

FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING: FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING

6. FOLDS, AND FOLD-FUSION

Shin-Cheng Mu

Spring 2022

FOLDS ON LISTS

A COMMON PATTERN WE'VE SEEN MANY TIMES...

$sum [] = 0$
 $sum (x : xs) = x + sum xs$

$length [] = 0$
 $length (x : xs) = 1 + length xs$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } f [] &= [] \\ \text{map } f (x : xs) &= f x : \text{map } f xs \end{aligned}$$

This pattern is extracted and called *foldr*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{foldr } f e [] &= e, \\ \text{foldr } f e (x : xs) &= f x (\text{foldr } f e xs). \end{aligned}$$

For easy reference, we sometimes call *e* the “base value” and *f* the “step function.”

REPLACING CONSTRUCTORS

$$\begin{aligned}\text{foldr } f \ e \ [] &= e \\ \text{foldr } f \ e \ (x : xs) &= f \ x \ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ xs)\end{aligned}$$

- One way to look at $\text{foldr } (\oplus) \ e$ is that it replaces $[]$ with e and $(:)$ with (\oplus) :

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{foldr } (\oplus) \ e \ [1, 2, 3, 4] \\&= \text{foldr } (\oplus) \ e \ (1 : (2 : (3 : (4 : [])))) \\&= 1 \oplus (2 \oplus (3 \oplus (4 \oplus e))).\end{aligned}$$

- $\text{sum} = \text{foldr } (+) \ 0$.
- $\text{length} = \text{foldr } (\lambda x \ n. 1 + n) \ 0$.
- $\text{map } f = \text{foldr } (\lambda x \ xs. f \ x : xs) \ []$.
- One can see that $\text{id} = \text{foldr } (:) \ []$.

SOME TRIVIAL FOLDS ON LISTS

- Function *max* returns the maximum element in a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max } [] &= -\infty, \\ \text{max } (x : xs) &= x \uparrow \text{max } xs. \end{aligned}$$

- Function *prod* returns the product of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prod } [] &= 1, \\ \text{prod } (x : xs) &= x \times \text{prod } xs. \end{aligned}$$

SOME TRIVIAL FOLDS ON LISTS

- Function *max* returns the maximum element in a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max } [] &= -\infty, \\ \text{max } (x : xs) &= x \uparrow \text{max } xs. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{max} = \text{foldr } (\uparrow) \text{ } -\infty.$$

- Function *prod* returns the product of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prod } [] &= 1, \\ \text{prod } (x : xs) &= x \times \text{prod } xs. \end{aligned}$$

SOME TRIVIAL FOLDS ON LISTS

- Function *max* returns the maximum element in a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max } [] &= -\infty, \\ \text{max } (x : xs) &= x \uparrow \text{max } xs. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{max} = \text{foldr } (\uparrow) \text{ } -\infty.$$

- Function *prod* returns the product of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prod } [] &= 1, \\ \text{prod } (x : xs) &= x \times \text{prod } xs. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{prod} = \text{foldr } (\times) \text{ } 1.$$

- Function *and* returns the conjunction of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } [] &= \text{true}, \\ \text{and } (x : xs) &= x \wedge \text{and } xs. \end{aligned}$$

- Lets emphasise again that *id* on lists is a fold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id } [] &= [], \\ \text{id } (x : xs) &= x : \text{id } xs. \end{aligned}$$

- Function *and* returns the conjunction of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } [] &= \text{true}, \\ \text{and } (x : xs) &= x \wedge \text{and } xs. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and} = \text{foldr } (\wedge) \text{ true}.$$

- Lets emphasise again that *id* on lists is a fold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id } [] &= [], \\ \text{id } (x : xs) &= x : \text{id } xs. \end{aligned}$$

- Function *and* returns the conjunction of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } [] &= \text{true}, \\ \text{and } (x : xs) &= x \wedge \text{and } xs. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and} = \text{foldr } (\wedge) \text{ true}.$$

- Lets emphasise again that *id* on lists is a fold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id } [] &= [], \\ \text{id } (x : xs) &= x : \text{id } xs. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{id} = \text{foldr } (:) [].$$

SOME FUNCTIONS WE HAVE SEEN...

.

$(++) \quad :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$

$[] ++ ys = ys$

$(x : xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys) \text{ .}$

• $concat =$

.

$concat \quad :: \text{List (List } a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$

$concat [] = []$

$concat (xs : xss) = xs ++ concat xss \text{ .}$

SOME FUNCTIONS WE HAVE SEEN...

- $(++\ ys) = \text{foldr } (:) \ ys.$

$(++) \quad :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$

$[] ++ \text{ys} = \text{ys}$

$(x : \text{xs}) ++ \text{ys} = x : (\text{xs} ++ \text{ys}) \ .$

- $\text{concat} =$.

$\text{concat} \quad :: \text{List } (\text{List } a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$

$\text{concat } [] = []$

$\text{concat } (\text{xs} : \text{xss}) = \text{xs} ++ \text{concat } \text{xss} \ .$

SOME FUNCTIONS WE HAVE SEEN...

- $(++\ ys) = \text{foldr } (:) \ ys.$

$(++) \quad :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$

$[] ++ \text{ys} \quad = \text{ys}$

$(x : \text{xs}) ++ \text{ys} = x : (\text{xs} ++ \text{ys}) \ .$

- $\text{concat} = \text{foldr } (++) \ [].$

$\text{concat} \quad :: \text{List } (\text{List } a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$

$\text{concat } [] \quad = []$

$\text{concat } (\text{xs} : \text{xss}) = \text{xs} ++ \text{concat } \text{xss} \ .$

REPLACING CONSTRUCTORS

- Understanding *foldr* from its type. Recall

data *List a* = [] | *a* : *List a* .

- Types of the two constructors: [] :: *List a*, and
(:) :: *a* → *List a* → *List a*.
- *foldr* replaces the constructors:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{foldr} & \quad :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow b \\ \text{foldr } f \ e \ [] & \quad = e \\ \text{foldr } f \ e \ (x : xs) & \quad = f \ x \ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ xs) . \end{aligned}$$

FUNCTIONS ON LISTS THAT ARE NOT *foldr*

- A function *f* is a *foldr* if in $f(x : xs) = \dots f\ xs..$, the argument *xs* does not appear outside of the recursive call.
- Not all functions taking a list as input is a *foldr*.
- The canonical example is perhaps $tail :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ a$.
 - $tail(x : xs) = \dots tail\ xs..??$
 - *tail* dropped too much information, which cannot be recovered.
- Another example is $dropWhile\ p :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ a$.

LONGEST PREFIX

- The function call *takeWhile p xs* returns the longest prefix of *xs* that satisfies *p*:

```
takeWhile p []      = []  
takeWhile p (x : xs) =  
    if p x then x : takeWhile p xs  
    else [] .
```

- E.g. *takeWhile* (≤ 3) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] = [1, 2, 3].
- It can be defined by a fold:

```
takeWhile p  
    foldr ( $\lambda x xs \rightarrow$  if p x then x : xs else []) [].
```

ALL PREFIXES

- The function *inits* returns the list of all prefixes of the input list:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{inits} [] &= [[]], \\ \textit{inits} (x : xs) &= [] : \textit{map} (x :) (\textit{inits} xs). \end{aligned}$$

- E.g. $\textit{inits} [1, 2, 3] = [[]], [1], [1, 2], [1, 2, 3]$.
- It can be defined by a fold:

$$\textit{inits} = \textit{foldr} (\lambda x \textit{xss} \rightarrow [] : \textit{map} (x :) \textit{xss}) [[]].$$

ALL SUFFIXES

- The function *tails* returns the list of all suffixes of the input list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tails } [] &= [[]], \\ \text{tails } (x : xs) &= (x : xs) : \text{tails } xs. \end{aligned}$$

- It appears that *tails* is not a *foldr*!
- Luckily, we have $\text{head } (\text{tails } xs) = xs$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tails } (x : xs) &= \text{let } yss = \text{tails } xs \\ &\quad \text{in } (x : \text{head } yss) : yss. \end{aligned}$$

- The function *tails* may thus be defined by a fold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tails} &= \text{foldr } (\lambda x yss \rightarrow \\ &\quad (x : \text{head } yss) : yss) [[]]. \end{aligned}$$

WHY FOLDS?

- “What are the three most important factors in a programming language?”

WHY FOLDS?

- “What are the three most important factors in a programming language?” Abstraction, abstraction, and abstraction!

WHY FOLDS?

- “What are the three most important factors in a programming language?” Abstraction, abstraction, and abstraction!
- Control abstraction, procedure abstraction, data abstraction,...can programming patterns be abstracted too?

- Program structure becomes an entity we can talk about, reason about, and reuse.
 - We can describe algorithms in terms of fold, unfold, and other recognised patterns.
 - We can prove properties about folds,
 - and apply the proved theorems to all programs that are folds, either for compiler optimisation, or for mathematical reasoning.

- Program structure becomes an entity we can talk about, reason about, and reuse.
 - We can describe algorithms in terms of fold, unfold, and other recognised patterns.
 - We can prove properties about folds,
 - and apply the proved theorems to all programs that are folds, either for compiler optimisation, or for mathematical reasoning.
- Among the theorems about folds, the most important is probably the *fold-fusion* theorem.

THE FOLD-FUSION THEOREM

The theorem is about when the composition of a function and a fold can be expressed as a fold.

Theorem (*foldr*-Fusion)

Given $f :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b$, $e :: b$, $h :: b \rightarrow c$, and $g :: a \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$, we have:

$$h \cdot \text{foldr } f \ e = \text{foldr } g \ (h \ e) ,$$

if $h \ (f \ x \ y) = g \ x \ (h \ y)$ for all x and y .

For program derivation, we are usually given h , f , and e , from which we have to construct g .

TRACING AN EXAMPLE

Let us try to get an intuitive understand of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \text{ (foldr } f \text{ e [a, b, c])} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of foldr} \} \\ & h \text{ (f a (f b (f c e)))} \end{aligned}$$

TRACING AN EXAMPLE

Let us try to get an intuitive understand of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \text{ (foldr } f \text{ e [a, b, c])} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of foldr} \} \\ & h \text{ (f a (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (h (f b (f c e)))} \end{aligned}$$

TRACING AN EXAMPLE

Let us try to get an intuitive understand of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \text{ (foldr } f \text{ e [a, b, c])} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of foldr} \} \\ & h \text{ (f a (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (h (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (g b (h (f c e)))} \end{aligned}$$

TRACING AN EXAMPLE

Let us try to get an intuitive understand of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \text{ (foldr } f \text{ } e \text{ [a, b, c])} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of foldr} \} \\ & h \text{ (f a (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (h (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (g b (h (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (g b (g c (h e)))} \end{aligned}$$

TRACING AN EXAMPLE

Let us try to get an intuitive understand of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \text{ (foldr } f \text{ } e \text{ [a, b, c])} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of foldr} \} \\ & h \text{ (f a (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (h (f b (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (g b (h (f c e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{since } h \text{ (f x y)} = g \text{ x (h y)} \} \\ & g \text{ a (g b (g c (h e)))} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of foldr} \} \\ & \text{foldr } g \text{ (h e) [a, b, c] .} \end{aligned}$$

SUM OF SQUARES, AGAIN

- Consider $\text{sum} \cdot \text{map square}$ again. This time we use the fact that $\text{map } f = \text{foldr } (mf \ f) \ []$, where $mf \ f \ x \ xs = f \ x : xs$.
- $\text{sum} \cdot \text{map square}$ is a fold, if we can find a ssq such that $\text{sum} \ (mf \ \text{square} \ x \ xs) = \text{ssq} \ x \ (\text{sum} \ xs)$. Let us try:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum} \ (mf \ \text{square} \ x \ xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } mf \} \\ & \text{sum} \ (\text{square} \ x : xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{sum} \} \\ & \text{square} \ x + \text{sum} \ xs \\ = & \{ \text{let } \text{ssq} \ x \ y = \text{square} \ x + y \} \\ & \text{ssq} \ x \ (\text{sum} \ xs) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{sum} \cdot \text{map square} = \text{foldr} \ \text{ssq} \ 0$.

SUM OF SQUARES, WITHOUT FOLDS

Recall that this is how we derived the inductive case of *sumsq* yesterday:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sumsq } (x : xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{sumsq} \} \\ & \text{sum } (\text{map square } (x : xs)) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{map} \} \\ & \text{sum } (\text{square } x : \text{map square } xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{sum} \} \\ & \text{square } x + \text{sum } (\text{map square } xs) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } \text{sumsq} \} \\ & \text{square } x + \text{sumsq } xs . \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two derivations, by using fold-fusion we supply only the “important” part.

MORE ON FOLDS AND FOLD-FUSION

- Compare the proof with the one yesterday. They are essentially the same proof.
- Fold-fusion theorem abstracts away the common parts in this kind of inductive proofs, so that we need to supply only the “important” parts.

SCAN

- The following function *scanr* computes *foldr* for every suffix of the given list:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scanr} &:: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } b \\ \text{scanr } f \ e &= \text{map } (\text{foldr } f \ e) \cdot \text{tails} \ . \end{aligned}$$

- E.g. computing the running sum of a list:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{scanr } (+) \ 0 \ [8, 1, 3] \\ &= \text{map sum } (\text{tails } [8, 1, 3]) \\ &= \text{map sum } [[8, 1, 3], [1, 3], [3], []] \\ &= [12, 4, 3, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

- Surely there is a quicker way to compute *scanr*, right?

SCAN

- Recall that *tails* is a *foldr*:

$$\text{tails} = \text{foldr} (\lambda x yss \rightarrow \\ (x : \text{head } yss) : yss) [[]] .$$

- By *foldr*-fusion we get:

$$\text{scanr } f \ e = \text{foldr} (\lambda x \ ys \rightarrow \\ f \ x \ (\text{head } ys) : ys) [e] ,$$

- which is equivalent to this inductive definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scanr } f \ e \ [] &= [e] \\ \text{scanr } f \ e \ (x : xs) &= f \ x \ (\text{head } ys) : ys , \\ &\text{where } ys = \text{scanr } f \ e \ xs . \end{aligned}$$

TUPLING AS FOLD-FUSION

- Tupling can be seen as a kind of fold-fusion. The derivation of *steepsum*, for example, can be seen as fusing:

$$\textit{steepsum} \cdot \textit{id} = \textit{steepsum} \cdot \textit{foldr} (:) [].$$

- Recall that $\textit{steepsum} \textit{xs} = (\textit{steep} \textit{xs}, \textit{sum} \textit{xs})$. Reformulating *steepsum* into a fold allows us to compute it in one traversal.

ACCUMULATING PARAMETER AS FOLD-FUSION

- We also note that introducing an accumulating parameter can often be seen as fusing a higher-order function with a *foldr*.

- Recall the function *reverse*. Observe that

$$\text{reverse} = \text{foldr } (\lambda x \text{ xs} \rightarrow \text{xs} ++ [x]) [] .$$

- Recall $\text{revcat } \text{xs } \text{ys} = \text{reverse } \text{xs} ++ \text{ys}$. It is equivalent to

$$\text{revcat} = (++) \cdot \text{reverse} .$$

- Deriving *revcat* is performing a fusion!

FOLDS ON OTHER ALGEBRAIC DATATYPES

- Folds are a specialised form of induction.
- Inductive datatypes: types on which you can perform induction.
- Every inductive datatype give rise to its fold.
- In fact, an inductive type can be defined by its fold.

FOLD ON NATURAL NUMBERS

- Recall the definition:

data $Nat = 0 \mid 1_+ Nat$.

- Constructors: $0 :: Nat$, $(1_+) :: Nat \rightarrow Nat$.
- What is the fold on Nat ?

$foldN \quad :: \quad \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow a$

FOLD ON NATURAL NUMBERS

- Recall the definition:

data $Nat = 0 \mid 1_+ Nat$.

- Constructors: $0 :: Nat$, $(1_+) :: Nat \rightarrow Nat$.
- What is the fold on Nat ?

$foldN \quad :: (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow a$

FOLD ON NATURAL NUMBERS

- Recall the definition:

data $Nat = 0 \mid 1_+ Nat$.

- Constructors: $0 :: Nat$, $(1_+) :: Nat \rightarrow Nat$.
- What is the fold on Nat ?

$foldN \quad \quad \quad :: (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow a$

$foldN\ f\ e\ 0 \quad \quad = e$

$foldN\ f\ e\ (1_+ n) = f\ (foldN\ f\ e\ n)$.

EXAMPLES OF *foldN*

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\ 0 + n &= n \\ (1_+ m) + n &= 1_+ (m + n) \ . \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\ 0 \times n &= 0 \\ (1_+ m) \times n &= n + (m \times n) \ . \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\ \text{even } 0 &= \text{True} \\ \text{even } (1_+ n) &= \text{not } (\text{even } n) \ . \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLES OF *foldN*

- $(+n) = \text{foldN } (1_+) \ n.$

$$0 + n = n$$

$$(1_+ \ m) + n = 1_+ (m + n) .$$

.

$$0 \times n = 0$$

$$(1_+ \ m) \times n = n + (m \times n) .$$

.

$$\text{even } 0 = \text{True}$$

$$\text{even } (1_+ \ n) = \text{not } (\text{even } n) .$$

EXAMPLES OF *foldN*

- $(+n) = \text{foldN } (1_+) \ n.$

$$0 + n = n$$

$$(1_+ \ m) + n = 1_+ (m + n) \ .$$

- $(\times n) = \text{foldN } (n_+) \ 0.$

$$0 \times n = 0$$

$$(1_+ \ m) \times n = n + (m \times n) \ .$$

.

$$\text{even } 0 = \text{True}$$

$$\text{even } (1_+ \ n) = \text{not } (\text{even } n) \ .$$

EXAMPLES OF *foldN*

- $(+n) = \text{foldN } (1_+) \ n.$

$$0 + n = n$$

$$(1_+ \ m) + n = 1_+ (m + n) \ .$$

- $(\times n) = \text{foldN } (n_+) \ 0.$

$$0 \times n = 0$$

$$(1_+ \ m) \times n = n + (m \times n) \ .$$

- $\text{even} = \text{foldN } \text{not } \text{True}.$

$$\text{even } 0 = \text{True}$$

$$\text{even } (1_+ \ n) = \text{not } (\text{even } n) \ .$$

FOLD-FUSION FOR NATURAL NUMBERS

Theorem (*foldN*-Fusion)

Given $f :: a \rightarrow a$, $e :: a$, $h :: a \rightarrow b$, and $g :: b \rightarrow b$, we have:

$$h \cdot \text{foldN } f \ e = \text{foldN } g \ (h \ e) ,$$

if $h \ (f \ x) = g \ (h \ x)$ for all x .

Exercise: fuse *even* into $(+)$?

FOLDS ON TREES

- Recall some datatypes for trees:

data *ITree* *a* = Null | Node *a* (*ITree* *a*) (*ITree* *a*) ,

data *ETree* *a* = Tip *a* | Bin (*ETree* *a*) (*ETree* *a*) .

- The fold for *ITree*, for example, is defined by:

foldIT :: $\text{ITree } a \rightarrow b$

- The fold for *ETree*, is given by:

foldET :: $\text{ETree } a \rightarrow b$

FOLDS ON TREES

- Recall some datatypes for trees:

data *ITree* *a* = Null | Node *a* (*ITree* *a*) (*ITree* *a*) ,

data *ETree* *a* = Tip *a* | Bin (*ETree* *a*) (*ETree* *a*) .

- The fold for *ITree*, for example, is defined by:

foldIT :: (*a* → *b* → *b* → *b*) → *b* → *ITree* *a* → *b*

- The fold for *ETree*, is given by:

foldET :: *ETree* *a* → *b*

FOLDS ON TREES

- Recall some datatypes for trees:

data *ITree* *a* = Null | Node *a* (*ITree* *a*) (*ITree* *a*) ,

data *ETree* *a* = Tip *a* | Bin (*ETree* *a*) (*ETree* *a*) .

- The fold for *ITree*, for example, is defined by:

$foldIT :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow ITree\ a \rightarrow b$

$foldIT\ f\ e\ \text{Null} = e$

$foldIT\ f\ e\ (\text{Node}\ a\ t\ u) = f\ a\ (foldIT\ f\ e\ t)\ (foldIT\ f\ e\ u) .$

- The fold for *ETree*, is given by:

$foldET :: ETree\ a \rightarrow b$

FOLDS ON TREES

- Recall some datatypes for trees:

```
data ITree a = Null | Node a (ITree a) (ITree a) ,  
data ETree a = Tip a | Bin (ETree a) (ETree a) .
```

- The fold for *ITree*, for example, is defined by:

```
foldIT :: (a → b → b → b) → b → ITree a → b  
foldIT f e Null           = e  
foldIT f e (Node a t u) = f a (foldIT f e t) (foldIT f e u) .
```

- The fold for *ETree*, is given by:

```
foldET :: (b → b → b) → (a → b) → ETree a → b
```

FOLDS ON TREES

- Recall some datatypes for trees:

data *ITree* *a* = Null | Node *a* (*ITree* *a*) (*ITree* *a*) ,

data *ETree* *a* = Tip *a* | Bin (*ETree* *a*) (*ETree* *a*) .

- The fold for *ITree*, for example, is defined by:

$\text{foldIT} :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow \text{ITree } a \rightarrow b$

$\text{foldIT } f \ e \ \text{Null} = e$

$\text{foldIT } f \ e \ (\text{Node } a \ t \ u) = f \ a \ (\text{foldIT } f \ e \ t) \ (\text{foldIT } f \ e \ u) \ .$

- The fold for *ETree*, is given by:

$\text{foldET} :: (b \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \text{ETree } a \rightarrow b$

$\text{foldET } f \ k \ (\text{Tip } x) = k \ x$

$\text{foldET } f \ k \ (\text{Bin } t \ u) = f \ (\text{foldET } f \ k \ t) \ (\text{foldET } f \ k \ u) \ .$

SOME SIMPLE FUNCTIONS ON TREES

- To compute the size of an *ITree*:

$$\text{sizeITree} = \text{foldIT } (\lambda x \ m \ n \rightarrow 1_+ (m + n)) \ 0 \ .$$

- To sum up labels in an *ETree*:

$$\text{sumETree} = \text{foldET } (+) \ \text{id}.$$

- To compute a list of all labels in an *ITree* and an *ETree*:

$$\text{flattenIT} = \text{foldIT } (\lambda x \ xs \ ys \rightarrow xs ++ [x] ++ ys) \ [],$$

$$\text{flattenET} = \text{foldET } (++) \ (\lambda x \rightarrow [x]).$$

- **Exercise:** what are the fusion theorems for *foldIT* and *foldET*?

FINALLY, SOLVING MAXIMUM SEGMENT SUM

SPECIFYING MAXIMUM SEGMENT SUM

- Finally we have introduced enough concepts to tackle the maximum segment sum problem!
- A segment can be seen as a prefix of a suffix.
- The function *segs* computes the list of all the segments.

segs = concat · map inits · tails.

- Therefore, *mss* is specified by:

mss = max · map sum · segs.

THE DERIVATION!

We reason:

max · map sum · concat · map inits · tails

THE DERIVATION!

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{concat} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map } f) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \end{aligned}$$

THE DERIVATION!

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{concat} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map } f) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \end{aligned}$$

THE DERIVATION!

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{concat} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map } f) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f.g) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}) \cdot \text{tails} . \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition $\text{scanr } f e = \text{map } (\text{foldr } f e) \cdot \text{tails}$. If we can transform $\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}$ into a fold, we can turn the algorithm into a *scanr*, which has a faster implementation.

MAXIMUM PREFIX SUM

Concentrate on $max \cdot map\ sum \cdot inits$ (let
 $ini\ x\ xss = [] : map\ (x :) xss$):

$$\begin{aligned} & max \cdot map\ sum \cdot inits \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } init, ini\ x\ xss = [] : map\ (x :) xss \} \\ & max \cdot map\ sum \cdot foldr\ ini\ [[]] \end{aligned}$$

MAXIMUM PREFIX SUM

Concentrate on $\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}$ (let

$\text{ini } x \text{ xss} = [] : \text{map } (x :) \text{ xss}$):

$\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}$

$= \{ \text{definition of } \text{init}, \text{ini } x \text{ xss} = [] : \text{map } (x :) \text{ xss} \}$

$\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{foldr ini } [[]]$

$= \{ \text{fold fusion, see below} \}$

$\text{max} \cdot \text{foldr } \text{zplus } [0] \text{ .}$

The fold fusion works because:

$\text{map sum } (\text{ini } x \text{ xss})$

$= \text{map sum } ([] : \text{map } (x :) \text{ xss})$

$= 0 : \text{map } (\text{sum} \cdot (x :)) \text{ xss}$

$= 0 : \text{map } (x+) (\text{map sum } \text{xss}) \text{ .}$

Define $\text{zplus } x \text{ yss} = 0 : \text{map } (x+) \text{ yss}$

MAXIMUM PREFIX SUM, 2ND FOLD FUSION

Concentrate on $\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of init, ini } x \text{ xss} = [] : \text{map } (x :) \text{ xss} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{foldr ini } [[]] \\ = & \{ \text{fold fusion, zplus } x \text{ xss} = 0 : \text{map } (x+) \text{ xss} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{foldr zplus } [0] \\ = & \{ \text{fold fusion, let } \text{zmax } x \ y = 0 \uparrow (x + y) \} \\ & \text{foldr zmax } 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

The fold fusion works because \uparrow distributes into $(+)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max } (0 : \text{map } (x+) \text{ xs}) \\ = & 0 \uparrow \text{max } (\text{map } (x+) \text{ xs}) \\ = & 0 \uparrow (x + \text{max } \text{xs}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

BACK TO MAXIMUM SEGMENT SUM

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{concat} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map } f) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f.g) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}) \cdot \text{tails} \end{aligned}$$

BACK TO MAXIMUM SEGMENT SUM

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{concat} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map } f) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f.g) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}) \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{reasoning in the previous slides} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{foldr zmax } 0) \cdot \text{tails} \end{aligned}$$

BACK TO MAXIMUM SEGMENT SUM

We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{concat} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map } f) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{max} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{map sum}) \cdot \text{map inits} \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{since } \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f.g) \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{max} \cdot \text{map sum} \cdot \text{inits}) \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{reasoning in the previous slides} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{map } (\text{foldr zmax } 0) \cdot \text{tails} \\ = & \{ \text{introducing scanr} \} \\ & \text{max} \cdot \text{scanr zmax } 0 \end{aligned}$$

MAXIMUM SEGMENT SUM IN LINEAR TIME!

- We have derived $mss = max \cdot scanr\ zmax\ 0$, where $zmax\ x\ y = 0 \uparrow (x + y)$.
- The algorithm runs in linear time, but takes linear space.
- A tupling transformation eliminates the need for linear space.

$$mss = fst \cdot maxhd \cdot scanr\ zmax\ 0$$

where $maxhd\ xs = (max\ xs, head\ xs)$. We omit this last step in the lecture.

- The final program is $mss = fst \cdot foldr\ step\ (0, 0)$, where $step\ x\ (m, y) = ((0 \uparrow (x + y)) \uparrow m, 0 \uparrow (x + y))$.