

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 1652  
Obsoletes: 1426  
Category: Standards Track

J. Klensin, WG Chair  
MCI  
N. Freed, Editor  
Innosoft  
M. Rose  
Dover Beach Consulting, Inc.  
E. Stefferud  
Network Management Associates, Inc.  
D. Crocker  
Silicon Graphics, Inc.  
July 1994

## SMTP Service Extension for 8bit-MIMEtransport

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

This memo defines an extension to the SMTP service whereby an SMTP content body consisting of text containing octets outside of the US-ASCII octet range (hex 00-7F) may be relayed using SMTP.

### 1. Introduction

Although SMTP is widely and robustly deployed, various extensions have been requested by parts of the Internet community. In particular, a significant portion of the Internet community wishes to exchange messages in which the content body consists of a MIME message [3] containing arbitrary octet-aligned material. This memo uses the mechanism described in [5] to define an extension to the SMTP service whereby such contents may be exchanged. Note that this extension does NOT eliminate the possibility of an SMTP server limiting line length; servers are free to implement this extension but nevertheless set a line length limit no lower than 1000 octets. Given that this restriction still applies, this extension does NOT provide a means for transferring unencoded binary via SMTP.

## 2. Framework for the 8bit MIME Transport Extension

The 8bit MIME transport extension is laid out as follows:

- (1) the name of the SMTP service extension defined here is 8bit-MIMEtransport;
- (2) the EHLO keyword value associated with the extension is 8BITMIME;
- (3) no parameter is used with the 8BITMIME EHLO keyword;
- (4) one optional parameter using the keyword BODY is added to the MAIL FROM command. The value associated with this parameter is a keyword indicating whether a 7bit message (in strict compliance with [1]) or a MIME message (in strict compliance with [3]) with arbitrary octet content is being sent. The syntax of the value is as follows, using the ABNF notation of [2]:

body-value ::= "7BIT" / "8BITMIME"

- (5) no additional SMTP verbs are defined by this extension; and,
- (6) the next section specifies how support for the extension affects the behavior of a server and client SMTP.

## 3. The 8bit-MIMEtransport service extension

When a client SMTP wishes to submit (using the MAIL command) a content body consisting of a MIME message containing arbitrary lines of octet-aligned material, it first issues the EHLO command to the server SMTP. If the server SMTP responds with code 250 to the EHLO command, and the response includes the EHLO keyword value 8BITMIME, then the server SMTP is indicating that it supports the extended MAIL command and will accept MIME messages containing arbitrary octet-aligned material.

The extended MAIL command is issued by a client SMTP when it wishes to transmit a content body consisting of a MIME message containing arbitrary lines of octet-aligned material. The syntax for this command is identical to the MAIL command in [1], except that a BODY parameter must appear after the address. Only one BODY parameter may be used in a single MAIL command.

The complete syntax of this extended command is defined in [5]. The esmtp-keyword is BODY and the syntax for esmtp-value is given by the syntax for body-value shown above.

The value associated with the BODY parameter indicates whether the content body which will be passed using the DATA command consists of a MIME message containing some arbitrary octet-aligned material ("8BITMIME") or is encoded entirely in accordance with [1] ("7BIT").

A server which supports the 8-bit MIME transport service extension shall preserve all bits in each octet passed using the DATA command.

Naturally, the usual SMTP data-stuffing algorithm applies so that a content which contains the five-character sequence of

<CR> <LF> <DOT> <CR> <LF>

or a content that begins with the three-character sequence of

<DOT> <CR> <LF>

does not prematurely terminate the transfer of the content. Further, it should be noted that the CR-LF pair immediately preceeding the final dot is considered part of the content. Finally, although the content body contains arbitrary lines of octet-aligned material, the length of each line (number of octets between two CR-LF pairs), is still subject to SMTP server line length restrictions (which may allow as few as 1000 octets on a single line). This restriction means that this extension MAY provide the necessary facilities for transferring a MIME object with the 8BIT content-transfer-encoding, it DOES NOT provide a means of transferring an object with the BINARY content-transfer-encoding.

Once a server SMTP supporting the 8bit-MIMEtransport service extension accepts a content body containing octets with the high-order (8th) bit set, the server SMTP must deliver or relay the content in such a way as to preserve all bits in each octet.

If a server SMTP does not support the 8-bit MIME transport extension (either by not responding with code 250 to the EHLO command, or by not including the EHLO keyword value 8BITMIME in its response), then the client SMTP must not, under any circumstances, attempt to transfer a content which contains characters outside the US-ASCII octet range (hex 00-7F).

A client SMTP has two options in this case: first, it may implement a gateway transformation to convert the message into valid 7bit MIME, or second, or may treat this as a permanent error and handle it in

the usual manner for delivery failures. The specifics of the transformation from 8bit MIME to 7bit MIME are not described by this RFC; the conversion is nevertheless constrained in the following ways:

- (1) it must cause no loss of information; MIME transport encodings must be employed as needed to insure this is the case, and
- (2) the resulting message must be valid 7bit MIME.

#### 4. Usage Example

The following dialogue illustrates the use of the 8bit-MIMEtransport service extension:

```
S: <wait for connection on TCP port 25>
C: <open connection to server>
S: 220 dbc.mtview.ca.us SMTP service ready
C: EHLO ymir.claremont.edu
S: 250-dbc.mtview.ca.us says hello
S: 250 8BITMIME
C: MAIL FROM:<ned@ymir.claremont.edu> BODY=8BITMIME
S: 250 <ned@ymir.claremont.edu>... Sender and 8BITMIME ok
C: RCPT TO:<mrose@dbc.mtview.ca.us>
S: 250 <mrose@dbc.mtview.ca.us>... Recipient ok
C: DATA
S: 354 Send 8BITMIME message, ending in CRLF.CRLF.
...
C: .
S: 250 OK
C: QUIT
S: 250 Goodbye
```

#### 5. Security Considerations

This RFC does not discuss security issues and is not believed to raise any security issues not already endemic in electronic mail and present in fully conforming implementations of [1].

#### 6. Acknowledgements

This document represents a synthesis of the ideas of many people and reactions to the ideas and proposals of others. Randall Atkinson, Craig Everhart, Risto Kankkunen, and Greg Vaudreuil contributed ideas and text sufficient to be considered co-authors. Other important suggestions, text, or encouragement came from Harald Alvestrand, Jim Conklin, Mark Crispin, Frank da Cruz, 'Olafur Gudmundsson, Per

Hedeland, Christian Huitma, Neil Katin, Eliot Lear, Harold A. Miller, Keith Moore, Dan Oscarsson, Julian Onions, Neil Rickert, John Wagner, Rayan Zachariassen, and the contributions of the entire IETF SMTP Working Group. Of course, none of the individuals are necessarily responsible for the combination of ideas represented here. Indeed, in some cases, the response to a particular criticism was to accept the problem identification but to include an entirely different solution from the one originally proposed.

## 7. References

- [1] Postel, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", STD 10, RFC 821, USC/Information Sciences Institute, August 1982.
- [2] Crocker, D., "Standard for the Format of ARPA Internet Text Messages", STD 11, RFC 822, UDEL, August 1982.
- [3] Borenstein, N., and N. Freed, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions", RFC 1521, Bellcore, Innosoft, September 1993.
- [4] Moore, K., "Representation of Non-ASCII Text in Internet Message Headers", RFC 1522, University of Tennessee, September 1993.
- [5] Klensin, J., Freed, N., Rose, M., Stefferud, E., and D. Crocker, "SMTP Service Extensions", RFC 1651, MCI, Innosoft, Dover Beach Consulting, Inc., Network Management Associates, Inc., Silicon Graphics, Inc., July 1994.
- [6] Partridge, C., "Mail Routing and the Domain System", STD 14, RFC 974, BBN, January 1986.

## 8. Chair, Editor, and Authors' Addresses

John Klensin, WG Chair  
MCI Data Services Division  
2100 Reston Parkway, 6th floor  
Reston, VA 22091  
USA

Phone:: 1 703 715 7361  
Fax: +1 703 715 7435  
EMail: klensin@mci.net

Ned Freed, Editor  
Innosoft International, Inc.  
1050 East Garvey Avenue South  
West Covina, CA 91790  
USA

Phone:: +1 818 919 3600  
Fax: +1 818 919 3614  
EMail: ned@innosoft.com

Marshall T. Rose  
Dover Beach Consulting, Inc.  
420 Whisman Court  
Mountain View, CA 94043-2186  
USA

Phone: +1 415 968 1052  
Fax: +1 415 968 2510  
EMail: mrose@dbc.mtview.ca.us

Einar A. Stefferud  
Network Management Associates, Inc.  
17301 Drey Lane  
Huntington Beach, CA, 92647-5615  
USA

Phone: +1 714 842 3711  
Fax: +1 714 848 2091  
EMail: stef@nma.com

Dave Crocker  
Silicon Graphics, Inc.  
2011 N. Shoreline Blvd.  
P.O. Box 7311  
Mountain View, CA 94039  
USA

Phone: +1 415 390 1804  
Fax: +1 415 962 8404  
EMail: dcrocker@sgi.com