Programming Languages: Imperative Program Construction Practicals 9: Array Manipulation

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn Term, 2022

Typical Array Manipulation

1. Given $a : \mathbf{array} [0..10)$ of Int, compute $wp (a[i] := 0) (a[2] \neq 0)$.

```
Solution:  wp \ (a[i] := 0) \ (a[2] \neq 0) 
 \equiv 0 \leqslant i < 10 \land (a:i \cdot 0)[2] \neq 0 
 \equiv \{ \text{ function alteration } \} 
 0 \leqslant i < 10 \land (i = 2 \Rightarrow 0 \neq 0) \land (i \neq 2 \Rightarrow a[2] \neq 0) 
 \equiv \{ 0 \neq 0 \equiv False \} 
 0 \leqslant i < 10 \land (i = 2 \Rightarrow False) \land (i \neq 2 \Rightarrow a[2] \neq 0) 
 \equiv \{ P \Rightarrow False \equiv \neg P \} 
 0 \leqslant i < 10 \land i \neq 2 \land (i \neq 2 \Rightarrow a[2] \neq 0) 
 \equiv \{ \text{ proposition logic } \} 
 0 \leqslant i < 10 \land i \neq 2 \land a[2] \neq 0 .
```

- 2. Given constant N, Y : Int with $0 \le N$, and variables b : array [0..N) of Int, x, i : Int,
 - (a) compute $wp(b[i-1] := x+1) \langle \forall j : i \leq j < N : b[j] = Y \rangle$.

```
Solution:  wp (b[i-1] := x+1) \langle \forall j : i \leqslant j < N : b[j] = Y \rangle 
 \equiv 0 \leqslant i-1 < N \land \langle \forall j : i \leqslant j < N : (b:i-1 + x+1)[j] = Y \rangle 
 \equiv \{ \text{ since } i-1 < j, \text{ function alteration } \} 
 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N \land \langle \forall j : i \leqslant j < N : b[j] = Y \rangle .
```

(b) Compute $wp (b[i-1] := x + 1; i := i - 1) \langle \forall j : i \leq j < N : b[j] = Y \rangle$.

```
Solution:

wp \ (b[i-1] := x+1; i:=i-1) \ \langle \forall j: i \leqslant j < N: b[j] = Y \rangle
\equiv wp \ (b[i-1] := x+1) \ \langle \forall j: i-1 \leqslant j < N: b[j] = Y \rangle
\equiv 0 \leqslant i-1 < N \land \langle \forall j: i-1 \leqslant j < N: (b:i-1 \Rightarrow x+1)[j] = Y \rangle
\equiv \{ \text{split off } j=i-1 \}
1 \leqslant i \leqslant N \land (b:i-1 \Rightarrow x+1)[i-1] = Y \land
\langle \forall j: i \leqslant j < N: (b:i-1 \Rightarrow x+1)[j] = Y \rangle
\equiv \{ \text{function alteration } \}
1 \leqslant i \leqslant N \land x+1 = Y \land \langle \forall j: i \leqslant j < N: b[j] = Y \rangle .
```

3. Derive

```
con N: Int \{1 \le N\}

con F: array [0..N) of Int

var h: array [0..N) of Int

running_sum

\{ \langle \forall k: 0 \le k < N: h[k] = \langle \Sigma i: 0 \le i \le k: F[i] \rangle \rangle \}.
```

Solution: This problem can be seen as a slightly varied instance of Simple Array Assignment mentioned in the handouts. We could have utilised the results. For practice, however, let's start from the basics.

```
Let P n \equiv \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : h[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : F[i] \rangle \rangle. Conjecture the following skeleton:
```

```
con N: Int \{1 \le N\}

con F: array [0..N) of Int

var h: array [0..N) of Int

var n: Int

initialise

\{P \ 1\}

n:=1

\{P \ n \land 1 \le n \le N, bnd: N-n\}

do n \ne N \rightarrow step

n:=n+1

od

\{\langle \forall k: 0 \le k < N: h[k] = \langle \Sigma i: 0 \le i \le k: F[i] \rangle \rangle \}.
```

Note that $1 \le N$, and we decided to start the loop with n = 1. The *initialise* statement thus has to be h[0] := F[0]. (Proof omitted – do it if it is not yet familiar to you!) The reason we start with n = 1 will be evident later.

We conjecture that *step* can be performed by a single array assignment h[I] := E. We then have to find I and E such that

```
P \ n \land 1 \leqslant n < N \Rightarrow (P (n + 1))[h \backslash (h: I \rightarrow E)].
```

Let us inspect P(n + 1), assuming $1 \le n < N$:

```
\langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n+1 : h[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : F[i] \rangle \rangle
\equiv \{ 1 \leqslant n < N, \text{ split off } k = n \}
\langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : h[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : F[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge
h[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n : F[i] \rangle .
```

(One could start with expanding $(P(n+1))[h\setminus(h:I\to E)]$ directly. I find it easier to take it slower.)

Now consider $(P(n+1))[h \setminus (h:I \rightarrow E)]$, assuming $P \cap A = \{n < N\}$

```
 \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : (h:I + E)[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : F[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge \\ (h:I + E)[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n : F[i] \rangle \\ \equiv \qquad \{ 1 \leqslant n < N, \text{ split off } i = n. \ ((*) \text{ see the } \mathbf{Think} \text{ remark in the end}) \} \\ \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : (h:I + E)[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : F[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge \\ (h:I + E)[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n - 1 : F[i] \rangle + F[n] \\ \equiv \qquad \{ P n \} \\ \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : (h:I + E)[k] = h[k] \rangle \wedge \\ (h:I + E)[n] = h[n - 1] + F[n] \\ \equiv \qquad \{ \text{choose } I = n, E = h[n - 1] + F[n] \} \\ \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : h[k] = h[k] \rangle \wedge \\ h[n - 1] + F[n] = h[n - 1] + F[n] \\ \equiv \textit{True} \ .
```

```
con N: Int \{1 \le N\}

con F: array [0..N) of Int

var h: array [0..N) of Int

var n: Int

h[0] := F[0]

\{P \ 1\}

n:= 1

\{P \ n \land 1 \le n \le N, bnd: N-n\}

do n \ne N \rightarrow h[n] := h[n-1] + F[n]

n:= n+1

od

\{\langle \forall k: 0 \le k < N: h[k] = \langle \Sigma i: 0 \le i \le k: F[i] \rangle \rangle \}.
```

In retrospect, we need $1 \le n < N$ to guarantee *def* (h[n-1] + F[n]) (that is, both array accesses are within bound). Therefore we have to start the loop with n = 1. Fortunately we can do so because $1 \le N$.

Think: in the step labelled (*) above, why could we not do the following instead of the splitting?

```
... \wedge (h: I \rightarrow E)[n] = \langle \sum i : 0 \leq i \leq n : F[i] \rangle
= \{P \ n\}
... \wedge (h: I \rightarrow E)[n] = h[n].
```

Practice: try solving this problem using Simple Array Assignment.

4. Derive

```
con N: Int \{1 \le N\}
var f: array [0..N) of Int
con H: array [0..N) of Int
decompose
\{ \langle \forall k: 0 \le k < N: H[k] = \langle \Sigma i: 0 \le i \le k: f[i] \rangle \rangle \}.
```

Solution: Similar to the previous exercise, we let P $n \equiv \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : f[i] \rangle \rangle$, and conjecture the following skeleton:

```
con N: Int \{1 \le N\}

con H: array [0..N) of Int

var f: array [0..N) of Int

var n: Int

f[0] := H[0]

\{P \ 1\}

n:= 1

\{P \ n \land 1 \le n \le N, bnd: N-n\}

do n \ne N \rightarrow step

n:= n+1

od

\{\langle \forall k: 0 \le k < N: H[k] = \langle \Sigma i: 0 \le i \le k: f[i] \rangle \rangle \}.
```

Conjecture that *step* can be performed by a single array assignment f[I] := E. We then have to find I and E such that

```
P \ n \land 1 \leqslant n < N \Rightarrow (P (n + 1))[f \backslash (f : I \rightarrow E)].
Inspect P(n + 1), assuming 1 \le n < N:
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leq k < n+1 : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leq i \leq k : f[i] \rangle \rangle
           \equiv { 1 \leq n < N, split off k = n }
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : f[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge
              H[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leq i \leq n : f[i] \rangle.
Now consider (P(n+1))[f \setminus (f:I \rightarrow E)], assuming P(n \land 1 \le n < N)
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : (f : I \rightarrow E)[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge
              H[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n : (f : I \rightarrow E)[i] \rangle
           \equiv \{ 1 \leqslant n < N, \text{ split off } i = n \}
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : (f : I \rightarrow E)[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge
              H[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 : (f:I \rightarrow E)[i] \rangle + (f:I \rightarrow E)[n]
           \equiv { choose I = n, see below (*) }
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : f[i] \rangle \rangle \land
              H[n] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 : f[i] \rangle + E
           \equiv \{Pn\}
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : f[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge
              H[n] = H[n-1] + E
           \equiv { choose E = H[n] - H[n-1] }
              \langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < n : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : f[i] \rangle \rangle \wedge
              H[n] = H[n-1] + (H[n] - H[n-1])
                 { P n }
               True .
In the step marked (*), since 0 \le i \le n-1, by choosing I = n both occurrences of (f:I+E)[i] reduce to f[i].
Meanwhile, (f:I \rightarrow E)[n] reduces to E.
The derived program is
          con N: Int \{1 \leq N\}
          con H: array [0..N) of Int
          var f : array [0..N) of Int
          var n: Int
          f[0] \coloneqq H[0]
          {P 1}
          n := 1
          \{P \ n \land 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N, bnd : N - n\}
          do n \neq N \to f[n] := H[n] - H[n-1]
                                n := n + 1
          \{\langle \forall k : 0 \leqslant k < N : H[k] = \langle \Sigma i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k : f[i] \rangle \} .
```

Swaps

5. Prove that

```
\{h[0] = 0 \land h[1] = 1\} -- hence h[h[0]] = 0
swap h(h[0])(h[1])
\{h[h[1]] = 1\}
```

```
Solution: Assume h[0] = 0 \land h[1] = 1, we have
(h:h[0],h[1] \Rightarrow h[h[1]],h[h[0]])
= (h:0,1 \Rightarrow h[1],h[0])
= (h:0,1 \Rightarrow 1,0) .
Therefore, let h' = (h:h[0],h[1] \Rightarrow h[h[1]],h[h[0]]),
wp (swap h (h[0]) (h[1])) (h[h[1]] = 1)
\equiv h'[h'[1]] = 1
\equiv h'[0] = 1
\equiv 1 = 1
\equiv True .
```

6. Given $h: \mathbf{array} \ [0..N)$ of A, prove the rule that when h does not occur free in E and F,

```
 \left\{ \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq E \land i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h[E] = X \land h[F] = Y \right\}  swap h E F  \left\{ \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq E \land i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h[E] = Y \land h[F] = X \right\} .
```

Notes:

- Recall that E and F are expressions, while X, Y, H are logical variables. It means that, for example, one can conclude immediately $X[z \setminus w] = X$ for $z \neq X$, while to determine whether $E[z \setminus w] = E$ we have to look into $E E[z \setminus w] = E$ if z does not occur free in E.
- With h[E] = X, for example, we implicitly assume that def(h[E]) holds.

```
Solution: Abbreviate (h: E, F 
ightharpoonup h[F], h[E]) to h'. We reason:

 wp (swap \ h \ E \ F) (\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq E \land i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h[E] = Y \land h[F] = X) 
 \equiv \{ \text{ definition of } wp; \ N, \ H, \ X, \ Y \text{ are logical variables } \} 
 \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq (E[h \backslash h']) \land i \neq (F[h \backslash h']) : h'[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h'[E[h \backslash h']] = Y \land h'[F[h \backslash h']] = X 
 \equiv \{ \text{ h does not occur free in } E \text{ and } F \} 
 \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq E \land i \neq F : h'[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h'[E] = Y \land h'[F] = X 
 \equiv \{ \text{ function alteration: } h'[i] = h[i] \text{ for } i \neq E \land i \neq F \} 
 \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq E \land i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h'[E] = Y \land h'[F] = X 
 \equiv \{ \text{ function alternation } \} 
 \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N \land i \neq E \land i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle \land h[F] = Y \land h[E] = X .
```

7. Derive the following program, where arrays are manipulated only by swapping.

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}
var h: array [0..N) of Int
var p: Int
?
\{0 \le p \le N \land \langle \forall i: 0 \le i < p: h[i] \le 0 \rangle \land \langle \forall i: p \le i < N: 0 \le h[i] \rangle \}.
```

```
Solution: As the usual practice, we use an up-loop in which n is incremented in the end. Let P n = \langle \forall i :
0 \le i . The plan is:
      con N: Int \{0 \le N\}
      var h: array [0..N) of Int
      var p, n: Int
      p, n := 0, 0
      \{0 \leqslant p \leqslant n \leqslant N \land P \ n, bnd : N - n\}
      do n \neq N \rightarrow ...n := n + 1 od
      \{0 \leqslant p \leqslant N \land P N\}.
Assuming 0 \le p \le n < N, examine P(n + 1):
         \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i 
       \equiv { since 0 \le n < N, split off i = n }
         \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i 
       \equiv P \ n \wedge 0 \leqslant h[n].
Therefore, if 0 \le h[n] there is nothing more we need to do before n = n + 1. We can introduce an if and put
n := n + 1 under a guard 0 \le h[n].
To make the if total we consider what to do when h[n] \le 0. In this case we consider two cases.
Case: p \neq n. We aim to construct
      \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i 
      {P(n+1) \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n+1 \leqslant N}
      n := n + 1
      {P \ n \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n \leqslant N}
Since p \neq n, we can split i = p from \langle \forall i : p \leq i < n : 0 \leq h[i] \rangle, resulting in
      \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i 
     swap h p n
      \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i 
     p := p + 1
     {P(n+1) \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n+1 \leqslant N}
     n := n + 1
     \{P \ n \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n \leqslant N\}.
Case: p = n. In this case the range p \le i < n is empty and the precondition reduces as such:
      \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i 
      {P(n+1) \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n+1 \leqslant N}
      n := n + 1
      {P \ n \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n \leqslant N}.
It turns out that the same code still works:
      \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i 
      swap h p n
      p := p + 1
      {P(n+1) \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n+1 \leqslant N}
      n := n + 1
      {P \ n \land 0 \leqslant p \leqslant n \leqslant N}.
```

```
Therefore the code is:

\begin{array}{l} \textbf{con } N: Int \ \{0 \leqslant N\} \\ \textbf{var } h: \textbf{array } [0..N) \textbf{ of } Int \\ \textbf{var } p, n: Int \\ p, n:= 0, 0 \\ \{0 \leqslant p \leqslant n \leqslant N \land P \ n, bnd: N-n\} \\ \textbf{do } n \neq N \rightarrow \\ \textbf{if } 0 \leqslant h[n] \rightarrow n:= n+1 \\ \mid h[n] \leqslant 0 \rightarrow swap \ h \ p \ n \\ p, n, := p+1, n+1 \\ \textbf{fi} \\ \textbf{od} \\ \{0 \leqslant p \leqslant N \land P \ N\} \end{array}.
```

8. The following is a specification of sorting:

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}
var h: array [0..N) of Int
sort
\{\langle \forall i \ j: 0 \le i \le j < N: h[i] \le h[j] \rangle \}.
```

where *sort* mutates the array h only by swapping. Derive a $O(N^2)$ algorithm for sorting. The algorithm will contain a loop within a loop. The outer loop uses as invariant $P_0 \wedge P_1$, where

```
P_0 \equiv \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : \langle \forall j : i \leqslant j < N : h[i] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \rangle ,

P_1 \equiv 0 \leqslant n \leqslant N .
```

The inner loop uses *Q* as *part of* its invariant:

```
Q \equiv \langle \forall j : k \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle .
```

Solution: The invariant is designed such that n := 0 establishes $P_0 \wedge P_1$, while $P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge n = N$ meets the postcondition. Therefore, the outline of the program could be:

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}

var h: array [0..N) of Int

var n: Int

n:=0

\{P_0 \land P_1, bnd: N-n\}

do n \ne N \rightarrow

inner\_loop

\{P_0 \land P_1 \land \langle \forall j: n \le j < N: h[n] \le h[j] \rangle \land n \ne N\} -- (*)

n:=n+1

od

\{\langle \forall i \ j: 0 \le i \le j < N: h[i] \le h[j] \rangle \}.
```

The assertion (*) before n := n + 1 is calculated by:

```
 \begin{aligned} &(P_0 \wedge P_1)[n \backslash n + 1] \\ &\equiv \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n + 1 : \langle \forall j : i \leqslant j < N : h[i] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \rangle \wedge 0 \leqslant n + 1 \leqslant N \\ & \Leftarrow \quad \big\{ \text{ with } 0 \leqslant n < N, \text{ split off } i = n \big\} \\ & \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : \langle \forall j : i \leqslant j < N : h[i] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \rangle \wedge \\ & \langle \forall j : n \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \wedge 0 \leqslant n < N \end{aligned} \\ & \equiv \quad \big\{ \text{ def. of } P_0 \text{ and } P_1 \big\} \\ & P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge \langle \forall j : n \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \wedge n \neq N .
```

We now try to construct the *inner_loop*. Compare the hint Q and the assertion (*), we note that

- $P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge Q \wedge k = n$ establishes (*), and
- letting k := N 1 establishes Q, and
- being in the outer loop, we have $P_1 \land n \neq N$, which is $0 \leqslant n < N$, therefore by choosing k := N 1 we still have $0 \leqslant n \leqslant k < N$.

Therefore we start with trying:

```
 \left\{ P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge n \neq N \right\} 
 k := N - 1 
 \left\{ P_0 \wedge Q \wedge 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k < N \right\} 
 do \ k \neq n \rightarrow 
 ?
 k := k - 1 
 od 
 \left\{ P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge \langle \forall j : n \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \wedge n \neq N \right\} 
 n := n + 1
```

To construct ? we examine $Q[k \setminus k - 1]$, assuming $0 \le n < k < N$:

```
 \langle \forall j : k \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle [k \setminus k - 1] 
 \equiv \langle \forall j : k - 1 \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle 
 \equiv \{ \text{ with } 0 \leqslant n < k < N, \text{ split off } j = k - 1 \} 
 \langle \forall j : k \leqslant j < N : h[n] \leqslant h[j] \rangle \wedge h[n] \leqslant h[k - 1] 
 \equiv Q \wedge h[n] \leqslant h[k - 1] .
```

If $h[n] \le h[k-1]$ already holds, we need only a *skip*. If $h[n] \ge h[k-1]$ holds instead, we do a *swap h n* (k-1), whose validity can be established by:

```
 wp (swap \ h \ n \ (k-1)) \ ((P_0 \land Q \land 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k < N)[k \backslash k-1])  \equiv \{ \text{ calculation above, } k \text{ not occurring free in } P_0 \}   wp (swap \ h \ n \ (k-1)) \ (P_0 \land Q \land h[n] \leqslant h[k-1] \land 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k-1 < N)  \equiv \{ \text{ let } h' = (h:n,k-1 \Rightarrow h[k-1],h[n]) \}   P_0[h \backslash h'] \land Q[h \backslash h'] \land h'[n] \leqslant h'[k-1] \land 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k-1 < N .
```

Consider the first three terms, $h'[n] \le h'[k-1]$ equivals $h[k-1] \le h[n]$ by the definition of function alteration (this is why we do *swap* h n (k-1) in the first place). For the second term, we have $Q[h \mid h'] \Leftarrow Q \land h[k-1] \le h[n]$:

```
\begin{split} &Q[h\backslash h']\\ &\equiv \langle \forall j: k\leqslant j < N: h'[n]\leqslant h'[j]\rangle\\ &\equiv \quad \big\{ \ h'=(h:n,k-1) \cdot h[k-1], h[n] \big\} \ \text{and thus } h'\ j=h\ j \ \text{for } k\leqslant j < N\ \big\}\\ &\langle \forall j: k\leqslant j < N: h[k-1]\leqslant h[j]\rangle\\ &\Leftarrow \langle \forall j: k\leqslant j < N: h[n]\leqslant h[j]\rangle \ \land \ h[k-1]\leqslant h[n]\\ &\equiv Q \land h[k-1]\leqslant h[n] \ . \end{split}
```

```
Consider P_0[h \setminus h'], assuming 0 \le n < k < N:
```

Therefore we have

```
\begin{array}{l} P_0[h\backslash h'] \wedge Q[h\backslash h'] \wedge h'[n] \leqslant h'[k-1] \wedge 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k-1 < N \\ \Leftarrow \quad \{ \text{ calculation above, some of them assuming } 0 \leqslant n < k < N \ \} \\ P_0 \wedge Q \wedge h[k-1] \leqslant h[n] \wedge 0 \leqslant n < k < N \ . \end{array}
```

Note that, in deriving the inner loop we cannot forget about P_0 — one still has to prove that P_0 is preserved. In conclusion, the program we derived is:

```
con N : Int \{0 \le N\}

var h : array [0..N) of Int

var n, k : Int

n := 0

\{P_0 \land 0 \le n \le N, bnd : N - n\}

do n \ne N \rightarrow

k := N - 1

\{P_0 \land Q \land 0 \le n \le k < N, bnd : k\}

do k \ne n \rightarrow if \ h[n] \le h[k - 1] \rightarrow skip

|h[n] \ge h[k - 1] \rightarrow swap \ h \ n \ (k - 1)

fi

k := k - 1

od

\{P_0 \land \langle \forall j : n \le j < N : h[n] \le h[j] \rangle \land 0 \le n < N\}

n := n + 1

od

\{\langle \forall i \ j : 0 \le i \le j < N : h[i] \le h[j] \rangle \}.
```