[AI Project] Scoresheet Report

Version: 22/09/2025

—

Student Name:

Date:

Total:

—

1. Problem Definition

- Excellent (5) - Clear, concise, and relevant problem statement with a well-defined scope.
- Good (4) - Clearly defined problem but lacks some detail, scoping or relevance.
- Satisfactory (3) - Problem is defined but with vague scope or relevance.
- Needs Improvement (2) - Poorly defined problem, lacking clarity, scoping and relevance.
- Poor (1) - Problem statement is irrelevant.
- Absent (0)

2 Relevant Literature & References

- Excellent (5) - Demonstrates a deep understanding of the field.
- Good (4) - Solid review but missing some critical perspectives or recent works.
- Satisfactory (3) - Basic review, covers key literature but lacks depth.
- Needs Improvement (2) - Superficial review with significant omissions.
- Poor (1) - Minimal literature review.
- Absent (0)

3. Methodology

- Excellent (5) - Methodology is well-chosen, thoroughly explained, and appropriately applied.
- Good (4) - Methodology is sound, with minor issues in selection or application.
- Satisfactory (3) - Basic methodology, but with some issues in selection or application.
- Needs Improvement (2) - Methodology has significant flaws or is poorly applied.
- Poor (1) - Lacks a coherent methodology.
- Absent (0)

4. Results and Analysis

- Excellent (5) - Results are thorough, accurate, and well-analyzed using appropriate methods.
- Good (4) - Solid results and analysis but with minor inaccuracies or analytical gaps.
- Satisfactory (3) - Basic results with basic analysis, some inaccuracies or lack of depth.
- Needs Improvement (2) - Incomplete or inaccurate results, poor analysis
- Poor (1) - Lacks coherent results or analysis.
- Absent (0)

5. Use of Visuals

- Excellent (5) - Visual aids are highly effective and relevant.
- Good (4) - Good use of visuals but with minor issues in effectiveness or relevance.
- Satisfactory (3) - Adequate use of visuals, with noticeable differences in effectiveness or relevance.
- Needs Improvement (2) - Poor use of visuals with major issues in effectiveness or relevance.
- Poor (1) - Little use of visuals with major issues in effectiveness or relevance.
- Absent (0)

6. Clarity and Structure of the Report

- Excellent (5) - Report is exceptionally well-organized, clear, and adheres to academic standards.
- Good (4) - Well-structured and clear but with minor issues in organization or adherence to standards.
- Satisfactory (3) - Report is adequately organized but lacks clarity or has some structural issues.
- Needs Improvement (2) - Poorly structured with major issues in clarity and organization, with low adherence to academic standards.
- Poor (1) - Report is disorganized, unclear, and does not meet academic standards.
- Absent (0)