

Criteria	Points possible	Points earned
Writing		
Abstract	5	5
Introduction	5	5
Methods	5	5
Results	5	5
Discussion	5	5
References	5	3
Code		
Document is fully reproducible	25	20
Demonstrate use of inline code	5	5
At least two data visualizations	10 (5 pts each)	10
Demonstrate tidying messy data using:		
<code>gather()</code>	5	5
<code>separate()</code>	5	5
<code>select()</code> , <b>and</b> <code>filter()</code>	5	5
<code>spread()</code>	5	5
At least one table of descriptive statistics	10	10
<code>group_by()</code>	5	5
<code>summarize()</code>	5	5
Total	110	103

- So some global feedback on your repo to start – it could use a little housekeeping. You have “Final 2018.Rmd”, “Final Paper Group 3-dont use.Rmd”, and “Final\_Paper\_Group\_3.Rmd” all at the root level. I would just get rid of the ones you don’t really need. All of your images and gifs and things are great, but I would put them all in a single folder. Ideally, your root

level should maybe have your primary doc, but then everything else from there should be folders. I could even be convinced that everything at the root level is in a folder. As is, it's a little hard to sort through without instructions on which file to open, which I got, but it should probably be a little more apparent than it is based on the organization/structure of it all.

- I removed five points from the reproducibility part because you failed to put spaces after your hashtags/pound signs on some of your headers, which, on some machines, means they don't render correctly (mine being one of them, unfortunately for you). But more importantly, if you don't use `fig.cap = "my figure caption"` as a chunk argument, your figures will not show up correctly. I noticed in your original PDF they did, which surprises me and I'm not sure why they did, but regardless, that was not replicated on my machine. We did not cover this explicitly in class but it was in the readings for {papaja} and I provided this feedback to some during the {papaja} lab. I apologize if you were not one of the groups that I supplied that feedback for.
- I removed two points from your references because you had one author referenced twice (Chen, Chuan-Yu), but differently in one (Chen, Chuan Yu) so it was thinking they were two different authors and you ended up with initials on your in-text cites. Additionally, when you cite an organization (CDC) you need to surround it in double braces `{}`, rather than single.
- Overall, this was really well done. I think you all should be very proud of what you've produced here. As always, please let me know if you have any questions or want to chat about anything.