

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
Request for Comments: 5914  
Category: Standards Track  
ISSN: 2070-1721

R. Housley  
Vigil Security, LLC  
S. Ashmore  
National Security Agency  
C. Wallace  
Cygnacom Solutions  
June 2010

## Trust Anchor Format

### Abstract

This document describes a structure for representing trust anchor information. A trust anchor is an authoritative entity represented by a public key and associated data. The public key is used to verify digital signatures, and the associated data is used to constrain the types of information or actions for which the trust anchor is authoritative. The structures defined in this document are intended to satisfy the format-related requirements defined in Trust Anchor Management Requirements.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5914>.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	3
1.1. Terminology . . . . .	3
2. Trust Anchor Information Syntax . . . . .	3
2.1. Version . . . . .	3
2.2. Public Key . . . . .	3
2.3. Key Identifier . . . . .	4
2.4. Trust Anchor Title . . . . .	4
2.5. Certification Path Controls . . . . .	4
2.6. Extensions . . . . .	8
3. Trust Anchor List . . . . .	8
4. Security Considerations . . . . .	9
5. References . . . . .	9
5.1. Normative References . . . . .	9
5.2. Informative References . . . . .	10
Appendix A. ASN.1 Modules . . . . .	11
A.1. ASN.1 Module Using 2002 Syntax . . . . .	11
A.2. ASN.1 Module Using 1988 Syntax . . . . .	12
A.2.1. ASN.1 Module . . . . .	12

## 1. Introduction

Trust anchors are widely used to verify digital signatures and validate certification paths [RFC5280][X.509]. They are required when validating certification paths. Though widely used, there is no standard format for representing trust anchor information. This document describes the TrustAnchorInfo structure. This structure is intended to satisfy the format-related requirements expressed in Trust Anchor Management Requirements [TA-MGMT-REQS] and is expressed using ASN.1 [X.680]. It can provide a more compact alternative to X.509 certificates for exchanging trust anchor information and provides a means of associating additional or alternative constraints with certificates without breaking the signature on the certificate.

### 1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

## 2. Trust Anchor Information Syntax

This section describes the TrustAnchorInfo structure.

```
TrustAnchorInfo ::= SEQUENCE {  
    version      TrustAnchorInfoVersion DEFAULT v1,  
    pubKey       SubjectPublicKeyInfo,  
    keyId        KeyIdentifier,  
    taTitle      TrustAnchorTitle OPTIONAL,  
    certPath     CertPathControls OPTIONAL,  
    exts         [1] EXPLICIT Extensions OPTIONAL,  
    taTitleLangTag [2] UTF8String OPTIONAL }
```

```
TrustAnchorInfoVersion ::= INTEGER { v1(1) }
```

### 2.1. Version

version identifies the version of TrustAnchorInfo. Future updates to this document may include changes to the TrustAnchorInfo structure, in which case the version number should be incremented. However, the default value, v1, cannot be changed.

### 2.2. Public Key

pubKey identifies the public key and algorithm associated with the trust anchor using the SubjectPublicKeyInfo structure [RFC5280]. The SubjectPublicKeyInfo structure contains the algorithm identifier followed by the public key itself. The algorithm field is an

AlgorithmIdentifier, which contains an object identifier and OPTIONAL parameters. The object identifier names the public key algorithm and indicates the syntax of the parameters, if present, as well as the format of the public key. The public key is encoded as a BIT STRING.

### 2.3. Key Identifier

keyId contains the public key identifier of the trust anchor public key. See Section 4.2.1.2 of [RFC5280] for a description of common key identifier calculation methods.

### 2.4. Trust Anchor Title

TrustAnchorTitle ::= UTF8String (SIZE (1..64))

taTitle is OPTIONAL. When it is present, it provides a human-readable name for the trust anchor. The text is encoded in UTF-8 [RFC3629], which accommodates most of the world's writing systems. The taTitleLangTag field identifies the language used to express the taTitle. When taTitleLangTag is absent, English ("en" language tag) is used. The value of the taTitleLangTag should be a language tag as described in [RFC5646].

### 2.5. Certification Path Controls

CertPathControls ::= SEQUENCE {  
    taName                  Name,  
    certificate             [0] Certificate OPTIONAL,  
    policySet               [1] CertificatePolicies OPTIONAL,  
    policyFlags             [2] CertPolicyFlags OPTIONAL,  
    nameConstr              [3] NameConstraints OPTIONAL,  
    pathLenConstraint       [4] INTEGER (0..MAX) OPTIONAL}

certPath is OPTIONAL. When it is present, it provides the controls needed to initialize an X.509 certification path validation algorithm implementation (see Section 6 of [RFC5280]). When absent, the trust anchor cannot be used to validate the signature on an X.509 certificate.

taName provides the X.500 distinguished name associated with the trust anchor, and this distinguished name is used to construct and validate an X.509 certification path. The name MUST NOT be an empty sequence.

certificate provides an OPTIONAL X.509 certificate, which can be used in some environments to represent the trust anchor in certification path development and validation. If the certificate is present, the subject name in the certificate MUST exactly match the X.500

distinguished name provided in the `taName` field, the public key **MUST** exactly match the public key in the `pubKey` field, and the `subjectKeyIdentifier` extension, if present, **MUST** exactly match the key identifier in the `keyId` field. The complete description of the syntax and semantics of the Certificate are provided in [RFC5280]. Constraints defined in the `policySet`, `policyFlags`, `nameConstr`, `pathLenConstraint`, and `exts` fields within `TrustAnchorInfo` replace values contained in a certificate or provide values for extensions not present in the certificate. Values defined in these `TrustAnchorInfo` fields are always enforced. Extensions included in a certificate are enforced only if there is no corresponding value in the `TrustAnchorInfo`. Correspondence between extensions within certificate and `TrustAnchorInfo` fields is defined as follows:

- o an `id-ce-certificatePolicies` certificate extension corresponds to the `CertPathControls.policySet` field.
- o an `id-ce-policyConstraints` certificate extension corresponds to the `CertPolicyFlags.inhibitPolicyMapping` and `CertPolicyFlags.requireExplicitPolicy` fields.
- o an `id-ce-inhibitAnyPolicy` certificate extension corresponds to the `CertPolicyFlags.inhibitAnyPolicy` field.
- o an `id-ce-nameConstraints` certificate extension corresponds to the `CertPathControls.nameConstr` field.
- o the `pathLenConstraint` field of an `id-ce-basicConstraints` certificate extension corresponds to the `CertPathControls.pathLenConstraint` field (the presence of a `CertPathControls` structure corresponds to a **TRUE** value in the `ca` field of a `BasicConstraints` extension).
- o any other certificate extension corresponds to the same type of extension in the `TrustAnchorInfo.exts` field.

**CertificatePolicies ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF PolicyInformation**

**PolicyInformation ::= SEQUENCE {  
    policyIdentifier CertPolicyId,  
    policyQualifiers SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF  
        PolicyQualifierInfo OPTIONAL }**

**CertPolicyId ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER**

`policySet` is **OPTIONAL**. When present, it contains a sequence of certificate policy identifiers to be provided as inputs to the certification path validation algorithm. When absent, the special

value any-policy is provided as the input to the certification path validation algorithm. The complete description of the syntax and semantics of the CertificatePolicies are provided in [RFC5280], including the syntax for PolicyInformation. In this context, the OPTIONAL policyQualifiers structure MUST NOT be included.

```
CertPolicyFlags ::= BIT STRING {  
    inhibitPolicyMapping    (0),  
    requireExplicitPolicy   (1),  
    inhibitAnyPolicy        (2) }
```

policyFlags is OPTIONAL. When present, three Boolean values for input to the certification path validation algorithm are provided in a BIT STRING. When absent, the input to the certification path validation algorithm is { FALSE, FALSE, FALSE }, which represents the most liberal setting for these flags. The three bits are used as follows:

inhibitPolicyMapping indicates if policy mapping is allowed in the certification path. When set to TRUE, policy mapping is not permitted. This value represents the initial-policy-mapping-inhibit input value to the certification path validation algorithm described in Section 6.1.1 of [RFC5280].

requireExplicitPolicy indicates if the certification path MUST be valid for at least one of the certificate policies in the policySet. When set to TRUE, all certificates in the certification path MUST contain an acceptable policy identifier in the certificate policies extension. This value represents the initial-explicit-policy input value to the certification path validation algorithm described in Section 6.1.1 of [RFC5280]. An acceptable policy identifier is a member of the policySet or the identifier of a policy that is declared to be equivalent through policy mapping. This bit MUST be set to FALSE if policySet is absent.

inhibitAnyPolicy indicates whether the special anyPolicy policy identifier, with the value { 2 5 29 32 0 }, is considered an explicit match for other certificate policies. This value represents the initial-any-policy-inhibit input value to the certification path validation algorithm described in Section 6.1.1 of [RFC5280].

```
NameConstraints ::= SEQUENCE {  
    permittedSubtrees  [0] GeneralSubtrees OPTIONAL,  
    excludedSubtrees   [1] GeneralSubtrees OPTIONAL }
```

```
GeneralSubtrees ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF GeneralSubtree
```

```
GeneralSubtree ::= SEQUENCE {  
    base      GeneralName,  
    minimum   [0] BaseDistance DEFAULT 0,  
    maximum   [1] BaseDistance OPTIONAL }
```

```
BaseDistance ::= INTEGER (0..MAX)
```

nameConstr is OPTIONAL. It has the same syntax and semantics as the Name Constraints certificate extension [RFC5280], which includes a list of permitted names and a list of excluded names. The definition of GeneralName can be found in [RFC5280]. When it is present, constraints are provided on names (including alternative names) that might appear in subsequent X.509 certificates in a certification path. This field is used to set the initial-permitted-subtrees and initial-excluded-subtrees input values to the certification path validation algorithm described in Section 6.1.1 of [RFC5280]. When this field is absent, the initial-permitted-subtrees variable is unbounded and the initial-excluded-subtrees variable is empty.

The pathLenConstraint field gives the maximum number of non-self-issued intermediate certificates that may follow this certificate in a valid certification path. (Note: The last certificate in the certification path is not an intermediate certificate and is not included in this limit. Usually, the last certificate is an end entity certificate, but it can be a CA certificate.) A pathLenConstraint of zero indicates that no non-self-issued intermediate certification authority (CA) certificates may follow in a valid certification path. Where it appears, the pathLenConstraint field MUST be greater than or equal to zero. Where pathLenConstraint does not appear, no limit is imposed.

When the trust anchor is used to validate a certification path, CertPathControls provides limitations on certification paths that will successfully validate. An application that is validating a certification path SHOULD NOT ignore these limitations, but the application can impose additional limitations to ensure that the validated certification path is appropriate for the intended application context. As input to the certification path validation algorithm, an application MAY:

- o Provide a subset of the certification policies provided in the policySet;
- o Provide a TRUE value, if appropriate, for any of the flags in the policyFlags;
- o Provide a subset of the permitted names provided in the nameConstr;

- o Provide additional excluded names to the ones that are provided in the nameConstr;
- o Provide a smaller value for pathLenConstraint.

## 2.6. Extensions

exts is OPTIONAL. When it is present, it can be used to associate additional information with the trust anchor using the standard Extensions structure. Extensions that are anticipated to be widely used have been included in the CertPathControls structure to avoid overhead associated with use of the Extensions structure. To avoid duplication with the CertPathControls field, the following types of extensions MUST NOT appear in the exts field and are ignored if they do appear: id-ce-certificatePolicies, id-ce-policyConstraints, id-ce-inhibitAnyPolicy, or id-ce-nameConstraints.

## 3. Trust Anchor List

TrustAnchorInfo allows for the representation of a single trust anchor. In many cases, it is convenient to represent a collection of trust anchors. The TrustAnchorList structure is defined for this purpose. TrustAnchorList is defined as a sequence of one or more TrustAnchorChoice objects. TrustAnchorChoice provides three options for representing a trust anchor. The certificate option allows for the use of a certificate with no additional associated constraints. The tbsCert option allows for associating constraints by removing a signature on a certificate and changing the extensions field. The taInfo option allows for use of the TrustAnchorInfo structure defined in this document.

**TrustAnchorList ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF TrustAnchorChoice**

**TrustAnchorChoice ::= CHOICE {**  
     **certificate**   Certificate,  
     **tbsCert**       [1] EXPLICIT TBSCertificate,  
     **taInfo**        [2] EXPLICIT TrustAnchorInfo }

**trust-anchor-list PKCS7-CONTENT-TYPE ::=**  
     { TrustAnchorList IDENTIFIED BY id-ct-trustAnchorList }

The TrustAnchorList structure can be protected using the SignedData structure defined in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) [RFC5652]. The id-ct-trustAnchorList object identifier has been defined to represent TrustAnchorList payloads with CMS structures.



#### 4. Security Considerations

Compromise of a trust anchor private key permits unauthorized parties to masquerade as the trust anchor, with potentially severe consequences. Where TA-based constraints are enforced, the unauthorized holder of the trust anchor private key will be limited by the certification path controls associated with the trust anchor, as expressed in the certPath and exts fields. For example, name constraints in the trust anchor will determine the name space that will be accepted in certificates that are validated using the compromised trust anchor. Reliance on an inappropriate or incorrect trust anchor public key has similar potentially severe consequences.

The compromise of a CA's private key leads to the same type of problems as the compromise of a trust anchor private key. The unauthorized holder of the CA private key will be limited by the certification path controls associated with the trust anchor, as expressed in the certPath field or as an extension.

Usage of a certificate independent of the TrustAnchorInfo structure that envelopes it must be carefully managed to avoid violating constraints expressed in the TrustAnchorInfo. When enveloping a certificate in a TrustAnchorInfo structure, values included in the certificate should be evaluated to ensure there is no confusion or conflict with values in the TrustAnchorInfo structure.

#### 5. References

##### 5.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC3629] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003.
- [RFC5652] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 5652, September 2009.
- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, May 2008.
- [RFC5646] Phillips, A. and M. Davis, "Tags for Identifying Languages", BCP 47, RFC 5646, September 2009.

[RFC5912] Hoffman, P. and J. Schaad, "New ASN.1 Modules for the Public Key Infrastructure Using X.509 (PKIX)", RFC 5912, June 2010.

[X.680] "ITU-T Recommendation X.680: Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One", 2002.

## 5.2. Informative References

[TA-MGMT-REQS] Reddy, R. and C. Wallace, "Trust Anchor Management Requirements", Work in Progress, March 2010.

[X.509] "ITU-T Recommendation X.509 - The Directory - Authentication Framework", 2000.

## Appendix A. ASN.1 Modules

### A.1. ASN.1 Module Using 2002 Syntax

Appendix A.1 provides the normative ASN.1 definitions for the structures described in this specification using ASN.1 as defined in [X.680]. It includes definitions imported from [RFC5280] and [RFC5912].

TrustAnchorInfoModule

```
{ joint-iso-ccitt(2) country(16) us(840) organization(1)
  gov(101) dod(2) infosec(1) modules(0) 33 }
```

DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN

IMPORTS

Certificate, Name, SubjectPublicKeyInfo, TBSCertificate

FROM PKIX1Explicit-2009 -- from [RFC5912]

```
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)
  mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-mod-pkix1-explicit-02(51) }
```

CertificatePolicies, KeyIdentifier, NameConstraints

FROM PKIX1Implicit-2009 -- from [RFC5912]

```
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)
  mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-mod-pkix1-implicit-02(59) }
```

Extensions{}

FROM PKIX-CommonTypes-2009 -- from [RFC5912]

```
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
  security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
  id-mod-pkixCommon-02(57) } ;
```

TrustAnchorInfo ::= SEQUENCE {

version TrustAnchorInfoVersion DEFAULT v1,

pubKey SubjectPublicKeyInfo,

keyId KeyIdentifier,

taTitle TrustAnchorTitle OPTIONAL,

certPath CertPathControls OPTIONAL,

exts [1] EXPLICIT Extensions {...} OPTIONAL,

taTitleLangTag [2] UTF8String OPTIONAL }

TrustAnchorInfoVersion ::= INTEGER { v1(1) }

TrustAnchorTitle ::= UTF8String (SIZE (1..64))

CertPathControls ::= SEQUENCE {

taName Name,

certificate [0] Certificate OPTIONAL,

policySet [1] CertificatePolicies OPTIONAL,

```

policyFlags      [2] CertPolicyFlags OPTIONAL,
nameConstr       [3] NameConstraints OPTIONAL,
pathLenConstraint[4] INTEGER (0..MAX) OPTIONAL}

```

```

CertPolicyFlags ::= BIT STRING {
  inhibitPolicyMapping      (0),
  requireExplicitPolicy     (1),
  inhibitAnyPolicy          (2) }

```

```

TrustAnchorList ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF TrustAnchorChoice

```

```

TrustAnchorChoice ::= CHOICE {
  certificate      Certificate,
  tbsCert          [1] EXPLICIT TBSCertificate,
  taInfo           [2] EXPLICIT TrustAnchorInfo }

```

```

id-ct-trustAnchorList      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1)
  member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs9(9)
  id-smime(16) id-ct(1) 34 }

```

```

PKCS7-CONTENT-TYPE ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER

```

```

trust-anchor-list PKCS7-CONTENT-TYPE ::=
  { TrustAnchorList IDENTIFIED BY id-ct-trustAnchorList }

```

```

END

```

## A.2. ASN.1 Module Using 1988 Syntax

Appendix A.2 provides the normative ASN.1 definitions for the structures described in this specification using ASN.1 as defined in [X.680].

### A.2.1. ASN.1 Module

```

TrustAnchorInfoModule-88
  { joint-iso-ccitt(2) country(16) us(840) organization(1)
    gov(101) dod(2) infosec(1) modules(0) 37 }

```

```

DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN

```

```

IMPORTS
Certificate, Name, Extensions,
SubjectPublicKeyInfo, TBSCertificate
FROM PKIX1Explicit88 -- from [RFC5280]
  { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
    security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)

```

```

        id-pkix1-explicit(18) }
CertificatePolicies, KeyIdentifier, NameConstraints
FROM PKIX1Implicit88 -- [RFC5280]
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
  security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
  id-pkix1-implicit(19) }
;

```

```

TrustAnchorInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
    version      TrustAnchorInfoVersion DEFAULT v1,
    pubKey       SubjectPublicKeyInfo,
    keyId        KeyIdentifier,
    taTitle      TrustAnchorTitle OPTIONAL,
    certPath     CertPathControls OPTIONAL,
    exts         [1] EXPLICIT Extensions OPTIONAL,
    taTitleLangTag [2] UTF8String OPTIONAL }

```

```

TrustAnchorInfoVersion ::= INTEGER { v1(1) }

```

```

TrustAnchorTitle ::= UTF8String (SIZE (1..64))

```

```

CertPathControls ::= SEQUENCE {
    taName      Name,
    certificate  [0] Certificate OPTIONAL,
    policySet    [1] CertificatePolicies OPTIONAL,
    policyFlags  [2] CertPolicyFlags OPTIONAL,
    nameConstr   [3] NameConstraints OPTIONAL,
    pathLenConstraint [4] INTEGER (0..MAX) OPTIONAL}

```

```

CertPolicyFlags ::= BIT STRING {
    inhibitPolicyMapping (0),
    requireExplicitPolicy (1),
    inhibitAnyPolicy (2) }

```

```

TrustAnchorList ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF TrustAnchorChoice

```

```

TrustAnchorChoice ::= CHOICE {
    certificate Certificate,
    tbsCert      [1] EXPLICIT TBSCertificate,
    taInfo       [2] EXPLICIT TrustAnchorInfo }

```

```

id-ct-trustAnchorList OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1)
    member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs9(9)
    id-smime(16) id-ct(1) 34 }

```

END

**Authors' Addresses**

Russ Housley  
Vigil Security, LLC  
918 Spring Knoll Drive  
Herndon, VA 20170

EMail: housley@vigilsec.com

Sam Ashmore  
National Security Agency  
Suite 6751  
9800 Savage Road  
Fort Meade, MD 20755

EMail: srashmo@radium.ncsc.mil

Carl Wallace  
Cygnacom Solutions  
Suite 5400  
7925 Jones Branch Drive  
McLean, VA 22102

EMail: cwallace@cygnacom.com