

Programming Languages: Functional Programming

Practicals 6. Folds, and Fold-Fusion

Shin-Cheng Mu

Spring 2022

1. Express the following functions by *foldr*:

1. $\text{all } p :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$, where $p :: a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$.
2. $\text{elem } z :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$, where $z :: a$.
3. $\text{concat} :: \text{List } (\text{List } a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$.
4. $\text{filter } p :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$, where $p :: a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$.
5. $\text{takeWhile } p :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$, where $p :: a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$.
6. $\text{id} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$.

In case you haven't seen them, $\text{all } p \text{ } xs$ is `True` iff. all elements in xs satisfy p , and $\text{elem } z \text{ } xs$ is `True` iff. x is a member of xs .

2. Given $p :: a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$, can $\text{dropWhile } p :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$ be written as a *foldr*?

3. Express the following functions by *foldr*:

1. $\text{inits} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } (\text{List } a)$.
2. $\text{tails} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } (\text{List } a)$.
3. $\text{perms} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } (\text{List } a)$.
4. $\text{sublists} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } (\text{List } a)$.
5. $\text{splits} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } (\text{List } a, \text{List } a)$.

4. Prove the *foldr*-fusion theorem. To recite the theorem: given $f :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b$, $e :: b$, $h :: b \rightarrow c$ and $g :: a \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$, we have

$$h \cdot \text{foldr } f \text{ } e = \text{foldr } g \text{ } (h \text{ } e) \text{ ,}$$

if $h (f \text{ } x \text{ } y) = g \text{ } x (h \text{ } y)$ for all x and y .

5. Prove the *map*-fusion rule $\text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \cdot g)$ by *foldr*-fusion.

6. Prove that $sum \cdot concat = sum \cdot map \ sum$ by *foldr*-fusion, twice. Compare the proof with you previous proof in earlier parts of this course.
7. The *map* fusion theorem is an instance of the *foldr-map* fusion theorem: $foldr \ f \ e \cdot map \ g = foldr \ (f \cdot g) \ e$.
 - (a) Prove the theorem.
 - (b) Express $sum \cdot map \ (2 \times)$ as a *foldr*.
 - (c) Show that $(2 \times) \cdot sum$ reduces to the same *foldr* as the one above.
8. Prove that $map \ f \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) = map \ f \ xs \ ++ \ map \ f \ ys$ by *foldr*-fusion. **Hint:** this is equivalent to $map \ f \cdot (++) \ ys = (++) \ map \ f \ ys \cdot map \ f$. You may need to do (any kinds of) fusion twice.
9. Prove that $length \cdot concat = sum \cdot map \ length$ by fusion.
10. Let $scanr \ f \ e = map \ (foldr \ f \ e) \cdot tails$. Construct, by *foldr*-fusion, an implementation of *scanr* whose number of calls to *f* is proportional to the length of the input list.
11. Recall the function $binary :: Nat \rightarrow [Nat]$ that returns the *reversed* binary representation of a natural number, for example $binary \ 4 = [0,0,1]$. Also, we talked about a function $decimal :: [Nat] \rightarrow Nat$ that converts the representation back to a natural number.
 - (a) This time, express *decimal* using a *foldr*.
 - (b) Recall the function $exp \ m \ n = m^n$. Use *foldr*-fusion to construct *step* and *base* such that

$$exp \ m \cdot decimal = foldr \ step \ base \ .$$

If the fusion succeeds, we have derived a hylomorphism computing m^n :

$$fastexp \ m = foldr \ step \ base \cdot binary \ .$$
12. Express $reverse :: List \ a \rightarrow List \ a$ by a *foldr*. Let $revcat = (++) \cdot reverse$. Express *revcat* as a *foldr*.
13. Fold on natural numbers.
 - (a) The predicate $even :: Nat \rightarrow Bool$ yields True iff. the input is an even number. Define *even* in terms of *foldN*.
 - (b) Express the identity function on natural numbers $id \ n = n$ in terms of *foldN*.
14. Fuse *even* into $(+n)$. This way we get a function that checks whether a natural number is even after adding *n*.

15. The famous Fibonacci number is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fib } 0 &= 0 \\ \text{fib } 1 &= 1 \\ \text{fib } (2 + n) &= \text{fib } (1 + n) + \text{fib } n . \end{aligned}$$

The definition above, when taken directly as an algorithm, is rather slow. Define $\text{fib2 } n = (\text{fib } (1 + n), \text{fib } n)$. Derive an $O(n)$ implementation of fib2 by fusing it with $\text{id} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$.

16. What are the fold fusion theorems for ETree and ITree?