Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 7647

Updates: 3515 Category: Standards Track ISSN: 2070-1721

R. Sparks Oracle A.B. Roach Mozilla September 2015

Clarifications for the Use of REFER with RFC 6665

Abstract

The SIP REFER method relies on the SIP-Specific Event Notification framework. That framework was revised by RFC 6665. This document highlights the implications of the requirement changes in RFC 6665. and updates the definition of the REFER method described in RFC 3515 to clarify and disambiguate the impact of those changes.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7647.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal **Provisions Relating to IETF Documents** (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	•	•	•				•		2
2.	Conventions Used in This Document .									2
	Use of GRUU Is Mandatory									
	Dialog Reuse Is Prohibited									
5.	The 202 Response Code Is Deprecated									4
6.	Security Considerations									4
7.	References									4
7.	1. Normative References									4
7.	2. Informative References									5
	nowledgements									6
Auth	nors' Äddresses			_						6

1. Introduction

The SIP REFER method relies on the SIP-Specific Event Notification framework. That framework was revised by [RFC6665]. This document highlights the implications of the requirement changes in RFC 6665, and updates [RFC3515] to clarify and disambiguate the impact of those changes.

Accepting a REFER request (without invoking extensions) results in an implicit SIP-Events subscription. If that REFER was part of an existing dialog, the implicit subscription creates a new, problematic dialog usage within that dialog [RFC5057]. The "norefersub" extension defined in [RFC4488] asks to suppress this implicit subscription, but cannot prevent its creation.

There are implementations in some known specialized environments (such as 3GPP) that use out-of-signaling agreements to ensure that in-dialog REFER requests using the RFC 4488 extension do not create a new subscription inside that dialog. In the 3GPP environment, the behavior is based on capabilities advertised using media feature tags. That mechanism does not, however, prevent additional dialog usages when interoperating with implementations that do not support the mechanism. The extensions in [RFC7614] provide a standardized mechanism that allows avoiding any additional dialog usage.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Use of GRUU Is Mandatory

Section 4.5.1 of [RFC6665] makes GRUU [RFC5627] mandatory for notifiers to implement and use as the local target in the subscription created by the REFER request.

A user agent (UA) accepting a REFER that creates a subscription MUST populate its Contact header field with a GRUU.

A UA that might possibly become a notifier (e.g., by accepting a REFER request that creates a subscription) needs to include a GRUU in the Contact header field of dialog-forming and target-refresh methods (such as INVITE) [RFC7621]. This ensures that out-of-dialog REFER requests corresponding to any resulting INVITE dialogs arrive at this UA. Extensions can relax this requirement by defining a REFER request that cannot create an implicit subscription, thus not causing the accepting UA to become an RFC 6665 notifier in the context of this dialog. [RFC7614] is an example of such an extension.

4. Dialog Reuse Is Prohibited

If a peer in an existing dialog has provided a GRUU as its Contact, sending a REFER that might result in an additional dialog usage within that dialog is prohibited. This is a direct consequence of [RFC6665] requiring the use of GRUU and the requirements in Section 4.5.2 of that document.

A user agent constructing a REFER request that could result in an implicit subscription in a dialog MUST build it as an out-of-dialog message as defined in [RFC3261], unless the remote endpoint is an older implementation of RFC 3515 that has not been updated to conform to RFC 6665 (as determined by the absence of a GRUU in the remote target). Thus, the REFER request will have no tag parameter in its To: header field.

Using the "norefersub" option tag [RFC4488] does not change this requirement, even if used in a "Require" header field. Even if the recipient supports the "norefersub" mechanism, and accepts the request with the option tag in the "Require" header field, it is allowed to return a "Refer-Sub" header field with a value of "true" in the response, and create an implicit subscription.

A user agent wishing to identify an existing dialog (such as for call transfer as defined in [RFC5589]) MUST use the "Target-Dialog" extension defined in [RFC4538] to do so, and user agents accepting REFER MUST be able to process that extension in requests they receive.

If a user agent can be certain that no implicit subscription will be created as a result of sending a REFER request (such as by requiring an extension that disallows any such subscription [RFC7614]), the REFER request MAY be sent within an existing dialog (whether or not the remote target is a GRUU). Such a REFER will be constructed with its Contact header field populated with the dialog's local URI as specified in Section 12 of [RFC3261].

As described in Section 4.5.2 of [RFC6665], there are cases where a user agent may fall back to sharing existing dialogs for backwards-compatibility purposes. This applies to a REFER only when the peer has not provided a GRUU as its Contact in the existing dialog (i.e., when the peer is an implementation of RFC 3515 that has not been updated to conform with RFC 6665).

5. The 202 Response Code Is Deprecated

Section 8.3.1 of [RFC6665] requires that elements not send a 202 response code to a subscribe request, but use the 200 response code instead. Any 202 response codes received to a subscribe request are treated as 200s. These changes also apply to REFER. Specifically, an element accepting a REFER request MUST NOT reply with a 202 response code and MUST treat any 202 responses received as identical to a 200 response. Wherever [RFC3515] requires sending a 202 response code, a 200 response code MUST be sent instead.

6. Security Considerations

This document introduces no new security considerations directly. The updated considerations in [RFC6665] apply to the implicit subscription created by an accepted REFER request.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.

- [RFC4538] Rosenberg, J., "Request Authorization through Dialog Identification in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 4538, DOI 10.17487/RFC4538, June 2006, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4538.
- [RFC5627] Rosenberg, J., "Obtaining and Using Globally Routable User Agent URIs (GRUUs) in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 5627, DOI 10.17487/RFC5627, October 2009, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5627.
- [RFC7621] Roach, A.B., "A Clarification on the Use of Globally Routable User Agent URIs (GRUUs) in the SIP Event Notification Framework", RFC 7621, DOI 10.17487/RFC7621, August 2015, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7621.

7.2. Informative References

- [RFC5057] Sparks, R., "Multiple Dialog Usages in the Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 5057, DOI 10.17487/RFC5057, November 2007, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5057.
- [RFC5589] Sparks, R., Johnston, A., Ed., and D. Petrie, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Call Control Transfer", BCP 149, RFC 5589, DOI 10.17487/RFC5589, June 2009, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5589.
- [RFC7614] Sparks, R., "Explicit Subscriptions for the REFER Method", RFC 7614, DOI 10.17487/RFC7614, August 2015, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7614.

Acknowledgements

Christer Holmberg provided the formulation for the final paragraph of the introduction. Christer Holmberg and Ivo Sedlacek provided detailed comments during working group discussion of the document.

Authors' Addresses

Robert Sparks Oracle 7460 Warren Parkway Suite 300 Frisco, Texas 75034 United States

Email: rjsparks@nostrum.com

Adam Roach Mozilla Dallas, TX United States

Phone: +1 650 903 0800 x863 Email: adam@nostrum.com