

Programming Languages: Functional Programming

Practicals 5. Program Calculation

Shin-Cheng Mu

Spring, 2020

1. Consider the internally labelled binary tree:

`data ITree a = Null | Node a (ITree a) (ITree a) .`

- (a) Define $sumT :: ITree\ Int \rightarrow Int$ that computes the sum of labels in an ITree.
- (b) A *baobab tree* is a kind of tree with very thick trunks. An ITree Int is called a baobab tree if every label in the tree is larger than the sum of the labels in its two subtrees. The following function determines whether a tree is a baobab tree:

$$\begin{aligned} baobab &:: ITree\ Int \rightarrow Bool \\ baobab\ Null &= True \\ baobab\ (Node\ x\ t\ u) &= baobab\ t \wedge baobab\ u \wedge \\ &\quad x > (sumT\ t + sumT\ u) . \end{aligned}$$

What is the time complexity of *baobab*? Define a variation of *baobab* that runs in time proportional to the size of the input tree by tupling.

2. Recall the externally labelled binary tree:

`data Etree a = Tip a | Bin (ETree a) (ETree a) .`

The function *size* computes the size (number of labels) of a tree, while *repl t xs* tries to relabel the tips of *t* using elements in *xs*. Note the use of *take* and *drop* in *repl*:

$$\begin{aligned} size\ (Tip\ _) &= 1 \\ size\ (Bin\ t\ u) &= size\ t + size\ u . \\ repl &:: ETree\ a \rightarrow List\ b \rightarrow ETree\ b \\ repl\ (Tip\ _)\ xs &= Tip\ (head\ xs) \\ repl\ (Bin\ t\ u)\ xs &= Bin\ (repl\ t\ (take\ n\ xs))\ (repl\ u\ (drop\ n\ xs)) \\ \text{where } n &= size\ t . \end{aligned}$$

The function *repl* runs in time $O(n^2)$ where *n* is the size of the input tree. Can we do better? Try discovering a linear-time algorithm that computes *repl*. **Hint:** try calculating the following function:

```

repTail :: ETree a → List b → (ETree b, List b)
repTail s xs = (???, ???) ,
  where n = size s ,

```

where the function *repTail* returns a tree labelled by some prefix of *xs*, together with the suffix of *xs* that is not yet used (how to specify that formally?).

You might need properties including:

```

take m (take (m + n) xs) = take m xs ,
drop m (take (m + n) xs) = take n (drop m xs) ,
drop (m + n) xs = drop n (drop m xs) .

```

3. The function *tags* returns all labels of an internally labelled binary tree:

```

tags :: ITree a → List a
tags Null = []
tags (Node x t u) = tags t ++ [x] ++ tags u .

```

Try deriving a faster version of *tags* by calculating

```

tagsAcc :: ITree a → List a → List a
tagsAcc t ys = tags t ++ ys .

```

4. Recall the standard definition of factorial:

```

fact :: Nat → Nat
fact 0 = 1
fact (1+ n) = 1+ n × fact n .

```

This program implicitly uses space linear to *n* in the call stack.

1. Introduce *factAcc n m = ...* where *m* is an accumulating parameter.
2. Express *fact* in terms of *factAcc*.
3. Construct a space efficient implementation of *factAcc*.

5. Define the following function *expAcc*:

```

expAcc :: Nat → Nat → Nat → Nat
expAcc b n x = x × exp b n .

```

- (a) Calculate a definition of *expAcc* that uses only $O(\log n)$ multiplications to compute b^n . You may assume all the usual arithmetic properties about exponentials. **Hint:** consider the cases when *n* is zero, non-zero even, and odd.

- (b) The derived implementation of *expAcc* shall be tail-recursive. What imperative loop does it correspond to?

6. Recall the standard definition of Fibonacci:

$$\begin{aligned} fib &:: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \\ fib\ 0 &= 0 \\ fib\ 1 &= 1 \\ fib\ (\mathbf{1}_+ (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) &= fib\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) + fib\ n \ . \end{aligned}$$

Let us try to derive a linear-time, tail-recursive algorithm computing *fib*.

1. Given the definition $ffib\ n\ x\ y = fib\ n \times x + fib\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \times y$, Express *fib* using *ffib*.
2. Derive a linear-time version of *ffib*.