

Q 1)

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} (2x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$

$$\text{Subject to} \quad 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 4$$

$$x_2 \geq x_1$$

1 (a) The original problem is convex and a constraint qualification holds \Rightarrow all the inequality constraints are affine.
So, strong duality holds. $\Rightarrow p^* = d^*$

1 (b) The constrained optimization problem is differentiable, convex, and a constraint qualification holds \Rightarrow all inequality constraints are affine, so, KKT conditions are necessary and sufficient for primal/dual optimality (with zero duality gap)

$$f(x) = (2x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$

$$g_1(x) = 3x_1 + 2x_2 - 4 \leq 0$$

$$g_2(x) = x_1 - x_2 \leq 0$$

There are no equality constraints.

The Lagrangian is given as.

$$L(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (2x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 + \lambda_1 (3x_1 + 2x_2 - 4) + \lambda_2 (x_1 - x_2)$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We know that strong duality holds from part (a) so the primal optimal (x_1^*, x_2^*) and the dual optimal $(\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$ must satisfy KKT Conditions

$$1) \nabla_x f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^* \nabla_x g_i(x^*) + \sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j^* \nabla_x h_j(x^*) = 0$$

0 (no equality constraints)

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{x_1} [L] = 2(2x_1^* - 1)(2) + 3\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^* = 0$$

$$\nabla_{x_2} [L] = 2(x_2^* - 2) + 2\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^* = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} 8x_1^* - 4 + 3\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^* &= 0 \\ 2x_2^* - 4 + 2\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^* &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

} These equations constitute the 1st condition

$$2) g_i(x^*) \leq 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} 3x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 4 &\leq 0 \\ x_1^* - x_2^* &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$3) h_j(x^*) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

Not applicable as there are no equality constraints

$$4) \lambda_i^* \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1^* \geq 0 \quad \lambda_2^* \geq 0$$

$$5) \lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1^* (3x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 4) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2^* (x_1^* - x_2^*) = 0$$

These are the 5 KKT Conditions that can be used to find the optimal variables.

1(c) Due to strong duality proved in part (a) of the problem, it suffices to find $(x_1^*, x_2^*, \lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$ satisfying the KKT conditions outlined in part (b)

From condition 1 of KKT we have

$$x_1^* = \frac{-(3\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^*) + 4}{8} \Rightarrow \frac{-(3\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^*)}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \quad - (1)$$

$$x_2^* = \frac{\lambda_2^* - 2\lambda_1^* + 4}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{(\lambda_2^* - 2\lambda_1^*)}{2} + 2 \quad - (2)$$

We can substitute eqn's (1) & (2) back into condition 5 of KKT

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1^* (3x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 4) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2^* (x_1^* - x_2^*) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1^* \left(3 \left[\frac{-(3\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^*)}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + 2 \left[\frac{\lambda_2^* - 2\lambda_1^*}{2} + 2 \right] - 4 \right) = 0 \quad - (3)$$

$$\lambda_2^* \left(\left[\frac{-(3\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^*)}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \right] - \left[\frac{\lambda_2^* - 2\lambda_1^*}{2} + 2 \right] \right) = 0 \quad - (4)$$

Simplifying (3)

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1^* \left(\frac{-9\lambda_1^* - 3\lambda_2^* + 12}{8} + \frac{2\lambda_2^* - 4\lambda_1^* + 8}{2} - 4 \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1^* \left(-9\lambda_1^* - 3\lambda_2^* + 12 + 8\lambda_2^* - 16\lambda_1^* + 32 - 32 \right) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1^* (5\lambda_2^* - 25\lambda_1^* + 12) = 0 \quad - (5)$$

Simplify + tying (4)

$$\lambda_2^* \left(\frac{-3\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^* + 4}{8} - \frac{(\lambda_2^* - 2\lambda_1^* + 4)}{2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_2^* \left(-3\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^* + 4 - 4\lambda_2^* + 8\lambda_1^* - 16 \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_2^* \left(5\lambda_1^* - 5\lambda_2^* - 12 \right) = 0 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Combining (5) & (6) we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1^* \left(5\lambda_2^* - 25\lambda_1^* + 12 \right) = 0 \\ \lambda_2^* \left(5\lambda_1^* - 5\lambda_2^* - 12 \right) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \boxed{*}$$

Case 1 $\lambda_1^* = 0$

Case 1.1 $\lambda_2^* = 0$

Condition
→ Constraint 4 Satisfied

Then we have $x_1^* = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{2}$

$$x_2^* = \frac{4}{2} = 2$$

Check if $\lambda(2)$ is satisfied

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \Rightarrow \cancel{3(\frac{1}{2})} \quad 3x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 4 \leq 0 & x_1^* - x_2^* \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow 3(\frac{1}{2}) + 2(2) - 4 & \frac{1}{2} - 2 \Rightarrow -\frac{3}{2} \leq 0 \checkmark \\ \Rightarrow \frac{3}{2} > 0 & \end{array}$$

— Condition 2 is violated

This can't be the solution.

Case 1.2 ~~$(5\lambda_1^* - 5\lambda_2^* - 12) = 0$~~

$\lambda_1^* = 0$ } assumption in all of case-1's
 so, $(-5\lambda_2^* - 12) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \lambda_2 = -\frac{12}{5} \quad \times \quad \rightarrow$ Condition 4 fails/
 violated

so, no solution possible in this case 1.2

Case 2 ~~$(5\lambda_1^* - 25\lambda_1^* + 12) = 0$~~

Case 2.1 $\lambda_2^* = 0$

~~Condition 4 satisfied~~

$\Rightarrow -25\lambda_1^* + 12 = 0$

$\lambda_1^* = \frac{12}{25}$

$\lambda_1^* > 0 \quad \lambda_2^* = 0$

\rightarrow Condition 4 satisfied

check for condition (2)

$x_1^* = \frac{-3\lambda_1^* + 4}{8} \Rightarrow \frac{-3(\frac{12}{25}) + 4}{8} \Rightarrow \frac{-\frac{36}{25} + 4}{8}$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{64}{8 \times 25}$

$x_1^* = \frac{8}{25}$

$x_2^* = \frac{-2\lambda_1^* + 4}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{-2(\frac{12}{25}) + 4}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{-\frac{24}{25} + 4}{2}$

$x_2^* = \frac{38}{25}$

Condition (2) requires:

$3x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 4 \leq 0$

$\frac{24}{25} + \frac{76}{25} - 4 \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow 0 \quad \checkmark$

$x_1^* - x_2^* \leq 0$

$\frac{8}{25} - \frac{38}{25}$

$\Rightarrow -\frac{30}{25} < 0 \quad \checkmark$

\rightarrow Condition 2 Satisfied

For Case 2.1 all the 5 conditions are satisfied and the obtained λ_1^* , λ_2^* , x_1^* , x_2^* are as follows



$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1^* &= \frac{12}{25} & \lambda_2^* &= 0 \\ x_1^* &= 8/25 & x_2^* &= 38/25 \\ \text{optimal value} &= (2x_1^* - 1)^2 + (x_2^* - 2)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{16}{25} - 1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{38}{25} - 2\right)^2 \\ &= 9/25\end{aligned}$$

→ Ans

I am doing Case 2.2 just to check

Case 2.2 $5\lambda_1^* - 5\lambda_2^* - 12 = 0$

Case 2 assumption is $(5x_2^* - 25\lambda_1^* + 12) \geq 0$

$$\lambda_1^* = \left[\frac{5\lambda_2^* + 12}{5} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow 5\lambda_2^* - \cancel{25} \left[\frac{5\lambda_2^* + 12}{\cancel{5}} \right] + 12$$

$$\Rightarrow 5\lambda_2^* - 25\lambda_2^* - 60 + 12 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -20\lambda_2^* - 48 \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_2^* = \frac{48}{20} = 12/5$$

$$\lambda_2^* = -12/5 < 0$$

→ Condition 2 violated

⇒ This case can't have the solution to the problem.

~~822~~

~~min~~

↙ Lagrangian Dual function

Q1) (d)

$$L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \min_{x_1, x_2} L(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

$$L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \min_{x_1, x_2} (2x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 + \lambda_1(3x_1 + 2x_2 - 4) + \lambda_2(x_1 - x_2) \quad \text{---} \textcircled{\#}$$

The ~~data~~ ^{func} minimization to find the dual function can be done ~~as~~ using $\nabla_{x_1}, \nabla_{x_2} [L(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)]$ and setting them to 0.

$$\nabla_{x_1} [L(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)] = 4(2x_1 - 1) + 3\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\nabla_{x_2} [L(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)] = 2(x_2 - 2) + 2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$$

Solving for x_1, x_2 gives.

$$x_1 = \frac{-3\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 4}{8} \quad \bigg| \quad x_2 = \frac{-2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 4}{2} \quad \text{---} \textcircled{\theta}$$

back substitute x_1, x_2 in $\textcircled{\#}$

I will build the $L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ term by term.

$$(2x_1 - 1)^2 = \left(\frac{-3\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 4}{8} - 1 \right)^2 = \left(\frac{-3\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 4}{8} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 4)^2}{64}$$

$$(2x_1 - 1)^2 = \frac{(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 4)^2}{64} = \frac{9\lambda_1^2}{16} + \frac{3\lambda_1\lambda_2}{8} + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{16} \quad \text{---} \textcircled{1}$$

$$(x_2 - 2)^2 = \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{4} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\lambda_1 (3x_1 + 2x_2 - 4) = -\frac{25}{8} \lambda_1^2 + \frac{5\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{8} + \frac{3\lambda_1}{2} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\lambda_2 (x_1 - x_2) = \frac{5\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{8} - \frac{5\lambda_2^2}{8} - \frac{3\lambda_2}{2} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

Summing up all 4 terms (1) + (2) + (3) + (4)

we get,

$$L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -\frac{25}{16} \lambda_1^2 - \frac{5}{16} \lambda_2^2 + \frac{5}{8} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \frac{3\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{3\lambda_2}{2}$$

dual optimization problem

$\begin{aligned} \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \quad & L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ & \lambda_1 \geq 0 \\ & \lambda_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$	\Rightarrow	$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & -L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ & -\lambda_1 \leq 0 \\ & -\lambda_2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$
---	---------------	---

This is again a constrained minimization problem,

with objective $-L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is convex and differentiable, and also

Constraint qualification holds \Rightarrow all inequalities are affine

So KKT conditions are necessary and sufficient

Let us build Lagrangian, (treat λ as normal primal variable) For new problem
 $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rightarrow$ dual variable

$$L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, k_1, k_2) = -L_D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) + k_1(-\lambda_1) + k_2(\lambda_2)$$

The optimal $\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*, k_1^*, k_2^*$ should satisfy the KKT conditions.

$$1) \nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i^* \nabla_{x_i} g_i(x_i^*) = 0$$

$$\nabla_{L_1}[L] = +\frac{25}{8} \lambda_1^* - 5/8 \lambda_2^* - 3/2 - k_1^* = 0$$

$$\nabla_{L_2}[L] = 5/8 \lambda_2^* - 5/8 \lambda_1^* + 3/2 - k_2^* = 0$$

$$2) g_i(x^*) \leq 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$-\lambda_1^* \leq 0 \quad -\lambda_2^* \leq 0$$

$$3) \text{ Not applicable}$$

$$4) k_1^* \geq 0 \quad k_2^* \geq 0$$

$$5) \left. \begin{aligned} k_1^* (-\lambda_1^*) &= 0 \\ k_2^* (-\lambda_2^*) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Complimentary Slackness.}$$

These are the 5 KKT conditions that should be satisfied

Case:-1 $k_1^* = 0$

Case 1.1 $k_2^* = 0 \rightarrow$ condition 4 satisfied

check for condition (2) by solving condition (1)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{25}{8} \lambda_1^* - \frac{5}{8} \lambda_2^* - \frac{3}{2} &= 0 \\ \frac{5}{8} \lambda_2^* - \frac{5}{8} \lambda_1^* + \frac{3}{2} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} \lambda_1^* &= 0 \\ \lambda_2^* &= -12/5 \rightarrow \text{condition (2) violated} \end{aligned}$$

Solution doesn't exist in this case.

Case 1.2 $\lambda_2^* = 0$

Solving eqns in condition (1)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{25}{8} \lambda_1^* - \frac{3}{2} &= 0 \\ -\frac{5}{8} \lambda_1^* + \frac{3}{2} - k_2^* &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} \lambda_1^* &= 12/25 \rightarrow \text{condition (2) satisfied} \\ k_2^* &= -\frac{5}{8} \times \frac{12}{25} + \frac{3}{2} \\ &= -\frac{3}{10} + \frac{3}{2} \\ k_2^* &= 12/10 = 6/5 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

\rightarrow condition (4) satisfied.

This case satisfies all conditions

$$\lambda_2^* = 0 \quad \lambda_1^* = 12/25$$

$$k_1^* = 0 \quad k_2^* = 6/5$$

\rightarrow Answer

But I will prove the other cases also.

Case 2 $\lambda_1^* = 0$

Case 2.1 $k_2^* = 0$

Case 2.1 Continued.

Solving eqns in condition (1)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -5/8 \lambda_2^* - 3/2 - k_1^* &= 0 \\ 5/8 \lambda_2^* + 3/2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \lambda_2^* = -\frac{12}{5} \rightarrow \text{condition (2) is violated}$$

This case does not contain solution.

Case 2.2 $\lambda_2^* = 0$

Solving eqns in condition (1)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -3/2 - k_1^* &= 0 \\ 3/2 - k_2^* &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} k_1^* &= -3/2 \rightarrow \text{condition (4) is violated} \\ k_2^* &= 3/2 \end{aligned}$$

This case does not contain the solution.

The answer obtained by solving dual problem is

$$\lambda_1^* = 12/25 \quad \lambda_2^* = 0$$

Back substituting in eqn (0) to get back x_1^*, x_2^*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_1^* &= \frac{-3\lambda_1^* - \lambda_2^* - 4}{8} \rightarrow 8/25 \\ x_2^* &= \frac{-2\lambda_1^* + \lambda_2^* + 4}{2} \rightarrow 38/25 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Hence the primal solution is inferred}$$

82) SVM without offset

a(q)

$$\min_{w, \xi} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

(s.t) $y_i (w^T x_i) \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n.$

$\xi_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n.$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$$

$$L(w, \xi, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (y_i (w^T x_i) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \xi_i$$

- (#)

The dual problem is

$$\max_{\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0} L_0(\alpha, \beta)$$

where

$$L_0(\alpha, \beta) = \min_{w, \xi} L(w, \xi, \alpha, \beta)$$

✓
This problem is an unconstrained minimization problem with a convex differentiable objective function. Therefore, for fixed α, β , values of w, ξ achieving the minimum satisfy

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 0 \Rightarrow w - \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0 \quad \forall i \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Therefore if $\alpha_i + \beta_i = \frac{c}{n} \quad \forall i$ then putting in (1) into (#) gives us,

$$L_0(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i \right\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (y_i (\sum \alpha_i y_i x_i)^T x_i - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \xi_i$$

$$L_D(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \|\sum \alpha_i y_i x_i\|^2 + \frac{C}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \left(\sum_j \alpha_j y_j x_j \right)^T x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \xi_i - \sum \beta_i \xi_i \right)$$

$$LO(\alpha|B) = \frac{1}{2} \|\sum \alpha_i y_i x_i\|^2 + \sum \alpha_i \langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_j x_j, x_i \rangle + \sum \alpha_i$$

$$\therefore L_0(\alpha|\beta)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i \right\|^2 - \sum_i \alpha_i y_i \left\langle \sum_i \alpha_i y_i x_i, x_i \right\rangle + \sum_i \alpha_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sum_i a_i y_i x_i, \sum_j a_j y_j x_j \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_i a_i y_i x_i, \sum_j a_j y_j x_j \right\rangle + \sum_i a_i$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j + \sum_i \alpha_i$$

quadratic

So the dual function

$$\underline{\underline{Lo(\alpha|\beta)}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j + \sum_i \alpha_i & \text{if } \alpha_i + \beta_i = \frac{C}{n} \neq i \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

otherwise

this is because

y_i can be send to

$$c_{ji} = \pm \infty$$

~~2 (b)~~ Therefore the dual optimization problem,

$$\max_{\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0} L_D(\alpha, \beta)$$

may be written

$$\max_{\alpha, \beta} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle + \sum \alpha_i$$

$$\alpha i + \beta i = C_n \quad \forall i$$

$$\alpha_{i710} \quad \beta_{i710} \quad \gamma_i$$

* Since this is an inner product we can kernelize this

The problem can be simplified by eliminating β_i

$$\text{Since } \alpha_i + \beta_i = C/n$$

$$\alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \beta_i \geq 0$$

we can write it as $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C/n \quad \forall i$

This leads to an alternate form of the optimal-soft-margin dual

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \max_{\alpha} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \\ \text{st. } \quad 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C/n \quad \forall i \end{array}} \quad \left. \vphantom{\sum_{i,j=1}^n} \right\} \text{we only have this as } \beta_i \text{ is omitted}$$

$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ is an inner product and hence can be kernelized
 $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = k(x_i, x_j)$ where k is an innerproduct kernel.

\therefore The SVM classifier is

$$f(x) = \text{Sign}$$

2(b)

Recovery of the primal solution

(α^*, β^*) be dual optimal, and let (w^*, ξ^*) be primal optimal. Since the primal is convex and satisfies constraint qualification, strong duality holds. The KKT necessity theorem then implies that $(w^*, \xi^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*)$ satisfies the KKT conditions.

So to obtain w^* , we use the 1st KKT condition derived in part (a) of this problem.

$$w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* y_i x_i$$

✓

This information is sufficient to find the classifier

The final classifier is therefore

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(\langle w^*, x \rangle)$$

$$= \text{sign}\left(\left\langle \sum_i \alpha_i^* y_i x_i, x \right\rangle\right)$$

$$= \text{sign}\left(\sum_i \alpha_i y_i \langle x_i, x \rangle\right)$$

since $\langle x_i, x \rangle$ is an inner product

We can use an inner product kernel to
kernelize this method

$$\langle x_i, x \rangle = k(x_i, x)$$

∴ The final classifier is given as.

$$f(x) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* y_i k(x_i, x)\right)$$

$$\text{Q3)} \quad \min_{w, b, \xi_i^+, \xi_i^-} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + C/n \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^-)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad y_i - w^T x_i - b \leq \epsilon + \xi_i^+ \quad \forall i \quad - (1)$$

$$w^T x_i + b - y_i \leq \epsilon + \xi_i^- \quad \forall i \quad - (2)$$

$$\xi_i^+ \geq 0 \quad \forall i \quad - (3)$$

$$\xi_i^- \geq 0 \quad \forall i \quad - (4)$$

where $w \in \mathbb{R}^D$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi^+ = (\xi_1^+, \dots, \xi_n^+)^T$ and $\xi^- = (\xi_1^-, \dots, \xi_n^-)^T$.

$$C > 0 \quad \epsilon > 0$$

From conditions (1) & (3), we can write

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \xi_i^+ &\geq y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon \\ \text{and } \xi_i^+ &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \forall i$$

We can combine both them and write as

$$\xi_i^+ \geq \max \{ 0, y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon \}$$

Similarly from constraints (2) & (4) we can write

$$\xi_i^- \geq \max \{ 0, w^T x_i + b - y_i - \epsilon \}$$

So the problem now reduces to

$$\min_{w, b, \xi^+, \xi^-} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{C}{n} \sum (\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^-)$$

$$\xi_i^+ \geq \max \{ 0, y_i - (w^T x_i + b) - \epsilon \}$$

$$\xi_i^- \geq \max \{ 0, (w^T x_i + b) - y_i - \epsilon \}$$

} \rightarrow (H)

If we examine the objective function, we have a $\left[\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^- \right]$ term.

We know that in a minimization case both ξ_i^+ and ξ_i^- should have equality as we can always reduce the values more to satisfy the condition given below with equality

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \quad \xi_i^+ &= \max \{ 0, y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon \} \\ \text{@ optimal value} \quad \xi_i^- &= \max \{ 0, (w^T x_i + b) - y_i - \epsilon \} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \xi_i^+ &= \max \{ 0, y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon \} \\ \xi_i^- &= \max \{ 0, (w^T x_i + b) - y_i - \epsilon \} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{These both should} \\ \text{hold @} \\ \text{optimal value} \end{array}$$

Now we have $\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^-$

Let us analyse this in cases:

Case 1) $y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \xi_i^+ = y_i - (w^T x_i + b) - \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow w^T x_i + b - y_i \leq -\epsilon$$

$$(w^T x_i + b) - y_i - \epsilon \leq -2\epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{(-ve)}$$

$\epsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \xi_i^- = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi_i^+ + \xi_i^- = y_i - (w^T x_i + b) - \epsilon$$

This can be written as $\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^- = \max \{ 0, y_i - (w^T x_i + b) - \epsilon \}$

0 occurs when $y_i - (w^T x_i + b) - \epsilon \geq 0$

Case 2) $w^T x_i + b - y_i - \epsilon \geq 0$

$$\text{a. } \xi_i^+ = 0$$

$$\xi_i^- = (w^T x_i + b) - y_i - \epsilon$$

$$\text{So } \xi_i^+ + \xi_i^- = \max \{ 0, (w^T x_i + b) - y_i - \epsilon \}$$

Combining Case -1 and Case-2 one can write

$$\xi_i^+ + \xi_i^- = \max \{ 0, |y_i - (w^T x_i + b)| - \epsilon \}$$

\therefore The minimization problem $(\#)$ can be reduced by eliminating ξ_i^+, ξ_i^- completely \Rightarrow

$$\min_{w, b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{C}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\max \{ 0, |y_i - (w^T x_i + b)| - \epsilon \} \right)$$

taking C common from the above

we get

$$\min_{w, b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\left[\max \{ 0, |y_i - (w^T x_i + b)| - \epsilon \} \right]}_{l_\epsilon(y_i, w^T x_i + b)} + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2C} \|w\|_2^2}_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$$

where

$$l_\epsilon(y, t) = \max \{ 0, |y - t| - \epsilon \} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} * \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{1}{2C}$$

So the SVR solves.

$$\min_{w, b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_\epsilon(y_i, w^T x_i + b) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2$$

Q4)

=

(a) RMSE on test data SVR: 36.152137

(b) RMSE on test data for KRR: 37.8432

(c) SVR - optimal parameters.

regularization term = 10.

kernel parameter = 0.01

RMSE on test data: 31.264725

KRR - optimal Parameters.

regularization term = 10

kernel parameter = 0.01

RMSE on test data = 33.36136

Problem 4

In [1]:

```
import numpy as np

from matplotlib import pyplot
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# You have to install the libraries below.
# sklearn, csv
import csv

from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn.svm import SVR
from sklearn.kernel_ridge import KernelRidge
```

In [2]:

```
# The csv file air-quality-train.csv contains the training data.
# After loaded, each row of X_train will correspond to CO, NO2, O3, SO2.
# The vector y_train will contain the PM2.5 concentrations.
# Each row of X_train corresponds to the same timestamp.
X_train = []
y_train = []

with open('air-quality-train.csv', 'r') as air_quality_train:
    air_quality_train_reader = csv.reader(air_quality_train)
    next(air_quality_train_reader)
    for row in air_quality_train_reader:
        row = [float(string) for string in row]
        row[0] = int(row[0])

        X_train.append([row[1], row[2], row[3], row[4]])
        y_train.append(row[5])

# The csv file air-quality-test.csv contains the testing data.
# After loaded, each row of X_test will correspond to CO, NO2, O3, SO2.
# The vector y_test will contain the PM2.5 concentrations.
# Each row of X_train corresponds to the same timestamp.
X_test = []
y_test = []

with open('air-quality-test.csv', 'r') as air_quality_test:
    air_quality_test_reader = csv.reader(air_quality_test)
    next(air_quality_test_reader)
    for row in air_quality_test_reader:
        row = [float(string) for string in row]
        row[0] = int(row[0])

        X_test.append([row[1], row[2], row[3], row[4]])
        y_test.append(row[5])

X_train = np.array(X_train)
y_train = np.array(y_train)
X_test = np.array(X_test)
y_test = np.array(y_test)
```

In [3]:

```
# TODOs for part (a)
# 1. Use SVR loaded to train a SVR model with rbf kernel, regularizer (C) set to 1 and rbg kernel parameter (gamma) 0.1
# 2. Print the RMSE on the test dataset
svr_rbf = SVR(kernel = 'rbf', C = 1, gamma = 0.1)
y_pred_svr = svr_rbf.fit(X_train,y_train).predict(X_test)
rmse_svr = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test,y_pred_svr))
print('RMSE obtained for SVR: {}'.format(rmse_svr))

# TODOs for part (b)
# 1. Use KernelRidge to train a Kernel Ridge model with rbf kernel, regularizer (C) set to 1 and rbg kernel parameter (gamma) 0.1
# 2. Print the RMSE on the test dataset
krr_rbf = KernelRidge(kernel = 'rbf', alpha = 0.5, gamma = 0.1)
y_pred_krr = krr_rbf.fit(X_train,y_train).predict(X_test)
rmse_krr = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test,y_pred_krr))
print('RMSE obtained for KRR: {}'.format(rmse_krr))

# Use this seed.
seed = 0
np.random.seed(seed)

K = 5 #The number of folds we will create

# TODOs for part (c)
# 1. Create a partition of training data into K=5 folds
# Hint: it suffice to create 5 subarrays of indices
idx = np.arange(X_train.shape[0])
```

```

np.random.shuffle(idx)
idx_split = np.array_split(idx,K)

# Specify the grid search space
reg_range = np.logspace(-1,1,3) # Regularization paramters
kpara_range = np.logspace(-2, 0, 3) # Kernel parameters

# TODOs for part (d)
# 1. Select the best parameters for both SVR and KernelRidge based on k-fold cross-validation error estimate (use RMSE as
# 2. Print the best parameters for both SVR and KernelRidge selected
# 3. Train both SVR and KernelRidge on the full training data with selected best parameters
# 4. Print both the RMSE on the test dataset of SVR and KernelRidge

best_rmse_svr = float('inf')
best_rmse_krr = float('inf')

for i in range(len(reg_range)):
    reg = reg_range[i]
    for j in range(len(kpara_range)):
        kpara = kpara_range[j]
        sum_rmse_svr = 0
        sum_rmse_krr = 0
        for k in range(K):
            train_idx = np.concatenate(idx_split[:k]+idx_split[(k+1):])
            validation_idx = np.array(idx_split[k])

            # svr
            svr_rbf = SVR(kernel = 'rbf', C = reg, gamma = kpara)
            y_pred_svr = svr_rbf.fit(X_train[train_idx[:, :], y_train[train_idx[:, :]]).predict(X_train[validation_idx[:, :]])
            sum_rmse_svr += np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train[validation_idx[:, :]], y_pred_svr))

            # krr
            krr_rbf = KernelRidge(kernel = 'rbf', alpha = 1/(2*reg), gamma = kpara)
            y_pred_krr = krr_rbf.fit(X_train[train_idx[:, :], y_train[train_idx[:, :]]).predict(X_train[validation_idx[:, :]])
            sum_rmse_krr += np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train[validation_idx[:, :]], y_pred_krr))

        if (sum_rmse_svr < best_rmse_svr):
            best_rmse_svr = sum_rmse_svr
            best_reg_svr = reg
            best_kpara_svr = kpara

        if (sum_rmse_krr < best_rmse_krr):
            best_rmse_krr = sum_rmse_krr
            best_reg_krr = reg
            best_kpara_krr = kpara

# With Optimal Parameters
svr_rbf = SVR(kernel = 'rbf', C = best_reg_svr, gamma = best_kpara_svr)
y_pred_svr = svr_rbf.fit(X_train, y_train).predict(X_test)
optimal_rmse_svr = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_svr))

krr_rbf = KernelRidge(kernel = 'rbf', alpha = 1/(2*best_reg_krr), gamma = best_kpara_krr)
y_pred_krr = krr_rbf.fit(X_train, y_train).predict(X_test)
optimal_rmse_krr = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_krr))

print('\nOptimal SVR\nregularization term: {} \nkernel parameter: {}'.format(best_reg_svr, best_kpara_svr))
print('RMSE on test data: {}'.format(optimal_rmse_svr))

print('\nOptimal KRR\nregularization term: {} \nkernel parameter: {}'.format(best_reg_krr, best_kpara_krr))
print('RMSE on test data: {}'.format(optimal_rmse_krr))

```

RMSE obtained for SVR: 36.152137059139214
RMSE obtained for KRR: 37.84320015147227

Optimal SVR
regularization term: 10.0
kernel parameter: 0.01
RMSE on test data: 31.26472587569616

Optimal KRR
regularization term: 10.0
kernel parameter: 0.01
RMSE on test data: 33.36136004426222