Network Working Group Request for Comments: 5526 Category: Informational J. Livingood Comcast Cable Communications P. Pfautz AT&T R. Stastny Unaffiliated April 2009

The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI)

Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application

for Infrastructure ENUM

### Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

#### Abstract

This document defines the use case for Infrastructure ENUM and proposes its implementation as a parallel namespace to "e164.arpa", as defined in RFC 3761, as the long-term solution to the problem of allowing carriers to provision DNS records for telephone numbers independently of those provisioned by end users (number assignees).

#### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
	Terminology	
3.	Zone Apex for Infrastructure ENUM	3
4.	IANA Considerations	3
5.	Security and Privacy Considerations	4
6.	Acknowledgements	4
7.	Normative References	4

#### 1. Introduction

ENUM (E.164 Number Mapping [1]) is a system that transforms E.164 numbers [2] into domain names and then uses the DNS (Domain Name Service) [3] to discover NAPTR records that specify what services are available for a specific domain name.

ENUM as originally defined was based on the end-user opt-in principle. While this has great potential to foster new services and end-user choices in the long term, the current requirements for IP-based interconnection of Voice over IP (VoIP) domains require the provisioning of large numbers of allocated or served (hosted) numbers of a participating service provider, without the need for individual users to opt-in. This way, service providers can provision their own ENUM information that is separate, distinct, and likely to be different from what an end-user may provision. This is particularly important if Infrastructure ENUM is used for number-portability applications, for example, which an end-user would be unlikely interested in provisioning but which a service provider would likely find essential.

In addition, while it is possible that service providers could mandate that their users opt-into e164.arpa through end-user contract terms and conditions, there are substantial downsides to such an approach. Thus, for all these reasons and many others, ENUM for end-user provisioning is ill-suited for use by service providers for the interconnection of VoIP domains.

As VoIP evolves and becomes pervasive, E.164-addressed telephone calls need not necessarily traverse the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN). Therefore, VoIP service providers have an interest in using ENUM on a so-called "Infrastructure" basis in order to keep VoIP traffic on IP networks on an end-to-end basis, both within and between service provider domains. This requires a means of identifying a VoIP point of interconnection to which calls addressed to a given E.164 number may be delivered; Infrastructure ENUM provides this means. Calls that can originate and terminate on IP networks, and do not have to traverse the PSTN, will require fewer or no points of transcoding, and can also involve additional IP network services that are not possible on the PSTN, among other benefits.

Requirements for Infrastructure ENUM are provided in [4].

### 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119 [5].

### 3. Zone Apex for Infrastructure ENUM

This document proposes that Infrastructure ENUM be implemented by means of a parallel namespace to e164.arpa dedicated to Infrastructure ENUM, in a domain that is yet to be determined. Use of a parallel namespace allows carriers and end-users to control their ENUM registrations independently, without forcing one to work through the other.

Infrastructure ENUM Tier 2 resource records in the Infrastructure ENUM tree will be controlled by the service provider that is providing services to a given E.164 number, generally referred to in various countries as the "carrier-of-record" (see [4]). The definition of a carrier-of-record for a given E.164 number is a national matter or is defined by the entity controlling the numbering space.

See also Section 3, "Requirements for Infrastructure ENUM", of [4].

#### 4. IANA Considerations

IANA has created a registry for Enumservices as originally specified in RFC 2916 and revised in RFC 3761. Enumservices registered with IANA are valid for Infrastructure ENUM as well as end-user ENUM.

# 5. Security and Privacy Considerations

This document proposes a new zone apex for ENUM to meet the requirements of Infrastructure ENUM. The over-the-network protocol of ENUM is unchanged by the addition of an apex and, as such, the Security Considerations of RFC 3761 [1] still apply. Specific considerations related to the security of an Infrastructure ENUM apex are given in more detail in Section 4, "Security Considerations", of [4].

Infrastructure ENUM registrations proposed by this document should resolve to service provider points-of-interconnection rather than to end-user equipment. Service providers need to take appropriate measures to protect their end-user customers from unwanted communications as with other types of interconnections.

## 6. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Lawrence Conroy, Patrik Faltstrom, Michael Haberler, Otmar Lendl, Steve Lind, Alexander Mayrhofer, Jim Reid, and Richard Shockey for their helpful discussions of this document and the concept of Infrastructure ENUM.

### 7. Normative References

- [1] Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", RFC 3761, April 2004.
- [2] ITU-T, "The International Public Telecommunication Number Plan", Recommendation E.164, February 2005.
- [3] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names concepts and facilities", STD 13, RFC 1034, November 1987.
- [4] Lind, S. and P. Pfautz, "Infrastructure ENUM Requirements", RFC 5067, November 2007.
- [5] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

## **Authors' Addresses**

Jason Livingood Comcast Cable Communications 1500 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102 USA

Phone: +1-215-981-7813

EMail: jason\_livingood@cable.comcast.com

Penn Pfautz AT&T 200 S. Laurel Ave Middletown, NJ 07748 USA

Phone: +1-732-420-4962 EMail: ppfautz@att.com

Richard Stastny Anzbachgasse 43 1140 Vienna Austria

Phone: +43-664-420-4100

EMail: richard.stastny@gmail.com