Network Working Group Request for Comments: 2921 Category: Informational B. Fink ESnet September 2000

# 6BONE pTLA and pNLA Formats (pTLA)

### Status of this Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

### **Abstract**

This memo defines how the 6bone uses the 3FFE::/16 IPv6 address prefix, allocated in RFC 2471, "IPv6 Testing Address Allocation", [6BONE-TLA], to create pseudo Top-Level Aggregation Identifiers (pTLA's) and pseudo Next-Level Aggregation Identifiers (pNLA's).

## **Acknowledgements**

The address formats here are contributions of various early participants of the 6bone testbed project, and of the IPng and NGtrans IETF working groups.

### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	_
2.	6BONE pTLA/pNLA Format	)
3.	Security Considerations	j
Ref	erences6	j
Aut	hor's Address6	j
Ful	l Copyright Statement 7	,

### 1. Introduction

This memo defines how the 6bone uses the 3FFE::/16 IPv6 address prefix, allocated in RFC 2471 [6BONE-TLA], to create pseudo Top-Level Aggregation Identifiers (pTLA) and pseudo Next-Level Aggregation Identifiers (pNLA).

Fink Informational [Page 1]

The guiding specifications for IPv6 addressing relating to the 6bone prefix, and the pTLA and pNLA formats, are "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture" [ADDRARCH], and "An IPv6 Aggregatable Global Unicast Address Format" [AGGR].

The purpose of creating pseudo TLA and NLA formats for the 6bone is to provide a prototype of the actual TLA and NLA formats as they might be used in production IPv6 networks. To do this economically, using only a minimum of real production IPv6 address space, a single TLA, 3FFE::/16, was reserved by the IANA (Internet Assigned Numbers Authority) for testing on the 6bone. Thus it was necessary to define a pretend-to-be, or pseudo, TLA and NLA structure to use under the 3FFE::/16 prefix.

Given the 48-bit length of the IPv6 Aggregatable Global Unicast Address external routing prefix (that contains the TLA and NLA identifiers), there is enough room to extend the TLA ID to contain a pTLA and shorten the NLA ID to become a pNLA. This document specifies this.

In early 1999, it was decided to change the 6bone's pTLA format to allow greater expansion of the testbed network, thus accommodating more than the original 256 pTLA-s. Thus there are now two 6bone pTLA and pNLA formats. This document specifies this.

## 2. 6BONE pTLA and pNLA Formats

## 2.1 Original 8-bit pTLA and 24-bit pNLA Format

The original pTLA and pNLA format was intended to accommodate 256 pTLA-s, i.e., backbone networks carrying IPv6 transit traffic.

The original TLA and NLA ID-s as specified in [AGGR] are as follows:

3   13	32	16	64 bits	Į
001  TLA		SLA ID	Interface ID	İ

The TLA value 1FFE was assigned to the 6bone, which when viewed with the 3-bit format prefix in prefix notation form is 3FFE::/16.

The first 8-bits of the NLA ID space are assigned as the pTLA that defines the top level of aggregation (backbone) for the 6bone. This provides for 256 6bone backbone networks, or pTLA-s, and leaves a 24-bit pNLA ID for each pTLA to assign as needed.

	8	24	16	64 bits	ļ
0x3FFE	pTLA	pNLA	SLA ID		İ

In prefix notation form the pTLA is 3FFE:nn00::/24, where nn is the pTLA assignment.

The remaining NLA ID space can be used by each pTLA for their downward aggregated delegation:

1	n		24-n l	oits	16	64 bits
ļρ	NLA1	Site			SLA ID	Interface ID
+-		m   24-n-m		16	64 bits	
		pNLA2	pNLA2  Site		SLA ID	Interface ID
	-		0	24-n-m-o	16	64 bits
			pNLA3	Site	SLA ID	Interface ID

The pNLA delegation works in the same manner as specified in [AGGR]. pTLA's are required to assume registry duties for the pNLA's below them, pNLA1's for those below them, etc.

## 2.2 New 12-bit pTLA and 20-bit pNLA Format

After it became clear that the 6bone would become a useful testbed for transition, in addition to its early role as a testbed for specifications and implementations, the 6bone community decided to expand the size of the pTLA ID.

Several important decisions regarding this expansion of the pTLA field are:

- to leave the currently allocated 8-bit pTLA-s in use until the space was needed, thus relying on a range value check to indicate the new pTLA format,
- 2. to use a modulo 4-bit sized pTLA ID to make reverse path entry into the DNS easier,

3. given 2. above, to keep the pTLA ID size as small as possible to not restrict pNLA ID size.

Therefore, the first 12-bits of the NLA ID space are assigned as the pTLA that defines the top level of aggegation (backbone) for the 6bone. This would eventually provide for 4096 6bone backbone networks, or pTLA-s, and leaves a 20-bit pNLA ID for each pTLA to assign as needed.

16	12	20	16	64 bits	ļ
0x3FFE	pTLA	pNLA	SLA ID	Interface ID	İ

In prefix notation form the pTLA is 3FFE:nnn0::/28, where nnn is the pTLA assignment. However, as the existing 8-bit pTLA's are being left in use for the present, the nnn value starts at 0x800 for now, thus yielding only 2048 pTLA's in this new format.

The remaining NLA ID space can be used by each pTLA for their downward aggregated delegation:

	n		20-n l	oits	16	64 bits	1
	pNLA1	Site		SLA ID	Interface ID	Ĭ	
7		m   20-n-m			16	64 bits	1
		pNLA2	S <sup>-</sup>	ite	SLA ID	Interface ID	Ĭ
			o	20-n-m-o	16	64 bits	1
			pNLA3	Site	SLA ID	Interface ID	Ţ

As with the original pTLA format, the pNLA delegation works in the same manner as specified in [AGGR]. pTLA's are required to assume registry duties for the pNLA's below them, pNLA1's for those below them, etc.

## 2.3 Example Format For pNLA's

An example usage of the pNLA space is given to demonstrate what is reasonable and possible. It should not be assumed that this implies the pNLA space must be used this way. As the new pTLA and pNLA format is now the default, the example here assumes the 20-bit pNLA format.

The following example provides for up to 255 intermediate transit ISP's (called pNLA1 below). The pNLA1 value of zero is meant to indicate that there is no intermediate transit ISP between the backbone pTLA network and the end user site.

<	·20-bit pNLA ID>		
8	12 bits	16	64 bits
pNLA1	Site ID	SLA ID	Interface ID

Intermediate transit networks (pNLA1's) would assign uniques Site ID's for eachend user site served.

As an example of this, assuming a backbone pTLA of 0x800, no intermediate transit ISP (thus a pNLA1 of 0x00) and a sequential site ID (with start at the right edge numbering) of 0x0001, the routing prefix for the first site would look like:

3FFE:8000	0:0001/48	
6bone _         b/b site		3
b/b site		
transit		

Another example of this usage, assuming the same backbone pTLA1 of 0x800 and an intermediate transit ISP under it (numbering from the left edge) with an NLA1 of 0x80, and a sequential site ID of 0x0001, the routing prefix for the first site connected would look like:

	30:0001/48
6bone _     b/b site	<sub></sub> site
b/b site	
transit	

Note 1: the two sites numbered 0x001 in the above examples are really two different sites as their pNLA1 authority above them is different (i.e., in the first case no transit exists thus the site is directly connected to the pTLA backbone ISP, and in the second case the site is directly connected to intermediate transit ISP 0x80).

Note 2: there would be nothing to prevent an pNLA1 transit site from further allocating pNLA's below, but that becomes the policy of the pTLA and pNLA's above them to work out.

Fink Informational [Page 5]

Note 3: The 6bone registry, which is a RIPE-style database for documenting IPv6 sites connected to the 6bone, has an "inet6num" object to allow documentation of all IPv6 addresses allocated.

## 3. Security Considerations

IPv6 addressing documents do not have any direct impact on Internet infrastructure security.

### References

[ADDRARCH] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", RFC 2373, July 1998.

[AGGR] Hinden, R., O'Dell, M. and S. Deering, "An IPv6 Aggregatable Global Unicast Address Format", RFC 2374, July 1998.

[HARDEN] Rockell, R. and R. Fink, "6Bone Backbone Routing Guidelines", RFC 2772, February 2000.

[KEYWORDS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[6B0NE-TLA] Hinden, R., Fink, R. and J. Postel, "IPv6 Testing Address Allocation", RFC 2471, December 1998.

### **Author's Address**

Bob Fink, ESnet Lawrence Berkeley National Lab MS 50A-3111 1 Cyclotron Road Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

Phone: +1 510 486 5692 Fax: +1 510 486 4790 EMail: fink@es.net

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

### **Acknowledgement**

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.