Turoman-2022

Table of contents

| pen and reproducible practices in developmental psychology research: The workflow of the WomCogDev | V |
|--|---|
| b as an example | 1 |
| Characteristics of the paper | 1 |
| Tools | |
| Specific tools mentioned - their function - where in the researh process used | 1 |
| Organizational structure for open collaboration | 1 |
| Governance | |
| Workflow | 1 |
| Barriers | 1 |
| Barriers for open science | 1 |
| hliography | |

Open and reproducible practices in developmental psychology research: The workflow of the WomCogDev lab as an example

- File: data/review/fulltext/oa-id-W4229452124.pdf
- DOI: https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/73bwu
- OpenAlex ID: https://openalex.org/W4229452124

Characteristics of the paper

- Type of paper (e.g., tips, example): example
- Themes (e.g., tools, organization): tools, workflow
- Other keywords (e.g., newcomers): lab-centered

Tools

Specific tools mentioned - their function - where in the researh process used

- Slack communication all
- Trello project management planning
- Open Science Framework repository planning, analysing, dissemination
- R data analysis analysing
- Jasp data analysis analysing
- Zenodo data sharing dissemination
- OpenNeuro data sharing dissemination

Organizational structure for open collaboration

Governance

- Setup a clear structure for how to conduct the research considering open science in each step
- Build in steps for error correction

Workflow

 designing the project - preregistration - creating the experiment - recruiting and data collection data analysis - dissemination

Barriers

Barriers for open science

- Not everyone have the same prerequisites. There are conscious tradeoffs between trying to be as open as possible, and the feasibility of applying an open practice
- Some practices can take additional time
- For the workflow to work, lab members must follow it quite closely
- The learning curve can be steep, especially for people who arrive from more goal-oriented labs

Bibliography