# Table test document

## Introduction

Table 1 Simple table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Type of Errors | Word | Latex |
| Grammatical | 6.9 | 9.2 |
| Typos | 9.7 | 17.1 |
| Total | 16.6 | 26.3 |

Table 2 Complex table with multiple sections and multiple summary rows.

| Variables | Age 65-79 years | | Age ≥ 80 years | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vaccinated % | SD | Vaccinated % | SD |
| Traveler | 49.3 | 3.2 | 60.2 | 2.1 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 55.9 | 5.1 | 60 | 7.3 |
| Female | 54.8 | 2.8 | 59.3 | 0.5 |
| Income | | | | |
| Low | 45.2 | 6.3 | 49.2 | 6.2 |
| Medium | 46.3 | 2.3 | 50.1 | 0.3 |
| High | 54.2 | 2.2 | 57.4 | 4.6 |
| Sample size | 875 | | 932 | |
| Average % | 53.6 |  | 58.3 |  |

Table 3 Complex table with complex header cells.

| Population | Age | O2 µL/L | Adrenal stress | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cases | RR |
| Urban | <20 | 16.5 | 127 | 3.78 |
| 20-24 | 15.2 | 127 | 3.17 |
| ≥ 25 | 12.6 | 96 | 2.23 |
| Rural | <20 | 15.1 | 271 | 2.91 |
| 20-24 | 13.6 | 275 | 2.45 |
| ≥ 25 | 11.8 | 177 | 1.63 |
| SD | | 1.77 | 77.4 | 0.75 |

Table 4 Table with figure in the header row.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Method of Isolation | Microfluidic Chips |
| Advantages | Can be used for size-based and affinity-based EV isolation methods.  Affinity-based approaches can isolate EVs of high purity and can be used with very small sample volumes. |
| Disadvantages | The size-based chip approach can result in a low degree of purity.  Lack of standardisation between methods.  Requires specialised equipment and restricted to low throughput. |
| Cost | Initial cost of set up is quite high. |
| Applications | Diagnostic applications through use of verifying marker molecule presence allowing downstream analysis.  Can be used in the development of therapeutic EVs. |