

## RObust Header Compression (ROHC): A Compression Profile for IP

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004).

### Abstract

The original RObust Header Compression (ROHC) RFC (RFC 3095) defines a framework for header compression, along with compression protocols (profiles) for IP/UDP/RTP, IP/ESP (Encapsulating Security Payload), IP/UDP, and also a profile for uncompressed packet streams. However, no profile was defined for compression of IP only, which has been identified as a missing piece in RFC 3095. This document defines a ROHC compression profile for IP, similar to the IP/UDP profile defined by RFC 3095, but simplified to exclude UDP, and enhanced to compress IP header chains of arbitrary length.

### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	2
2.	Terminology. . . . .	2
3.	ROHC IP Compression (Profile 0x0004) . . . . .	3
3.1.	Static Chain Termination . . . . .	3
3.2.	Handling Multiple Levels of IP Headers . . . . .	3
3.3.	Constant IP-ID . . . . .	4
3.4.	Additional Mode Transition Logic . . . . .	6
3.5.	Initialization . . . . .	8
3.6.	Packet Types . . . . .	8
3.7.	The CONTEXT_MEMORY Feedback Option . . . . .	10
4.	Security Considerations. . . . .	10
5.	IANA Considerations. . . . .	10
6.	Acknowledgements . . . . .	10
7.	Normative References . . . . .	11

Appendix A. Detailed Procedures for Canceling Mode Transitions. .	12
A.1. Transition from Optimistic to Reliable Mode. . . . .	12
A.2. Transition from Unidirectional to Reliable Mode. . . . .	13
A.3. Transition from Reliable to Optimistic Mode. . . . .	13
A.4. Transition Back to Unidirectional Mode . . . . .	14
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	15
Full Copyright Statement . . . . .	16

## 1. Introduction

The original RObust Header Compression (ROHC) RFC [RFC-3095] defines a framework for header compression, along with compression protocols (profiles) for IP/UDP/RTP, IP/ESP (Encapsulating Security Payload), IP/UDP, and also a profile for uncompressed packet streams. The profile for uncompressed data was defined to provide a means to encapsulate all traffic over a link within ROHC packets. Through this profile, the lower layers do not have to provide multiplexing for different packet types, but instead ROHC can handle any packet stream, even if compression profiles for all kinds of packet streams have not yet been defined or implemented over the link.

Although the profile without compression is simple and can tunnel arbitrary packets, it has of course a major weakness in that it does not compress the headers at all. When considering that normally all packets are expected to be IP [RFC-791, RFC-2460] packets, and that the IP header often represents a major part of the total header, a useful alternative to no compression would for most packets be compression of the IP header only. Unfortunately, such a profile was not defined in [RFC-3095], and this has thus been identified as an important missing piece in the ROHC toolbox.

This document addresses this missing compression support and defines a ROHC compression profile for IP [RFC-791, RFC-2460] only, similar to the IP/UDP profile defined by [RFC-3095], but simplified to exclude UDP. Due to the similarities with the IP/UDP profile, the IP compression profile is described based on the IP/UDP profile, mainly covering differences. The most important differences are a different way of terminating the static header chain, and the capability of compressing IP header chains of arbitrary length.

## 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-2119].

## ROHC UDP

"ROHC UDP" in this document refers to the IP/UDP profile (Profile 0x0002) as defined in [RFC-3095].

### 3. ROHC IP Compression (Profile 0x0004)

In general, there are no major differences between the ROHC UDP profile and the IP profile (ROHC IP) defined in this document, since the removal of UDP has no impact on the compression mechanisms in principle. As for ROHC UDP, the compressor generates a 16-bit sequence number which increases by one for each packet compressed in the packet stream, simply called SN below. The most important difference between this profile and ROHC UDP is about static chain termination and the handling of multiple IP headers. Unless stated explicitly below, mechanisms and formats are the same as for ROHC UDP.

#### 3.1. Static Chain Termination

One difference for IP-only compression, compared to IP/UDP compression, is related to the termination of the static chain in IR headers. For the UDP profile, the chain always ends with a UDP header part, which per definition provides the boundaries for the chain. The UDP header is also the last header in the uncompressed packet (except for a potential application header). For the IP-only profile, there is no single last header that per profile definition terminates the chain. Instead, the static chain is terminated if the "Next Header / Protocol" field of a static IP header part indicates anything but IP (IPinIP or IPv6). Alternatively, the compressor can choose to end the static chain at any IP header, and indicate this by setting the MSB of the IP version field to 1 (0xC for IPv4 or 0xE for IPv6). The decompressor must store this indication in the context for correct decompression of subsequent headers. Note that the IP version field in decompressed headers must be restored to its original value.

#### 3.2. Handling Multiple Levels of IP Headers

The ROHC IR and IR-DYN packets defined in [RFC-3095] are used to communicate static and/or dynamic parts of a context. For each of the compression profiles defined in [RFC-3095], there is a single last header in the header chain that clearly marks the termination of the static chain. The length of the dynamic chain is then inferred from the static chain in the IR header itself, or from the static chain in the context for the IR-DYN header. The length of both static and dynamic chains may thus be of arbitrary length and may, in theory, initialize a context with an arbitrary number of IP levels.

However, the general compressed header formats defined in [RFC-3095, section 5.7.] specifies that at most two levels of IP headers (the 'Inner' and the 'Outer' level of IP headers) may be included in a compressed header. Specifically, the format defined for Extension 3 [RFC-3095, section 5.7.5.] can only carry one single 'Outer' IP header. In addition, while list compression may be used to compress other types of headers, it cannot be used to compress additional IP headers, as IP headers may not be part of an extension header chain in compressed headers [RFC-3095, section 5.8.].

For the compression profiles defined in [RFC-3095], the consequence is that at most two levels of IP headers can be compressed. In other words, the presence of additional IP headers at best partially disables header compression, as the compressor will only be allowed to send IR and IR-DYN packets in such cases.

For the compression of IP headers only, the additional IP headers would however not have to cause header compression to be disabled because there is no single packet type that ends the compressed chain. The excess IP headers could simply be left uncompressed by implicitly terminating the static and dynamic chains after at most two levels of IP headers.

The IP-only profile defined in this document goes one step further and supports compression of an arbitrary number of IP levels. This is achieved by adding a dynamic chain to the general format of compressed headers, to include the header part of each IP level in excess of the first two.

As explained above, the static chain within IR packets can be of arbitrary length, and the chain is terminated by the presence of a non-IP header (not IPinIP nor IPv6). Alternatively, the chain may be explicitly terminated with a special code value in the IP version field, as described in section 3.1. The dynamic chain is structured analogously.

For compressed headers, the information related to the initial two IP headers is carried as for the IP/UDP profile, and a chain of dynamic header information is added to the end of the compressed header for each and every additional IP header. Thus, this additional data structure is exactly the same as the one used in IR and IR-DYN packets. The length of the chain is inferred from the chain of static parameters in the context. While a dynamic chain carries dynamically changing parameters using an uncompressed representation, this ensures that flows with arbitrary levels of IP headers will not impair compression efficiency.

### 3.3. Constant IP-ID

Most IPv4 stacks assign an IP-ID according to the value of a counter, increasing by one for each outgoing packet. ROHC UDP compresses the IP-ID field using offset IP-ID encoding based on the UDP SN [RFC-3095]. For stacks generating IP-ID values using a pseudo-random number generator, the field is not compressed and is sent as-is in its entirety as additional octets after the compressed header.

Cases have also been found where an IPv4 stack uses a constant value for the IP Identifier. When the IP-ID field is constant, it cannot be compressed using offset IP-ID encoding and the field must be sent in its entirety. This overhead can be avoided with the addition of a flag within the dynamic part of the chain used to initialize the IPv4 header, as follow:

Dynamic part:

```

+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Type of Service           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Time to Live               |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
/           Identification             /    2 octets
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| DF|RND|NBO|SID|           0         |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
/ Generic extension header list /    variable length
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

SID: Static IP Identifier.

For IR and IR-DYN packets, the logic is the same as for ROHC UDP with the addition that field(SID) must be kept in the context.

For compressed headers other than IR and IR-DYN:

If value(RND) = 0 and context(SID) = 0, hdr(IP-ID) is compressed using Offset IP-ID encoding (see [RFC-3095 section 4.5.5]) using p = 0 and default-slope(IP-ID offset) = 0.

If value(RND) = 0 and context(SID) = 1, hdr(IP-ID) is constant and compressed away; hdr(IP-ID) is the value of context(IP-ID).

If value(RND) = 1, IP-ID is the uncompressed hdr(IP-ID). IP-ID is then passed as additional octets at the end of the compressed header, after any extensions.

Note: Only IR and IR-DYN packets can update context(SID).

Note: All other fields are the same as for ROHC UDP [RFC-3095].

### 3.4. Additional Mode Transition Logic

The profiles defined in [RFC-3095] operate using different modes of compression. A mode transition can be requested once a packet has reached the decompressor by sending feedback indicating the desired mode. As per the specifications found in [RFC-3095], the compressor is compelled to honor such requests.

For the IP profile defined in this document, the Mode parameter for the value mode = 0 (packet types UOR-2, IR and IR-DYN) is redefined to allow the compressor to decline a mode transition requested by the decompressor:

Mode: Compression mode. 0 = (C)ancel Mode Transition

Upon receiving the Mode parameter set to '0', the decompressor MUST stay in its current mode of operation and SHOULD refrain from sending further mode transition requests for the declined mode for a certain amount of time.

More specifically, with reference to the parameters C\_TRANS, C\_MODE, D\_TRANS, and D\_MODE defined in [RFC-3095, section 5.6.1.], the following modifications apply when the compressor cancels a mode transition:

Parameters for the compressor side:

- C\_MODE:

This value must not be changed when sending mode information within packets if the mode parameter is set to '0' (as a response to a mode transition request from the decompressor).

- C\_TRANS:

C\_TRANS is (P)ending when receiving a mode transition request from the decompressor. C\_TRANS is set to (D)one when the compressor receives an ACK for a UOR-2, IR-DYN, or IR packet sent with the mode parameter set to the mode in use at the time the mode transition request was initiated.



### 3.5. Initialization

The static context for ROHC IP compression can be initialized in either of two ways:

- 1) By using an IR packet as in ROHC UDP, where the profile is 0x0004, and the static chain ends with the static part of an IP header, where the Next Header/Protocol field has any value but IPinIP (4) or IPv6 (41) [PROTOCOL], or where the IP version field indicates termination (see section 3.1). At the compressor, SN is initialized to a random value when the first IR packet is sent.
- 2) By reusing an existing context. This is done with an IR-DYN packet, identifying profile 0x0004, where the dynamic chain corresponds to the prefix of the existing static chain, ending with an IP header where the Next Header/Protocol field has any value but IPinIP (4) or IPv6 (41) [PROTOCOL], or where the IP version field indicates termination (see section 3.1). At the compressor, SN is initialized to a random value when the first IR-DYN packet is sent.


For ROHC IP, the dynamic part of an IR or IR-DYN packet is similar to the one for ROHC UDP, with a two-octet field containing the SN present at the end of the dynamic chain in IR and IR-DYN packets. It should be noted that the static and dynamic chains have an arbitrary length, and the SN is added only once, at the end of the dynamic chain in IR and IR-DYN packets.

### 3.6. Packet Types

Except for one new feedback option (see section 3.7), the only packet format that differs from ROHC UDP is the general format for compressed packets, which has no UDP checksum in the end. Instead, it ends with a list of dynamic header portions, one for each IP header above the initial two (if any, as indicated by the presence of corresponding header portions in the static chain).



The general format for a compressed header is thus as follows:



Note that the list of dynamic chains for the additional IP headers in compressed packets do not have a sequence number at the end of the chain, as SN is present within compressed base headers.

### 3.7. The CONTEXT\_MEMORY Feedback Option

The CONTEXT\_MEMORY option informs the compressor that the decompressor does not have sufficient memory resources to handle the context of the packet stream, as the stream is currently compressed.

```

  0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| Opt Type = 9 | Opt Len = 0 |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

When receiving a CONTEXT\_MEMORY option, the compressor SHOULD take actions to compress the packet stream in a way that requires less decompressor memory resources, or stop compressing the packet stream.

### 4. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC-3095] apply equally to this document, without exceptions or additions.

### 5. IANA Considerations

ROHC profile identifier 0x0004 has been reserved by the IANA for the profile defined in this document.

### 6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Carsten Bormann, Fredrik Lindstrom, Tommy Lundemo, and especially the committed document reviewers Kristofer Sandlund and Mark West, for valuable input and review.

## 7. Normative References

- [RFC-791] Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", RFC 791, September 1981.
- [RFC-2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC-2460] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", RFC 2460, December 1998.
- [RFC-3095] Bormann, C., Burmeister, C., Degermark, M., Fukushima, H., Hannu, H., Jonsson, L-E., Hakenberg, R., Koren, T., Le, K., Liu, Z., Martensson, A., Miyazaki, A., Svanbro, K., Wiebke, T., Yoshimura, T. and H. Zheng, "Robust Header Compression (ROHC)", RFC 3095, July 2001.
- [PROTOCOL] "Assigned Internet Protocol Numbers", IANA registry at: <http://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers>







**Authors' Addresses**

Lars-Erik Jonsson  
Ericsson AB  
Box 920  
SE-971 28 Lulea, Sweden

Phone: +46 8 404 29 61  
Fax: +46 920 996 21  
EMail: lars-erik.jonsson@ericsson.com

Ghyslain Pelletier  
Ericsson AB  
Box 920  
SE-971 28 Lulea, Sweden

Phone: +46 8 404 29 43  
Fax: +46 920 996 21  
EMail: ghyslain.pelletier@ericsson.com

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.