A Framework for DNSSEC Policies and DNSSEC Practice Statements

Abstract

   This document presents a framework to assist writers of DNS Security
   Extensions (DNSSEC) Policies and DNSSEC Practice Statements, such as
   domain managers and zone operators on both the top level and
   secondary level, who are managing and operating a DNS zone with
   Security Extensions implemented.

   In particular, the framework provides a comprehensive list of topics
   that should be considered for inclusion into a DNSSEC Policy
   definition and Practice Statement.

Status of This Memo

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

   The Domain Name System (DNS) was not originally designed with strong
   security mechanisms to provide integrity and authenticity of its
   data. Over the years, a number of vulnerabilities have been
   discovered that threaten the reliability and trustworthiness of the
   system.

   The Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC, [RFC4033],
   [RFC4034], [RFC4035]) address these vulnerabilities by using public
   key cryptography to add data origin authentication, data integrity
   verification, and authenticated denial-of-existence capabilities to
   the DNS. In short, DNSSEC provides a way for software to verify the
   origin of DNS data and validate that it has not been modified in
   transit or by intermediaries.

   To provide a means for stakeholders to evaluate the strength and
   security of the DNSSEC chain of trust, an entity operating a DNSSEC-
   enabled zone may publish a DNSSEC Practice Statement (DPS),
   comprising statements describing critical security controls and
   procedures relevant for scrutinizing the trustworthiness of the
   system. The DPS may also identify any of the DNSSEC Policies (DPs)
   it supports, explaining how it meets their requirements.

   The DP and DPS are not primarily aimed at users who rely on signed
   responses from the DNS ("relying parties"); instead, their audience
   is other stakeholders of the DNS infrastructure, a group that may
   include bodies such as regulatory authorities.

   Even though this document is heavily inspired by the "Internet X.509
   Public Key Infrastructure Certificate Policy and Certification
   Practices Framework" [RFC3647], with large parts being drawn from
   that document, the properties and structure of the DNSSEC trust model
   are fundamentally different from those of the X.509 Public Key
   Infrastructure (PKI).

1.2. Purpose

   The purpose of this document is twofold. Firstly, the document
   explains the concepts of a DNSSEC Policy (DP) and of a DNSSEC
   Practice Statement (DPS), and it describes the relationship between
   the two. Secondly, it presents a framework to encourage and assist
   writers of Policies and Practice Statements in creating consistent
   and comparable documents. In particular, the framework identifies
   the elements that should be considered in formulating a DP or a DPS.

It does not, however, define a particular Policy or Practice Statement, nor does it seek to provide legal advice or recommendations as to the contents.

1.3. Scope

The scope of this document is limited to discussion of the topics that can be covered in a DP or a DPS, but it does not go into the specific details that could possibly be included in either a DP or a DPS. In particular, this document describes the types of information that should be considered for inclusion in them.

This framework should be viewed and used as a checklist of factors that ought be taken into consideration prior to deploying DNSSEC, and as an outline to create an operational practices disclosure document. As such, it focuses on the topics affected by the introduction of DNSSEC into a zone. Other aspects, such as the operations of name servers and registry systems, are considered out of scope. The framework is primarily aimed at Top-Level Domain (TLD) managers and organizations providing registry services, but it may be used by high-value domain holders and so serve as a checklist for DNSSEC readiness at a high level.

This document assumes that the reader is familiar with the general concepts of DNS, DNSSEC, and PKI.

2. Definitions

This document makes use of the following defined terms:

Audit logs: Control evidence information to prove the integrity of processes. This may be generated by DNS and DNSSEC-related systems, supplied by the surrounding facility, or obtained from manually generated, non-electronic documentation. Audit logs will be examined by the internal and/or external auditors.

Activation data: Data values, other than keys, required to operate the cryptographic modules used to protect the keys from unauthorized use.

Chain of trust: A hierarchical structure of trust consisting of DNS keys, signatures, and delegation signer records that, when validated in a series, can provide proof of authenticity of the last element in the chain, providing that the first element is trusted. Usually, the first element is a trust anchor.

Compromise (key compromise): Key compromise is a situation where the
    private component of a signing key is lost, stolen, exposed,
    modified, or used in an unauthorized manner. More strictly, even
    a suspicion that one of these has occurred will be enough to be
    considered as key compromise.

DNS: The Domain Name System (DNS) is a hierarchical global naming
    catalog for computers, services, or any resource connected to the
    Internet.

DNS zone: A portion of the global Domain Name System (DNS) namespace
    for which administrative responsibility has been delegated.

DNSSEC: DNS Security Extensions (DNSSEC) is a set of IETF
    specifications [RFC4033] [RFC4034] [RFC4035] that uses public key
    cryptography to add data origin authentication, data integrity
    verification, and authenticated denial of existence capabilities
    to DNS.

DNSSEC Policy: A DNSSEC Policy (DP) sets forth the security
    requirements and standards to be implemented for a DNSSEC-signed
    zone.

DNSSEC Practice Statement: A DNSSEC Practice Statement (DPS) is a
    practices disclosure document that may support and be a
    supplemental document to the DNSSEC Policy (if such exists), and
    it states how the management of a given zone implements procedures
    and controls at a high level.

Key rollover: An operational process to change one of the DNSSEC
    keys used for signing a zone via distribution of public keys in a
    trusted manner.

Multi-person control: A security concept to distribute the authority
    of an operation over multiple persons, to mitigate threats caused
    by a single authorized individual. For example, a key recovery
    function may require some number of authorized individuals (m) out
    of the (n) to whom a portion of the recovery key was distributed,
    to combine their key fragments, before key recovery can occur.

PKI: Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) is a concept that makes use of
    asymmetric cryptography to provide a system with integrity,
    authentication, and confidentiality and to do it via distribution
    of public keys in a trusted manner.

Policy authority: The body responsible for setting and administering
     a DNSSEC Policy and for determining whether a DPS is suitable for
     that Policy.

Relying party: An entity that relies on a signed response from the
     DNS.

Repository: A location on the Internet to store DP, DPS, trust
     anchors, and other related information that should be kept public.

Security posture: A security posture is an indicator of how secure
     an entity is and how secure the entity should be. It is the
     result of an adequate threat model and risk assessment.

Separation of duties: A security concept that limits the influence
     of a single person by segregating roles and responsibilities.

Signing key: Private component of an asymmetric key pair that is
     used for signing of resource records within the zone. Note that
     the other component, called public key, is used for signature
     validation.

TLD: A Top-Level Domain (TLD) is one of the domains at the highest
     level below the root in the hierarchy of the DNS.

Trust anchor: Public portion of a key pair that is the authoritative
     entity used to authenticate the first element in a chain of trust.

3. Concepts

   This section describes the concepts of a DNSSEC Policy and of a
   DNSSEC Practice Statement. Other related concepts are described as
   well.

3.1. DNSSEC Policy

   A DNSSEC Policy (DP) sets forth requirements that are appropriate for
   a specified level of assurance. For example, a DP may encompass all
   topics of this framework, each with a certain set of security
   requirements, possibly grouped according to impact. The progression
   from medium to high levels of assurance would correspond to
   increasing security requirements and corresponding increasing levels
   of assurance.

A DP also constitutes a basis for an audit, accreditation, or another
assessment of an entity. Each entity can be assessed against one or
more DPs that it claims to implement.

3.2. DNSSEC Practice Statement

Most zone managers using DNSSEC will not have the need to create a
thorough and detailed statement of practices. For example, a
registrant may be the sole relying party of its own zone and would
already be aware of the nature and trustworthiness of its services.
In other cases, a zone manager may provide registration services with
only a very low level of assurances where the domain names being
secured may pose only marginal risks if compromised. Publishing a
DPS is most relevant for entities operating a zone that contains a
significant number of delegations to other entities.

A DNSSEC Practice Statement (DPS) should contain information that is
relevant to the stakeholders of the relevant zone(s). Since these
generally include the Internet community, it should not contain such
information that could be considered to be sensitive details of an
entity's operations.

A DNSSEC Practice Statement may identify a supported DP, which may
subsequently be used by a relying party to evaluate the
trustworthiness of any digital signatures verified using the public
key of that entity.

3.3. Relationship between DNSSEC Policy and Practice Statement

A DNSSEC Policy and a DNSSEC Practice Statement address the same set
of topics of interest to the stakeholders in terms of the level of
confidence ascribed to the security posture of a zone. The primary
difference is in the focus of their provisions. A Policy sets forth
the requirements and standards to be implemented for a DNSSEC-signed
zone, and may be used to communicate requirements that must be met by
complying parties; as such, it may also be used to determine or
establish equivalency between policies associated with different
zones. A Practice Statement, by contrast, describes how a zone
operator (and possibly other participants in the management of a
given zone) implements procedures and controls to meet the
requirements of applicable Policies. In other words, the Policy says
what needs to be done, and the Practice Statement says what is being
done.

An additional difference between a Policy and a Practice Statement
relates to the scope of coverage of the two kinds of documents, in
terms of its applicability. A Policy may apply to multiple

organizations or multiple zones. By contrast, a Practice Statement
would usually apply only to a single zone operator or a single
organization, since it describes the actual controls in place that
meet the requirements of applicable Policy.

For example, a TLD manager or regulatory authority may define
requirements in a Policy for the operation of one or more zones. The
Policy will be a broad statement of the general requirements for
managing the zone. A zone operator may be required to write its own
Practice Statement to support the Policy, explaining how it meets the
requirements of the Policy. Alternatively, a zone operator that is
also the manager of that zone, and not governed by any external
Policy, may still choose to disclose operational practices by
publishing a DPS. The zone operator might do so to provide
transparency and to gain community trust in its operations.

A Policy and a Practice Statement also differ in the level of detail
each expresses: although there may be variations, a Practice
Statement will provide a description of procedures and controls and
so will usually be more detailed than a Policy, which provides
general principles.

The main differences between a Policy and Practice Statement can be
summarized as follows:

(a) Operation of a DNS zone with DNSSEC may be governed by a Policy
     that establishes requirements stating what the entity operating
     that zone must do. An entity can use a Practice Statement to
     disclose how it meets the requirements of a Policy or how it has
     implemented critical processes and controls, absent a
     controlling Policy.

(b) A Policy may serve the purpose of establishing a common basis of
     trusted operation throughout a set of zones in the DNS
     hierarchy. By contrast, a Practice Statement is a statement of
     a single zone operator or organization.

(c) A Practice Statement is generally more detailed than a Policy
     and specifies how the zone operator or organization implements
     critical processes and controls, and how the entity meets any
     requirements specified in the one or more Policies under which
     it operates DNSSEC.

3.4. Set of Provisions

A set of provisions is a collection of Policy requirements or
Practice Statements, which may employ the approach described in this
framework by covering the topics appearing in Section 5 below. The
topics are described in detail in Section 4.

A Policy can be expressed as a single set of provisions. A Practice
Statement can also be expressed as a single set of provisions with
each component addressing the requirements of one or more Policies.
Alternatively, it could be a set of provisions that do not reference
any particular policy but instead describe a set of self-imposed
controls to the stakeholders. For example, a Practice Statement
could be expressed as a combination of the following:

(a) a list of Policies supported by the DPS;

(b) for each Policy in (a), a set of provisions that contains
     statements addressing the requirements by filling in details not
     stipulated in that policy or expressly left to the discretion of
     the implementer. Such statements serve to show how this
     particular Practice Statement implements the requirements of the
     particular Policy; or

(c) a set of provisions that contains statements regarding the
     DNSSEC operations practices, independent of any Policy.

The statements provided in (b) may augment or refine the stipulations
of an applicable Policy, but generally they must not conflict with
the stipulations. In certain cases, however, a Policy authority may
permit exceptions because certain compensating controls of the entity
disclosed in its Practice Statement allow it to provide a level of
assurance equivalent to full compliance with the policy.

The framework outlines the contents of a set of provisions, in terms
of eight primary components, as follows:

1. Introduction

2. Publication and Repositories

3. Operational Requirements

4. Facility, Management, and Operational Controls

5. Technical Security Controls

6. Zone Signing

   7. Compliance Audit

   8. Legal Matters

   This framework can be used by Policy authorities to write DNSSEC
   Policies and by zone operators to write a DNSSEC Practice Statements.
   Having a set of documents with the same structure facilitates
   comparisons with the corresponding documents of other zones.

4. Contents of a Set of Provisions

   This section describes the contents of a set of provisions. Refer to
   Section 5 for the complete outline.

   Drafters of DPSs conforming to this framework are permitted to add
   additional levels of subcomponents below those described here to meet
   specific needs. All components listed in Section 5 should be
   present, but drafters may leave components empty, only stating "no
   stipulation", if so required.

4.1. Introduction

   This component identifies and introduces the set of provisions, and
   indicates the types of entities and applications for which the
   document (either Policy or Practice Statement) is targeted.

4.1.1. Overview

   This subcomponent provides a general introduction to the document.
   It can also be used to provide a description of entities to which the
   Policy or Practice Statement applies.

4.1.2. Document Name and Identification

   This subcomponent provides any applicable names or other identifiers
   of the document.

4.1.3. Community and Applicability

   This subcomponent identifies the stakeholders along with their
   expected roles and responsibilities. These include (but are not
   limited to) an entity signing the zone, entities relying on the
   signed zone, other entities that have operational dependency on the
   signed zone, and an entity that entrusted the zone signing.

4.1.4. Specification Administration

   This subcomponent contains the contact details of the organization
   responsible for managing the DP/DPS, as well as the specification
   change procedures. These procedures may include the description of
   the notification mechanisms used to provide advance notice of
   amendments that are deemed to materially affect the assurance
   provided by the entity and how/when such amendments will be
   communicated to the stakeholders.

   If a Policy authority is responsible for determining whether a DPS is
   suitable for the Policy, this subcomponent may include the name and
   contact information of the entity in charge of making such a
   determination. In this case, the subcomponent also includes the
   procedures by which this determination is made.

4.2. Publication and Repositories

   The component describes the requirements for an entity to publish
   information regarding its practices, public keys, the current status
   of such keys together with details relating to the repositories in
   which the information is held. This may include the responsibilities
   of publishing the DPS and of identifying documents that are not made
   publicly available owing to their sensitive nature, e.g., security
   controls, clearance procedures, or business information.

4.2.1. Repositories

   This subcomponent describes the repository mechanisms used for making
   information available to the stakeholders, and may include:

   o The locations of the repositories and the means by which they may
      be accessed;

   o An identification of the entity or entities that operate
      repositories, such as a zone operator or a TLD manager;

   o Access control on published information objects; and

   o Any notification services that may be subscribed to by the
      stakeholders.

4.2.2. Publication of Public Keys

   This subcomponent contains information relating to the publication of
   public keys:

   o Whether the public keys are included in a key hierarchy, published
      as trust anchors, or both;

   o The data formats and methods available to validate the
      authenticity of public keys;

   o The frequency and timing of publishing new information
      (principally, as advance notice for stakeholders relying on the
      public keys).

4.3. Operational Requirements

   This component describes the operational requirements when operating
   a DNSSEC-signed zone.

4.3.1. Meaning of Domain Names

   This subcomponent describes the overall policy of child zone naming,
   if any.

4.3.2. Identification and Authentication of Child Zone Manager

   This subcomponent describes how the child zone manager has initially
   been identified, and how any subsequent change request is
   authenticated as originating from the manager or their authorized
   representative.

4.3.3. Registration of Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Records

   This subcomponent describes the process of establishing the chain-of-
   trust to the child zone by incorporating delegation signer (DS)
   record(s) into the zone.

4.3.4. Method to Prove Possession of Private Key

   This subcomponent describes whether and, if so, under what
   circumstances the child zone manager is required to provide proof of
   the possession of the private component of any current or subsequent
   child zone signing key corresponding to a DS record they wish to
   incorporate into the parent zone.

4.3.5. Removal of DS Resource Records

This subcomponent will explain how, when, and under what circumstances the DS records may be removed from the zone.

4.4. Facility, Management, and Operational Controls

This component describes non-technical security controls (i.e., physical, procedural, and personnel) in use by the entity to securely perform the DNSSEC related functions. Such controls include physical access, key management, disaster recovery, auditing, and archiving.

These non-technical security controls are critical for trusting the DNSSEC signatures, since lack of security may compromise DNSSEC operations. For example, it could result in the creation of signatures with erroneous information or in the compromise of the signing key.

Within each subcomponent, separate consideration will usually need to be given to each entity type.

4.4.1. Physical Controls

In this subcomponent, the physical controls on the facility housing the entity systems are described. Topics addressed may include:

o Site location and construction, such as requirements for multiple tiers of physical barriers, construction requirements for high-security areas, etc. It may also describe the use of locked rooms, cages, safes, cabinets, etc.;

o Physical access, i.e., mechanisms to control access from one area of the facility to another or additional controls for reaching into higher tiers, such as dual-access control and two-factor authentication;

o Power and air conditioning;

o Water exposures;

o Fire prevention and protection;

o Media storage, e.g., requiring the storage of backup media in a separate location that is physically secure and protected from fire, smoke, particle, and water damage;

o Waste disposal; and

   o Off-site backup.

4.4.2. Procedural Controls

   In this subcomponent, requirements for recognizing trusted roles are
   described, together with a description of the responsibilities of
   each role. Examples of trusted roles include system administrators,
   security officers, crypto officers, and system auditors.

   For each task identified, the number of individuals required to
   perform the task (m of n rule, if applicable) should be stated for
   each role. Identification and authentication requirements for each
   role may also be defined.

   This subcomponent also includes the separation of duties in terms of
   the roles that cannot be performed by the same individuals.

4.4.3. Personnel Controls

   This subcomponent addresses the following:

   o Qualifications, experience, and clearances that personnel must
      have as a condition of filling trusted roles or other important
      roles. Examples include credentials, job experiences, and
      official government clearances;

   o Background checks and clearance procedures that are required in
      connection with the hiring of personnel filling trusted roles or
      other important roles. Such roles may require a check of their
      criminal records, financial records, references, and any
      additional clearances required for the position in question;

   o Training requirements and training procedures for each role
      following the hiring of personnel;

   o Any retraining period and retraining procedures for each role
      after completion of initial training;

   o Frequency and sequence for job rotation among various roles;

   o Sanctions against personnel for unauthorized actions, such as
      unauthorized use of authority or unauthorized use of the entity
      systems;

   o Controls on personnel that are contractors rather than employees
      of the entity; examples include:

      * Bonding requirements on contract personnel;

           * Contractual requirements including indemnification for damages
              due to the actions of the contractor personnel;

           * Auditing and monitoring of contractor personnel; and

           * Other controls on contracting personnel.

        o Documentation to be supplied to personnel during initial training,
           retraining, or otherwise.

   4.4.4. Audit Logging Procedures

      This subcomponent is used to describe event logging and audit
      systems, implemented for the purpose of maintaining an audit trail
      and to provide evidence of process integrity. Elements include the
      following:

        o Types of events recorded, such as records of key rollover and
           other key management operations, the personnel assigned to various
           roles, attempts to access the system, and requests made to the
           system;

        o Frequency with which audit logs are processed or archived, e.g.,
           weekly following an alarm or anomalous event or whenever the audit
           log size reaches a particular size;

        o Period for which audit logs are kept;

        o Protection of audit logs:

           * Who can view audit logs, for example, only the audit
              administrator;

           * Protection against modification of audit logs, for instance, a
              requirement that no one may modify or delete the audit records
              or that only an audit administrator may delete an audit file as
              part of audit file rotation; and

           * Protection against deletion of audit logs.

        o Audit log backup procedures;

        o Whether the audit log collection function is internal or external
           to the system;

        o Whether the subject who caused an audit event to occur is notified
           of the audit action; and

o Vulnerability assessments, for example, where audit data is run
   through a tool that identifies potential attempts to breach the
   security of the system.

4.4.5. Compromise and Disaster Recovery

This subcomponent describes requirements relating to notification and
recovery procedures in the event of compromise or disaster. Each of
the following may need to be addressed separately:

o Identification or listing of the applicable incident and
   compromise reporting and handling procedures, which may include
   the investigation of measures to prevent the event from
   reoccurring.

o The recovery procedures used if computing resources, software,
   and/or data are corrupted or suspected to have been corrupted.
   These procedures describe how, and under what circumstances,
   operations of the system are to be suspended; how and when normal
   operations are resumed; how the stakeholders are to be informed;
   and how to assess the damage and carry out the root cause
   analysis.

o The recovery procedures used if any keys are compromised. These
   procedures describe how a secure environment is re-established,
   how the keys are rolled over, how a new trust anchor is provided
   to the community (if applicable), and how new zone information is
   published.

o The entity's capabilities to ensure business continuity following
   a natural or other disaster. Such capabilities may include the
   availability of a disaster recovery site at which operations may
   be recovered. They may also include procedures for securing its
   facility during the period of time following a natural or other
   disaster and before a secure environment is re-established, either
   at the original site or at a disaster recovery site, for example,
   procedures to protect against theft of sensitive materials from an
   earthquake-damaged site.

4.4.6. Entity Termination

This subcomponent describes requirements relating to procedures for
termination of a contract with an entity, termination notification,
and transition of responsibilities to another entity. The purpose
may be to ensure that the transition process will be transparent to
the stakeholders, and it will not affect the services.

4.5. Technical Security Controls

   This component is used to define the security measures taken to
   protect the cryptographic keys and activation data (e.g., PINs,
   passwords, or manually held key shares) relevant to DNSSEC
   operations. Secure key management is critical to ensure that all
   secret and private keys and activation data are protected and used
   only by authorized personnel.

   Also described here are other technical security controls used to
   perform the functions of key generation, authentication,
   registration, auditing, and archiving. Technical controls include
   life cycle security controls, software development environment
   security, and operational security controls.

   If applicable, other technical security controls on repositories,
   authoritative name servers, or other participants may also be
   documented here.

4.5.1. Key Pair Generation and Installation

   Key pair generation and installation need to be considered, which may
   involve answering the following questions:

   1. Who generates the zone's public/private key pairs? How is the
       key generation performed? Is the key generation performed by
       hardware or software?

   2. How is the private key installed in all parts of the key
       management system?

   3. How are the zone's public keys provided securely to the parent
       zone and potential relying parties?

   4. Who generates the public key parameters. Is the quality of the
       parameters checked during key generation?

   5. For what purposes may the keys be used, and/or for what purposes
       should usage of the key be restricted?

4.5.2. Private Key Protection and Cryptographic Module Engineering
        Controls

   Requirements for private key protection and cryptographic modules
   need to be considered for key generation and creation of signatures.
   The following questions may need to be answered:

1.   What standards, if any, are required for the cryptographic
     module used to generate the keys? A cryptographic module can be
     composed of hardware, software, firmware, or any combination of
     them. For example, are the zone's signatures required to be
     generated using modules compliant with the US FIPS 140-2
     [FIPS-140-2] standard? If so, what is the required FIPS 140-2
     level of the module? Are there any other engineering or other
     controls relating to a cryptographic module, such as the
     identification of the cryptographic module boundary, input/
     output, roles and services, finite state machine, physical
     security, software security, operating system security,
     algorithm compliance, electromagnetic compatibility, and self
     tests?

2.   Is the private key under m of n multi-person control? If yes,
     provide m and n (two-person control is a special case of m of n,
     where m = 2 and n >= 2).

3.   Is the private key escrowed? If so, who is the escrow agent, in
     what form is the key escrowed (e.g., plaintext, encrypted, split
     key), and what are the security controls on the escrow system?

4.   Is the private key backed up? If so, who is the backup agent,
     in what form is the key backed up (e.g., plaintext, encrypted,
     split key), and what are the security controls on the backup
     system?

5.   Is the private key archived? If so, who is the archival agent,
     in what form is the key archived (e.g. plaintext, encrypted,
     split key), and what are the security controls on the archival
     system?

6.   Under what circumstances, if any, can a private key be
     transferred into or from a cryptographic module? Who is
     permitted to perform such a transfer operation? In what form is
     the private key during the transfer (e.g., plaintext, encrypted,
     or split key)?

7.   How is the private key stored in the module (e.g., plaintext,
     encrypted, or split key)?

8.   Who can activate (use) the private key? What actions must be
     performed to activate the private key (e.g., login, power on,
     supply PIN, insert token/key, automatic, etc.)? Once the key is
     activated, is the key active for an indefinite period, active
     for one time, or active for a defined time period?

9.   Who can deactivate the private key and how? Examples of methods
     of deactivating private keys include logging out, turning the
     power off, removing the token/key, automatic deactivation, and
     time expiration.

10. Who can destroy the private key and how? Examples of methods of
     destroying private keys include token surrender, token
     destruction, and zeroizing the key.

4.5.3. Other Aspects of Key Pair Management

Other aspects of key management need to be considered for the zone
operator and other participants. For each of these types of
entities, the following questions may need to be answered:

1.   What are the life cycle states for the management of any signing
     keys?

2.   What is the operational period of these keys? What are the usage
     periods or active lifetimes for the pairs?

4.5.4. Activation Data

Activation data refers to data values other than whole private keys
that are required to operate private keys or cryptographic modules
containing private keys, such as a PIN, passphrase, or portions of a
private key used in a key-splitting scheme. Protection of activation
data prevents unauthorized use of the private key and potentially
needs to be considered for the zone operator and other participants.
Such a consideration may need to address the entire life cycle of the
activation data from generation through archival and destruction.
For each of the entity types, all of the questions listed in Sections
4.5.1 through 4.5.3 potentially need to be answered with respect to
activation data rather than with respect to keys.

4.5.5. Computer Security Controls

This subcomponent is used to describe computer security controls such
as:

1.   use of the trusted computing base concept or equivalent;

2.   discretionary access control, labels, mandatory access controls;

3.   object reuse;

4.   auditing;

5. identification and authentication;

6. trusted path; and

7. security testing.

This subcomponent may also address requirements for product
assurance, product evaluation analysis, testing, profiling, product
certification, and/or product accreditation.

4.5.6. Network Security Controls

This subcomponent addresses network security related controls,
including firewalls, routers, and remote access.

4.5.7. Timestamping

This subcomponent addresses requirements or practices relating to the
use of timestamps on various data. It may also discuss whether or
not the timestamping application must use a trusted time source.

4.5.8. Life Cycle Technical Controls

This subcomponent addresses system development controls and security
management controls.

System development controls include development environment security,
development personnel security, configuration management security
during product maintenance, software engineering practices, software
development methodology, modularity, layering, use of fail-safe
design and implementation techniques (e.g., defensive programming),
and development facility security.

Security management controls include execution of tools and
procedures to ensure that the operational systems and networks adhere
to configured security. These tools and procedures include checking
the integrity of the security software, firmware, and hardware to
ensure their correct operation.

4.6. Zone Signing

This component covers all aspects of zone signing, including the
cryptographic specification surrounding the signing keys, signing
scheme, and methodology for key rollover and the actual zone signing.
Child zones and other relying parties may depend on the information
in this section to understand the expected data in the signed zone

and determine their own behavior. In addition, this section will be
used to state the compliance to the cryptographic and operational
requirements pertaining to zone signing, if any.

4.6.1. Key Lengths, Key Types, and Algorithms

This subcomponent describes the key generation algorithm, the key
types used for signing the key set and zone data, and key lengths
used to create the keys. It should also cover how changes to these
key lengths, key types, and algorithms may be performed.

4.6.2. Authenticated Denial of Existence

Authenticated denial of existence refers to the usage of NSEC
[RFC4034], NSEC3 [RFC5155], or any other mechanism defined in the
future that is used to authenticate the denial of existence of
resource records. This subcomponent describes what mechanisms are
used, any parameters associated with that mechanism, and how these
mechanisms and parameters may be changed.

4.6.3. Signature Format

This subcomponent is used to describe the signing method and
algorithms used for the zone signing.

4.6.4. Key Rollover

This subcomponent explains the key rollover scheme for each key type.

4.6.5. Signature Lifetime and Re-Signing Frequency

This subcomponent describes the life cycle of the Resource Record
Signature (RRSIG) record.

4.6.6. Verification of Resource Records

This subsection addresses the controls around the verification of the
resource records in order to validate and authenticate the data to be
signed. This may include a separate key set verification process if
using a split key signing scheme.

4.6.7. Resource Records Time-to-Live

This subcomponent specifies the resource records' time-to-live (TTL)
for all types relevant to DNSSEC, as well as any global parameters
that affect the caching mechanisms of the resolvers.

4.7. Compliance Audit

   To prove the compliance with a Policy or the statements in the
   Practice Statement, a compliance audit can be conducted. This
   component describes how the audit is to be conducted at the zone
   operator and, possibly, at other involved entities.

4.7.1. Frequency of Entity Compliance Audit

   This subcomponent describes the frequency of the compliance audit.

4.7.2. Identity/Qualifications of Auditor

   This subcomponent addresses what qualifications are required of the
   auditor. For instance, it may be that an auditor must belong to a
   specific association or that they have certain certifications.

4.7.3. Auditor's Relationship to Audited Party

   This subcomponent is used to clarify the relationship between the
   auditor and the entity being audited. This becomes important if
   there are any requirements or guidelines for the selection of the
   auditor.

4.7.4. Topics Covered by Audit

   Topics covered by audit depends on the scope of the audit. Since the
   DNSSEC Policy and Practice Statement is the document to be audited
   against, it is ideal to set the scope of the audit to the scope of
   the DP/DPS. However, the scope may be narrowed down or expanded as
   needed, for example, if there are not enough resources to conduct a
   full audit or if some portion is under development and not ready for
   the audit.

4.7.5. Actions Taken as a Result of Deficiency

   This subcomponent specifies the action taken in order to correct any
   discrepancy that has a security impact. This could be the
   remediation process for the audit findings or any other action to
   correct any discrepancy with the DNSSEC Policy or Practice Statement.

4.7.6. Communication of Results

   This subcomponent specifies how the results of the audit are
   communicated to the stakeholders.

4.8. Legal Matters

   The introduction of DNSSEC into a zone may have legal implications.
   Consequently, it may be appropriate to declare the legal status of
   the binding embodied in the DNSSEC digital signatures and to clarify
   on any limitations of liability asserted by the registry manager.

   In most cases, the DPS is not a contract or part of a contract;
   instead, it is laid out so that its terms and conditions are applied
   to the parties by separate documents, such as registrar or registrant
   agreements. In other cases, its contents may form part of a legal
   contract between parties (either directly or via other agreements).
   In this case, legal expertise should be consulted when drawing up
   sections of the document that may have contractual implications.

   At a minimum, the Legal Matters section should indicate under what
   jurisdiction the registry is operated and provide references to any
   associated agreements that are in force. It may also be appropriate
   to inform of any identified implications on the protection of
   personally identifiable private information.

5. Outline of a Set of Provisions

   This section contains a recommended outline for a set of provisions,
   intended to serve as a checklist or a standard template for use by DP
   or DPS writers. Such a common outline will facilitate:

   (a) Comparison of a DPS with a DP to ensure that the DPS faithfully
        implements the policy.

   (b) Comparison of two DPSs.

   Section 4 of this document is structured so that it provides guidance
   for each corresponding component and subcomponent of the outline.

        1.   INTRODUCTION
          1.1. Overview
          1.2. Document name and identification
          1.3. Community and applicability
          1.4. Specification administration
            1.4.1. Specification administration organization
            1.4.2. Contact information
            1.4.3. Specification change procedures
        2.   PUBLICATION AND REPOSITORIES
          2.1. Repositories
          2.2. Publication of public keys
        3.   OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS
          3.1. Meaning of domain names

6. Security Considerations

   The sensitivity of the information protected by DNSSEC at different
   tiers in the DNS tree varies significantly. In addition, there are
   no restrictions as to what types of information (i.e., DNS records)
   that can be protected using DNSSEC. Each relying party must evaluate
   its own environment and the chain of trust originating from a trust
   anchor, the associated threats and vulnerabilities, to determine the
   level of risk it is willing to accept when relying on DNSSEC-
   protected objects.

7. Acknowledgements

   This document is inspired by RFC 3647 and its predecessor (RFC 2527),
   and the authors acknowledge the work in the development of these
   documents.

   In addition, the authors would like to acknowledge the contributions
   made by Richard Lamb, Jakob Schlyter, and Stephen Morris.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

   [RFC4033]   Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S.
                  Rose, "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements",
                  RFC 4033, March 2005.

   [RFC4034]   Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S.
                  Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security
                  Extensions", RFC 4034, March 2005.

   [RFC4035]   Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S.
                  Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security
                  Extensions", RFC 4035, March 2005.

8.2. Informative References

   [FIPS-140-2]   NIST, "Security Requirements for Cryptographic
                  Modules", June 2005, <http://csrc.nist.gov/
                  publications/fips/fips140-2/fips1402.pdf>.

   [RFC3647]   Chokhani, S., Ford, W., Sabett, R., Merrill, C., and S.
                  Wu, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure
                  Certificate Policy and Certification Practices
                  Framework", RFC 3647, November 2003.

   [RFC5155]   Laurie, B., Sisson, G., Arends, R., and D. Blacka, "DNS
                  Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of
                  Existence", RFC 5155, March 2008.

Authors' Addresses

   Fredrik Ljunggren
   Kirei AB
   P.O. Box 53204
   Goteborg SE-400 16
   Sweden

   EMail: fredrik@kirei.se

   Anne-Marie Eklund Lowinder
   .SE (The Internet Infrastructure Foundation)
   P.O. Box 7399
   Stockholm SE-103 91
   Sweden

   EMail: amel@iis.se

   Tomofumi Okubo
   Internet Corporation For Assigned Names and Numbers
   4676 Admiralty Way, Suite 330
   Marina del Ray, CA 90292
   USA

   EMail: tomofumi.okubo@icann.org