6.001 Recitation 2: More Scheme

RI: Gerald Dalley 9 Feb 2007

Announcements / Notes

- Lecture 2, slide 29 has 4 missing parentheses.
- Sugarless lambda (a) keeps it clear that creating a procedure and assigning it to a name are two distinct steps, and (b) often we don't need a name we'll see many examples of this later.
- (if (is-serious? (scheduling-problem? you))

(email dkauf@mit.edu)
(attend-section-we-assigned you

(attend-section-we-assigned you))

- First tutorial is **Monday** or **Tuesday**
- Mid-semester recitation feedback
- DrScheme: case sensitivity & rationality
- InstaQuiz discussion

The lambda Special Form

(lambda parameters body)

Creates a procedure with the given parameters and body. *parameters* is a list of names of variables. *body* is one or more scheme expressions. When the procedure is applied, the body expressions are evaluated in order and the value of the last one is returned.

Evaluate the following expressions:

```
(lambda (x) x)

((lambda (x) x) 17)

((lambda (x y) x) 42 17)

((lambda (x y) y) (/ 1 0) 3)

((lambda (x y) (x y 3))
(lambda (a b) (+ a b)) 14)
```

The if Special Form

(if test consequent alternative)

If the value of the test is not false (#f), evaluate the consequent, otherwise evaluate the alternative.

Why must this be a special form?

Does if give us new functionality?

Evaluate the following expressions (assuming x is bound to 3):

```
(if #t (+ 1 1) 17)

(if #f #f 42)

(if (> x 0) x (- x))

(if 0 1 2)
```

Write the body of the following procedure:

```
;; If x is not the same as the expected
;; value, some illegal expression is
;; evaluated.
;;
;; Hints: (equals? x y) can be used to test
;; for equivalence and (not x) flips true/
;; false values.
(define (check x expected)
```

The cond Special Form

Evaluation rules:

- 1. Evaluate test-expr1
- 2. If the value is not false (#f), evaluate the rest of the associated expressions and return the last value.
- 3. Otherwise, continue to the next test expression and repeat.
- 4. If no test expressions are non-false, evaluate the else clause and return the value of the last expression, if an else clause exists.

Why must this be a special form?

Does cond give us new functionality?

Evaluate the following expressions (assuming x is bound to 3):

```
(cond (((lambda (x) (= 3 x)) x) "three") (else "not_{\perp}three"))
```

```
(cond ((lambda (x) (= 2 x)) "two")
(else "not_{\perp}two"))
```

Biggie Size!

Suppose we're designing an point-of-sale and order-tracking system for Wendy's¹. Luckily the Über-Qwuick drive through supports only 4 options: Classic Single Combo (hamburger with one patty), Classic Double With Cheese Combo (2 patties), and Classic Triple with Cheese Combo (3 patties), Avant-Garde Quadruple with Guacamole Combo (4 patties). We shall encode these combos as 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively. Each meal can be *biggie-sized* to acquire a larger box of fries and drink. A *biggie-sized* combo is represented by 5, 6, 7, and 8 respectively.



Combo (4 patties). We shall encode these combos as 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively. Each meal can be <i>biggie-sized</i> to acquire a larger box of fries and drink. A <i>biggie-sized</i> combo is represented by 5, 6, 7, and 8 respectively.		
1.	Write a procedure named $biggie-size$ which when given a regular combo returns a $biggie-sized$ version. (define $biggie-size$	
2.	Write a procedure named unbiggie-size which when given a biggie-sized combo returns a non-biggie sized version. (define unbiggie-size	
3.	Write a procedure named biggie-size? which when given a combo, returns true if the combo has been biggie-sized and false otherwise. (define biggie-size?	
	Write a procedure named combo-price which takes a combo and returns the price of the combo. Each patty costs \$1.17, and a biggie-sized version costs \$.50 extra overall. (define combo-price	

5. An order is a collection of combos. We'll encode an order as each digit representing a combo. For example, the order 237 represents a Double, Triple, and *biggie-sized* Triple. Write a procedure named empty-order which takes no arguments and returns an empty order.

(define empty-order

6.	Write a procedure named add-to-order which takes an order and a combo and returns a new order which contains the contents of the old order and the new combo. For example, (add-to-order 1 2) -> 12.
	(define add-to-order
7.	*Write a procedure named order-size which takes an order and returns the number of combos in the order. For example, (order-size 237) -> 3. You may find quotient (integer division) useful. (define order-size
8.	*Write a procedure named order-cost which takes an order and returns the total cost of all the combos. In addition to quotient, you may find remainder (computes remainder of division) useful. (define order-cost