# Programming Languages Practicals 3. Definition and Proof by Induction

## Shin-Cheng Mu

Spring 2022

1. Prove that *length* distributes into (++):

```
length(xs + + ys) = length(xs + length(ys)).
```

```
Solution: Prove by induction on the structure of xs.
Case xs := []:
        length([] ++ ys)
     = \{ definition of (++) \}
         length ys
      = \{ definition of (+) \}
         0 + length ys
      = { definition of length }
         length [] + length ys
Case xs := x : xs:
         length((x:xs)++ys)
      = \{ definition of (++) \}
         length (x : (xs ++ ys))
      = { definition of length }
         1 + length (xs ++ ys)
      = { by induction }
         1 + length xs + length ys
     = { definition of length }
         length(x:xs) + lengthys
Note that we in fact omitted one step using the associativity of (+).
```

2. Prove:  $sum \cdot concat = sum \cdot map \ sum$ .

```
Solution: By extensional equality, sum \cdot concat = sum \cdot map \ sum if and only if
      (sum \cdot concat) \ xss = (sum \cdot map \ sum) \ xss,
for all xss, which, by definition of (\cdot), is equivalent to
      sum (concat xss) = sum (map sum xss),
which we will prove by induction on xss.
Case xss := []:
         sum (concat []))
      = { definition of concat }
         sum []
      = \{ definition of map \}
         sum (map sum [])
Case xss := xs : xss:
         sum (concat (xs : xss))
      = { definition of concat }
         sum (xs ++(concat xss))
      = { lemma: sum distributes over ++ }
         sum xs + sum (concat xss)
      = { by induction }
         sum xs + sum (map sum xss)
      = \{ definition of sum \}
         sum (sum xs : map sum xss)
      = \{ definition of map \}
         sum (map sum (xs : xss)).
The lemma that sum distributes over ++, that is,
      sum (xs + ys) = sum xs + sum ys,
needs a separate proof by induction. Here it goes:
Case xs := []:
         sum([] ++ ys)
```

```
= \{ definition of (++) \}
         sum ys
      = \{ definition of (+) \}
        0 + sum ys
      = \{ definition of sum \}
         sum [] + sum ys.
Case xs := x : xs:
        sum((x:xs)++ys)
      = \{ definition of (++) \}
        sum (x : (xs ++ ys))
      = \{ definition of sum \}
         x + sum (xs + + ys)
      = { induction }
        x + (sum \ xs + sum \ ys)
      = \{ since (+) is associative \}
         (x + sum \ xs) + sum \ ys
      = \{ definition of sum \}
         sum(x:xs) + sum ys.
```

3. Prove: filter  $p \cdot map \ f = map \ f \cdot filter \ (p \cdot f)$ .

**Hint**: for calculation, it might be easier to use this definition of *filter*:

```
filter p[] = []
filter p(x : xs) = \mathbf{if} p x \mathbf{then} x : filter p xs
else filter p xs
```

and use the law that in the world of total functions we have:

```
f (if q then e_1 else e_2) = if q then f e_1 else f e_2
```

You may also carry out the proof using the definition of filter using guards:

```
filter p(x:xs) \mid p \mid x = \dots
| otherwise = ...
```

You will then have to distinguish between the two cases:  $p \ x$  and  $\neg \ (p \ x)$ , which makes the proof more fragmented. Both proofs are okay, however.

```
Solution:
           filter p \cdot map \ f = map \ f \cdot filter \ (p \cdot f)
       ≡ { extensional equality }
           (\forall xs :: (filter \ p \cdot map \ f) \ xs = (map \ f \cdot filter \ (p \cdot f)) \ xs)
       \equiv \{ \text{ definition of } (\cdot) \}
           (\forall xs :: filter \ p \ (map \ f \ xs) = map \ f \ (filter \ (p \cdot f) \ xs)).
We proceed by induction on xs.
Case xs := []:
          filter p \pmod{f}
       = \{ definition of map \}
          filter p []
       = \{ definition of filter \}
       = \{ definition of map \}
           map f[]
       = \{ definition of filter \}
           map f (filter (p \cdot f) [])
Case xs := x : xs:
          filter p (map f (x : xs))
       = \{ definition of map \}
          filter p(f x : map f xs)
       = { definition of filter }
           if p(f x) then f x: filter p(map f xs) else filter p(map f xs)
       = { induction hypothesis }
           if p(f|x) then f|x: map|f(filter(p \cdot f)|xs) else map|f(filter(p \cdot f)|xs)
       = \{ defintion of map \}
           if p(f|x) then map f(x): filter (p \cdot f) xs) else map f(filter(p \cdot f)) xs)
       = \{ \text{ since } f \text{ (if } q \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2) = \text{if } q \text{ then } f e_1 \text{ else } f e_2 \}
           map f (if p(f x) then x: filter (p \cdot f) xs else filter (p \cdot f) xs)
       = \{ definition of (\cdot) \}
           map f (if (p \cdot f) x then x: filter (p \cdot f) xs else filter (p \cdot f) xs)
       = { definition of filter }
           map f (filter (p \cdot f) (x : xs))
```

4. Reflecting on the law we used in the previous exercise:

```
f (if q then e_1 else e_2) = if q then f e_1 else f e_2
```

Can you think of a counterexample to the law above, when we allow the presence of  $\bot$ ? What additional constraint shall we impose on f to make the law true?

5. Prove:  $take \ n \ xs + drop \ n \ xs = xs$ , for all n and xs.

```
Solution: By induction on n, then induction on xs.

Case n := 0

take \ 0 \ xs + drop \ 0 \ xs
= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{definitions of } take \text{ and } drop \ \right\} \\ [] + xs \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{definition of } (+) \ \right\} \\ xs. \end{array}

Case n := \mathbf{1}_+ n \text{ and } xs := []
take \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \ [] + drop \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \ []
= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{definitions of } take \text{ and } drop \ \right\} \\ [] + [] \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{definition of } (+) \ \right\} \\ []. \end{array}

Case n := \mathbf{1}_+ n \text{ and } xs := x : xs
take \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \ (x : xs) + drop \ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) \ (x : xs)
```

```
= { definitions of take and drop }
    (x: take n xs) ++ drop n xs
= { definition of (++) }
    x: take n xs ++ drop n xs
= { induction }
    x: xs.
```

6. Define a function  $fan :: a \to List \ a \to List \ (List \ a)$  such that  $fan \ x \ xs$  inserts x into the 0th, 1st...nth positions of xs, where n is the length of xs. For example:

```
fan \ 5 \ [1, 2, 3, 4] = [[5, 1, 2, 3, 4], [1, 5, 2, 3, 4], [1, 2, 5, 3, 4], [1, 2, 3, 5, 4], [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]] \ .
```

```
Solution:  \begin{array}{cccc} fan & :: a \rightarrow List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a) \\ fan \ x \ [] & = [[x]] \\ fan \ x \ (y:ys) = (x:y:ys) : map \ (y:) \ (fan \ xys) \end{array}
```

7. Prove:  $map\ (map\ f)\cdot fan\ x=fan\ (f\ x)\cdot map\ f$ , for all f and x. **Hint**: you will need the map-fusion law, and to spot that  $map\ f\cdot (y:)=(f\ y:)\cdot map\ f$  (why?).

```
Case xs := y : ys:
          map\ (map\ f)\ (fan\ x\ (y:ys))
      = { definition of fan }
          map\ (map\ f)\ ((x:y:ys):map\ (y:)\ (fan\ x\ ys))
      = \{ definition of map \}
          map \ f \ (x : y : ys) : map \ (map \ f) \ (map \ (y :) \ (fan \ x \ ys)))
      = { map-fusion }
          map\ f\ (x:y:ys):map\ (map\ f\cdot (y:))\ (fan\ x\ ys)
      = \{ definition of map \}
          map\ f\ (x:y:ys):map\ ((fy:)\cdot map\ f)\ (fan\ x\ ys)
      = { map-fusion }
          map\ f\ (x:y:ys):map\ (fy:)\ (map\ (map\ f)\ (fan\ x\ ys))
      = { induction }
          map\ f\ (x:y:ys):map\ (fy:)\ (fan\ (f\ x)\ (map\ f\ ys))
      = \{ definition of map \}
          (f x: f y: map f ys): map (f y:) (fan (f x) (map f ys))
      = { definition of fan }
          fan (f x) (f y : map f ys)
      = \{ definition of map \}
          fan (f x) (map f (y : ys)).
```

8. Define  $perms :: List \ a \to List \ (List \ a)$  that returns all permutations of the input list. For example:

```
perms \; [1,2,3] = [[1,2,3],[2,1,3],[2,3,1],[1,3,2],[3,1,2],[3,2,1]] \; \; .
```

You will need several auxiliary functions defined in the lectures and in the exercises.

```
Solution:

perms :: List\ a \to List\ (List\ a)
perms\ [] = [[]]
perms\ (x:xs) = concat\ (map\ (fan\ x)\ (perms\ xs))
```

9. Prove:  $map(map f) \cdot perm = perm \cdot map f$ . You may need previously proved results, as well as a property about concat and map: for all q, we have  $map q \cdot concat = concat \cdot map(map q)$ .

```
Solution: This is equivalent to proving that, for all f and xs:
      map\ (map\ f)\ (perm\ xs) = perm\ (map\ f\ xs).
Induction on xs.
Case xs := []:
          map (map f) (perm [])
         { definition of perm }
          map (map f) [[]]
      = \{ definition of map \}
      = { definition of perm }
          perm []
      = \{ definition of map \}
          perm (map f []).
Case xs := x : xs:
          map (map f) (perm (x : xs))
         { definition of perm }
          map\ (map\ f)\ (concat\ (map\ (fan\ x)\ (perm\ xs)))
      = \{ \text{ since } map \ q \cdot concat = concat \cdot map \ (map \ q) \}
          concat (map (map (map f))(map (fan x) (perm xs)))
      = { map-fusion }
          concat (map (map (map f) \cdot fan x) (perm xs))
      = { previous exercise }
          concat (map (fan (f x) \cdot map f) (perm xs))
         \{ map-fusion \}
          concat (map (fan (f x)) (map (map f) (perm xs)))
         { induction }
          concat (map (fan (f x)) (perm (map f xs)))
      = { definition of perm }
          perm (f x : map f xs)
          \{ definition of map \}
          perm (map f (x : xs)).
```

10. Define *inits* :: List  $a \to List$  (List a) that returns all prefixes of the input list.

```
inits "abcde" = ["", "a", "ab", "abc", "abcd", "abcde"].
```

Hint: the empty list has *one* prefix: the empty list. The solution has been given in the lecture. Please try it again yourself.

#### **Solution:**

```
inits :: List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a)

inits \ [] = [[]]

inits \ (x : xs) = [] : map \ (x :) \ (inits \ xs).
```

11. Define  $tails :: List \ a \to List \ (List \ a)$  that returns all suffixes of the input list.

```
tails "abcde" = ["abcde", "bcde", "cde", "de", "e", ""].
```

Hint: the empty list has *one* suffix: the empty list. The solution has been given in the lecture. Please try it again yourself.

#### **Solution:**

```
tails :: List a \to List (List a)
tails [] = [[]]
tails (x : xs) = (x : xs) : tails xs.
```

12. The function  $splits :: List \ a \to List \ (List \ a, List \ a)$  returns all the ways a list can be split into two. For example,

$$splits \ [1,2,3,4] \ = \ [([],[1,2,3,4]),([1],[2,3,4]),([1,2],[3,4]),\\ ([1,2,3],[4]),([1,2,3,4],[])] \ .$$

Define splits inductively on the input list. **Hint**: you may find it useful to define, in a **where**-clause, an auxiliary function  $f(ys, zs) = \ldots$  that matches pairs. Or you may simply use  $(\lambda(ys, zs) \to \ldots)$ .

#### **Solution:**

```
splits :: List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a, List \ a)

splits \ [] = [([],[])]

splits \ (x:xs) = ([],x:xs) : map \ cons1 \ (splits \ xs),

where \ cons1 \ (ys,zs) = (x:ys,zs).
```

If you know how to use  $\lambda$  expressions, you may:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} splits & :: List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a, List \ a) \\ splits \ [] & = \ [([],[])] \\ splits \ (x:xs) = \ ([],x:xs) : map \ (\lambda \ (ys,zs) \rightarrow (x:ys,zs)) \ (splits \ xs) \ . \end{array}
```

13. An *interleaving* of two lists xs and ys is a permutation of the elements of both lists such that the members of xs appear in their original order, and so does the members of ys. Define  $interleave :: List \ a \to List \ a \to List \ (List \ a)$  such that  $interleave \ xs \ ys$  is the list of interleaving of xs and ys. For example,  $interleave \ [1,2,3] \ [4,5]$  yields:

```
[[1, 2, 3, 4, 5], [1, 2, 4, 3, 5], [1, 2, 4, 5, 3], [1, 4, 2, 3, 5], [1, 4, 2, 5, 3], [1, 4, 5, 2, 3], [4, 1, 2, 3, 5], [4, 1, 2, 5, 3], [4, 1, 5, 2, 3], [4, 5, 1, 2, 3]].
```

### **Solution:**

```
\begin{array}{lll} interleave & :: List \ a \to List \ a \to List \ (List \ a) \\ interleave \ [] \ ys & = \ [ys] \\ interleave \ xs \ [] & = \ [xs] \\ interleave \ (x:xs) \ (y:ys) & = \ map \ (x:) \ (interleave \ xs \ (y:ys)) + + \\ & map \ (y:) \ (interleave \ (x:xs) \ ys) \ . \end{array}
```

14. A list ys is a *sublist* of xs if we can obtain ys by removing zero or more elements from xs. For example, [2, 4] is a sublist of [1, 2, 3, 4], while [3, 2] is *not*. The list of all sublists of [1, 2, 3] is:

$$[[], [3], [2], [2, 3], [1], [1, 3], [1, 2], [1, 2, 3]].$$

Define a function  $sublist :: List \ a \to List \ (List \ a)$  that computes the list of all sublists of the given list. **Hint**: to form a sublist of xs, each element of xs could either be kept or dropped.

#### **Solution:**

```
sublist :: List \ a \rightarrow List \ (List \ a)

sublist \ [] = [[]]

sublist \ (x : xs) = xss + map \ (x :) xss,

where \ xss = sublist \ xs.
```

The righthand side could be sublist xs + map(x :) (sublist xs) (but it could be much slower).

15. Consider the following datatype for internally labelled binary trees:

```
\mathbf{data} \ \mathit{Tree} \ a = \mathsf{Null} \mid \mathsf{Node} \ a \ (\mathit{Tree} \ a) \ (\mathit{Tree} \ a) \ .
```

(a) Given  $(\downarrow)::Nat\to Nat\to Nat$ , which yields the smaller one of its arguments, define  $minT::Tree\ Nat\to Nat$ , which computes the minimal element in a tree. (Note:  $(\downarrow)$  is actually called min in the standard library. In the lecture we use the symbol  $(\downarrow)$  to be brief.)

(b) Define  $mapT :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Tree \ a \rightarrow Tree \ b$ , which applies the functional argument to each element in a tree.

```
Solution:  \begin{array}{ll} map\,T & :: (a \to b) \to \mathit{Tree}\ a \to \mathit{Tree}\ b \\ map\,T\ f\ \mathsf{Null} & = \mathsf{Null} \\ map\,T\ f\ (\mathsf{Node}\ x\ t\ u) & = \mathsf{Node}\ (f\ x)\ (\mathit{map}\ T\ f\ t)\ (\mathit{map}\ T\ f\ u)\ . \end{array}
```

(c) Can you define  $(\downarrow)$  inductively on Nat?

```
Solution:

(\downarrow) :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat
0 \downarrow n = 0
(\mathbf{1}_{+}m) \downarrow 0 = 0
(\mathbf{1}_{+}m) \downarrow (\mathbf{1}_{+}n) = \mathbf{1}_{+} (m \downarrow n) .
```

(d) Prove that for all n and t, minT (mapT (n+) t) = n + minT t. That is,  $minT \cdot mapT (n+) = (n+) \cdot minT$ .

```
\begin{array}{ll} n + (x \downarrow \min T \ t \downarrow \min T \ u) \\ = & \{ \ \operatorname{definition \ of \ } \min T \ \} \\ n + \min T \ (\operatorname{\mathsf{Node}} x \ t \ u) \ . \end{array}
```

The lemma  $(n+x)\downarrow (n+y)=n+(x\downarrow y)$  can be proved by induction on n, using inductive definitions of (+) and  $(\downarrow)$ .