Network Working Group Request for Comments: 795

J. Postel ISI September 1981

# **SERVICE MAPPINGS**

This memo describes the relationship between the Internet Protocol (IP) [1] Type of Service and the service parameters of specific networks.

The IP Type of Service has the following fields:

Precedence. Bits 0-2: 3:

Bit

3: 0 = Normal Delay, 1 = Low Delay.
4: 0 = Normal Throughput, 1 = High Throughput.
5: 0 = Normal Relibility, 1 = High Relibility.
-7: Reserved for Future Use. Bits

Bit 6-7:

PRECEDENCE D T R 0 0	<b>.</b>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	_
		PRE	CEDEN	Œ	D	Т	R	0	0	

111 - Network Control

110 - Internetwork Control

101 - CRITIC/ECP

100 - Flash Override

011 - Flash

010 - Immediate

001 - Priority

000 - Routine

The individual networks listed here have very different and specific service choices.

Postel [Page 1]

### **AUTODIN II**

The service choices are in two parts: Traffic Acceptance Catagories, and Application Type. The Traffic Acceptance Catagories can be mapped into and out of the IP TOS precedence reasonably directly. The Application types can be mapped into the remaining IP TOS fields as follows.

TA	DELAY	THROUGHPUT	RELIABILITY
I/A Q/R	1 0	0 0	0 0
B1 B2	0	1 1	0 1
DTR	TA		
000 001 010 011	Q/R Q/R B1 B2		
100 101 110 111	I/A I/A I/A error		

Postel [Page 2]

### **ARPANET**

The service choices are in quite limited. There is one priority bit that can be mapped to the high order bit of the IP TOS precedence. The other choices are to use the regular ("Type 0") messages vs. the uncontrolled ("Type 3") messages, or to use single packet vs. multipacket messages. The mapping of ARPANET parameters into IP TOS parameters can be as follows.

Type  0 0 3 3	Size  S M S M	DELAY  1 0 1 not	THROUGHPUT  0 0 0 allowed	RELIABILITY 0 0 0 0
DTR  000 001 010 011 100 101 110	Type  0 0 0 0 3 0 3	Size  M M M S S S S		

Postel [Page 3]

### **PRNET**

There is no priority indication. The two choices are to use the station routing vs. point-to-point routing, or to require acknowledgments vs. having no acknowledgments. The mapping of PRNET parameters into IP TOS parameters can be as follows.

Routing	Acks	DELAY	THROUGHPUT	RELIABILITY
ptp ptp station station	no yes no yes	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1
000 st 001 st 010 st 011 st	uting  ation ation ation ptp ptp ptp ptp	Acks no yes no yes no yes no yes no yes		

#### SATNET

There is no priority indication. The four choices are to use the block vs. stream type, to select one of four delay catagories, to select one of two holding time strategies, or to request one of three reliability levels. The mapping of SATNET parameters into IP TOS parameters can thus quite complex there being 2\*4\*2\*3=48 distinct possibilities.

## References

[1] Postel, J. (ed.), "Internet Protocol - DARPA Internet Program Protocol Specification," RFC 791, USC/Information Sciences Institute, September 1981.

Postel [Page 4]