

## IP Forwarding Table MIB

### Status of this Memo

This RFC specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols in TCP/IP-based internets. In particular, it defines objects for managing routes in the IP Internet.

It is proposed that the `ipRouteTable` defined by MIB-II (RFC 1213) be deprecated and replaced with this table. This adds the ability to set or display multi-path routes, and varying routes by network management policy.

### Table of Contents

1. The Network Management Framework .....	1
2. Objects .....	2
2.1 Format of Definitions .....	2
3. Overview .....	3
3.1 Structure of MIB .....	3
4. Definitions .....	4
4.1 IP Forwarding Table .....	4
5. Acknowledgements .....	11
6. References .....	11
7. Security Considerations.....	12
8. Author's Address.....	12

### 1. The Network Management Framework

The Internet-standard Network Management Framework consists of three components. They are:

RFC 1155 which defines the SMI, the mechanisms used for describing and naming objects for the purpose of management. RFC 1212 defines a

more concise description mechanism, which is wholly consistent with the SMI.

RFC 1156 which defines MIB-I, the core set of managed objects for the Internet suite of protocols. RFC 1213 defines MIB-II, an evolution of MIB-I based on implementation experience and new operational requirements.

RFC 1157 which defines the SNMP, the protocol used for network access to managed objects.

The Framework permits new objects to be defined for the purpose of experimentation and evaluation.

## 2. Objects

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the subset of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) [7] defined in the SMI. In particular, each object has a name, a syntax, and an encoding. The name is an object identifier, an administratively assigned name, which specifies an object type. The object type together with an object instance serves to uniquely identify a specific instantiation of the object. For human convenience, we often use a textual string, termed the OBJECT DESCRIPTOR, to also refer to the object type.

The syntax of an object type defines the abstract data structure corresponding to that object type. The ASN.1 language is used for this purpose. However, the SMI [3] purposely restricts the ASN.1 constructs which may be used. These restrictions are explicitly made for simplicity.

The encoding of an object type is simply how that object type is represented using the object type's syntax. Implicitly tied to the notion of an object type's syntax and encoding is how the object type is represented when being transmitted on the network.

The SMI specifies the use of the basic encoding rules of ASN.1 [8], subject to the additional requirements imposed by the SNMP.

### 2.1. Format of Definitions

Section 4 contains the specification of all object types contained in this MIB module. The object types are defined using the conventions defined in the SMI, as amended by the extensions specified in [9].

### 3. Overview

#### 3.1. Structure of MIB

The IP Forwarding Table is quite analogous to the older ipRoute Table. The principal differences are:

- (1) It is somewhat re-organized, for aesthetic reasons,
- (2) It has the Next Hop Autonomous System Number, useful primarily to the administrators of regional networks,
- (3) It is instanced by Policy and Next Hop as well as by ultimate destination. Thus, multiple multipath routes can be managed, not just a single route, along with the circumstances under which the any given route might be chosen.

### 4. Definitions

```
RFC1354-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN
```

```
IMPORTS
```

```
    Gauge, IpAddress
        FROM RFC1155-SMI
    mib-2, ip
        FROM RFC1213-MIB
    OBJECT-TYPE
        FROM RFC-1212;
```

```
-- This MIB module uses the extended OBJECT-TYPE macro as
-- defined in [9].
```

```
ipForward OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ip 24 }
```

```
    ipForwardNumber OBJECT-TYPE
```

```
        SYNTAX      Gauge
        ACCESS       read-only
        STATUS       mandatory
```

```
        DESCRIPTION
```

```
            "The number of current ipForwardTable entries
             that are not invalid."
```

```
        ::= { ipForward 1 }
```

```
-- IP Forwarding Table
```

```
-- The IP Forwarding Table obsoletes and replaces the ipRoute
-- Table current in MIB-I and MIB-II. It adds knowledge of
```

- the autonomous system of the next hop, multiple next hop
- support, and policy routing support.

```
ipForwardTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      SEQUENCE OF IpForwardEntry
    ACCESS      not-accessible
    STATUS      mandatory
    DESCRIPTION
        "This entity's IP Routing table."
    REFERENCE
        "RFC 1213 Section 6.6, The IP Group"
    ::= { ipForward 2 }

ipForwardEntry OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      IpForwardEntry
    ACCESS      not-accessible
    STATUS      mandatory
    DESCRIPTION
        "A particular route to a particular destina-
        tion, under a particular policy."
    INDEX {
        ipForwardDest,
        ipForwardProto,
        ipForwardPolicy,
        ipForwardNextHop
    }
    ::= { ipForwardTable 1 }

IpForwardEntry ::=
    SEQUENCE {
        ipForwardDest
            IpAddress,
        ipForwardMask
            IpAddress,
        ipForwardPolicy
            INTEGER,
        ipForwardNextHop
            IpAddress,
        ipForwardIfIndex
            INTEGER,
        ipForwardType
            INTEGER,
        ipForwardProto
            INTEGER,
        ipForwardAge
```

```

        INTEGER,
        ipForwardInfo
        OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
        ipForwardNextHopAS
        INTEGER,
        ipForwardMetric1
        INTEGER,
        ipForwardMetric2
        INTEGER,
        ipForwardMetric3
        INTEGER,
        ipForwardMetric4
        INTEGER,
        ipForwardMetric5
        INTEGER
    }

ipForwardDest OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      IpAddress
    ACCESS      read-only
    STATUS      mandatory
    DESCRIPTION
        "The destination IP address of this route.  An
        entry with a value of 0.0.0.0 is considered a
        default route.

        This object may not take a Multicast (Class D)
        address value.

        Any assignment (implicit or otherwise) of an
        instance of this object to a value x must be
        rejected if the bitwise logical-AND of x with
        the value of the corresponding instance of the
        ipForwardMask object is not equal to x."
    ::= { ipForwardEntry 1 }

ipForwardMask OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      IpAddress
    ACCESS      read-write
    STATUS      mandatory
    DESCRIPTION
        "Indicate the mask to be logical-ANDed with the
        destination address before being compared to
        the value in the ipForwardDest field.  For
        those systems that do not support arbitrary
        subnet masks, an agent constructs the value of
        the ipForwardMask by reference to the IP Ad-
```

dress Class.

Any assignment (implicit or otherwise) of an instance of this object to a value x must be rejected if the bitwise logical-AND of x with the value of the corresponding instance of the ipForwardDest object is not equal to ipForwardDest."

```
DEFVAL { '00000000'h }      -- 0.0.0.0
 ::= { ipForwardEntry 2 }
```

-- The following convention is included for specification  
 -- of TOS Field contents. At this time, the Host Requirements  
 -- and the Router Requirements documents disagree on the width  
 -- of the TOS field. This mapping describes the Router  
 -- Requirements mapping, and leaves room to widen the TOS field  
 -- without impact to fielded systems.

#### ipForwardPolicy OBJECT-TYPE

```
SYNTAX      INTEGER
ACCESS      read-only
STATUS      mandatory
DESCRIPTION
```

"The general set of conditions that would cause the selection of one multipath route (set of next hops for a given destination) is referred to as 'policy'.

Unless the mechanism indicated by ipForwardProto specifies otherwise, the policy specifier is the IP TOS Field. The encoding of IP TOS is as specified by the following convention. Zero indicates the default path if no more specific policy applies.

PRECEDENCE	TYPE OF SERVICE	0
------------	-----------------	---

IP TOS					IP TOS						
Field				Policy	Field				Policy		
Contents				Code	Contents				Code		
0	0	0	0	==>	0	0	0	1	==>	2	
0	0	1	0	==>	4	0	0	1	1	==>	6
0	1	0	0	==>	8	0	1	0	1	==>	10

0 1 1 0 ==>	12	0 1 1 1 ==>	14
1 0 0 0 ==>	16	1 0 0 1 ==>	18
1 0 1 0 ==>	20	1 0 1 1 ==>	22
1 1 0 0 ==>	24	1 1 0 1 ==>	26
1 1 1 0 ==>	28	1 1 1 1 ==>	30

Protocols defining 'policy' otherwise must either define a set of values which are valid for this object or must implement an integer-instanced policy table for which this object's value acts as an index."

::= { ipForwardEntry 3 }

#### ipForwardNextHop OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX IpAddress  
 ACCESS read-only  
 STATUS mandatory  
 DESCRIPTION  
 "On remote routes, the address of the next system en route; Otherwise, 0.0.0.0."  
 ::= { ipForwardEntry 4 }

#### ipForwardIfIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER  
 ACCESS read-write  
 STATUS mandatory  
 DESCRIPTION  
 "The ifIndex value which identifies the local interface through which the next hop of this route should be reached."  
 DEFVAL { 0 }  
 ::= { ipForwardEntry 5 }

#### ipForwardType OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER {  
     other (1), -- not specified by this MIB  
     invalid (2), -- logically deleted  
     local (3), -- local interface  
     remote (4) -- remote destination  
 }  
 ACCESS read-write  
 STATUS mandatory  
 DESCRIPTION  
 "The type of route. Note that local(3) refers to a route for which the next hop is the final

destination; remote(4) refers to a route for which the next hop is not the final destination.

Setting this object to the value invalid(2) has the effect of invalidating the corresponding entry in the ipForwardTable object. That is, it effectively disassociates the destination identified with said entry from the route identified with said entry. It is an implementation-specific matter as to whether the agent removes an invalidated entry from the table. Accordingly, management stations must be prepared to receive tabular information from agents that corresponds to entries not currently in use. Proper interpretation of such entries requires examination of the relevant ipForwardType object."

```
DEFVAL { invalid }
::= { ipForwardEntry 6 }
```

ipForwardProto OBJECT-TYPE

```
SYNTAX      INTEGER {
    other          (1),  -- not specified
    local          (2),  -- local interface
    netmgmt        (3),  -- static route
    icmp           (4),  -- result of ICMP Redirect

    -- the following are all dynamic
    -- routing protocols
    egp             (5),  -- Exterior Gateway Protocol
    ggp             (6),  -- Gateway-Gateway Protocol
    hello           (7),  -- FuzzBall HelloSpeak
    rip             (8),  -- Berkeley RIP or RIP-II
    is-is           (9),  -- Dual IS-IS
    es-is           (10), -- ISO 9542
    ciscoIgrp       (11), -- Cisco IGRP
    bbnSpfIgp       (12), -- BBN SPF IGP
    ospf            (13), -- Open Shortest Path First
    bgp             (14), -- Border Gateway Protocol
    idpr            (15)  -- InterDomain Policy Routing
}
ACCESS      read-only
STATUS      mandatory
DESCRIPTION
```

"The routing mechanism via which this route was learned. Inclusion of values for gateway routing protocols is not intended to imply that



hosts should support those protocols."  
 ::= { ipForwardEntry 7 }

ipForwardAge OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-only

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The number of seconds since this route was last updated or otherwise determined to be correct. Note that no semantics of 'too old' can be implied except through knowledge of the routing protocol by which the route was learned."

DEFVAL { 0 }

::= { ipForwardEntry 8 }

ipForwardInfo OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX OBJECT IDENTIFIER

ACCESS read-write

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"A reference to MIB definitions specific to the particular routing protocol which is responsible for this route, as determined by the value specified in the route's ipForwardProto value. If this information is not present, its value should be set to the OBJECT IDENTIFIER { 0 0 }, which is a syntactically valid object identifier, and any implementation conforming to ASN.1 and the Basic Encoding Rules must be able to generate and recognize this value."

DEFVAL { { 0 0 } } -- 0.0

::= { ipForwardEntry 9 }

ipForwardNextHopAS OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-write

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The Autonomous System Number of the Next Hop. When this is unknown or not relevant to the protocol indicated by ipForwardProto, zero."

DEFVAL { 0 }

::= { ipForwardEntry 10 }

**ipForwardMetric1 OBJECT-TYPE**

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-write

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The primary routing metric for this route. The semantics of this metric are determined by the routing-protocol specified in the route's ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not used, its value should be set to -1."

DEFVAL { -1 }

::= { ipForwardEntry 11 }

**ipForwardMetric2 OBJECT-TYPE**

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-write

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"An alternate routing metric for this route. The semantics of this metric are determined by the routing-protocol specified in the route's ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not used, its value should be set to -1."

DEFVAL { -1 }

::= { ipForwardEntry 12 }

**ipForwardMetric3 OBJECT-TYPE**

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-write

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"An alternate routing metric for this route. The semantics of this metric are determined by the routing-protocol specified in the route's ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not used, its value should be set to -1."

DEFVAL { -1 }

::= { ipForwardEntry 13 }

**ipForwardMetric4 OBJECT-TYPE**

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-write

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"An alternate routing metric for this route."

The semantics of this metric are determined by the routing-protocol specified in the route's ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not used, its value should be set to -1."

DEFVAL { -1 }  
::= { ipForwardEntry 14 }

ipForwardMetric5 OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER  
ACCESS read-write  
STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"An alternate routing metric for this route. The semantics of this metric are determined by the routing-protocol specified in the route's ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not used, its value should be set to -1."

DEFVAL { -1 }  
::= { ipForwardEntry 15 }

END

## 5. Acknowledgements

This document was produced by the Router Requirements Working Group, of which Phil Almquist is the chair.

Chris Gunner (DEC) and Keith McCloghrie (Hughes LAN Systems) made significant comments on it, and it is better for their input.

## 6. References

- [1] Cerf, V., "IAB Recommendations for the Development of Internet Network Management Standards", RFC 1052, NRI, April 1988.
- [2] Cerf, V., "Report of the Second Ad Hoc Network Management Review Group", RFC 1109, NRI, August 1989.
- [3] Rose M., and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1155, Performance Systems International, Hughes LAN Systems, May 1990.
- [4] McCloghrie K., and M. Rose, "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1156, Hughes LAN Systems, Performance Systems International, May 1990.

- [5] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol", RFC 1157, SNMP Research, Performance Systems International, Performance Systems International, MIT Laboratory for Computer Science, May 1990.
- [6] McCloghrie K., and M. Rose, Editors, "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1213, Performance Systems International, March 1991.
- [7] Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1), International Organization for Standardization, International Standard 8824, December 1987.
- [8] Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Specification of Basic Encoding Rules for Abstract Notation One (ASN.1), International Organization for Standardization, International Standard 8825, December 1987.
- [9] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, Editors, "Concise MIB Definitions", RFC 1212, Performance Systems International, Hughes LAN Systems, March 1991.
- [10] McCloghrie K., and M. Rose, Editors, "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1213, Performance Systems International, March 1991.
- [11] Baker, F., and R. Coltun, "OSPF Version 2 Management Information Base", RFC 1253, ACC, Computer Science Center, August 1991.

## 7. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

## 8. Author's Address

Fred Baker  
Advanced Computer Communications  
315 Bollay Drive  
Santa Barbara, CA 93117-6014

Phone: (805) 685-4455

EMail: fbaker@acc.com