

Is Timing Everything? Measurement Timing and the Ability to Accurately Model Longitudinal Data

by

Sebastian L.V. Sciarra

A Thesis
presented to

The University of Guelph

In partial fulfilment of requirements
for the degree of

Doctorate of Philosophy

in

Psychology

Guelph, Ontario, Canada

© Sebastian L.V. Sciarra, October, 2022

ABSTRACT

IS TIMING EVERYTHING? MEASUREMENT TIMING AND THE ABILITY TO ACCURATELY MODEL LONGITUDINAL DATA

Sebastian L.V. Sciarra
University of Guelph, 2022

Advisor(s):
David Stanley

Despite the value that longitudinal research offers for understanding psychological processes, studies in organizational research rarely use longitudinal designs. One reason for the paucity of longitudinal designs may be the challenges they present for researchers. Three challenges of particular importance are that researchers have to determine 1) how many measurements to take, 2) how to space measurements, and 3) how to design studies when participants provide data with different response schedules (time unstructuredness). In systematically reviewing the simulation literature, I found that few studies comprehensively investigated the effects of measurement number, measurement spacing, and time structuredness (in addition to sample size) on model performance. As a consequence, researchers have little guidance when trying to conduct longitudinal research. To address these gaps in the literature, I conducted a series of simulation experiments. I found poor model performance across all measurement number/sample size pairings. That is, bias and precision were never concurrently optimized under any combination of manipulated variables. Bias was often low, however, with moderate measurement numbers and sample sizes. Although precision was frequently low, the greatest improvements in precision resulted from using either seven measurements with $N \geq 200$ or nine measurements with $N \leq 100$. With time-unstructured data, model performance systematically

decreased across all measurement number/sample size pairings when the model incorrectly assumed an identical response pattern across all participants (i.e., time-structured data). Fortunately, when models were equipped to handle heterogeneous response patterns using definition variables, the poor model performance observed across all measurement number/sample size pairings no longer appeared. Altogether, the results of the current simulation experiments provide guidelines for researchers interested in modelling nonlinear change.

DEDICATION

[To be completed after defense]

DRAFT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

[To be completed after defense]

DRAFT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Appendices	ix
References.....	1

LIST OF TABLES

DRAFT

LIST OF FIGURES

DRAFT

LIST OF APPENDICES

DRAFT

¹ **References**

- ² Sciarra, S. (2022). *Nonlinsimsanalysis: Analyzes data simulated from nonlinsims package*
³ [R package version 0.1.0].

DRAFT