Nitride mediated epitaxy of $\mathrm{Co\,Si_2}$ through self-interlayer-formation of plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition Co ⊘

Han-Bo-Ram Lee; J. Y. Son; H. Kim

Check for updates

View Online   Export Citation

Articles You May Be Interested In

High quality epitaxial CoSi 2 using plasma nitridation-mediated epitaxy: The effects of the capping layer

J. Appl. Phys. (November 2007)

The effects of nitrogen profile and concentration on negative bias temperature instability of plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition HfO x N y prepared by *in situ* nitridation

J. Appl. Phys. (September 2008)

Electrical property improvements of high- k gate oxide by *in situ* nitrogen incorporation during atomic layer deposition

Appl. Phys. Lett. (February 2007)

07 August 2025 12:57:04

Nitride mediated epitaxy of CoSi$_2$ through self-interlayer-formation of plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition Co

Han-Bo-Ram Lee, J. Y. Son, and H. Kim[a)]
Department of Material Science and Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), San 31, Hyoja-Dong, Nam-Gu, Pohang, Kyungbuk 790-784, Korea

The silicide formation by annealing plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PE-ALD) Co and physical vapor deposition (PVD) Co was comparatively studied. Very pure Co films were deposited by PE-ALD with CoCp$_2$ and NH$_3$ plasma. However, various analyses have shown that amorphous SiN$_x$ interlayer was formed between PE-ALD Co and Si due to the NH$_3$ plasma exposure in contrast with PVD Co. Due to the nitride interlayer, CoSi$_2$ was epitaxially grown from PE-ALD Co by rapid thermal annealing through nitride mediated epitaxy. This process scheme is expected to provide a simple route for contact formation in future nanoscale devices. © *2007 American Institute of Physics*. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2742791]

CoSi$_2$ has been widely studied for the contact materials of nanoscale metal-oxide-semiconductor filed effect transistor due to the absence of narrow line effect. However, agglomeration and void formation during the silicidation or postthermal process due to grain grooving and interface roughening of polycrystalline silicide could become a potential problem.[1] Epitaxial CoSi$_2$ with lower contact resistance is one of the candidates to solve these problems, especially for shallow junction devices due to its good layer uniformity and high thermal stability. Interlayer mediated epitaxy (IME) is one of the promising techniques for CoSi$_2$ epitaxy since it is compatible with current Si technology and does not require expensive processing tools. For this purpose, various interlayer materials have been employed including oxide,[2] nitride,[3] and CoN$_x$.[4] So far, additional process step has been carried out to form appropriate interlayer. For example, nitride interlayer was formed by very high temperature (900 °C) nitridation.[3]

We recently developed plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PE-ALD) Co process with high purity and low resistivity.[5] In this study, we investigated the interface between PE-ALD Co and Si substrate, comparatively with physical vapor deposition (PVD) Co. Due to the use of NH$_3$ plasma as a reactant, a few nanometer thick nitride interlayer was formed during the deposition process. By rapid thermal annealing (RTA) of the PE-ALD Co, CoSi$_2$ was formed epitaxially through nitride mediated epitaxy (NME) without separate interlayer formation step.

Co films were deposited on Si(001) substrate by using PE-ALD chamber connected to dc magnetron sputtering chamber via load lock to form a cluster system, enabling the *in situ* deposition of capping layer.[5] CoCp$_2$ and NH$_3$ plasma were used as a Co precursor and a reactant, respectively. The standard NH$_3$ plasma exposure time (t_r) was 6 s and the growth temperature was 300 °C. Further details of PE-ALD Co film growth can be found in our previous report.[5] For comparison, Co films were also deposited by dc magnetron sputtering at room temperature with plasma power of 120 W. The chemical bonding structure and impurity level of Co films were investigated by Rutherford backscattering, x-ray

photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS). Synchrotron radiation x-ray diffraction (SR-XRD) and high resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) were used for microstructure analysis. Postdeposition annealing to form silicide was carried out for 30 s by a RTA system in N$_2$ environment. For silicidation, 20 nm thick Ti capping layer was deposited by *in situ* sputtering on PE-ALD and PVD Co without vacuum breaking.

As reported previously, PE-ALD Co films have shown low resistivity of 10 $\mu\Omega$ cm due to high purity.[5] Figures 1(a) and 1(b) are cross sectional HR-TEM images of PVD and PE-ALD Co on Si substrates, respectively. Both of PVD and PE-ALD Co films were polycrystalline, which agrees with XRD spectra showing β-Co diffraction peaks.[5] Figure 1(a) shows the formation of 3 nm thick interlayer between PVD Co and Si. There have been several reports on the formation of amorphous CoSi$_x$ interlayer for PVD Co on Si substrate even at room temperature.[6] Similar TEM image to Fig. 1(a) was reported in Ref. 6, indicating that the interlayer for our case is also CoSi$_x$. As shown in Fig. 1(b), however, the 2–3 nm thick amorphous interlayer between PE-ALD Co and Si substrate has apparently different contrast and structure from that of PVD Co and Si.

To investigate the interlayer of Co and Si substrate, SIMS depth profiles were obtained (data not shown). The PE-ALD Co was very pure, with very small oxygen and nitrogen contaminations in the film. In fact, the nitrogen and oxygen contents in the film were smaller than those of PVD Co. Significant nitrogen peak intensity, however, was ob-


FIG. 1. Cross sectional HR-TEM images of (a) PVD Co film grown at t_r =6 s and (b) PE-ALD Co.

a)Electronic mail: hyungjun@postech.ac.kr

07 August 2025 12:57:04

213509-2   Lee, Son, and Kim

Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 213509 (2007)

FIG. 2. XPS spectrum of PE-ALD Co for silicon core-level region after selective etching of Co film.

FIG. 3. XRD spectra of 20 nm Ti/20 nm PE-ALD Co/Si(001) after RTA at various annealing temperatures.

served only for the interface of PE-ALD Co and Si in contrast to the PVD Co case. For better analysis of this interlayer, XPS analysis was carried out after the Co layer was removed by selective etching ($H_2SO_4:H_2O_2=4:1$, volume ratio). Figure 2 shows the XPS spectra for Si binding energy region. The deconvolution of the XPS spectrum in Fig. 2 shows that it is composed of three peaks at 98.6, 101, and 102.6 eV. The peak at 98.6 eV (solid line) was assigned to the Si–Si bond from the Si substrate, while two lower energy peaks were assigned to Si–N (dash line) and Si–O (dot line) bonding, respectively.[7] XPS spectrum for N binding energy region was composed mostly of N–Si bond, and the Co peak intensity was very small in contrast to PVD Co for which significant Co XPS peaks were observed. These results, together with SIMS depth profile, strongly suggest that the interlayer for PE-ALD Co is amorphous SiN_x not $CoSi_x$.

One of the plausible reasons for SiN_x interlayer formation during the PE-ALD Co is a direct exposure of Si substrate by NH_3 plasma at initial deposition cycles. In fact, the nitridation process using NH_3 plasma has been investigated for various applications in microelectronics technology.[8] To estimate the SiN_x formation in our experimental conditions, the Si(001) substrates were exposed to NH_3 plasma at 300 °C. A few nanometer thick SiN_x film formation was confirmed by spectroscopic ellipsometer. In contrast with NH_3 plasma, no SiN_x film was formed by NH_3 gas at 300 °C. The SiN_x layer formation alters the growth characteristics of PE-ALD Co. For Co chemical vapor deposition using $CoCp_2$ and H_2, the deposition selectivity on several substrates has been reported.[9] For example, the Co films were deposited on SiO_2 and Si_3N_4, not on Si substrate, which was attributed to the difficulty in $CoCp_2$ adsorption on H-terminated surface. In our case, however, Co films were deposited by PE-ALD using NH_3 plasma without nucleation delay on Si as well as on SiO_2. This behavior can be explained by the formation of SiN_x on the Si surface by NH_3 plasma during the initial deposition cycles, which enables the nucleation of Co film and leads to nonselectivity in deposition.

To investigate the effects of SiN_x interlayer on solid state reaction between Co and Si, PE-ALD and PVD Co films with *in situ* PVD Ti capping layer were annealed at various annealing temperatures. For 20 nm Ti/20 nm PVD Co/Si(001) sample, weak CoSi (210) peak was observed at

$T_a=600$ °C, and strong $CoSi_2$ (220) was observed with small (111) peaks at $T_a \geqslant 700$ °C. These results are consistent with previous reports on silicide formation from PVD Co on Si substrate, for which polycrystalline $CoSi_2$ were formed at a high enough annealing temperature, while monosilicide phase is formed at a low annealing temperature.[10] In contrast with PVD Co case, however, SR-XRD spectra for the annealed 20 nm Ti/20 nm PE-ALD Co/Si(001) sample has shown clearly different results, as shown in Fig. 3. First of all, no silicide related peaks were observed at up to 700 °C. At $T_a=800$ °C, only $CoSi_2$ (002) diffraction peak was observed at $2\theta=33.5°$. With further increase in annealing temperature to 900 °C, the $CoSi_2$ (002) peak intensity has grown significantly.

Further analysis was carried out for the sample annealed at 800 °C. Figure 4 shows the high resolution cross sectional TEM image. We can see a newly formed 5 nm thick layer with darker contrast than Si substrate was formed. SiN_x layer, which was originally located between Co and Si, resides now above this layer. This was confirmed to be a $CoSi_2$ layer by energy dispersive spectroscopy analysis during the HR-TEM analysis with 0.5 Å spot size. The registry of lat-


FIG. 4. Cross sectional HR-TEM images of PE-ALD Co film annealed at 800 °C.

FIG. 5. XRD Φ scan of 20 nm Ti/20 nm PE-ALD Co/Si(001) annealed at 800 °C. The capping layer and remaining Co film were selectively etched before analysis.

tice was complete at interface between $CoSi_2$ and Si, indicating that the $CoSi_2$ was epitaxially grown and fully coherent with Si(001) substrate. To check the in-plane orientation, we performed x-ray scattering with Φ scan geometry along the azimuthal direction with the slant angle of 45 ° on the surface normal using Cu $K\alpha$ source. For this analysis, the capping layer and remaining Co film were selectively etched prior to the analysis. Figure 5 shows the Φ scans of the (220) peak of the $CoSi_2$ thin film on the Si(001) substrate. The fourfold symmetry of (220) peak of the $CoSi_2$ thin film was observed, and the full width at half maximum of an in-plane (220) peak was equal to 0.4°, indicating that a high quality $CoSi_2$ epitaxial film was formed. The HR-TEM and XRD results indicate that the $CoSi_2$ is epitaxial with Si(001) substrate with a cube-on-cube relationship, $CoSi_2[100]\|Si[100]$.

It is worth noting that no monosilicide phase or other Co rich phase has been formed by annealing the PE-ALD Co sample at a low temperature. This absence of monosilicide or metal rich phase formation is a typical characteristic of IME process.[2] Since we did not intentionally form an interlayer for IME, we attribute this epitaxial growth to NME due to the amorphous SiN_x interlayer, formed by NH_3 plasma reaction with substrate. In NME, the nitride interlayer does not react with Co but limits the Co diffusion when the interlayer is very thin, <5 nm.

As seen in Fig. 4, the interface between $CoSi_2$ and Si was relatively nonuniform with {111} facets. These are common observations for IME when the capping layer and Co thickness are not fully optimized. For instance, in a previous report on NME, the $CoSi_2$ was grown as an island shape and was not continuous because of nonoptimization of Co

thickness.[3] Another study on IME using CoN_x interlayer has shown that the interlayer thicknesses and chemical composition affect the thickness and interface of epitaxial $CoSi_2$.[4] Thus, the control of interlayer thickness is one of the requirements to control the IME of $CoSi_2$. In a separate experiment, the SiN_x interlayer thickness was reduced from 3 to 1 nm by decreasing the plasma expose time (t_r) from 6 to 2 s. Thus, SiN_x interlayer thickness can be readily controlled by changing t_r for our process scheme. However, since the required junction depth is below 10 nm, which will be decreased to below 5 nm in 2012 according to the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors,[11] even the very thin epitaxial $CoSi_2$ layer shown in Fig. 4 can be utilized for contact in ultrashallow junction.

So far, the interlayers for IME were fabricated through separate process steps. For example, oxide interlayer was fabricated by wet chemical process, while nitride layer was fabricated by thermal nitridation at annealing temperature over 900 °C.[3] In another report, the CoN_x interlayer for IME was formed by a separate deposition step followed by Co deposition.[4] In the present study, however, the interlayer was formed without additional process through self-interlayer formation during the PE-ALD. Additionally, high conformality of PE-ALD Co film is another big advantage, which cannot be achieved by PVD. Thus, the current process scheme provides a simple route for the formation of epitaxial $CoSi_2$ contact for future nanoscale devices.

[1]K. Maex, Mater. Sci. Eng., R. **11**, 7 (1993).
[2]R. T. Tung, Appl. Phys. Lett. **68**, 3461 (1996).
[3]R. K. K. Chong, M. Yeadon, W. K. Choi, E. A. Stach, and C. B. Boothroyd, Appl. Phys. Lett. **82**, 1833 (2003).
[4]S. I. Kim, S. R. Lee, J. H. Park, and B. T. Ahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. **153**, G506 (2006).
[5]H. B. R. Lee and H. Kim, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. **9**, 323 (2006).
[6]P. Ruterana, P. Houdy, and P. Boher, J. Appl. Phys. **68**, 1033 (1990).
[7]Y. Ze-Qiang, J. Appl. Phys. **78**, 2906 (1995).
[8]R. Guo, Y. Kurata, T. Inokuma, and S. Hasegawa, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **351**, 3006 (2005).
[9]G. J. M. Dormans, J. Cryst. Growth **108**, 806 (1991).
[10]C. Detavernier, R. L. Van Meirhaeghe, F. Cardon, K. Maex, W. Vandervorst, and B. Brijs, Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 3170 (2000).
[11]International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors, 2006, p. 13.