

RTP Payload Format for ITU-T Recommendation G.722.1

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

International Telecommunication Union (ITU-T) Recommendation G.722.1 is a wide-band audio codec, which operates at one of two selectable bit rates, 24kbit/s or 32kbit/s. This document describes the payload format for including G.722.1 generated bit streams within an RTP packet. Also included here are the necessary details for the use of G.722.1 with MIME and SDP.

1. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119 [6].

2. Overview of ITU-T Recommendation G.722.1

G.722.1 is a low complexity coder, it compresses 50Hz - 7kHz audio signals into one of two bit rates, 24 kbit/s or 32 kbit/s.

The coder may be used for speech, music and other types of audio.

Some of the applications for which this coder is suitable are:

- o Real-time communications such as videoconferencing and telephony.
- o Streaming audio
- o Archival and messaging

When operating at non-standard rates the payload format **MUST** follow the guidelines illustrated in Figure 2. It is **RECOMMENDED** that values in the range 16000 to 32000 be used, and that any value **MUST** be a multiple of 400 (this maintains octet alignment and does not then require (undefined) padding bits for each frame if not octet aligned). For example, a bit rate of 16.4 kbit/s will result in a frame of size 328 bits or 41 octets which are mapped into RTP per Figure 2.

3.1 Multiple G.722.1 frames in a RTP packet

More than one G.722.1 frame may be included in a single RTP packet by a sender.

Senders have the following additional restrictions:

- o **SHOULD NOT** include more G.722.1 frames in a single RTP packet than will fit in the MTU of the RTP transport protocol.
- o All frames contained in a single RTP packet **MUST** be of the same length, that is they **MUST** have the same bit rate (octets per frame).
- o Frames **MUST NOT** be split between RTP packets.

It is **RECOMMENDED** that the number of frames contained within an RTP packet be consistent with the application. For example, in a telephony application where delay is important, then the fewer frames per packet the lower the delay, whereas for a delay insensitive streaming or messaging application, many frames per packet would be acceptable.

3.2 Computing the number of G.722.1 frames

Information describing the number of frames contained in an RTP packet is not transmitted as part of the RTP payload. The only way to determine the number of G.722.1 frames is to count the total number of octets within the RTP packet, and divide the octet count by the number of expected octets per frame (either 60 or 80 per frame, for 24 kbit/s and 32 kbit/s respectively).

4. MIME registration of G.722.1

MIME media type name: audio

MIME subtype: G7221

Required parameters:

bitrate: the data rate for the audio bit stream. This parameter is necessary because the bit rate is not signaled within the G.722.1 bit stream. At the standard G.722.1 bit rates, the value **MUST** be either 24000 or 32000. If using the non-standard bit rates, then it is **RECOMMENDED** that values in the range 16000 to 32000 be used, and that any value **MUST** be a multiple of 400 (this maintains octet alignment and does not then require (undefined) padding bits for each frame if not octet aligned).

Optional parameters:

ptime: **RECOMMENDED** duration of each packet in milliseconds.

Encoding considerations:

This type is only defined for transfer via RTP as specified in a Work in Progress.

Security Considerations:

See Section 6 of RFC 3047.

Interoperability considerations: none**Published specification:**

See ITU-T Recommendation G.722.1 [2] for encoding algorithm details.

Applications which use this media type:

Audio and video streaming and conferencing tools

Additional information: none**Person & email address to contact for further information:**

Patrick Luthi
Luthip@pictel.com

Intended usage: COMMON**Author/Change controller:**

Author: Patrick Luthi
Change controller: IETF AVT Working Group

5. SDP usage of G.722.1

When conveying information by SDP [5], the encoding name SHALL be "G7221" (the same as the MIME subtype). An example of the media representation in SDP for describing G.722.1 at 24000 bits/sec might be:

```
m=audio 49000 RTP/AVP 121
a=rtpmap:121 G7221/16000
a=fmtp:121 bitrate=24000
```

where "bitrate" is a variable that may take on values of 24000 or 32000 at the standard rates, or values from 16000 to 32000 (and MUST be an integer multiple of 400) at the non-standard rates.

6. Security Considerations

RTP packets using the payload format defined in this specification are subject to the security considerations discussed in the RTP specification [3], and any appropriate RTP profile. This implies that confidentiality of the media streams is achieved by encryption. Because the data compression used with this payload format is applied end-to-end, encryption may be performed after compression so there is no conflict between the two operations.

A potential denial-of-service threat exists for data encodings using compression techniques that have non-uniform receiver-end computational load. The attacker can inject pathological datagrams into the stream which are complex to decode and cause the receiver to be overloaded. However, this encoding does not exhibit any significant non-uniformity.

As with any IP-based protocol, in some circumstances a receiver may be overloaded simply by the receipt of too many packets, either desired or undesired. Network-layer authentication may be used to discard packets from undesired sources, but the processing cost of the authentication itself may be too high. In a multicast environment, pruning of specific sources may be implemented in future versions of IGMP [7] and in multicast routing protocols to allow a receiver to select which sources are allowed to reach it.

7. References

1. Bradner, S., "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", BCP 9, RFC 2026, October 1996.
2. ITU-T Recommendation G.722.1, available online from the ITU bookstore at <http://www.itu.int>.
3. Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R. and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for real-time applications", RFC 1889, January 1996. (Updated by a Work in Progress.)
4. Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", RFC 2045, November 1996.
5. Handley, M. and V. Jacobson, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", RFC 2327, April 1998.
6. Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
7. Deering, S., "Host Extensions for IP Multicasting", STD 5, RFC 1112, August 1989.

8. Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Tony Crossman for starting this work on G.722.1 packetization and for authoring the initial draft. The author also wishes to thank Steve Casner and Colin Perkins for their valuable feedback and helpful comments.

9. Author's Address

Patrick Luthi
PictureTel Corporation
100 Minuteman Road
Andover, MA 01810
USA

Phone: +1 (978) 292 4354
EMail: luthip@pictel.com

10. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.