

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 2891  
Category: Standards Track

T. Howes  
Loudcloud  
M. Wahl  
Sun Microsystems  
A. Anantha  
Microsoft  
August 2000

## LDAP Control Extension for Server Side Sorting of Search Results

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

This document describes two LDAPv3 control extensions for server side sorting of search results. These controls allows a client to specify the attribute types and matching rules a server should use when returning the results to an LDAP search request. The controls may be useful when the LDAP client has limited functionality or for some other reason cannot sort the results but still needs them sorted. Other permissible controls on search operations are not defined in this extension.

The sort controls allow a server to return a result code for the sorting of the results that is independent of the result code returned for the search operation.

The key words "MUST", "SHOULD", and "MAY" used in this document are to be interpreted as described in [bradner97].

## 1. The Controls

### 1.1 Request Control

This control is included in the `searchRequest` message as part of the `controls` field of the `LDAPMessage`, as defined in Section 4.1.12 of [LDAPv3].

The `controlType` is set to "1.2.840.113556.1.4.473". The criticality MAY be either TRUE or FALSE (where absent is also equivalent to FALSE) at the client's option. The `controlValue` is an OCTET STRING, whose value is the BER encoding of a value of the following SEQUENCE:

```
SortKeyList ::= SEQUENCE OF SEQUENCE {  
    attributeType      AttributeDescription,  
    orderingRule        [0] MatchingRuleId OPTIONAL,  
    reverseOrder        [1] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE }
```

The `SortKeyList` sequence is in order of highest to lowest sort key precedence.

The `MatchingRuleId`, as defined in section 4.1.9 of [LDAPv3], SHOULD be one that is valid for the attribute type it applies to. If it is not, the server will return `inappropriateMatching`.

Each `attributeType` should only occur in the `SortKeyList` once. If an `attributeType` is included in the sort key list multiple times, the server should return an error in the `sortResult` of `unwillingToPerform`.

If the `orderingRule` is omitted, the ordering `MatchingRule` defined for use with this attribute MUST be used.

Any conformant implementation of this control MUST allow a sort key list with at least one key.

### 1.2 Response Control

This control is included in the `searchResultDone` message as part of the `controls` field of the `LDAPMessage`, as defined in Section 4.1.12 of [LDAPv3].

The `controlType` is set to "1.2.840.113556.1.4.474". The criticality is FALSE (MAY be absent). The `controlValue` is an OCTET STRING, whose value is the BER encoding of a value of the following SEQUENCE:

```

SortResult ::= SEQUENCE {
    sortResult ENUMERATED {
        success (0), -- results are sorted
        operationsError (1), -- server internal failure
        timeLimitExceeded (3), -- timelimit reached before
                                -- sorting was completed
        strongAuthRequired (8), -- refused to return sorted
                                -- results via insecure
                                -- protocol
        adminLimitExceeded (11), -- too many matching entries
                                -- for the server to sort
        noSuchAttribute (16), -- unrecognized attribute
                                -- type in sort key
        inappropriateMatching (18), -- unrecognized or
                                -- inappropriate matching
                                -- rule in sort key
        insufficientAccessRights (50), -- refused to return sorted
                                -- results to this client
        busy (51), -- too busy to process
        unwillingToPerform (53), -- unable to sort
        other (80)
    },
    attributeType [0] AttributeDescription OPTIONAL }

```

## 2. Client-Server Interaction

The `sortKeyRequestControl` specifies one or more attribute types and matching rules for the results returned by a search request. The server **SHOULD** return all results for the search request in the order specified by the sort keys. If the `reverseOrder` field is set to **TRUE**, then the entries will be presented in reverse sorted order for the specified key.

There are six possible scenarios that may occur as a result of the sort control being included on the search request:

- 1 - If the server does not support this sorting control and the client specified **TRUE** for the control's criticality field, then the server **MUST** return `unavailableCriticalExtension` as a return code in the `searchResultDone` message and not send back any other results. This behavior is specified in section 4.1.12 of [LDAPv3].
- 2 - If the server does not support this sorting control and the client specified **FALSE** for the control's criticality field, then the server **MUST** ignore the sort control and process the search request as if it were not present. This behavior is specified in section 4.1.12 of [LDAPv3].

- 3 - If the server supports this sorting control but for some reason cannot sort the search results using the specified sort keys and the client specified TRUE for the control's criticality field, then the server SHOULD do the following: return unavailableCriticalExtension as a return code in the searchResultDone message; include the sortKeyResponseControl in the searchResultDone message, and not send back any search result entries.
- 4 - If the server supports this sorting control but for some reason cannot sort the search results using the specified sort keys and the client specified FALSE for the control's criticality field, then the server should return all search results unsorted and include the sortKeyResponseControl in the searchResultDone message.
- 5 - If the server supports this sorting control and can sort the search results using the specified sort keys, then it should include the sortKeyResponseControl in the searchResultDone message with a sortResult of success.
- 6 - If the search request failed for any reason and/or there are no searchResultEntry messages returned for the search response, then the server SHOULD omit the sortKeyResponseControl from the searchResultDone message.

The client application is assured that the results are sorted in the specified key order if and only if the result code in the sortKeyResponseControl is success. If the server omits the sortKeyResponseControl from the searchResultDone message, the client SHOULD assume that the sort control was ignored by the server.

The sortKeyResponseControl, if included by the server in the searchResultDone message, should have the sortResult set to either success if the results were sorted in accordance with the keys specified in the sortKeyRequestControl or set to the appropriate error code as to why it could not sort the data (such as noSuchAttribute or inappropriateMatching). Optionally, the server MAY set the attributeType to the first attribute type specified in the SortKeyList that was in error. The client SHOULD ignore the attributeType field if the sortResult is success.

The server may not be able to sort the results using the specified sort keys because it may not recognize one of the attribute types, the matching rule associated with an attribute type is not applicable, or none of the attributes in the search response are of these types. Servers may also restrict the number of keys allowed in the control, such as only supporting a single key.

Servers that chain requests to other LDAP servers should ensure that the server satisfying the client's request sort the entire result set prior to sending back the results.

## 2.1 Behavior in a chained environment

If a server receives a sort request, the client expects to receive a set of sorted results. If a client submits a sort request to a server which chains the request and gets entries from multiple servers, and the client has set the criticality of the sort extension to TRUE, the server **MUST** merge sort the results before returning them to the client or **MUST** return `unwillingToPerform`.

## 2.2 Other sort issues

An entry that meets the search criteria may be missing one or more of the sort keys. In that case, the entry is considered to have a value of NULL for that key. This standard considers NULL to be a larger value than all other valid values for that key. For example, if only one key is specified, entries which meet the search criteria but do not have that key collate after all the entries which do have that key. If the `reverseOrder` flag is set, and only one key is specified, entries which meet the search criteria but do not have that key collate **BEFORE** all the entries which do have that key.

If a sort key is a multi-valued attribute, and an entry happens to have multiple values for that attribute and no other controls are present that affect the sorting order, then the server **SHOULD** use the least value (according to the **ORDERING** rule for that attribute).

## 3. Interaction with other search controls

When the `sortKeyRequestControl` control is included with the `pagedResultsControl` control as specified in [LdapPaged], then the server should send the `searchResultEntry` messages sorted according to the sort keys applied to the entire result set. The server should not simply sort each page, as this will give erroneous results to the client.

The `sortKeyList` must be present on each `searchRequest` message for the paged result. It also must not change between `searchRequests` for the same result set. If the server has sorted the data, then it **SHOULD** send back a `sortKeyResponseControl` control on every `searchResultDone` message for each page. This will allow clients to quickly determine if the result set is sorted, rather than waiting to receive the entire result set.

#### 4. Security Considerations

Implementors and administrators should be aware that allowing sorting of results could enable the retrieval of a large number of records from a given directory service, regardless of administrative limits set on the maximum number of records to return.

A client that desired to pull all records out of a directory service could use a combination of sorting and updating of search filters to retrieve all records in a database in small result sets, thus circumventing administrative limits.

This behavior can be overcome by the judicious use of permissions on the directory entries by the administrator and by intelligent implementations of administrative limits on the number of records retrieved by a client.

#### 5. References

- [LDAPv3]      Wahl, M, Kille, S. and T. Howes, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (v3)", RFC 2251, December 1997.
- [Bradner97]   Bradner, S., "Key Words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [LdapPaged]   Weider, C., Herron, A., Anantha, A. and T. Howes, "LDAP Control Extension for Simple Paged Results Manipulation", RFC 2696, September 1999.

## 6. Authors' Addresses

Anoop Anantha  
Microsoft Corp.  
1 Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052  
USA

Phone: +1 425 882-8080  
EMail: [anoopa@microsoft.com](mailto:anoopa@microsoft.com)

Tim Howes  
Loudcloud, Inc.  
615 Tasman Dr.  
Sunnyvale, CA 94089  
USA

EMail: [howes@loudcloud.com](mailto:howes@loudcloud.com)

Mark Wahl  
Sun Microsystems, Inc.  
8911 Capital of Texas Hwy Suite 4140  
Austin, TX 78759  
USA

EMail: [Mark.Wahl@sun.com](mailto:Mark.Wahl@sun.com)

## 7. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.