

Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)  
Request for Comments: 8439  
Obsoletes: 7539  
Category: Informational  
ISSN: 2070-1721

Y. Nir  
Dell EMC  
A. Langley  
Google, Inc.  
June 2018

## ChaCha20 and Poly1305 for IETF Protocols

### Abstract

This document defines the ChaCha20 stream cipher as well as the use of the Poly1305 authenticator, both as stand-alone algorithms and as a "combined mode", or Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data (AEAD) algorithm.

RFC 7539, the predecessor of this document, was meant to serve as a stable reference and an implementation guide. It was a product of the Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG). This document merges the errata filed against RFC 7539 and adds a little text to the Security Considerations section.

### Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the Crypto Forum Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not candidates for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8439>.

**Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	4
1.1. Conventions Used in This Document . . . . .	5
2. The Algorithms . . . . .	5
2.1. The ChaCha Quarter Round . . . . .	5
2.1.1. Test Vector for the ChaCha Quarter Round . . . . .	6
2.2. A Quarter Round on the ChaCha State . . . . .	6
2.2.1. Test Vector for the Quarter Round on the ChaCha State . . . . .	7
2.3. The ChaCha20 Block Function . . . . .	7
2.3.1. The ChaCha20 Block Function in Pseudocode . . . . .	9
2.3.2. Test Vector for the ChaCha20 Block Function . . . . .	10
2.4. The ChaCha20 Encryption Algorithm . . . . .	11
2.4.1. The ChaCha20 Encryption Algorithm in Pseudocode . . . . .	12
2.4.2. Example and Test Vector for the ChaCha20 Cipher . . . . .	12
2.5. The Poly1305 Algorithm . . . . .	14
2.5.1. The Poly1305 Algorithms in Pseudocode . . . . .	16
2.5.2. Poly1305 Example and Test Vector . . . . .	17
2.6. Generating the Poly1305 Key Using ChaCha20 . . . . .	18
2.6.1. Poly1305 Key Generation in Pseudocode . . . . .	19
2.6.2. Poly1305 Key Generation Test Vector . . . . .	19
2.7. A Pseudorandom Function for Crypto Suites Based on ChaCha/Poly1305 . . . . .	20
2.8. AEAD Construction . . . . .	20
2.8.1. Pseudocode for the AEAD Construction . . . . .	23
2.8.2. Example and Test Vector for AEAD_CHACHA20_POLY1305 . . . . .	23
3. Implementation Advice . . . . .	25
4. Security Considerations . . . . .	26
5. IANA Considerations . . . . .	27
6. References . . . . .	27
6.1. Normative References . . . . .	27
6.2. Informative References . . . . .	28
Appendix A. Additional Test Vectors . . . . .	30
A.1. The ChaCha20 Block Functions . . . . .	30
A.2. ChaCha20 Encryption . . . . .	33
A.3. Poly1305 Message Authentication Code . . . . .	36
A.4. Poly1305 Key Generation Using ChaCha20 . . . . .	41
A.5. ChaCha20-Poly1305 AEAD Decryption . . . . .	42
Appendix B. Performance Measurements of ChaCha20 . . . . .	45
Acknowledgements . . . . .	46
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	46

## 1. Introduction

The Advanced Encryption Standard (AES -- [FIPS-197]) has become the gold standard in encryption. Its efficient design, widespread implementation, and hardware support allow for high performance in many areas. On most modern platforms, AES is anywhere from four to ten times as fast as the previous most-used cipher, Triple Data Encryption Standard (3DES -- [SP800-67]), which makes it not only the best choice, but the only practical choice.

There are several problems with this. If future advances in cryptanalysis reveal a weakness in AES, users will be in an unenviable position. With the only other widely supported cipher being the much slower 3DES, it is not feasible to reconfigure deployments to use 3DES. [Standby-Cipher] describes this issue and the need for a standby cipher in greater detail. Another problem is that while AES is very fast on dedicated hardware, its performance on platforms that lack such hardware is considerably lower. Yet another problem is that many AES implementations are vulnerable to cache-collision timing attacks ([Cache-Collisions]).

This document provides a definition and implementation guide for three algorithms:

1. The ChaCha20 cipher. This is a high-speed cipher first described in [ChaCha]. It is considerably faster than AES in software-only implementations, making it around three times as fast on platforms that lack specialized AES hardware. See Appendix B for some hard numbers. ChaCha20 is also not sensitive to timing attacks (see the security considerations in Section 4). This algorithm is described in Section 2.4
2. The Poly1305 authenticator. This is a high-speed message authentication code. Implementation is also straightforward and easy to get right. The algorithm is described in Section 2.5.
3. The CHACHA20-POLY1305 Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data (AEAD) construction, described in Section 2.8.

This document and its predecessor do not introduce these new algorithms for the first time. They have been defined in scientific papers by D. J. Bernstein [ChaCha][Poly1305]. The purpose of this document is to serve as a stable reference for IETF documents making use of these algorithms.

These algorithms have undergone rigorous analysis. Several papers discuss the security of Salsa and ChaCha ([LatinDances], [LatinDances2], [Zhenqing2012]).

This document represents the consensus of the Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG). It replaces [RFC7539].

## 1.1. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The description of the ChaCha algorithm will at various time refer to the ChaCha state as a "vector" or as a "matrix". This follows the use of these terms in [ChaCha]. The matrix notation is more visually convenient and gives a better notion as to why some rounds are called "column rounds" while others are called "diagonal rounds". Here's a diagram of how the matrices relate to vectors (using the C language convention of zero being the index origin).

0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15

The elements in this vector or matrix are 32-bit unsigned integers.

The algorithm name is "ChaCha". "ChaCha20" is a specific instance where 20 "rounds" (or 80 quarter rounds -- see Section 2.1) are used. Other variations are defined, with 8 or 12 rounds, but in this document we only describe the 20-round ChaCha, so the names "ChaCha" and "ChaCha20" will be used interchangeably.

## 2. The Algorithms

The subsections below describe the algorithms used and the AEAD construction.

### 2.1. The ChaCha Quarter Round

The basic operation of the ChaCha algorithm is the quarter round. It operates on four 32-bit unsigned integers, denoted a, b, c, and d. The operation is as follows (in C-like notation):

```
a += b; d ^= a; d <<= 16;
c += d; b ^= c; b <<= 12;
a += b; d ^= a; d <<= 8;
c += d; b ^= c; b <<= 7;
```

Where "+" denotes integer addition modulo  $2^{32}$ , "^" denotes a bitwise Exclusive OR (XOR), and "<<< n" denotes an n-bit left roll (towards the high bits).

For example, let's see the add, XOR, and roll operations from the fourth line with sample numbers:

```
a = 0x11111111
b = 0x01020304
c = 0x77777777
d = 0x01234567
c = c + d = 0x77777777 + 0x01234567 = 0x789abcde
b = b ^ c = 0x01020304 ^ 0x789abcde = 0x7998bfda
b = b <<< 7 = 0x7998bfda <<< 7 = 0xcc5fed3c
```

### 2.1.1. Test Vector for the ChaCha Quarter Round

For a test vector, we will use the same numbers as in the example, adding something random for c.

```
a = 0x11111111
b = 0x01020304
c = 0x9b8d6f43
d = 0x01234567
```

After running a Quarter Round on these four numbers, we get these:

```
a = 0xea2a92f4
b = 0xcb1cf8ce
c = 0x4581472e
d = 0x5881c4bb
```

### 2.2. A Quarter Round on the ChaCha State

The ChaCha state does not have four integer numbers: it has 16. So the quarter-round operation works on only four of them -- hence the name. Each quarter round operates on four predetermined numbers in the ChaCha state. We will denote by `QUARTERROUND(x, y, z, w)` a quarter-round operation on the numbers at indices x, y, z, and w of the ChaCha state when viewed as a vector. For example, if we apply `QUARTERROUND(1, 5, 9, 13)` to a state, this means running the quarter-round operation on the elements marked with an asterisk, while leaving the others alone:

```
0  *a   2   3
4  *b   6   7
8  *c  10  11
12 *d  14  15
```

Note that this run of quarter round is part of what is called a "column round".

### 2.2.1. Test Vector for the Quarter Round on the ChaCha State

For a test vector, we will use a ChaCha state that was generated randomly:

Sample ChaCha State

879531e0	c5ecf37d	516461b1	c9a62f8a
44c20ef3	3390af7f	d9fc690b	2a5f714c
53372767	b00a5631	974c541a	359e9963
5c971061	3d631689	2098d9d6	91dbd320

We will apply the QUARTERROUND(2, 7, 8, 13) operation to this state. For obvious reasons, this one is part of what is called a "diagonal round":

After applying QUARTERROUND(2, 7, 8, 13)

879531e0	c5ecf37d	*bdb886dc	c9a62f8a
44c20ef3	3390af7f	d9fc690b	*cfacafd2
*e46bea80	b00a5631	974c541a	359e9963
5c971061	*ccc07c79	2098d9d6	91dbd320

Note that only the numbers in positions 2, 7, 8, and 13 changed.

### 2.3. The ChaCha20 Block Function

The ChaCha block function transforms a ChaCha state by running multiple quarter rounds.

The inputs to ChaCha20 are:

- o A 256-bit key, treated as a concatenation of eight 32-bit little-endian integers.
- o A 96-bit nonce, treated as a concatenation of three 32-bit little-endian integers.
- o A 32-bit block count parameter, treated as a 32-bit little-endian integer.

The output is 64 random-looking bytes.

The ChaCha algorithm described here uses a 256-bit key. The original algorithm also specified 128-bit keys and 8- and 12-round variants, but these are out of scope for this document. In this section, we describe the ChaCha block function.

Note also that the original ChaCha had a 64-bit nonce and 64-bit block count. We have modified this here to be more consistent with recommendations in Section 3.2 of [RFC5116]. This limits the use of a single (key,nonce) combination to  $2^{32}$  blocks, or 256 GB, but that is enough for most uses. In cases where a single key is used by multiple senders, it is important to make sure that they don't use the same nonces. This can be assured by partitioning the nonce space so that the first 32 bits are unique per sender, while the other 64 bits come from a counter.

The ChaCha20 state is initialized as follows:

- o The first four words (0-3) are constants: 0x61707865, 0x3320646e, 0x79622d32, 0x6b206574.
- o The next eight words (4-11) are taken from the 256-bit key by reading the bytes in little-endian order, in 4-byte chunks.
- o Word 12 is a block counter. Since each block is 64-byte, a 32-bit word is enough for 256 gigabytes of data.
- o Words 13-15 are a nonce, which MUST not be repeated for the same key. The 13th word is the first 32 bits of the input nonce taken as a little-endian integer, while the 15th word is the last 32 bits.

```

cccccccc cccccccc cccccccc cccccccc
kkkkkkkk kkkkkkkk kkkkkkkk kkkkkkkk
kkkkkkkk kkkkkkkk kkkkkkkk kkkkkkkk
bbbbbbbb nnnnnnnn nnnnnnnn nnnnnnnn

```

c=constant k=key b=blockcount n=nonce



ChaCha20 runs 20 rounds, alternating between "column rounds" and "diagonal rounds". Each round consists of four quarter-rounds, and they are run as follows. Quarter rounds 1-4 are part of a "column" round, while 5-8 are part of a "diagonal" round:

```
QUARTERROUND(0, 4, 8, 12)
QUARTERROUND(1, 5, 9, 13)
QUARTERROUND(2, 6, 10, 14)
QUARTERROUND(3, 7, 11, 15)
QUARTERROUND(0, 5, 10, 15)
QUARTERROUND(1, 6, 11, 12)
QUARTERROUND(2, 7, 8, 13)
QUARTERROUND(3, 4, 9, 14)
```

At the end of 20 rounds (or 10 iterations of the above list), we add the original input words to the output words, and serialize the result by sequencing the words one-by-one in little-endian order.

Note: "addition" in the above paragraph is done modulo  $2^{32}$ . In some machine languages, this is called carryless addition on a 32-bit word.

### 2.3.1. The ChaCha20 Block Function in Pseudocode

Note: This section and a few others contain pseudocode for the algorithm explained in a previous section. Every effort was made for the pseudocode to accurately reflect the algorithm as described in the preceding section. If a conflict is still present, the textual explanation and the test vectors are normative.

```
inner_block (state):
    Qround(state, 0, 4, 8, 12)
    Qround(state, 1, 5, 9, 13)
    Qround(state, 2, 6, 10, 14)
    Qround(state, 3, 7, 11, 15)
    Qround(state, 0, 5, 10, 15)
    Qround(state, 1, 6, 11, 12)
    Qround(state, 2, 7, 8, 13)
    Qround(state, 3, 4, 9, 14)
end
```

```

chacha20_block(key, counter, nonce):
    state = constants | key | counter | nonce
    initial_state = state
    for i=1 upto 10
        inner_block(state)
    end
    state += initial_state
    return serialize(state)
end

```

Where the pipe character ("|") denotes concatenation.

### 2.3.2. Test Vector for the ChaCha20 Block Function

For a test vector, we will use the following inputs to the ChaCha20 block function:

- o Key = 00:01:02:03:04:05:06:07:08:09:0a:0b:0c:0d:0e:0f:10:11:12:13:14:15:16:17:18:19:1a:1b:1c:1d:1e:1f. The key is a sequence of octets with no particular structure before we copy it into the ChaCha state.
- o Nonce = (00:00:00:09:00:00:00:4a:00:00:00:00)
- o Block Count = 1.

After setting up the ChaCha state, it looks like this:

ChaCha state with the key setup.

61707865	3320646e	79622d32	6b206574
03020100	07060504	0b0a0908	0f0e0d0c
13121110	17161514	1b1a1918	1f1e1d1c
00000001	09000000	4a000000	00000000

After running 20 rounds (10 column rounds interleaved with 10 "diagonal rounds"), the ChaCha state looks like this:

ChaCha state after 20 rounds

837778ab	e238d763	a67ae21e	5950bb2f
c4f2d0c7	fc62bb2f	8fa018fc	3f5ec7b7
335271c2	f29489f3	eabda8fc	82e46ebd
d19c12b4	b04e16de	9e83d0cb	4e3c50a2

Finally, we add the original state to the result (simple vector or matrix addition), giving this:

ChaCha state at the end of the ChaCha20 operation

```
e4e7f110 15593bd1 1fdd0f50 c47120a3
c7f4d1c7 0368c033 9aaa2204 4e6cd4c3
466482d2 09aa9f07 05d7c214 a2028bd9
d19c12b5 b94e16de e883d0cb 4e3c50a2
```

After we serialize the state, we get this:

Serialized Block:

```
000 10 f1 e7 e4 d1 3b 59 15 50 0f dd 1f a3 20 71 c4 .....;Y.P.... q.
016 c7 d1 f4 c7 33 c0 68 03 04 22 aa 9a c3 d4 6c 4e ....3.h.."....lN
032 d2 82 64 46 07 9f aa 09 14 c2 d7 05 d9 8b 02 a2 ..dF.....
048 b5 12 9c d1 de 16 4e b9 cb d0 83 e8 a2 50 3c 4e .....N.....P<N
```

## 2.4. The ChaCha20 Encryption Algorithm

ChaCha20 is a stream cipher designed by D. J. Bernstein. It is a refinement of the Salsa20 algorithm, and it uses a 256-bit key.

ChaCha20 successively calls the ChaCha20 block function, with the same key and nonce, and with successively increasing block counter parameters. ChaCha20 then serializes the resulting state by writing the numbers in little-endian order, creating a keystream block. Concatenating the keystream blocks from the successive blocks forms a keystream. The ChaCha20 function then performs an XOR of this keystream with the plaintext. Alternatively, each keystream block can be XORed with a plaintext block before proceeding to create the next block, saving some memory. There is no requirement for the plaintext to be an integral multiple of 512 bits. If there is extra keystream from the last block, it is discarded. Specific protocols MAY require that the plaintext and ciphertext have certain length. Such protocols need to specify how the plaintext is padded and how much padding it receives.

The inputs to ChaCha20 are:

- o A 256-bit key
- o A 32-bit initial counter. This can be set to any number, but will usually be zero or one. It makes sense to use one if we use the zero block for something else, such as generating a one-time authenticator key as part of an AEAD algorithm.
- o A 96-bit nonce. In some protocols, this is known as the Initialization Vector.
- o An arbitrary-length plaintext

The output is an encrypted message, or "ciphertext", of the same length.

Decryption is done in the same way. The ChaCha20 block function is used to expand the key into a keystream, which is XORed with the ciphertext giving back the plaintext.

#### 2.4.1. The ChaCha20 Encryption Algorithm in Pseudocode

```
chacha20_encrypt(key, counter, nonce, plaintext):  
  for j = 0 upto floor(len(plaintext)/64)-1  
    key_stream = chacha20_block(key, counter+j, nonce)  
    block = plaintext[(j*64)..(j*64+63)]  
    encrypted_message += block ^ key_stream  
  end  
  if ((len(plaintext) % 64) != 0)  
    j = floor(len(plaintext)/64)  
    key_stream = chacha20_block(key, counter+j, nonce)  
    block = plaintext[(j*64)..len(plaintext)-1]  
    encrypted_message += (block^key_stream)[0..len(plaintext)%64]  
  end  
  return encrypted_message  
end
```

#### 2.4.2. Example and Test Vector for the ChaCha20 Cipher

For a test vector, we will use the following inputs to the ChaCha20 block function:

- o Key = 00:01:02:03:04:05:06:07:08:09:0a:0b:0c:0d:0e:0f:10:11:12:13:14:15:16:17:18:19:1a:1b:1c:1d:1e:1f.
- o Nonce = (00:00:00:00:00:00:00:4a:00:00:00:00).
- o Initial Counter = 1.

We use the following for the plaintext. It was chosen to be long enough to require more than one block, but not so long that it would make this example cumbersome (so, less than 3 blocks):

## Plaintext Sunscreen:

000	4c 61 64 69 65 73 20 61 6e 64 20 47 65 6e 74 6c	Ladies and Gentl
016	65 6d 65 6e 20 6f 66 20 74 68 65 20 63 6c 61 73	emen of the clas
032	73 20 6f 66 20 27 39 39 3a 20 49 66 20 49 20 63	s of '99: If I c
048	6f 75 6c 64 20 6f 66 66 65 72 20 79 6f 75 20 6f	ould offer you o
064	6e 6c 79 20 6f 6e 65 20 74 69 70 20 66 6f 72 20	nly one tip for
080	74 68 65 20 66 75 74 75 72 65 2c 20 73 75 6e 73	the future, suns
096	63 72 65 65 6e 20 77 6f 75 6c 64 20 62 65 20 69	creen would be i
112	74 2e	t.

The following figure shows four ChaCha state matrices:

1. First block as it is set up.
2. Second block as it is set up. Note that these blocks are only two bits apart -- only the counter in position 12 is different.
3. Third block is the first block after the ChaCha20 block operation was applied.
4. Final block is the second block after the ChaCha20 block operation was applied.

After that, we show the keystream.

## First block setup:

61707865	3320646e	79622d32	6b206574
03020100	07060504	0b0a0908	0f0e0d0c
13121110	17161514	1b1a1918	1f1e1d1c
00000001	00000000	4a000000	00000000

## Second block setup:

61707865	3320646e	79622d32	6b206574
03020100	07060504	0b0a0908	0f0e0d0c
13121110	17161514	1b1a1918	1f1e1d1c
00000002	00000000	4a000000	00000000

## First block after block operation:

f3514f22	e1d91b40	6f27de2f	ed1d63b8
821f138c	e2062c3d	ecca4f7e	78cff39e
a30a3b8a	920a6072	cd7479b5	34932bed
40ba4c79	cd343ec6	4c2c21ea	b7417df0

## Second block after block operation:

9f74a669	410f633f	28feca22	7ec44dec
6d34d426	738cb970	3ac5e9f3	45590cc4
da6e8b39	892c831a	cdea67c1	2b7e1d90
037463f3	a11a2073	e8bcfb88	edc49139

**Keystream:**

```

22:4f:51:f3:40:1b:d9:e1:2f:de:27:6f:b8:63:1d:ed:8c:13:1f:82:3d:2c:06
e2:7e:4f:ca:ec:9e:f3:cf:78:8a:3b:0a:a3:72:60:0a:92:b5:79:74:cd:ed:2b
93:34:79:4c:ba:40:c6:3e:34:cd:ea:21:2c:4c:f0:7d:41:b7:69:a6:74:9f:3f
63:0f:41:22:ca:fe:28:ec:4d:c4:7e:26:d4:34:6d:70:b9:8c:73:f3:e9:c5:3a
c4:0c:59:45:39:8b:6e:da:1a:83:2c:89:c1:67:ea:cd:90:1d:7e:2b:f3:63

```

Finally, we XOR the keystream with the plaintext, yielding the ciphertext:

**Ciphertext Sunscreen:**

```

000  6e 2e 35 9a 25 68 f9 80 41 ba 07 28 dd 0d 69 81  n.5.%h..A..(..i.
016  e9 7e 7a ec 1d 43 60 c2 0a 27 af cc fd 9f ae 0b  .~z..C`..'.....
032  f9 1b 65 c5 52 47 33 ab 8f 59 3d ab cd 62 b3 57  ..e.RG3..Y=..b.W
048  16 39 d6 24 e6 51 52 ab 8f 53 0c 35 9f 08 61 d8  .9.$..QR..S.5..a.
064  07 ca 0d bf 50 0d 6a 61 56 a3 8e 08 8a 22 b6 5e  ....P.jaV....".^
080  52 bc 51 4d 16 cc f8 06 81 8c e9 1a b7 79 37 36  R.QM.....y76
096  5a f9 0b bf 74 a3 5b e6 b4 0b 8e ed f2 78 5e 42  Z...t.[.....x^B
112  87 4d                                         .M

```

**2.5. The Poly1305 Algorithm**

Poly1305 is a one-time authenticator designed by D. J. Bernstein. Poly1305 takes a 32-byte one-time key and a message and produces a 16-byte tag. This tag is used to authenticate the message.

The original article ([Poly1305]) is titled "The Poly1305-AES message-authentication code", and the MAC function there requires a 128-bit AES key, a 128-bit "additional key", and a 128-bit (non-secret) nonce. AES is used there for encrypting the nonce, so as to get a unique (and secret) 128-bit string, but as the paper states, "There is nothing special about AES here. One can replace AES with an arbitrary keyed function from an arbitrary set of nonces to 16-byte strings."

Regardless of how the key is generated, the key is partitioned into two parts, called "r" and "s". The pair (r,s) should be unique, and MUST be unpredictable for each invocation (that is why it was originally obtained by encrypting a nonce), while "r" MAY be constant, but needs to be modified as follows before being used: ("r" is treated as a 16-octet little-endian number):

- o r[3], r[7], r[11], and r[15] are required to have their top four bits clear (be smaller than 16)
- o r[4], r[8], and r[12] are required to have their bottom two bits clear (be divisible by 4)

The following sample code clamps "r" to be appropriate:

```
/*
Adapted from poly1305aes_test_clamp.c version 20050207
D. J. Bernstein
Public domain.
*/

#include "poly1305aes_test.h"

void poly1305aes_test_clamp(unsigned char r[16])
{
    r[3]  &= 15;
    r[7]  &= 15;
    r[11] &= 15;
    r[15] &= 15;
    r[4]  &= 252;
    r[8]  &= 252;
    r[12] &= 252;
}
```

Where "&=" is the C language bitwise AND assignment operator.

The "s" should be unpredictable, but it is perfectly acceptable to generate both "r" and "s" uniquely each time. Because each of them is 128 bits, pseudorandomly generating them (see Section 2.6) is also acceptable.

The inputs to Poly1305 are:

- o A 256-bit one-time key
- o An arbitrary length message

The output is a 128-bit tag.

First, the "r" value is clamped.

Next, set the constant prime "P" be  $2^{130}-5$ :  
3fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffb. Also set a variable "accumulator" to zero.

Next, divide the message into 16-byte blocks. The last one might be shorter:

- o Read the block as a little-endian number.
- o Add one bit beyond the number of octets. For a 16-byte block, this is equivalent to adding  $2^{128}$  to the number. For the shorter block, it can be  $2^{120}$ ,  $2^{112}$ , or any power of two that is evenly divisible by 8, all the way down to  $2^8$ .
- o If the block is not 17 bytes long (the last block), pad it with zeros. This is meaningless if you are treating the blocks as numbers.
- o Add this number to the accumulator.
- o Multiply by "r".
- o Set the accumulator to the result modulo p. To summarize:  $\text{Acc} = ((\text{Acc} + \text{block}) * r) \% p$ .

Finally, the value of the secret key "s" is added to the accumulator, and the 128 least significant bits are serialized in little-endian order to form the tag.

#### 2.5.1. The Poly1305 Algorithms in Pseudocode

```
clamp(r): r &= 0x0fffffff0fffffff0fffffff0fffffff
poly1305_mac(msg, key):
  r = le_bytes_to_num(key[0..15])
  clamp(r)
  s = le_bytes_to_num(key[16..31])
  a = 0 /* a is the accumulator */
  p = (1<<130)-5
  for i=1 upto ceil(msg length in bytes / 16)
    n = le_bytes_to_num(msg[((i-1)*16)..(i*16)] | [0x01])
    a += n
    a = (r * a) % p
  end
  a += s
  return num_to_16_le_bytes(a)
end
```



### 2.5.2. Poly1305 Example and Test Vector

For our example, we will dispense with generating the one-time key using AES, and assume that we got the following keying material:

- o Key Material: 85:d6:be:78:57:55:6d:33:7f:44:52:fe:42:d5:06:a8:01:03:80:8a:fb:0d:b2:fd:4a:bf:f6:af:41:49:f5:1b
- o s as an octet string: 01:03:80:8a:fb:0d:b2:fd:4a:bf:f6:af:41:49:f5:1b
- o s as a 128-bit number: 1bf54941aff6bf4afdb20dfb8a800301
- o r before clamping: 85:d6:be:78:57:55:6d:33:7f:44:52:fe:42:d5:06:a8
- o Clamped r as a number: 806d5400e52447c036d555408bed685

For our message, we'll use a short text:

Message to be Authenticated:

000	43	72	79	70	74	6f	67	72	61	70	68	69	63	20	46	6f	Cryptographic Fo
016	72	75	6d	20	52	65	73	65	61	72	63	68	20	47	72	6f	rum Research Gro
032	75	70															up

Since Poly1305 works in 16-byte chunks, the 34-byte message divides into three blocks. In the following calculation, "Acc" denotes the accumulator and "Block" the current block:

Block #1

```

Acc = 00
Block = 6f4620636968706172676f7470797243
Block with 0x01 byte = 016f4620636968706172676f7470797243
Acc + block = 016f4620636968706172676f7470797243
(Acc+Block) * r =
    b83fe991ca66800489155dcd69e8426ba2779453994ac90ed284034da565ecf
Acc = ((Acc+Block)*r) % P = 2c88c77849d64ae9147ddeb88e69c83fc

```

Block #2

```

Acc = 2c88c77849d64ae9147ddeb88e69c83fc
Block = 6f7247206863726165736552206d7572
Block with 0x01 byte = 016f7247206863726165736552206d7572
Acc + block = 437febea505c820f2ad5150db0709f96e
(Acc+Block) * r =
    21dcc992d0c659ba4036f65bb7f88562ae59b32c2b3b8f7efc8b00f78e548a26
Acc = ((Acc+Block)*r) % P = 2d8adaf23b0337fa7cccfb4ea344b30de

```

**Last Block**

```

Acc = 2d8adaf23b0337fa7cccfb4ea344b30de
Block = 7075
Block with 0x01 byte = 017075
Acc + block = 2d8adaf23b0337fa7cccfb4ea344ca153
(Acc + Block) * r =
    16d8e08a0f3fe1de4fe4a15486aca7a270a29f1e6c849221e4a6798b8e45321f
((Acc + Block) * r) % P = 28d31b7caff946c77c8844335369d03a7

```

Adding *s*, we get this number, and serialize it to get the tag:

```
Acc + s = 2a927010caf8b2bc2c6365130c11d06a8
```

```
Tag: a8:06:1d:c1:30:51:36:c6:c2:2b:8b:af:0c:01:27:a9
```

**2.6. Generating the Poly1305 Key Using ChaCha20**

As said in Section 2.5, it is acceptable to generate the one-time Poly1305 key pseudorandomly. This section defines such a method.

To generate such a key pair (*r*,*s*), we will use the ChaCha20 block function described in Section 2.3. This assumes that we have a 256-bit session key specifically for the Message Authentication Code (MAC) function. Any document that specifies the use of Poly1305 as a MAC algorithm for some protocol **MUST** specify that 256 bits are allocated for the integrity key. Note that in the AEAD construction defined in Section 2.8, the same key is used for encryption and key generation.

The method is to call the block function with the following parameters:

- o The 256-bit session integrity key is used as the ChaCha20 key.
- o The block counter is set to zero.
- o The protocol will specify a 96-bit or 64-bit nonce. This **MUST** be unique per invocation with the same key, so it **MUST NOT** be randomly generated. A counter is a good way to implement this, but other methods, such as a Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) are also acceptable. ChaCha20 as specified here requires a 96-bit nonce. So if the provided nonce is only 64-bit, then the first 32 bits of the nonce will be set to a constant number. This will usually be zero, but for protocols with multiple senders it may be different for each sender, but **SHOULD** be the same for all invocations of the function with the same key by a particular sender.

After running the block function, we have a 512-bit state. We take the first 256 bits of the serialized state, and use those as the one-time Poly1305 key: the first 128 bits are clamped and form "r", while the next 128 bits become "s". The other 256 bits are discarded.

Note that while many protocols have provisions for a nonce for encryption algorithms (often called Initialization Vectors, or IVs), they usually don't have such a provision for the MAC function. In that case, the per-invocation nonce will have to come from somewhere else, such as a message counter.

### 2.6.1. Poly1305 Key Generation in Pseudocode

```
poly1305_key_gen(key,nonce):
    counter = 0
    block = chacha20_block(key,counter,nonce)
    return block[0..31]
end
```

### 2.6.2. Poly1305 Key Generation Test Vector

For this example, we'll set:

Key:

000	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	.....
016	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	9a	9b	9c	9d	9e	9f	.....

Nonce:

000	00	00	00	00	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	.....
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-------

The ChaCha state setup with key, nonce, and block counter zero:

61707865	3320646e	79622d32	6b206574
83828180	87868584	8b8a8988	8f8e8d8c
93929190	97969594	9b9a9998	9f9e9d9c
00000000	00000000	03020100	07060504

The ChaCha state after 20 rounds:

8ba0d58a	cc815f90	27405081	7194b24a
37b633a8	a50dfde3	e2b8db08	46a6d1fd
7da03782	9183a233	148ad271	b46773d1
3cc1875a	8607def1	ca5c3086	7085eb87

Output bytes:

000	8a	d5	a0	8b	90	5f	81	cc	81	50	40	27	4a	b2	94	71	.....P@'J..q
016	a8	33	b6	37	e3	fd	0d	a5	08	db	b8	e2	fd	d1	a6	46	.3.7.....F

And that output is also the 32-byte one-time key used for Poly1305.

## 2.7. A Pseudorandom Function for Crypto Suites Based on ChaCha/Poly1305

Some protocols, such as IKEv2 ([RFC7296]), require a Pseudorandom Function (PRF), mostly for key derivation. In the IKEv2 definition, a PRF is a function that accepts a variable-length key and a variable-length input, and returns a fixed-length output. Most commonly, Hashed MAC (HMAC) constructions are used for this purpose, and often the same function is used for both message authentication and PRF.

Poly1305 is not a suitable choice for a PRF. Poly1305 prohibits using the same key twice, whereas the PRF in IKEv2 is used multiple times with the same key. Additionally, unlike HMAC, Poly1305 is biased, so using it for key derivation would reduce the security of the symmetric encryption.

Chacha20 could be used as a key-derivation function, by generating an arbitrarily long keystream. However, that is not what protocols such as IKEv2 require.

For this reason, this document does not specify a PRF.

## 2.8. AEAD Construction

AEAD\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305 is an authenticated encryption with additional data algorithm. The inputs to AEAD\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305 are:

- o A 256-bit key
- o A 96-bit nonce -- different for each invocation with the same key
- o An arbitrary length plaintext
- o Arbitrary length additional authenticated data (AAD)

Some protocols may have unique per-invocation inputs that are not 96 bits in length. For example, IPsec may specify a 64-bit nonce. In such a case, it is up to the protocol document to define how to transform the protocol nonce into a 96-bit nonce, for example, by concatenating a constant value.

The ChaCha20 and Poly1305 primitives are combined into an AEAD that takes a 256-bit key and 96-bit nonce as follows:

- o First, a Poly1305 one-time key is generated from the 256-bit key and nonce using the procedure described in Section 2.6.

- o Next, the ChaCha20 encryption function is called to encrypt the plaintext, using the same key and nonce, and with the initial counter set to 1.
- o Finally, the Poly1305 function is called with the Poly1305 key calculated above, and a message constructed as a concatenation of the following:
  - \* The AAD
  - \* padding1 -- the padding is up to 15 zero bytes, and it brings the total length so far to an integral multiple of 16. If the length of the AAD was already an integral multiple of 16 bytes, this field is zero-length.
  - \* The ciphertext
  - \* padding2 -- the padding is up to 15 zero bytes, and it brings the total length so far to an integral multiple of 16. If the length of the ciphertext was already an integral multiple of 16 bytes, this field is zero-length.
  - \* The length of the additional data in octets (as a 64-bit little-endian integer).
  - \* The length of the ciphertext in octets (as a 64-bit little-endian integer).

The output from the AEAD is the concatenation of:

- o A ciphertext of the same length as the plaintext.
- o A 128-bit tag, which is the output of the Poly1305 function.

Decryption is similar with the following differences:

- o The roles of ciphertext and plaintext are reversed, so the ChaCha20 encryption function is applied to the ciphertext, producing the plaintext.
- o The Poly1305 function is still run on the AAD and the ciphertext, not the plaintext.
- o The calculated tag is bitwise compared to the received tag. The message is authenticated if and only if the tags match.

A few notes about this design:

1. The amount of encrypted data possible in a single invocation is  $2^{32}-1$  blocks of 64 bytes each, because of the size of the block counter field in the ChaCha20 block function. This gives a total of 274,877,906,880 bytes, or nearly 256 GB. This should be enough for traffic protocols such as IPsec and TLS, but may be too small for file and/or disk encryption. For such uses, we can return to the original design, reduce the nonce to 64 bits, and use the integer at position 13 as the top 32 bits of a 64-bit block counter, increasing the total message size to over a million petabytes (1,180,591,620,717,411,303,360 bytes to be exact).
2. Despite the previous item, the ciphertext length field in the construction of the buffer on which Poly1305 runs limits the ciphertext (and hence, the plaintext) size to  $2^{64}$  bytes, or sixteen thousand petabytes (18,446,744,073,709,551,616 bytes to be exact).

The AEAD construction in this section is a novel composition of ChaCha20 and Poly1305. A security analysis of this composition is given in [Procter].

Here is a list of the parameters for this construction as defined in Section 4 of [RFC5116]:

- o K\_LEN (key length) is 32 octets.
- o P\_MAX (maximum size of the plaintext) is 274,877,906,880 bytes, or nearly 256 GB.
- o A\_MAX (maximum size of the associated data) is set to  $2^{64}-1$  octets by the length field for associated data.
- o N\_MIN = N\_MAX = 12 octets.
- o C\_MAX = P\_MAX + tag length = 274,877,906,896 octets.

Distinct AAD inputs (as described in Section 3.3 of [RFC5116]) shall be concatenated into a single input to AEAD\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305. It is up to the application to create a structure in the AAD input if it is needed.

## 2.8.1. Pseudocode for the AEAD Construction

```

pad16(x):
  if (len(x) % 16) == 0
    then return NULL
  else return copies(0, 16-(len(x)%16))
end

chacha20_aead_encrypt(aad, key, iv, constant, plaintext):
  nonce = constant | iv
  otk = poly1305_key_gen(key, nonce)
  ciphertext = chacha20_encrypt(key, 1, nonce, plaintext)
  mac_data = aad | pad16(aad)
  mac_data |= ciphertext | pad16(ciphertext)
  mac_data |= num_to_8_le_bytes(aad.length)
  mac_data |= num_to_8_le_bytes(ciphertext.length)
  tag = poly1305_mac(mac_data, otk)
  return (ciphertext, tag)

```

## 2.8.2. Example and Test Vector for AEAD\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305

For a test vector, we will use the following inputs to the AEAD\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305 function:

## Plaintext:

000	4c 61 64 69 65 73 20 61 6e 64 20 47 65 6e 74 6c	Ladies and Gentl
016	65 6d 65 6e 20 6f 66 20 74 68 65 20 63 6c 61 73	emen of the clas
032	73 20 6f 66 20 27 39 39 3a 20 49 66 20 49 20 63	s of '99: If I c
048	6f 75 6c 64 20 6f 66 66 65 72 20 79 6f 75 20 6f	ould offer you o
064	6e 6c 79 20 6f 6e 65 20 74 69 70 20 66 6f 72 20	nly one tip for
080	74 68 65 20 66 75 74 75 72 65 2c 20 73 75 6e 73	the future, suns
096	63 72 65 65 6e 20 77 6f 75 6c 64 20 62 65 20 69	creen would be i
112	74 2e	t.

## AAD:

000	50 51 52 53 c0 c1 c2 c3 c4 c5 c6 c7	PQRS.....
-----	-------------------------------------	-----------

## Key:

000	80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 8a 8b 8c 8d 8e 8f	.....
016	90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 9a 9b 9c 9d 9e 9f	.....

## IV:

000	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	@ABCDEFG
-----	-------------------------	----------

## 32-bit fixed-common part:

000	07 00 00 00	....
-----	-------------	------

Setup for generating Poly1305 one-time key (sender id=7):

```
61707865 3320646e 79622d32 6b206574
83828180 87868584 8b8a8988 8f8e8d8c
93929190 97969594 9b9a9998 9f9e9d9c
00000000 00000007 43424140 47464544
```

After generating Poly1305 one-time key:

```
252bac7b af47b42d 557ab609 8455e9a4
73d6e10a ebd97510 7875932a ff53d53e
decc7ea2 b44ddbda e49c17d1 d8430bc9
8c94b7bc 8b7d4b4b 3927f67d 1669a432
```

Poly1305 Key:

```
000 7b ac 2b 25 2d b4 47 af 09 b6 7a 55 a4 e9 55 84 { .+%- .G...zU..U.
016 0a e1 d6 73 10 75 d9 eb 2a 93 75 78 3e d5 53 ff ...s.u..*.ux>.S.
```

Poly1305 r = 455e9a4057ab6080f47b42c052bac7b

Poly1305 s = ff53d53e7875932aebd9751073d6e10a

keystream bytes:

```
9f:7b:e9:5d:01:fd:40:ba:15:e2:8f:fb:36:81:0a:ae:
c1:c0:88:3f:09:01:6e:de:dd:8a:d0:87:55:82:03:a5:
4e:9e:cb:38:ac:8e:5e:2b:b8:da:b2:0f:fa:db:52:e8:
75:04:b2:6e:be:69:6d:4f:60:a4:85:cf:11:b8:1b:59:
fc:b1:c4:5f:42:19:ee:ac:ec:6a:de:c3:4e:66:69:78:
8e:db:41:c4:9c:a3:01:e1:27:e0:ac:ab:3b:44:b9:cf:
5c:86:bb:95:e0:6b:0d:f2:90:1a:b6:45:e4:ab:e6:22:
15:38
```

Ciphertext:

```
000 d3 1a 8d 34 64 8e 60 db 7b 86 af bc 53 ef 7e c2 ...4d.`.{...S.~.
016 a4 ad ed 51 29 6e 08 fe a9 e2 b5 a7 36 ee 62 d6 ...Q)n.....6.b.
032 3d be a4 5e 8c a9 67 12 82 fa fb 69 da 92 72 8b =..^...g....i..r.
048 1a 71 de 0a 9e 06 0b 29 05 d6 a5 b6 7e cd 3b 36 .q.....)....~.;6
064 92 dd bd 7f 2d 77 8b 8c 98 03 ae e3 28 09 1b 58 ....-w.....(..X
080 fa b3 24 e4 fa d6 75 94 55 85 80 8b 48 31 d7 bc ..$....u.U...H1..
096 3f f4 de f0 8e 4b 7a 9d e5 76 d2 65 86 ce c6 4b ?....Kz..v.e...K
112 61 16 a.
```



**AEAD Construction for Poly1305:**

```

000 50 51 52 53 c0 c1 c2 c3 c4 c5 c6 c7 00 00 00 00 PQRS.....
016 d3 1a 8d 34 64 8e 60 db 7b 86 af bc 53 ef 7e c2 ...4d.`.{...S.~.
032 a4 ad ed 51 29 6e 08 fe a9 e2 b5 a7 36 ee 62 d6 ...Q)n.....6.b.
048 3d be a4 5e 8c a9 67 12 82 fa fb 69 da 92 72 8b =..^..g....i..r.
064 1a 71 de 0a 9e 06 0b 29 05 d6 a5 b6 7e cd 3b 36 .q.....)....~.;6
080 92 dd bd 7f 2d 77 8b 8c 98 03 ae e3 28 09 1b 58 ....-w.....(..X
096 fa b3 24 e4 fa d6 75 94 55 85 80 8b 48 31 d7 bc ..$....u.U...H1..
112 3f f4 de f0 8e 4b 7a 9d e5 76 d2 65 86 ce c6 4b ?....Kz..v.e...K
128 61 16 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 a.....
144 0c 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 72 00 00 00 00 00 .....r.....

```

Note the four zero bytes in line 000 and the 14 zero bytes in line 128

Tag:

1a:e1:0b:59:4f:09:e2:6a:7e:90:2e:cb:d0:60:06:91

### 3. Implementation Advice

Each block of ChaCha20 involves 16 move operations and one increment operation for loading the state, 80 each of XOR, addition and roll operations for the rounds, 16 more add operations and 16 XOR operations for protecting the plaintext. Section 2.3 describes the ChaCha block function as "adding the original input words". This implies that before starting the rounds on the ChaCha state, we copy it aside, only to add it in later. This is correct, but we can save a few operations if we instead copy the state and do the work on the copy. This way, for the next block you don't need to recreate the state, but only to increment the block counter. This saves approximately 5.5% of the cycles.

It is not recommended to use a generic big number library such as the one in OpenSSL for the arithmetic operations in Poly1305. Such libraries use dynamic allocation to be able to handle an integer of any size, but that flexibility comes at the expense of performance as well as side-channel security. More efficient implementations that run in constant time are available, one of them in D. J. Bernstein's own library, NaCl ([NaCl]). A constant-time but not optimal approach would be to naively implement the arithmetic operations for 288-bit integers, because even a naive implementation will not exceed  $2^{288}$  in the multiplication of  $(acc+block)$  and  $r$ . An efficient constant-time implementation can be found in the public domain library poly1305-donna ([Poly1305\_Donna]).

#### 4. Security Considerations

The ChaCha20 cipher is designed to provide 256-bit security.

The Poly1305 authenticator is designed to ensure that forged messages are rejected with a probability of  $1-(n/(2^{102}))$  for a  $16n$ -byte message, even after sending  $2^{64}$  legitimate messages, so it is **SUF-CMA** (strong unforgeability against chosen-message attacks) in the terminology of [AE].

Proving the security of either of these is beyond the scope of this document. Such proofs are available in the referenced academic papers ([ChaCha], [Poly1305], [LatinDances], [LatinDances2], and [Zhenqing2012]).

The most important security consideration in implementing this document is the uniqueness of the nonce used in ChaCha20. Counters and LFSRs are both acceptable ways of generating unique nonces, as is encrypting a counter using a block cipher with a 64-bit block size such as DES. Note that it is not acceptable to use a truncation of a counter encrypted with block ciphers with 128-bit or 256-bit blocks, because such a truncation may repeat after a short time.

**Consequences of repeating a nonce:** If a nonce is repeated, then both the one-time Poly1305 key and the keystream are identical between the messages. This reveals the XOR of the plaintexts, because the XOR of the plaintexts is equal to the XOR of the ciphertexts.

The Poly1305 key **MUST** be unpredictable to an attacker. Randomly generating the key would fulfill this requirement, except that Poly1305 is often used in communications protocols, so the receiver should know the key. Pseudorandom number generation such as by encrypting a counter is acceptable. Using ChaCha with a secret key and a nonce is also acceptable.

The algorithms presented here were designed to be easy to implement in constant time to avoid side-channel vulnerabilities. The operations used in ChaCha20 are all additions, XORs, and fixed rolls. All of these can and should be implemented in constant time. Access to offsets into the ChaCha state and the number of operations do not depend on any property of the key, eliminating the chance of information about the key leaking through the timing of cache misses.

For Poly1305, the operations are addition, multiplication, and modulus, all on numbers with greater than 128 bits. This can be done in constant time, but a naive implementation (such as using some generic big number library) will not be constant time. For example, if the multiplication is performed as a separate operation from the

modulus, the result will sometimes be under  $2^{256}$  and sometimes be above  $2^{256}$ . Implementers should be careful about timing side-channels for Poly1305 by using the appropriate implementation of these operations.

Validating the authenticity of a message involves a bitwise comparison of the calculated tag with the received tag. In most use cases, nonces and AAD contents are not "used up" until a valid message is received. This allows an attacker to send multiple identical messages with different tags until one passes the tag comparison. This is hard if the attacker has to try all  $2^{128}$  possible tags one by one. However, if the timing of the tag comparison operation reveals how long a prefix of the calculated and received tags is identical, the number of messages can be reduced significantly. For this reason, with online protocols, implementation **MUST** use a constant-time comparison function rather than relying on optimized but insecure library functions such as the C language's `memcmp()`.

Additionally, any protocol using this algorithm **MUST** include the complete tag to minimize the opportunity for forgery. Tag truncation **MUST NOT** be done.

## 5. IANA Considerations

IANA has updated the entry in the "Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data (AEAD) Parameters" registry with 29 as the Numeric ID and "AEAD\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305" as the name to point to this document as its reference.

## 6. References

### 6.1. Normative References

- [ChaCha] Bernstein, D., "ChaCha, a variant of Salsa20", January 2008, <<http://cr.yp.to/chacha/chacha-20080128.pdf>>.
- [Poly1305] Bernstein, D., "The Poly1305-AES message-authentication code", March 2005, <<http://cr.yp.to/mac/poly1305-20050329.pdf>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

## 6.2. Informative References

- [AE] Bellare, M. and C. Namprempe, "Authenticated Encryption: Relations among notions and analysis of the generic composition paradigm", DOI 10.1007/s00145-008-9026-x, September 2008, <<http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1410269>>.
- [Cache-Collisions] Bonneau, J. and I. Mironov, "Cache-Collision Timing Attacks Against AES", 2006, <<http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/64024/aes-timing.pdf>>.
- [FIPS-197] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)", FIPS PUB 197, November 2001, <<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips-197.pdf>>.
- [LatinDances] Aumasson, J., Fischer, S., Khazaei, S., Meier, W., and C. Rechberger, "New Features of Latin Dances: Analysis of Salsa, ChaCha, and Rumba", December 2007, <<http://cr.yp.to/rumba20/newfeatures-20071218.pdf>>.
- [LatinDances2] Ishiguro, T., Kiyomoto, S., and Y. Miyake, "Modified version of 'Latin Dances Revisited: New Analytic Results of Salsa20 and ChaCha'", February 2012, <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2012/065.pdf>>.
- [NaCl] Bernstein, D., Lange, T., and P. Schwabe, "NaCl: Networking and Cryptography library", July 2012, <<http://nacl.cr.yp.to>>.
- [Poly1305\_Donna] "poly1305-donna", commit e6ad6e0, March 2016, <<https://github.com/floodyberry/poly1305-donna>>.
- [Procter] Procter, G., "A Security Analysis of the Composition of ChaCha20 and Poly1305", August 2014, <<http://eprint.iacr.org/2014/613.pdf>>.

- [RFC5116] McGrew, D., "An Interface and Algorithms for Authenticated Encryption", RFC 5116, DOI 10.17487/RFC5116, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5116>>.
- [RFC7296] Kaufman, C., Hoffman, P., Nir, Y., Eronen, P., and T. Kivinen, "Internet Key Exchange Protocol Version 2 (IKEv2)", STD 79, RFC 7296, DOI 10.17487/RFC7296, October 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7296>>.
- [RFC7539] Nir, Y. and A. Langley, "ChaCha20 and Poly1305 for IETF Protocols", RFC 7539, DOI 10.17487/RFC7539, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7539>>.
- [SP800-67] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Recommendation for the Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA) Block Cipher", NIST 800-67, Rev. 2, November 2017, <<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-67/rev-2/final>>.
- [Standby-Cipher] McGrew, D., Grieco, A., and Y. Sheffer, "Selection of Future Cryptographic Standards", Work in Progress, draft-mcgrew-standby-cipher-00, January 2013.
- [Zhenqing2012] Zhenqing, S., Bin, Z., Dengguo, F., and W. Wenling, "Improved Key Recovery Attacks on Reduced-Round Salsa20 and ChaCha\*", 2012.

## Appendix A. Additional Test Vectors

The subsections of this appendix contain more test vectors for the algorithms in the subsections of Section 2.

### A.1. The ChaCha20 Block Functions

#### Test Vector #1:

=====

#### Key:

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
```

#### Nonce:

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

```

Block Counter = 0

#### ChaCha state at the end

```
ade0b876 903df1a0 e56a5d40 28bd8653
b819d2bd 1aed8da0 ccef36a8 c70d778b
7c5941da 8d485751 3fe02477 374ad8b8
f4b8436a 1ca11815 69b687c3 8665eeb2
```

#### Keystream:

```
000  76 b8 e0 ad a0 f1 3d 90 40 5d 6a e5 53 86 bd 28 v.....=.@]j.S..(
016  bd d2 19 b8 a0 8d ed 1a a8 36 ef cc 8b 77 0d c7 .....6...w..
032  da 41 59 7c 51 57 48 8d 77 24 e0 3f b8 d8 4a 37 .AY|QWH.w$.?...J7
048  6a 43 b8 f4 15 18 a1 1c c3 87 b6 69 b2 ee 65 86 jC.....i..e.
```

#### Test Vector #2:

=====

#### Key:

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
```

#### Nonce:

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

```

Block Counter = 1

#### ChaCha state at the end

```
bee7079f 7a385155 7c97ba98 0d082d73
a0290fcb 6965e348 3e53c612 ed7aee32
7621b729 434ee69c b03371d5 d539d874
281fed31 45fb0a51 1f0ae1ac 6f4d794b
```

**Keystream:**

```

000  9f 07 e7 be 55 51 38 7a 98 ba 97 7c 73 2d 08 0d  ....UQ8z...|s-..
016  cb 0f 29 a0 48 e3 65 69 12 c6 53 3e 32 ee 7a ed  ..).H.ei...S>2.z.
032  29 b7 21 76 9c e6 4e 43 d5 71 33 b0 74 d8 39 d5  ).!v..NC.q3.t.9.
048  31 ed 1f 28 51 0a fb 45 ac e1 0a 1f 4b 79 4d 6f  1..(Q..E....KyMo

```

**Test Vector #3:**

```

=====

```

**Key:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01  .....

```

**Nonce:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  .....

```

Block Counter = 1

**ChaCha state at the end**

```

2452eb3a 9249f8ec 8d829d9b ddd4ceb1
e8252083 60818b01 f38422b8 5aaa49c9
bb00ca8e da3ba7b4 c4b592d1 fdf2732f
4436274e 2561b3c8 ebdd4aa6 a0136c00

```

**Keystream:**

```

000  3a eb 52 24 ec f8 49 92 9b 9d 82 8d b1 ce d4 dd  :.R$...I.....
016  83 20 25 e8 01 8b 81 60 b8 22 84 f3 c9 49 aa 5a  .%....\"...I.Z
032  8e ca 00 bb b4 a7 3b da d1 92 b5 c4 2f 73 f2 fd  ....;/s...
048  4e 27 36 44 c8 b3 61 25 a6 4a dd eb 00 6c 13 a0  N'6D..a%.J...l..

```

**Test Vector #4:**

```

=====

```

**Key:**

```

000  00 ff 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  .....

```

**Nonce:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  .....

```

Block Counter = 2

**ChaCha state at the end**

```

fb4dd572 4bc42ef1 df922636 327f1394
a78dea8f 5e269039 a1bebbc1 caf09aae
a25ab213 48a6b46c 1b9d9bcb 092c5be6
546ca624 1bec45d5 87f47473 96f0992e

```

## Keystream:

000	72	d5	4d	fb	f1	2e	c4	4b	36	26	92	df	94	13	7f	32	r.M....K6&.....2
016	8f	ea	8d	a7	39	90	26	5e	c1	bb	be	a1	ae	9a	f0	ca	....9.&^.....
032	13	b2	5a	a2	6c	b4	a6	48	cb	9b	9d	1b	e6	5b	2c	09	..Z.l..H.....[,.
048	24	a6	6c	54	d5	45	ec	1b	73	74	f4	87	2e	99	f0	96	\$.lT.E..st.....

## Test Vector #5:

=====

## Key:

000	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	.....
016	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	.....

## Nonce:

000	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02					.....
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	--	--	--	--	-------

Block Counter = 0

## ChaCha state at the end

374dc6c2	3736d58c	b904e24a	cd3f93ef
88228b1a	96a4dfb3	5b76ab72	c727ee54
0e0e978a	f3145c95	1b748ea8	f786c297
99c28f5f	628314e8	398a19fa	6ded1b53

## Keystream:

000	c2	c6	4d	37	8c	d5	36	37	4a	e2	04	b9	ef	93	3f	cd	..M7..67J.....?.
016	1a	8b	22	88	b3	df	a4	96	72	ab	76	5b	54	ee	27	c7	..".....r.v[T.'.
032	8a	97	0e	0e	95	5c	14	f3	a8	8e	74	1b	97	c2	86	f7	.....\.....t.....
048	5f	8f	c2	99	e8	14	83	62	fa	19	8a	39	53	1b	ed	6d	_.....b...9S..m





## Plaintext:

000	41	6e	79	20	73	75	62	6d	69	73	73	69	6f	6e	20	74	Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to
016	6f	20	74	68	65	20	49	45	54	46	20	69	6e	74	65	6e	
032	64	65	64	20	62	79	20	74	68	65	20	43	6f	6e	74	72	
048	69	62	75	74	6f	72	20	66	6f	72	20	70	75	62	6c	69	
064	63	61	74	69	6f	6e	20	61	73	20	61	6c	6c	20	6f	72	
080	20	70	61	72	74	20	6f	66	20	61	6e	20	49	45	54	46	
096	20	49	6e	74	65	72	6e	65	74	2d	44	72	61	66	74	20	
112	6f	72	20	52	46	43	20	61	6e	64	20	61	6e	79	20	73	
128	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	74	20	6d	61	64	65	20	77	69	
144	74	68	69	6e	20	74	68	65	20	63	6f	6e	74	65	78	74	
160	20	6f	66	20	61	6e	20	49	45	54	46	20	61	63	74	69	
176	76	69	74	79	20	69	73	20	63	6f	6e	73	69	64	65	72	
192	65	64	20	61	6e	20	22	49	45	54	46	20	43	6f	6e	74	
208	72	69	62	75	74	69	6f	6e	22	2e	20	53	75	63	68	20	
224	73	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	74	73	20	69	6e	63	6c	75	
240	64	65	20	6f	72	61	6c	20	73	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	
256	74	73	20	69	6e	20	49	45	54	46	20	73	65	73	73	69	
272	6f	6e	73	2c	20	61	73	20	77	65	6c	6c	20	61	73	20	
288	77	72	69	74	74	65	6e	20	61	6e	64	20	65	6c	65	63	
304	74	72	6f	6e	69	63	20	63	6f	6d	6d	75	6e	69	63	61	
320	74	69	6f	6e	73	20	6d	61	64	65	20	61	74	20	61	6e	
336	79	20	74	69	6d	65	20	6f	72	20	70	6c	61	63	65	2c	
352	20	77	68	69	63	68	20	61	72	65	20	61	64	64	72	65	
368	73	73	65	64	20	74	6f										

## Ciphertext:

000	a3	fb	f0	7d	f3	fa	2f	de	4f	37	6c	a2	3e	82	73	70	...}	../.07l.>.sp
016	41	60	5d	9f	4f	4f	57	bd	8c	ff	2c	1d	4b	79	55	ec	A`].00W....,KyU.	
032	2a	97	94	8b	d3	72	29	15	c8	f3	d3	37	f7	d3	70	05	*....r)....7..p.	
048	0e	9e	96	d6	47	b7	c3	9f	56	e0	31	ca	5e	b6	25	0d	....G...V.1.^.%.	
064	40	42	e0	27	85	ec	ec	fa	4b	4b	b5	e8	ea	d0	44	0e	@B.'....KK....D.	
080	20	b6	e8	db	09	d8	81	a7	c6	13	2f	42	0e	52	79	50	...../B.RyP	
096	42	bd	fa	77	73	d8	a9	05	14	47	b3	29	1c	e1	41	1c	B..ws....G.)..A.	
112	68	04	65	55	2a	a6	c4	05	b7	76	4d	5e	87	be	a8	5a	h.eU*....vM^...Z	
128	d0	0f	84	49	ed	8f	72	d0	d6	62	ab	05	26	91	ca	66	...I..r..b..&..f	
144	42	4b	c8	6d	2d	f8	0e	a4	1f	43	ab	f9	37	d3	25	9d	BK.m-....C..7.%.	
160	c4	b2	d0	df	b4	8a	6c	91	39	dd	d7	f7	69	66	e9	28	.....l.9...if.(	
176	e6	35	55	3b	a7	6c	5c	87	9d	7b	35	d4	9e	b2	e6	2b	.5U;.l\...{5....+	
192	08	71	cd	ac	63	89	39	e2	5e	8a	1e	0e	f9	d5	28	0f	.q..c.9.^.....(.	
208	a8	ca	32	8b	35	1c	3c	76	59	89	cb	cf	3d	aa	8b	6c	..2.5.<vY...=.l	
224	cc	3a	af	9f	39	79	c9	2b	37	20	fc	88	dc	95	ed	84	....9y.+7 .....	
240	a1	be	05	9c	64	99	b9	fd	a2	36	e7	e8	18	b0	4b	0b	....d....6....K.	
256	c3	9c	1e	87	6b	19	3b	fe	55	69	75	3f	88	12	8c	c0	....k.;.Uiu?....	
272	8a	aa	9b	63	d1	a1	6f	80	ef	25	54	d7	18	9c	41	1f	...c..o..%T...A.	
288	58	69	ca	52	c5	b8	3f	a3	6f	f2	16	b9	c1	d3	00	62	Xi.R..?.o.....b	
304	be	bc	fd	2d	c5	bc	e0	91	19	34	fd	a7	9a	86	f6	e6	...-.....4.....	
320	98	ce	d7	59	c3	ff	9b	64	77	33	8f	3d	a4	f9	cd	85	...Y....dw3.=....	
336	14	ea	99	82	cc	af	b3	41	b2	38	4d	d9	02	f3	d1	ab	.....A.8M.....	
352	7a	c6	1d	d2	9c	6f	21	ba	5b	86	2f	37	30	e3	7c	fd	z....o!.[./70. .	
368	c4	fd	80	6c	22	f2	21										...l"!.	

## Test Vector #3:

=====

## Key:

000	1c	92	40	a5	eb	55	d3	8a	f3	33	88	86	04	f6	b5	f0	..@..U...3.....
016	47	39	17	c1	40	2b	80	09	9d	ca	5c	bc	20	70	75	c0	G9..@+....\ pu.

## Nonce:

000	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02				.....
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	--	--	--	-------

Initial Block Counter = 42

## Plaintext:

000	27	54	77	61	73	20	62	72	69	6c	6c	69	67	2c	20	61	'Twas brillig, a
016	6e	64	20	74	68	65	20	73	6c	69	74	68	79	20	74	6f	nd the slithy to
032	76	65	73	0a	44	69	64	20	67	79	72	65	20	61	6e	64	ves.Did gyre and
048	20	67	69	6d	62	6c	65	20	69	6e	20	74	68	65	20	77	gimble in the w
064	61	62	65	3a	0a	41	6c	6c	20	6d	69	6d	73	79	20	77	abe:.All mimsy w
080	65	72	65	20	74	68	65	20	62	6f	72	6f	67	6f	76	65	ere the borogove
096	73	2c	0a	41	6e	64	20	74	68	65	20	6d	6f	6d	65	20	s,.And the mome
112	72	61	74	68	73	20	6f	75	74	67	72	61	62	65	2e		raths outgrabe.

**Ciphertext:**

```

000  62 e6 34 7f 95 ed 87 a4 5f fa e7 42 6f 27 a1 df b.4....._...Bo'..
016  5f b6 91 10 04 4c 0d 73 11 8e ff a9 5b 01 e5 cf _....L.s....[...
032  16 6d 3d f2 d7 21 ca f9 b2 1e 5f b1 4c 61 68 71 .m=..!....._..Lahq
048  fd 84 c5 4f 9d 65 b2 83 19 6c 7f e4 f6 05 53 eb ...0.e...l....S.
064  f3 9c 64 02 c4 22 34 e3 2a 35 6b 3e 76 43 12 a6 ..d.."4.*5k>vC..
080  1a 55 32 05 57 16 ea d6 96 25 68 f8 7d 3f 3f 77 .U2.W....%h.}??w
096  04 c6 a8 d1 bc d1 bf 4d 50 d6 15 4b 6d a7 31 b1 .....MP..Km.1.
112  87 b5 8d fd 72 8a fa 36 75 7a 79 7a c1 88 d1 ....r..6uzyz...

```

**A.3. Poly1305 Message Authentication Code**

Notice how, in test vector #2, *r* is equal to zero. The part of the Poly1305 algorithm where the accumulator is multiplied by *r* means that with *r* equal zero, the tag will be equal to *s* regardless of the content of the text. Fortunately, all the proposed methods of generating *r* are such that getting this particular weak key is very unlikely.

**Test Vector #1:**

```

=====

```

**One-time Poly1305 Key:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

```

**Text to MAC:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
032  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
048  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

```

**Tag:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

```

**Test Vector #2:**

```

=====

```

**One-time Poly1305 Key:**

```

000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
016  36 e5 f6 b5 c5 e0 60 70 f0 ef ca 96 22 7a 86 3e 6.....`p...."z.>

```

## Text to MAC:

000	41	6e	79	20	73	75	62	6d	69	73	73	69	6f	6e	20	74	Any submission t
016	6f	20	74	68	65	20	49	45	54	46	20	69	6e	74	65	6e	o the IETF inten
032	64	65	64	20	62	79	20	74	68	65	20	43	6f	6e	74	72	ded by the Contr
048	69	62	75	74	6f	72	20	66	6f	72	20	70	75	62	6c	69	ibutor for publi
064	63	61	74	69	6f	6e	20	61	73	20	61	6c	6c	20	6f	72	cation as all or
080	20	70	61	72	74	20	6f	66	20	61	6e	20	49	45	54	46	part of an IETF
096	20	49	6e	74	65	72	6e	65	74	2d	44	72	61	66	74	20	Internet-Draft
112	6f	72	20	52	46	43	20	61	6e	64	20	61	6e	79	20	73	or RFC and any s
128	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	74	20	6d	61	64	65	20	77	69	tatement made wi
144	74	68	69	6e	20	74	68	65	20	63	6f	6e	74	65	78	74	thin the context
160	20	6f	66	20	61	6e	20	49	45	54	46	20	61	63	74	69	of an IETF acti
176	76	69	74	79	20	69	73	20	63	6f	6e	73	69	64	65	72	vity is consider
192	65	64	20	61	6e	20	22	49	45	54	46	20	43	6f	6e	74	ed an "IETF Cont
208	72	69	62	75	74	69	6f	6e	22	2e	20	53	75	63	68	20	tribution". Such
224	73	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	74	73	20	69	6e	63	6c	75	statements inclu
240	64	65	20	6f	72	61	6c	20	73	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	de oral statemen
256	74	73	20	69	6e	20	49	45	54	46	20	73	65	73	73	69	ts in IETF sessi
272	6f	6e	73	2c	20	61	73	20	77	65	6c	6c	20	61	73	20	ons, as well as
288	77	72	69	74	74	65	6e	20	61	6e	64	20	65	6c	65	63	written and elec
304	74	72	6f	6e	69	63	20	63	6f	6d	6d	75	6e	69	63	61	tronic communica
320	74	69	6f	6e	73	20	6d	61	64	65	20	61	74	20	61	6e	tions made at an
336	79	20	74	69	6d	65	20	6f	72	20	70	6c	61	63	65	2c	y time or place,
352	20	77	68	69	63	68	20	61	72	65	20	61	64	64	72	65	which are addre
368	73	73	65	64	20	74	6f										ssed to

## Tag:

000	36	e5	f6	b5	c5	e0	60	70	f0	ef	ca	96	22	7a	86	3e	6.....`p...."z.>
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	------------------

## Test Vector #3:

=====

## One-time Poly1305 Key:

000	36	e5	f6	b5	c5	e0	60	70	f0	ef	ca	96	22	7a	86	3e	6.....`p...."z.>
016	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	.....

Text to MAC:

000	41	6e	79	20	73	75	62	6d	69	73	73	69	6f	6e	20	74	Any submission t
016	6f	20	74	68	65	20	49	45	54	46	20	69	6e	74	65	6e	o the IETF inten
032	64	65	64	20	62	79	20	74	68	65	20	43	6f	6e	74	72	ded by the Contr
048	69	62	75	74	6f	72	20	66	6f	72	20	70	75	62	6c	69	ibutor for publi
064	63	61	74	69	6f	6e	20	61	73	20	61	6c	6c	20	6f	72	cation as all or
080	20	70	61	72	74	20	6f	66	20	61	6e	20	49	45	54	46	part of an IETF
096	20	49	6e	74	65	72	6e	65	74	2d	44	72	61	66	74	20	Internet-Draft
112	6f	72	20	52	46	43	20	61	6e	64	20	61	6e	79	20	73	or RFC and any s
128	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	74	20	6d	61	64	65	20	77	69	tatement made wi
144	74	68	69	6e	20	74	68	65	20	63	6f	6e	74	65	78	74	thin the context
160	20	6f	66	20	61	6e	20	49	45	54	46	20	61	63	74	69	of an IETF acti
176	76	69	74	79	20	69	73	20	63	6f	6e	73	69	64	65	72	vity is consider
192	65	64	20	61	6e	20	22	49	45	54	46	20	43	6f	6e	74	ed an "IETF Cont
208	72	69	62	75	74	69	6f	6e	22	2e	20	53	75	63	68	20	tribution". Such
224	73	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	74	73	20	69	6e	63	6c	75	statements inclu
240	64	65	20	6f	72	61	6c	20	73	74	61	74	65	6d	65	6e	de oral statemen
256	74	73	20	69	6e	20	49	45	54	46	20	73	65	73	73	69	ts in IETF sessi
272	6f	6e	73	2c	20	61	73	20	77	65	6c	6c	20	61	73	20	ons, as well as
288	77	72	69	74	74	65	6e	20	61	6e	64	20	65	6c	65	63	written and elec
304	74	72	6f	6e	69	63	20	63	6f	6d	6d	75	6e	69	63	61	tronic communica
320	74	69	6f	6e	73	20	6d	61	64	65	20	61	74	20	61	6e	tions made at an
336	79	20	74	69	6d	65	20	6f	72	20	70	6c	61	63	65	2c	y time or place,
352	20	77	68	69	63	68	20	61	72	65	20	61	64	64	72	65	which are addre
368	73	73	65	64	20	74	6f										ssed to

Tag:

000	f3	47	7e	7c	d9	54	17	af	89	a6	b8	79	4c	31	0c	f0	.G~ .T.....yL1..
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	------------------

## Test Vector #4:

=====

## One-time Poly1305 Key:

```
000 1c 92 40 a5 eb 55 d3 8a f3 33 88 86 04 f6 b5 f0 ..@..U...3.....
016 47 39 17 c1 40 2b 80 09 9d ca 5c bc 20 70 75 c0 G9..@+....\ pu.
```

## Text to MAC:

```
000 27 54 77 61 73 20 62 72 69 6c 6c 69 67 2c 20 61 'Twas brillig, a
016 6e 64 20 74 68 65 20 73 6c 69 74 68 79 20 74 6f nd the slithy to
032 76 65 73 0a 44 69 64 20 67 79 72 65 20 61 6e 64 ves.Did gyre and
048 20 67 69 6d 62 6c 65 20 69 6e 20 74 68 65 20 77 gimple in the w
064 61 62 65 3a 0a 41 6c 6c 20 6d 69 6d 73 79 20 77 abe:.All mimsy w
080 65 72 65 20 74 68 65 20 62 6f 72 6f 67 6f 76 65 ere the borogove
096 73 2c 0a 41 6e 64 20 74 68 65 20 6d 6f 6d 65 20 s,.And the mome
112 72 61 74 68 73 20 6f 75 74 67 72 61 62 65 2e raths outgrabe.
```

## Tag:

```
000 45 41 66 9a 7e aa ee 61 e7 08 dc 7c bc c5 eb 62 EAf.~..a...|...b
```

Test Vector #5: If one uses 130-bit partial reduction, does the code handle the case where partially reduced final result is not fully reduced?

## R:

```
02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

## S:

```
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

## data:

```
FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
```

## tag:

```
03 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

Test Vector #6: What happens if addition of s overflows modulo  $2^{128}$ ?

## R:

```
02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

## S:

```
FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
```

## data:

```
02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

## tag:

```
03 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

Test Vector #7: What happens if data limb is all ones and there is carry from lower limb?

R:  
01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
S:  
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
data:  
FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  
F0 FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  
11 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
tag:  
05 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

Test Vector #8: What happens if final result from polynomial part is exactly  $2^{130}-5$ ?

R:  
01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
S:  
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
data:  
FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  
FB FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE FE  
01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01  
tag:  
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

Test Vector #9: What happens if final result from polynomial part is exactly  $2^{130}-6$ ?

R:  
02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
S:  
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
data:  
FD FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  
tag:  
FA FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF



**Test Vector #10: What happens if 5\*H+L-type reduction produces 131-bit intermediate result?**

R:  
 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 04 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 S:  
 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 data:  
 E3 35 94 D7 50 5E 43 B9 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 33 94 D7 50 5E 43 79 CD 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 tag:  
 14 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 55 00 00 00 00 00 00

**Test Vector #11: What happens if 5\*H+L-type reduction produces 131-bit final result?**

R:  
 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 04 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 S:  
 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 data:  
 E3 35 94 D7 50 5E 43 B9 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 33 94 D7 50 5E 43 79 CD 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  
 tag:  
 13 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

#### A.4. Poly1305 Key Generation Using ChaCha20

**Test Vector #1:**

=====

**The ChaCha20 Key:**

000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....  
 016 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

**The nonce:**

000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....  
 016 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....

**Poly1305 one-time key:**

000 76 b8 e0 ad a0 f1 3d 90 40 5d 6a e5 53 86 bd 28 v.....=.@]j.S..  
 016 bd d2 19 b8 a0 8d ed 1a a8 36 ef cc 8b 77 0d c7 .....6...w..

**Test Vector #2:**

=====

**The ChaCha20 Key**

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
016  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01 .....
```

**The nonce:**

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 02 .....
```

**Poly1305 one-time key:**

```
000  ec fa 25 4f 84 5f 64 74 73 d3 cb 14 0d a9 e8 76 ..%0. dts.....v
016  06 cb 33 06 6c 44 7b 87 bc 26 66 dd e3 fb b7 39 ..3.lD{..&f....9
```

**Test Vector #3:**

=====

**The ChaCha20 Key**

```
000  1c 92 40 a5 eb 55 d3 8a f3 33 88 86 04 f6 b5 f0 ..@..U...3.....
016  47 39 17 c1 40 2b 80 09 9d ca 5c bc 20 70 75 c0 G9..@+....\ pu.
```

**The nonce:**

```
000  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 02 .....
```

**Poly1305 one-time key:**

```
000  96 5e 3b c6 f9 ec 7e d9 56 08 08 f4 d2 29 f9 4b .^;...~.V....).K
016  13 7f f2 75 ca 9b 3f cb dd 59 de aa d2 33 10 ae ...u..?...Y...3..
```

**A.5. ChaCha20-Poly1305 AEAD Decryption**

Below we see decrypting a message. We receive a ciphertext, a nonce, and a tag. We know the key. We will check the tag and then (assuming that it validates) decrypt the ciphertext. In this particular protocol, we'll assume that there is no padding of the plaintext.

## The ChaCha20 Key

000	1c	92	40	a5	eb	55	d3	8a	f3	33	88	86	04	f6	b5	f0	..@..U...3.....
016	47	39	17	c1	40	2b	80	09	9d	ca	5c	bc	20	70	75	c0	G9..@+....\ pu.

## Ciphertext:

000	64	a0	86	15	75	86	1a	f4	60	f0	62	c7	9b	e6	43	bd	d...u...`b...C.
016	5e	80	5c	fd	34	5c	f3	89	f1	08	67	0a	c7	6c	8c	b2	^.\.4\....g..l..
032	4c	6c	fc	18	75	5d	43	ee	a0	9e	e9	4e	38	2d	26	b0	Ll..u]C....N8-&.
048	bd	b7	b7	3c	32	1b	01	00	d4	f0	3b	7f	35	58	94	cf	...<2.....;5X..
064	33	2f	83	0e	71	0b	97	ce	98	c8	a8	4a	bd	0b	94	81	3/..q.....J....
080	14	ad	17	6e	00	8d	33	bd	60	f9	82	b1	ff	37	c8	55	...n..3.`....7.U
096	97	97	a0	6e	f4	f0	ef	61	c1	86	32	4e	2b	35	06	38	...n...a..2N+5.8
112	36	06	90	7b	6a	7c	02	b0	f9	f6	15	7b	53	c8	67	e4	6..{j .....{S.g.
128	b9	16	6c	76	7b	80	4d	46	a5	9b	52	16	cd	e7	a4	e9	..lv{.MF..R.....
144	90	40	c5	a4	04	33	22	5e	e2	82	a1	b0	a0	6c	52	3e	..@...3"^.....lR>
160	af	45	34	d7	f8	3f	a1	15	5b	00	47	71	8c	bc	54	6a	..E4..?...[.Gq..Tj
176	0d	07	2b	04	b3	56	4e	ea	1b	42	22	73	f5	48	27	1a	..+...VN..B"s.H'.
192	0b	b2	31	60	53	fa	76	99	19	55	eb	d6	31	59	43	4e	..1`S.v..U..1YCN
208	ce	bb	4e	46	6d	ae	5a	10	73	a6	72	76	27	09	7a	10	..NFm.Z.s.rv'.z.
224	49	e6	17	d9	1d	36	10	94	fa	68	f0	ff	77	98	71	30	I....6...h..w.q0
240	30	5b	ea	ba	2e	da	04	df	99	7b	71	4d	6c	6f	2c	29	0[.....{qMlo,)
256	a6	ad	5c	b4	02	2b	02	70	9b								..\...+.p.

## The nonce:

000	00	00	00	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	.....
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-------

## The AAD:

000	f3	33	88	86	00	00	00	00	00	00	4e	91	.3.....N.
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----------

## Received Tag:

000	ee	ad	9d	67	89	0c	bb	22	39	23	36	fe	a1	85	1f	38	...g..."9#6....8
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	------------------

First, we calculate the one-time Poly1305 key

ChaCha state with key setup

```
61707865 3320646e 79622d32 6b206574
a540921c 8ad355eb 868833f3 f0b5f604
c1173947 09802b40 bc5cca9d c0757020
00000000 00000000 04030201 08070605
```

ChaCha state after 20 rounds

```
a94af0bd 89dee45c b64bb195 afec8fa1
508f4726 63f554c0 1ea2c0db aa721526
11b1e514 a0bacc0f 828a6015 d7825481
e8a4a850 d9dcbbd6 4c2de33a f8ccd912
```

out bytes:

```
bd:f0:4a:a9:5c:e4:de:89:95:b1:4b:b6:a1:8f:ec:af:
26:47:8f:50:c0:54:f5:63:db:c0:a2:1e:26:15:72:aa
```

Poly1305 one-time key:

```
000 bd f0 4a a9 5c e4 de 89 95 b1 4b b6 a1 8f ec af ..J.\.....K.....
016 26 47 8f 50 c0 54 f5 63 db c0 a2 1e 26 15 72 aa &G.P.T.c....&.r.
```

Next, we construct the AEAD buffer

Poly1305 Input:

```
000 f3 33 88 86 00 00 00 00 00 00 4e 91 00 00 00 00 .3.....N.....
016 64 a0 86 15 75 86 1a f4 60 f0 62 c7 9b e6 43 bd d...u...`..b...C.
032 5e 80 5c fd 34 5c f3 89 f1 08 67 0a c7 6c 8c b2 ^.\.4\.....g..l..
048 4c 6c fc 18 75 5d 43 ee a0 9e e9 4e 38 2d 26 b0 Ll..u]C....N8-&.
064 bd b7 b7 3c 32 1b 01 00 d4 f0 3b 7f 35 58 94 cf ...<2.....;5X..
080 33 2f 83 0e 71 0b 97 ce 98 c8 a8 4a bd 0b 94 81 3/..q.....J....
096 14 ad 17 6e 00 8d 33 bd 60 f9 82 b1 ff 37 c8 55 ...n..3.`.....7.U
112 97 97 a0 6e f4 f0 ef 61 c1 86 32 4e 2b 35 06 38 ...n...a..2N+5.8
128 36 06 90 7b 6a 7c 02 b0 f9 f6 15 7b 53 c8 67 e4 6..{j|.....{S.g.
144 b9 16 6c 76 7b 80 4d 46 a5 9b 52 16 cd e7 a4 e9 ..lv{.MF..R.....
160 90 40 c5 a4 04 33 22 5e e2 82 a1 b0 a0 6c 52 3e .@...3"^.....lR>
176 af 45 34 d7 f8 3f a1 15 5b 00 47 71 8c bc 54 6a .E4..?...[.Gq..Tj
192 0d 07 2b 04 b3 56 4e ea 1b 42 22 73 f5 48 27 1a ..+..VN..B"s.H'.
208 0b b2 31 60 53 fa 76 99 19 55 eb d6 31 59 43 4e ..1`S.v..U..1YCN
224 ce bb 4e 46 6d ae 5a 10 73 a6 72 76 27 09 7a 10 ..NFm.Z.s.rv'.z.
240 49 e6 17 d9 1d 36 10 94 fa 68 f0 ff 77 98 71 30 I....6...h..w.q0
256 30 5b ea ba 2e da 04 df 99 7b 71 4d 6c 6f 2c 29 0[.....{qMlo,)
272 a6 ad 5c b4 02 2b 02 70 9b 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..\...+.p.....
288 0c 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 09 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 .....
```

We calculate the Poly1305 tag and find that it matches

Calculated Tag:

000 ee ad 9d 67 89 0c bb 22 39 23 36 fe a1 85 1f 38 ...g..."9#6....8

Finally, we decrypt the ciphertext

Plaintext::

```

000 49 6e 74 65 72 6e 65 74 2d 44 72 61 66 74 73 20 Internet-Drafts
016 61 72 65 20 64 72 61 66 74 20 64 6f 63 75 6d 65 are draft docume
032 6e 74 73 20 76 61 6c 69 64 20 66 6f 72 20 61 20 nts valid for a
048 6d 61 78 69 6d 75 6d 20 6f 66 20 73 69 78 20 6d maximum of six m
064 6f 6e 74 68 73 20 61 6e 64 20 6d 61 79 20 62 65 onths and may be
080 20 75 70 64 61 74 65 64 2c 20 72 65 70 6c 61 63 updated, replac
096 65 64 2c 20 6f 72 20 6f 62 73 6f 6c 65 74 65 64 ed, or obsoleted
112 20 62 79 20 6f 74 68 65 72 20 64 6f 63 75 6d 65 by other docume
128 6e 74 73 20 61 74 20 61 6e 79 20 74 69 6d 65 2e nts at any time.
144 20 49 74 20 69 73 20 69 6e 61 70 70 72 6f 70 72 It is inappropri
160 69 61 74 65 20 74 6f 20 75 73 65 20 49 6e 74 65 iate to use Inte
176 72 6e 65 74 2d 44 72 61 66 74 73 20 61 73 20 72 rnet-Drafts as r
192 65 66 65 72 65 6e 63 65 20 6d 61 74 65 72 69 61 eference materia
208 6c 20 6f 72 20 74 6f 20 63 69 74 65 20 74 68 65 l or to cite the
224 6d 20 6f 74 68 65 72 20 74 68 61 6e 20 61 73 20 m other than as
240 2f e2 80 9c 77 6f 72 6b 20 69 6e 20 70 72 6f 67 /...work in prog
256 72 65 73 73 2e 2f e2 80 9d res./...

```

## Appendix B. Performance Measurements of ChaCha20

The following measurements were made by Adam Langley for a blog post published on February 27th, 2014. The original blog post was available at the time of this writing at

<https://www.imperialviolet.org/2014/02/27/tlssymmetriccrypto.html>.

Chip	AES-128-GCM	ChaCha20-Poly1305
OMAP 4460	24.1 MB/s	75.3 MB/s
Snapdragon S4 Pro	41.5 MB/s	130.9 MB/s
Sandy Bridge Xeon (AES-NI)	900 MB/s	500 MB/s

Table 1: Speed Comparison

## Acknowledgements

ChaCha20 and Poly1305 were invented by Daniel J. Bernstein. The AEAD construction and the method of creating the one-time Poly1305 key were invented by Adam Langley.

Thanks to Robert Ransom, Watson Ladd, Stefan Buhler, Dan Harkins, and Kenny Paterson for their helpful comments and explanations. Thanks to Niels Moller for suggesting the more efficient AEAD construction in this document. Special thanks to Ilari Liusvaara for providing extra test vectors, helpful comments, and for being the first to attempt an implementation from this document. Thanks to Sean Parkinson for suggesting improvements to the examples and the pseudocode. Thanks to David Ireland for pointing out a bug in the pseudocode, and to Stephen Farrell and Alyssa Rowan for pointing out missing advise in the security considerations.

Special thanks goes to Gordon Procter for performing a security analysis of the composition and publishing [Procter].

Jim Schaad and John Mattson provided feedback on tag truncation, and Russ Housley, Stanislav Smyshlyaev, and John Mattson each provided a review of this version.

## Authors' Addresses

Yoav Nir  
Dell EMC  
9 Andrei Sakharov St  
Haifa 3190500  
Israel

Email: [ynir.ietf@gmail.com](mailto:ynir.ietf@gmail.com)

Adam Langley  
Google, Inc.

Email: [agl@google.com](mailto:agl@google.com)