

# Bush-2022

## Table of contents

Lessons Learned: A Neuroimaging Research Center's Transition to Open and Reproducible Science .....	1
Characteristics of the paper .....	1
Tools .....	1
Specific tools mentioned - their function - where in the research process used .....	1
Organizational structure for open collaboration .....	1
Workflow .....	1
Centre workflow of practices to become more open (the ones above are more on the individual level)	
1	
Educational perspectives .....	1
Educational needs .....	2
Barriers .....	2
Barriers for open science .....	2
Bibliography .....	2

## Lessons Learned: A Neuroimaging Research Center's Transition to Open and Reproducible Science

- File: [data/review/fulltext/oa-id-W4283836446.pdf](#)
- DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/fe74t>
- OpenAlex ID: <https://openalex.org/W4283836446>

### Characteristics of the paper

- Type of paper (e.g., tips, example): example
- Themes (e.g., tools, organization): tools
- Other keywords (e.g., newcomers): workflow

### Tools

#### Specific tools mentioned - their function - where in the research process used

- Github - open-source code, version control, collaboration - analysing
- Python - open-source programming - analysing
- R - open-source programming - analysing
- arXiv/bioRxiv/psyRxiv - preprint sharing - disseminating
- OpenNeuro - data sharing - disseminating
- Open Science Framework - preregistration - planning
- clinicaltrials.gov - preregistration - planning
- FAIR (findability, "accessibility", "interoperability", and "reusability") - principles to organize data, not a tool per se, but I think they need to be em-

phasized - data collection, organization and sharing -

- Brain imaging data structure (BIDS) - a common and standardized framework all scientists can work on - data collection and sharing
- Containerized pipelines - code that allows easy reproduction - data analysis
- ReproBIDS - dictionary with standardized terms for BIDS neuro data - planning, data collection, data deposition

### Organizational structure for open collaboration

#### Workflow

- The steps below were identified after: 1) assessing the crisis of reproducibility, 2) literature search (systematic review) #worth noting since it pops up in so many papers as a previous step to implementation.
- hypothesis - experiment - data collection - data analysis - reporting

#### Centre workflow of practices to become more open (the ones above are more on the individual level)

- publish code, publishing pre-prints, standardizing data to BIDS, transition to containerized pipelines, publish data in repositories, establish data dictionaries, pre-registration,

### Educational perspectives

### **Educational needs**

- people in the organization need to learn additional programming, version control, and data management skills and work with unfamiliar naming conventions and directory structures mandated by the used standards

### **Barriers**

#### **Barriers for open science**

- costs of effort to be borne by individuals already engaged in challenging, time-consuming work
- during transition, the research productivity will suffer

### **Bibliography**