

# [AI Project] Scoresheet Presentation + Q&A

Version: 22/09/2025

---

**Student Name:**

**Date:**

**Total:**

---

## 1. Research Question

- Excellent (5) – Clearly articulates and defends the research question, showing deep understanding and relevance.
- Good (4) – Generally able to justify the research question, but with some gaps in the rationale or connection to methods.
- Satisfactory (3) – Provides a basic justification but lacks depth or specificity during the presentation and Q&A.
- Needs Improvement (2) – Struggles to explain the relevance or focus of the research question.
- Poor (1) – Unable to defend or explain the research question meaningfully.
- Absent (0)

## 2. Research Plan

- Excellent (5) – Defends each step of the research plan thoroughly, showing clear understanding of feasibility and risks.
- Good (4) – Justifies the research plan well, though with minor gaps in defending specific choices or risks.
- Satisfactory (3) – Provides basic justification of the plan but struggles to defend some aspects in detail.
- Needs Improvement (2) – Struggles to explain the rationale or risks in the research plan.
- Poor (1) – Fails to explain or defend the research plan coherently.
- Absent (0)

### 3. Results & Interpretation

- Excellent (5) – Clearly presents results and provides strong defense of insights and interpretations during Q&A.
- Good (4) – Generally clear presentation of results but minor gaps in defending insights or interpretations.
- Satisfactory (3) – Provides basic results and insights but struggles to defend or interpret them during the presentation or Q&A.
- Needs Improvement (2) – Results are unclear or poorly defended in the presentation or Q&A.
- Poor (1) – Fails to present or defend results and insights meaningfully.
- Absent (0)

### 4. Presentation & Communication

- Excellent (5) – Highly engaging, well-structured presentation with excellent use of visuals and smooth delivery.
- Good (4) – Generally strong and well-structured presentation with minor issues in delivery or visual aids. Still engaging overall.
- Satisfactory (3) – Adequate presentation but with noticeable issues in delivery, structure, or use of visuals.
- Needs Improvement (2) – Weak presentation with significant issues in delivery structure or use of visuals.
- Poor (1) – Poor presentation that is difficult to follow and poorly structured, with minimal effort in delivery or visuals.
- No presentation provided (0)