

Exponential family

Sep 2022

Murphy chap9

Sufficient statistic

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution parametrized by θ , T be a statistic. If for all possible value t of T ,

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid T = t, \theta) = P(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid T = t)$$

then we say T is a sufficient statistic for the parameter θ

Sufficient statistic

$T = r(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if the joint density or mass function $f_n(x|\theta)$ of X_1, \dots, X_n can be factored as follows for all values $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and all admissible θ :

$$f_n(x|\theta) = u(x)v(r(x), \theta) \quad (*)$$

here u, v are nonnegative functions, $u(x)$ may depend on x , but does not depend on θ

Proof for discrete distribution

$$A(t) = \{x : r(x) = t\}$$

(\Rightarrow) Suppose T is sufficient. Then, for every given value t of T , every point $\mathbf{x} \in A(t)$, and every value of $\theta \in \Omega$, the conditional probability $\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T = t, \theta)$ will not depend on θ and will therefore have the form

$$\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T = t, \theta) = u(\mathbf{x}).$$

If we let $v(t, \theta) = \Pr(T = t | \theta)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(\mathbf{x} | \theta) &= \Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | \theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T = t, \theta) \Pr(T = t | \theta) \\ &= u(\mathbf{x})v(t, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Sufficient statistic

$T = r(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if the joint density or mass function $f_n(x|\theta)$ of X_1, \dots, X_n can be factored as follows for all values $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and all admissible θ :

$$f_n(x|\theta) = u(x)v(r(x), \theta) \quad (*)$$

here u, v are nonnegative functions, $u(x)$ may depend on x , but does not depend on θ

Proof for discrete distribution

$$A(t) = \{x : r(x) = t\}$$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose (*) For every point $\mathbf{x} \in A(t)$,

$$\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T = t, \theta) = \frac{\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | \theta)}{\Pr(T = t | \theta)} = \frac{f_n(\mathbf{x} | \theta)}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in A(t)} f_n(\mathbf{y} | \theta)}$$

$$\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T = t, \theta) = \frac{u(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in A(t)} u(\mathbf{y})}. \quad \leftarrow \text{use } (*), \text{ does not depend on } \theta$$

for every point \mathbf{x} that does not belong to $A(t)$,

$$\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T = t, \theta) = 0. \quad \leftarrow \text{does not depend on } \theta$$

Sufficient statistic

$T = r(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if the joint density or mass function $f_n(x|\theta)$ of X_1, \dots, X_n can be factored as follows for all values $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and all admissible θ :

$$f_n(x|\theta) = u(x)v(r(x), \theta) \quad (*)$$

here u, v are nonnegative functions, $u(x)$ may depend on x , but does not depend on θ

It is sufficient to verify (*) for x such that the density or mass > 0

T is sufficient if and only if the posterior of theta depends on the data only through T

Multiple statistics:

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = u(\mathbf{x})v[r_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, r_k(\mathbf{x}), \theta].$$

Sufficient statistic - example

Poisson distribution with mean theta

$$\text{Let } r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \quad T = r(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

For every set of nonnegative integers x_1, \dots, x_n , the joint p.f. $f_n(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ of X_1, \dots, X_n is as follows:

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{x_i}}{x_i!} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i!} \right) e^{-n\theta} \theta^{r(\mathbf{x})}.$$

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1/x_i!) \text{ and } v(t, \theta) = e^{-n\theta} \theta^t$$

Sufficient statistic - example

Normal distribution with **unknown mean** μ and known variance σ^2

$$\text{Let } r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \quad T = r(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

For $-\infty < x_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), the joint p.d.f. of \mathbf{X} is as follows:

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right].$$

This equation can be rewritten in the form

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|\mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Let $u(\mathbf{x})$ be the constant factor and the first exponential factor

$$v(t, \mu) = \exp(\mu t / \sigma^2 - n\mu^2 / \sigma^2)$$

Sufficient statistic - example

Normal distribution with **known mean** μ and known variance σ^2

Let $r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. $T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $T_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$

For $-\infty < x_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), the joint p.d.f. of \mathbf{X} is as follows:

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right].$$

This equation can be rewritten in the form

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|\mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Sufficient statistic - example

Normal distribution with **known mean** μ and known variance σ^2

Another pair of sufficient statistics !!

$$T'_1 = \bar{X}_n \quad \text{and} \quad T'_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

Then

$$T'_1 = \frac{1}{n} T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T'_2 = \frac{1}{n} T_2 - \frac{1}{n^2} T_1^2.$$

Also, equivalently,

$$T_1 = nT'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = n(T'_2 + T_1'^2).$$

1-1 correspondence between T_1, T_2 and T'_1, T'_2

Recall that μ and σ^2 determines a normal distribution

Exponential family

A pdf or pmf $p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{X}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, is said to be in the **exponential family** if it is of the form

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})] \quad (9.1)$$

$$= h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \quad (9.2)$$

where

$$Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}^m} h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})] d\mathbf{x} \quad (9.3)$$

$$A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (9.4)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ are called the **natural parameters** or **canonical parameters**, $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a vector of **sufficient statistics**, $Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is called the **partition function**, $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is called the **log partition function** or **cumulant function**, and $h(\mathbf{x})$ is the a scaling constant, often 1. If $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$, we say it is a **natural exponential family**.

Definition

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})] \quad (9.1)$$

$$= h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \quad (9.2)$$

Equation 9.2 can be generalized by writing

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))] \quad (9.5)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a function that maps the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to the canonical parameters $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Examples

Bernoulli

The Bernoulli for $x \in \{0, 1\}$ can be written in exponential family form as follows:

$$\text{Ber}(x|\mu) = \mu^x(1 - \mu)^{1-x} = \exp[x \log(\mu) + (1 - x) \log(1 - \mu)] = \exp[\phi(x)^T \boldsymbol{\theta}] \quad (9.6)$$

where $\phi(x) = [\mathbb{I}(x = 0), \mathbb{I}(x = 1)]$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\log(\mu), \log(1 - \mu)]$.

Another (**minimal**) statistics, it is a function of theta in (9.6)

$$\text{Ber}(x|\mu) = (1 - \mu) \exp \left[x \log \left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \right) \right] \quad (9.8)$$

we have $\phi(x) = x$, $\theta = \log \left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \right)$,

Examples

Univariate Gaussian

The univariate Gaussian can be written in exponential family form as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right] \quad (9.20)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\mu^2\right] \quad (9.21)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)) \quad (9.22)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu/\sigma^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.23)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.24)$$

$$Z(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sqrt{2\pi}\sigma \exp\left[\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \quad (9.25)$$

$$A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{-\theta_1^2}{4\theta_2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(-2\theta_2) - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \quad (9.26)$$

Log partition function $A(\theta)$

Derive mean and variance of sufficient statistics from log partition function

1-parameter distribution

$$\frac{dA}{d\theta} = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\log \int \exp(\theta \phi(x)) h(x) dx \right) \quad (9.27)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} \int \exp(\theta \phi(x)) h(x) dx}{\int \exp(\theta \phi(x)) h(x) dx} \quad (9.28)$$

$$= \frac{\int \phi(x) \exp(\theta \phi(x)) h(x) dx}{\exp(A(\theta))} \quad (9.29)$$

$$= \int \phi(x) \exp(\theta \phi(x) - A(\theta)) h(x) dx \quad (9.30)$$

$$= \int \phi(x) p(x) dx = \mathbb{E} [\phi(x)] \quad (9.31)$$

Log partition function $A(\theta)$

Derive mean and variance of sufficient statistics from log partition function

1-parameter distribution

$$\frac{d^2 A}{d\theta^2} = \int \phi(x) \exp(\theta\phi(x) - A(\theta)) h(x)(\phi(x) - A'(\theta)) dx \quad (9.32)$$

$$= \int \phi(x)p(x)(\phi(x) - A'(\theta)) dx \quad (9.33)$$

$$= \int \phi^2(x)p(x) dx - A'(\theta) \int \phi(x)p(x) dx \quad (9.34)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [\phi^2(X)] - \mathbb{E} [\phi(x)]^2 = \text{var} [\phi(x)] \quad (9.35)$$

and hence

$$\nabla^2 A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{cov} [\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})] \quad (9.37)$$

we see that $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a convex function

Log partition function $A(\theta)$

$$\text{Ber}(x|\mu) = (1 - \mu) \exp \left[x \log \left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \right) \right] \quad (9.8)$$

$$\phi(x) = x, \theta = \log \left(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \right)$$

$$e^{-A(\theta)} = 1 - \mu, \quad 1 + e^{\theta} = \frac{1}{1 - \mu}$$

Example: the Bernoulli distribution

For example, consider the Bernoulli distribution. We have $A(\theta) = \log(1 + e^{\theta})$, so the mean is given by

$$\frac{dA}{d\theta} = \frac{e^{\theta}}{1 + e^{\theta}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta}} = \text{sigm}(\theta) = \mu \quad (9.38)$$

The variance is given by

$$\frac{d^2 A}{d\theta^2} = \frac{d}{d\theta} (1 + e^{-\theta})^{-1} = (1 + e^{-\theta})^{-2} \cdot e^{-\theta} \quad (9.39)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\theta}}{1 + e^{-\theta}} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta}} = \frac{1}{e^{\theta} + 1} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta}} = (1 - \mu)\mu \quad (9.40)$$

MLE for exponential family

The likelihood of an exponential family model has the form

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^N h(\mathbf{x}_i) \right] g(\boldsymbol{\theta})^N \exp \left(\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i) \right] \right) \quad (9.41)$$

We see that the sufficient statistics are N and

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathcal{D}) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_K(\mathbf{x}_i) \right] \quad (9.42)$$

$$A(\theta) = -\log(\theta)$$

MLE for exponential family

N iid data points $\mathcal{D} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, the log-likelihood is

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathcal{D}) - NA(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (9.45)$$

Since $-A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is concave in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, and $\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathcal{D})$ is linear in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, we see that the log likelihood is concave, and hence has a unique global maximum. To derive this maximum, we use the fact that the derivative of the log partition function yields the expected value of the sufficient statistic vector (Section 9.2.3):

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \phi(\mathcal{D}) - N\mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbf{X})] \quad (9.46)$$

Setting this gradient to zero, we see that at the MLE, the empirical average of the sufficient statistics must equal the model's theoretical expected sufficient statistics, i.e., $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ must satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbf{X})] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \quad (9.47)$$