

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
Request for Comments: 9295
Updates: 8410
Category: Standards Track
ISSN: 2070-1721

S. Turner
sn3rd
S. Josefsson
SJD AB
D. McCarney
Square Inc.
T. Ito
SECOM CO., LTD.
September 2022

Clarifications for Ed25519, Ed448, X25519, and X448 Algorithm Identifiers

Abstract

This document updates RFC 8410 to clarify existing semantics, and specify missing semantics, for key usage bits when used in certificates that support the Ed25519, Ed448, X25519, and X448 Elliptic Curve Cryptography algorithms.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9295>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Terminology
3. New Section 5 for RFC 8410

5.	IANA Considerations
6.	References
6.1.	Normative References
6.2.	Informative References
	Acknowledgments
	Authors' Addresses

1. Introduction

[RFC8410] specifies the syntax and semantics for the Subject Public Key Information field in certificates that support Ed25519, Ed448, X25519, and X448 Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) algorithms. As part of these semantics, it defines what combinations are permissible for the values of the keyUsage extension [RFC5280]. [RFC8410] did not define what values are not permissible, nor did it refer to keyEncipherment or dataEncipherment. [Err5696] has also been submitted to clarify that keyCertSign is always set in certification authority certificates. To address these changes, this document replaces Section 5 of [RFC8410] with Section 3.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. New Section 5 for RFC 8410

The intended application for the key is indicated in the keyUsage certificate extension.

If the keyUsage extension is present in a certificate that indicates id-X25519 or id-X448 in SubjectPublicKeyInfo, then the following MUST be present:

keyAgreement

One of the following MAY also be present:

encipherOnly
decipherOnly

and any of the following MUST NOT be present:

digitalSignature
nonRepudiation
keyEncipherment
dataEncipherment
keyCertSign
cRLSign

If the keyUsage extension is present in an end-entity certificate that indicates id-Ed25519 or id-Ed448 in SubjectPublicKeyInfo, then the keyUsage extension MUST contain at least one of the following:

nonRepudiation
digitalSignature
cRLSign

and any of the following MUST NOT be present:

keyEncipherment
dataEncipherment
keyAgreement
keyCertSign
encipherOnly
decipherOnly

If the keyUsage extension is present in a CRL issuer certificate that indicates id-Ed25519 or id-Ed448 in SubjectPublicKeyInfo, then the keyUsage extension MUST contain:

cRLSign

and zero or more of the following:

nonRepudiation
digitalSignature

and any of the following MUST NOT be present:

keyEncipherment
dataEncipherment
keyAgreement
encipherOnly
decipherOnly

and if the CRL issuer is also a certification authority, then the keyUsage extension MUST also contain:

keyCertSign

If the keyUsage extension is present in a certification authority certificate that indicates id-Ed25519 or id-Ed448 in SubjectPublicKeyInfo, then the keyUsage extension MUST contain:

keyCertSign

and zero or more of the following:

nonRepudiation
digitalSignature
cRLSign

and any of the following MUST NOT be present:

keyEncipherment
dataEncipherment
keyAgreement
encipherOnly

decipherOnly

4. Security Considerations

This document introduces no new security considerations beyond those found in [RFC8410].

5. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, DOI 10.17487/RFC5280, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5280>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8410] Josefsson, S. and J. Schaad, "Algorithm Identifiers for Ed25519, Ed448, X25519, and X448 for Use in the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure", RFC 8410, DOI 10.17487/RFC8410, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8410>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [Err5696] RFC Errata, Erratum ID 5696, RFC 8410, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/errata/eid5696>>.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Russ Housley, Mike Jenkins, and Corey Bonnell for their comments.

Authors' Addresses

Sean Turner
sn3rd
Email: sean@sn3rd.com

Simon Josefsson
SJD AB
Email: simon@josefsson.org

Daniel McCarney
Square Inc.
Email: daniel@binaryparadox.net

Tadahiko Ito
SECOM CO., LTD.
Email: tadahiko.ito.public@gmail.com