H), 1.94-1.75 (m, 2 H), 1.78 (s, 3 H), 1.32-1.23 (m, 1 H), 1.09 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.02 (s, 3 H), 1.00 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, major product only) δ 145.4, 143.0, 137.2, 134.5, 118.3, 117.0, 114.9, 47.9, 46.4, 38.4, 33.3, 32.0, 28.1, 27.5, 26.7, 25.5, 23.0, 19.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}F_3O_3S^+[M+H^+]$ 381.1706, found 381.1702.

Cyclized Diene 20. To a flame-dried sealed tube was added alkenyl triflate 31 its alkene regioisomer (3.80 g total, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv; corresponds to 3.17 g or 8.33 mmol of 31 and 0.63 g or 1.67 mmol of its alkene isomer), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.225 g, 1.0 mmol, 0.1 equiv), DPEphos (1.08 g, 2.00 mmol, 0.2 equiv), Et₃N (2.80 mL, 20.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and toluene (100 mL). The reaction tube was then sealed and heated at 90 °C until consumption of the starting material was indicated by TLC analysis (typically 10 to 12 h). Upon completion, the reaction contents were cooled to 23 °C and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes) to give cyclized diene 20 (2.06 g) as a colorless oil, which contained some inseparable by-products which were carried forward into the next step without further purification. The yield of the reaction (75% yield) was determined via ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. [Note: A pure sample of the desired product could be obtained from reactions conducted at 0.2 mmol scale using the same procedure described above]. **20**: $R_f = 0.90$ (silica gel, hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -6.0^\circ$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 2926, 1718, 1695, 1684, 1670, 1456, 1374, 1185, 1009, 879 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.90–4.87 (m, 1 H), 4.86–4.83 (m, 1 H), 2.98–2.91 (m, 1 H), 2.70–2.60 (m, 1 H), 2.31 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.26–2.17 (m, 1 H), 2.09–1.94 (m, 3 H), 1.72–1.65 (m, 1 H), 1.54 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.46 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.36–1.22 (m, 2 H), 1.01 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (s, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.96 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.3, 146.9, 127.8, 110.1, 55.5, 52.2, 48.4, 40.4, 40.2, 34.9, 32.1, 30.6, 30.2, 26.4, 24.1, 24.0, 19.7; HRMS (ESI) was attempted, but no molecular ion peak was observed under various conditions.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{20} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{OsO}_4 \ (14 \ \text{mol \%}), \\ \text{NMO} \ (4.3 \ \text{equiv}), \\ \text{acetone} : \text{H}_2\text{O} = 3:1 \\ \text{then NaIO}_4 \ (4.3 \ \text{equiv}) \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{Me} \\ \text{S1} \\ \end{array}$$

Ketone S1. To a solution of the crude diene **20** (2.06 g, 6.25 mmol assumed, 1.0 equiv) in acetone (67 mL) at 23 °C was sequentially added NMO (3.14 g, 26.9 mmol, 4.3 equiv) and H₂O (22 mL). After all of the solids had dissolved, OsO₄ (2.5 wt % in t-BuOH, 9.103 g, 0.90 mmol, 0.14 equiv) was added. The reaction contents were then stirred at 23 °C for 12 h before solid NaIO₄ (5.74 g, 26.9 mmol, 4.3 equiv) was added in a single portion. After stirring the resultant mixture at 23 °C for 30 min, the reaction contents were then filtered to remove any solids and the filtrate was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL) and H₂O (100 mL) and then poured into a separatory funnel. After separating the layers, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (2 \times 100 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with H₂O (2 \times 100 mL) and brine (100 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified via column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, $30/1 \rightarrow 20/1$) to afford ketone S1 (0.753 g) as white solid containing a small amount of an unidentified contaminant. A small portion of S1 was further purified by three additional column chromatographies (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, $30/1 \rightarrow 20/1$) to give a pure sample for characterization. Repetition of the reaction using another batch of crude diene 20 (2.30 g, 6.98 mmol assumed, prepared from 9.30 mmol of 31) using the same procedure resulted in an additional sample of S1 (0.840 g) with the same purity. This material was combined with the batch above and used in further experiments. Thus, in total, crude S1 (1.59 g, 6.84 mmol assumed) were prepared from 31 (15.6 mmol). S1: R_f = 0.47 (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc = 10/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -127.3$ (c = 1.5, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 2945, 2923, 1716, 1653, 1559, 1457, 1380, 1275, 1222, 1180 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.86 (dd, J = 22.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.75 (ddd, J = 22.5, 5.0, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.46 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1 H),2.28-2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.14 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.12-2.06 (m, 2 H), 1.79-1.71 (m, 1 H), 1.60 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.56 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.41–1.27 (m, 2 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (s, 3 H), 0.98 (s, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.9, 148.2, 126.1, 55.0, 54.9, 52.0, 40.6, 40.3, 38.9, 34.4, 31.7, 29.9, 26.4, 24.0, 23.7, 19.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{25}O^{+}[M + H^{+}]$ 233.1900, found 233.1898.

Hydroxyketone 21. To a solution of ketone **S1** (1.23 g, 5.3 mmol assumed, 1.0 equiv, 77% of the 1.59 g of **S1** described above) in CH₂Cl₂ (53 mL) at 23 °C was added solid NaHCO₃ (3.52 g, 42.4 mmol, 8.0 equiv) in a single portion followed by solid *m*CPBA (77%, 3.56 g, 15.9 mmol, 3.0 equiv) in ten batches added over the course of 10 min. The resultant mixture was then stirred at 23 °C for 15 min. Upon completion, the reaction contents were quenched by the addition of a mixed solution of saturated aqueous NaS₂O₃ (50 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and the resultant slurry was stirred vigorously at 23 °C for 30 min. The

reaction contents were then poured into a separatory funnel, the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL), H₂O (100 mL) and brine (50 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant crude yellow oil was found to be unstable and thus was used immediately in the next step without further purification; characterization was similarly performed in crude form. 32: $R_f = 0.31$ (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc = 10/1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 2.95 (d, J = 18.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.41 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.19 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.11 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 13.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (d, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 18.6, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.74= 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.45–1.36 (m, 2 H), 1.32–1.22 (m, 3 H), 1.20 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 0.99 (s, 3 H), 0.90 (s, 3 H), 0.84 (s, 3 H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 206.1, 76.9, 60.6, 54.0, 52.6, 50.4, 43.2, 39.8, 38.4, 34.6, 32.3, 31.7, 26.6, 25.0, 24.2, 15.3. Thus, pressing forward, crude epoxide 32 (5.0 mmol assumed, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), cooled to -78 °C, and LiHMDS (1.0 M in hexane, 15 mL, 15 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added dropwise over the course of 10 min. Once the addition was complete, the reaction contents were warmed to 0 °C and stirred at this temperature for 1.5 h before being cooled back to -78 °C. The reaction contents were then guenched with MeOH (1.14 mL, 25 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and the resultant mixture was warmed to 23 °C and stirred at that temperature for 1 h. In a separate flask in a glove-box, commercial Strycker's reagent (3.92 g, 2.0 mmol, 0.4 equiv) was dissolved in benzene (25 mL) and the entire volume was transferred into a syringe. That syringe was then removed from the glove-box and its contents were immediately added to the reaction flask. Once TLC analysis indicated reaction completion (typically in 5 h), the contents were quenched by the addition of H₂O (100 mL) and poured into a separatory funnel. The layers were then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with H₂O (3 × 100 mL) and brine (100 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified via column chromatography (silica gel, toluene/EtOAc, $10/1 \rightarrow 3/1$) to afford hydroxyketone 21 (0.828 g, 28% yield over 4 steps from 31) as a white solid. 21: $R_f = 0.64$ (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc = 2/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +93.0^{\circ}$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 3445, 2968, 2886, 1694, 1459, 1372, 1272, 1172, 994, 920, 738, 703 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.71–2.63 (m, 2 H), 2.24 (dd, J = 16.5, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.01 (d, J = 17.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.92 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.79–1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.65-1.58 (m, 2 H), 1.57-1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.53-1.47 (m, 1 H), 1.47 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 1.07–0.96 (m, 2 H), 0.88 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.4, 84.0, 58.1, 56.0, 51.8, 51.7, 47.5, 41.0, 39.5, 37.1, 36.3, 34.1, 27.9, 27.6, 27.3, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{25}O^{+}[M + H^{+} - H_{2}O]$ 233.1900, found 233.1908.

Scheme S1. X-ray crystal structure of 21.

Diazoketone 34. To a solution of **21** (1.00 g, 4.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) at 23 °C was added Et₃N (3.34 mL, 12 mmol, 6.0 equiv) in a single portion followed by the slow addition of TMSOTf (3.62 mL, 20 mmol, 5.0 equiv) over the course of 10 min at 23 °C. Upon completion, 2 N HCl (50 mL) was added and the resultant mixture was vigorously stirred until TLC analysis indicated that all of the enol silvl ether had been hydrolyzed (typically 30 min). Et₂O (100 mL) was then added, the reaction contents were transferred to a separatory funnel, and the aqueous layer was separated. The organic layer was then washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL), H₂O (50 mL), and brine (50 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, 50/1) to give protected ketone S2 (1.29 g, 100% yield) as a colorless oil which turned into a solid upon freezer storage. S2: $R_f = 0.21$ (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc = 20/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = -56.0° (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 2957, 2868, 1701, 1470, 1253, 1163, 1078, 1043, 948, 875, 837, 753 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 2.69 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.64–2.55 (m, 1 H), 1.93-1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.84 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.80-1.72 (m, 2 H), 1.46-1.38 (m, 5 H), 1.22 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.20 (s, 3 H), 1.18–1.10 (m, 1 H), 0.97 (s, 3 H), 0.93 (s, 3 H), 0.91–0.80 (m, 1 H), 0.68 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.16 (s, 9 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 210.2, 88.3, 56.7, 55.8, 52.4, 50.4, 49.0, 41.8, 39.5, 37.9, 36.0, 34.3, 28.3, 27.8, 27.0, 20.8, 2.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{35}O_2Si^+[M+H^+]$ 323.2401, found 323.2402. Next, to a solution of ketone **S2** (1.00 g, 3.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH₃CN (31 mL) at 23 °C was sequentially added p-ABSA (14.9 g, 62 mmol, 20 equiv) and DBU (13.9 mL, 93 mmol, 30 equiv). The reaction contents were then stirred at 23 °C overnight for 12 h before being quenched by the addition of H₂O (100 mL). The reaction contents were then poured into a separatory funnel, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H_2O (3 × 50 mL) and brine (50 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, $30/1 \rightarrow 10/1$) to gave the desired diazoketone 34 (1.03 g, 95% yield) as a yellow solid. 34: $R_f =$

0.30 (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, 20/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -125.0^{\circ}$ (c = 1.3, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 3048, 2960, 2082, 1623, 1456, 1380, 1316, 1264, 1222, 1174, 1093, 1051, 946, 839, 739, 650 cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.70–2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.08 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.05–1.97 (m, 1 H), 1.96–1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.85 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.82–1.68 (m, 2 H), 1.58–1.45 (m, 1 H), 1.31 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.26–1.12 (m, 4 H), 1.20 (s, 3 H), 1.06 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.14 (s, 9 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.4, 85.1, 66.2, 56.2, 54.7, 50.8, 49.0, 47.5, 39.1, 37.0, 35.7, 34.2, 27.8, 27.6, 26.5, 21.5, 2.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{32}N_2NaO_2Si^+$ [M + Na $^+$] 371.2125, found 371.2118.

Ester 35. To a 100 mL quartz reaction flask was added diazoketone 34 (1.00 g. 2.87) mmol, 1.0 equiv), MeOH (80 mL), and Et₃N (0.54 mL). The resultant yellow solution was then degassed at 23 °C by bubbling argon directly through the mixture for 30 min, and then the contents were irradiated at 23 °C using a 125 W medium pressure UV lamp. Once TLC analysis indicated that the starting material was fully consumed (typically following 30 min of irradiation), the reaction contents were concentrated directly and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, 30/1→20/1) to afford the desired ring-contracted ester 35 (0.837 g, 83% yield) as an colorless oil which solidified under freezer storage. 35: $R_f =$ 0.51 (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, 20/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +12.2^\circ$ (c = 1.5, CHCl₃); IR (film) v_{max} 2951, 1734, 1458, 1380, 1250, 1224, 1196, 1078, 1037, 939, 839 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 3.41 (s, 3 H), 2.95 (ddd, J = 9.1, 7.6, 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.54 (t, J = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.15–2.10 (m, 1 H), 2.04 (dd, J = 10.5, 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.68 (dd, J = 12.5, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.54 (dd, J = 11.0, 7.6 Hz, 1 H),1.52–1.40 (m, 2 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 1.25–1.15 (m, 1 H), 1.14–1.12 (m, 1 H), 1.13 (s, 3 H), 1.12– 1.05 (m, 5 H), 1.04 (s, 3 H), 0.26–0.20 (m, 9 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 175.6, 99.5, 58.0, 53.8, 52.6, 52.1, 51.2, 49.5, 47.6, 38.5, 38.3, 36.3, 34.7, 28.2, 27.2, 22.0, 3.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{20}H_{37}O_3Si^+[M+H^+]$ 353.2505, found 353.2507.

Aldehyde 36. To a solution of 35 (0.320 g, 0.91 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (9 mL) at – 78 °C was added DIBAL-H (1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂, 2.73 mL, 2.73 mmol, 3.0 equiv) dropwise over the course of 10 min. The reaction contents were then stirred at –78 °C until TLC analysis indicated that the starting material was fully consumed (typically 1 h). Upon completion, the reaction contents were quenched at –78 °C by a slow addition of *t*-BuOH (2.02 g, 27.3 mmol, 30 equiv) and then warmed to 23 °C and stirred at that temperature for 1 h. Next, solid NaHCO₃ (0.755 g, 9.1 mmol, 10 equiv) was added in a single portion and then Dess-Martin periodinane (1.93 g,

4.55 mmol, 5 equiv) was added in 10 batches over the course of 10 min. The reaction contents were then stirred vigorously at 23 °C for 2 h before being quenched by the addition of a mixed solution of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (20 mL). After stirring the resultant slurry at 23 °C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (50 mL) and H₂O (50 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with NaHCO₃ (50 mL), H₂O (3 × 50 mL), and brine (50 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, $30/1 \rightarrow 10/1$) to gave the desired aldehyde 36 (0.274) g, 93% yield) as a colorless oil, which solidified under freezer storage. [Note: aldehyde 36 was found to be unstable for storage and thus should be used immediately]. 36: $R_f = 0.50$ (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, 20/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +20.8^{\circ}$ (c = 2.5, CHCl₃); IR (film) $v_{\text{max}} = 2952$, 1723, 1457, 1379, 1264, 1251, 1040, 940, 876, 838, 754 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 9.66 (s, 1 H), 2.65– 2.58 (m, 1 H), 2.31–2.25 (m, 1 H), 2.07 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.83 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.67–1.61 (m, 1 H), 1.48–1.37 (m, 3 H), 1.30–1.23 (m, 1 H), 1.29 (s, 3 H), 1.19–1.13 (m, 1 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 1.03–0.96 (m, 2 H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 0.16 (s, 9 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 202.3, 99.4, 62.7, 57.8, 51.6, 50.4, 49.3, 47.7, 37.8, 36.3, 35.8, 34.4, 28.2, 28.1, 27.2, 21.8, 3.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{35}O_2Si^+$ [M + H⁺] 323.2401, found 323.2404.

(-)-Presilphiperfolan-8-ol (5). To a flame-dried flask at 23 °C was sequentially added freshely prepared aldehyde 36 (16.1 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 equiv), Rh(PPh₃)₃Cl (69.4 mg, 0.075 mmol, 1.5 equiv), toluene (0.9 mL) and benzonitrile (0.15 mL). The reaction contents were then degassed at 23 °C by bubbling argon directly through the mixture for 30 min and then were heated at 130 °C for 12 h. Upon completion, the reaction contents were cooled to 23 °C, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resultant residue was purified directly by filtration through a short pad of silica gel using hexanes as eluent to remove any metal salts. The filtrate was concentrated to afford a crude sample of TMS-protected presilphiperfolan-8-ol S3. Pressing forward, that material (0.05 mmol assumed, 1.0 equiv) was treated with TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 0.5 mmol, 0.5 mL) at 23 °C and the resultant solution was then warmed to 30 °C and stirred overnight for 12 h. Upon completion, the reaction contents were diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL), transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with H₂O (20 mL) before being dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The resultant residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O, $50/1 \rightarrow 30/1$) to give presilphiperfolan-8-ol (5, 4.5 mg, 40% yield over 2 steps) as a white solid. Reactions with similar scale were repeated two additional times to generate in total ~15 mg of the natural product. 5: $R_f = 0.42$ (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O, 6/1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -18.0^\circ$ (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); IR (film) V_{max} 3375 (OH), 3024, 1456, 1375, 1160, 1117, 977, 894, 869 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆)

δ 2.30 (ddt, J = 13.6, 8.8, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.15 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.07 (ddd, J = 19.0, 9.0, 1.3, 1 H), 1.78 (dddd, J = 13.4, 9.2, 7.7, 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.53–1.43 (m, 2 H), 1.40–1.37 (m, 1 H), 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.31–1.22 (m, 3 H), 1.22–1.19 (m, 1 H), 1.19–1.16 (m, 1 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H), 1.16–1.14 (m, 1 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (ddd, J = 10.7, 9.0, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 0.84 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 96.3, 56.4, 52.3, 50.3, 49.5, 48.1, 37.5, 36.5, 34.6, 34.1, 33.3, 28.1, 28.0, 27.2, 21.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{25}^+$ [M + H $^+$ – H₂O] 205.1951, found 205.1946. All spectral data matched that reported by Coates and co-workers 3 for natural (–)-presilphiperfolan-8-ol, with a comparison table provided below in Table S1.

Table S1. Comparison of NMR data between our synthetic material and that obtained from Nature by Coates and co-workers

and that obtained from Nature by Coates and co-workers			
1 H NMR ($C_{6}D_{6}$)		13 C NMR (C_6D_6)	
Synthetic	Natural	Synthetic	Natural
2.30 (ddt, J = 13.6, 8.8, 0.8	2.29 (dddd, J = 14, 9, 8, 0.5 Hz,		
Hz, 1 H)	1H)	96.30	96.22
2.15 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1 H)	2.14 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1 H)	56.45	56.29
2.07 (ddd, J = 19.0, 9.0, 1.3, 1			
H)	2.06 (ddd, J = 14, 9, 8 Hz, 1 H)	52.34	52.48
1.78 (dddd, J = 13.4, 9.2, 7.7,	1.77 (dddd, J = 14, 9, 8, 4 Hz, 1		
4.0 Hz, 1 H)	H)	50.27	50.17
1.53–1.43 (m, 2 H)	1.48 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 12, 6, 3 Hz, 1 H)	49.47	49.37
	1.46 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 12, 6, 3 Hz, 1 H)	48.06	48.01
1.40–1.37 (m, 1 H)	1.44 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 12, 6, 3 Hz, 1 H)	37.53	37.47
1.37 (s, 3 H)	1.36 (s, 3 H)	36.51	36.46
1.57 (8, 511)	1.26 (m, 1 H)	34.59	34.53
1.31–1.22 (m, 3 H)		34.09	34.02
1.31–1.22 (III, 3 II)	1.23 (s, 1 H, OH)		
1 22 1 10 (1 11)	1.22 (m, 1 H)	33.33	33.28
1.22–1.19 (m, 1 H)	1.21 (m, 1 H)	28.13	28.09
1.19–1.16 (m, 1 H)	1.16 (ddd, J = 15, 9, 4 Hz, 1 H)	27.99	27.95
1.16 (s, 3 H)	1.15 (s, 3 H)	27.16	27.09
1.16–1.14 (m, 1 H)	1.14 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1 H)	21.81	21.80
1.11 (s, 3 H)	1.10 (s, 3 H)		
0.99 (ddd, J = 10.7, 9.0, 3.9			
Hz, 1 H)	0.98 (m, 1 H)		
0.84 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H)	0.83 (d, J = 6 Hz, 3 H)		

References

- 1. (a) Davis, C. E.; Coates, R. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 491; (b) Miles, R. B.; Davis, C. E.; Coates, R. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 1493.
- 2. Kobayashi, T.; Shiroi, H.; Abe, H.; Ito, H. Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 975.
- 3. Coates, R. M.; Ho, Z.; Klobus, M.; Wilson, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9249.

Enantiospecific Total Synthesis of the Highly Strained (-)-Presilphiperfolan-8-ol via a Pd-Catalyzed Tandem Cyclization

Pengfei Hu^{1,2} and Scott A. Snyder*^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 130 Scripps Way, Jupiter, FL 33458 (USA) ²Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637 (USA)

Supporting Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra of Selected Intermediates





















































