PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES: IMPERATIVE PROGRAM CONSTRUCTION 4. HOARE LOGIC AND WEAKEST PRECONDITION: LOOP

Shin-Cheng Mu Autumn 2021

National Taiwan University and Academia Sinica

LOOP AND LOOP INVARIANTS

LOOPS

- Repetition takes the form do $B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid ... \mid Bn \rightarrow Sn$ od.
- If none of the guards $B_0 ext{...} B_n$ evaluate to true, the loop terminates. Otherwise one of the commands is chosen non-deterministically, before the next iteration.

LOOPS

- Repetition takes the form do $B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid ... \mid Bn \rightarrow Sn$ od.
- If none of the guards $B_0 ext{...} B_n$ evaluate to true, the loop terminates. Otherwise one of the commands is chosen non-deterministically, before the next iteration.
- To annotate a loop (for partial correctness):

```
{P}

do B_0 \to \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}

| B_1 \to \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}

od

{Q, Pf},
```

• where Pf refers to a proof of $P \wedge \neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$.

LOOPS

- Repetition takes the form do $B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid ... \mid Bn \rightarrow Sn$ od.
- If none of the guards $B_0 ext{...} B_n$ evaluate to true, the loop terminates. Otherwise one of the commands is chosen non-deterministically, before the next iteration.
- To annotate a loop (for partial correctness):

```
{P}

do B_0 \to \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}

| B_1 \to \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}

od

{Q, Pf},
```

- where Pf refers to a proof of $P \land \neg B_0 \land \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$.
- P is called the *loop invariant*. Every loop should be constructed with an invariant in mind!

```
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n : Int
x, n := 1, 0
do n \neq N \rightarrow
   x, n := x + x, n + 1
{x = 2^N}
```

```
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n : Int
x, n := 1, 0
{x = 2^n}
do n \neq N \rightarrow
  x, n := x + x, n + 1
od
{x = 2^N }
```

```
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n : Int
x, n := 1, 0
{x = 2^n}
do n \neq N \rightarrow
                                                     Pf2:
   x, n := x + x, n + 1
                                               x = 2^n \land n \leqslant N \land \neg (n \neq N)
                                                       \Rightarrow x = 2^N
od
\{x = 2^N, Pf2\}
```

```
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n : Int
x, n := 1, 0
{x = 2^n}
do n \neq N \rightarrow
                                                   Pf2:
   x, n := x + x, n + 1
                                             x = 2^n \land n \leq N \land \neg (n \neq N)
   \{x = 2^n, Pf1\}
                                                     \Rightarrow x = 2^N
od
\{x = 2^N, Pf2\}
```

```
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n : Int
x, n := 1, 0
{x = 2^n}
do n \neq N \rightarrow
                                                     Pf2:
   \{x = 2^n \land n \neq N\}
   x, n := x + x, n + 1
                                               x = 2^n \land n \leqslant N \land \neg (n \neq N)
   \{x = 2^n, Pf1\}
                                                       \Rightarrow x = 2^N
od
\{x = 2^N, Pf2\}
```

```
Pf1:
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n : Int
                                                      (x = 2^n)[x, n \setminus x + x, n + 1]
                                                  \equiv x + x = 2^{n+1}
x, n := 1, 0
{x = 2^n}
                                                 \Leftarrow x = 2^n \land n \neq N
do n \neq N \rightarrow
                                                      Pf2:
   \{x = 2^n \land n \neq N\}
   x, n := x + x, n + 1
                                                x = 2^n \land n \leqslant N \land \neg (n \neq N)
   \{x = 2^n, Pf1\}
                                                         \Rightarrow x = 2^N
od
\{x = 2^N, Pf2\}
```

GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

• Known: gcd(x,x) = x; gcd(x,y) = gcd(y,x-y) if x > y.

GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

```
• Known: qcd(x,x) = x; qcd(x,y) = qcd(y,x-y) if x > y.
        con A, B : int \{0 < A \land 0 < B\}
        var x, v : int
        x, v := A, B
        \{0 < x \land 0 < y \land qcd(x, y) = qcd(A, B)\}
        do V < X \rightarrow X := X - V
         | X < Y \rightarrow Y := V - X
        od
        \{x = \gcd(A, B) \land y = \gcd(A, B)\}
```

GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

```
• Known: qcd(x,x) = x; qcd(x,y) = qcd(y,x-y) if x > y.
        con A, B : int \{0 < A \land 0 < B\}
        var x, y : int
        x, v := A, B
        \{0 < x \land 0 < y \land qcd(x, y) = qcd(A, B)\}
        do V < X \rightarrow X := X - V
          X < V \rightarrow V := V - X
        od
        \{x = \gcd(A, B) \land y = \gcd(A, B)\}
              (0 < x \land 0 < y \land acd(x, y) = acd(A, B))[x \land x - y]
         \equiv 0 < x - y \land 0 < y \land gcd(x - y, y) = gcd(A, B)
        \Leftarrow 0 < x \land 0 < y \land qcd(x, y) = qcd(A, B) \land y < x
```

A WEIRD EQUILIBRIUM

· Consider the following program:

```
var x, y, z : int

{true }

do x < y \rightarrow x := x + 1

| y < z \rightarrow y := y + 1

| z < x \rightarrow z := z + 1

od

{x = y = z}.
```

• If it terminates at all, we do have x = y = z. But why does it terminate?

A WEIRD EQUILIBRIUM

· Consider the following program:

```
var x, y, z : int

{true, bnd : 3 \times (x \uparrow y \uparrow z) - (x + y + z)}

do x < y \rightarrow x := x + 1

| y < z \rightarrow y := y + 1

| z < x \rightarrow z := z + 1

od

{x = y = z}.
```

- If it terminates at all, we do have x = y = z. But why does it terminate?
 - 1. $bnd \ge 0$, and bnd = 0 implies none of the guards are true.
 - 2. $\{x < y \land bnd = t\} x := x + 1\{bnd < t\}.$

To annotate a loop for total correctness:

```
\{P, bnd : t\}
do B_0 \rightarrow \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}
\mid B_1 \rightarrow \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}
od
\{Q\},
```

To annotate a loop for total correctness:

```
\{P, bnd : t\}
do B_0 \to \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}
\mid B_1 \to \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}
od
\{Q\}
```

1.
$$P \wedge \neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$$
,

To annotate a loop for total correctness:

```
\{P, bnd : t\}
do B_0 \to \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}
\mid B_1 \to \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}
od
\{Q\}
```

- 1. $P \wedge \neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$,
- 2. for all i, $\{P \land B_i\} S_i \{P\}$,

To annotate a loop for total correctness:

```
\{P, bnd : t\}
do B_0 \to \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}
\mid B_1 \to \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}
od
\{Q\}
```

- 1. $P \wedge \neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$,
- 2. for all i, $\{P \land B_i\} S_i \{P\}$,
- 3. $P \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) \Rightarrow t \geqslant 0$,

To annotate a loop for total correctness:

```
\{P, bnd : t\}
do B_0 \to \{P \land B_0\} S_0 \{P\}
\mid B_1 \to \{P \land B_1\} S_1 \{P\}
od
\{Q\}
```

- 1. $P \wedge \neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$,
- 2. for all i, $\{P \land B_i\} S_i \{P\}$,
- 3. $P \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) \Rightarrow t \geqslant 0$,
- 4. for all i, $\{P \land B_i \land t = C\} S_i \{t < C\}$.

· What is the bound function?

```
con N \{0 \leq N\}; var x, n: Int
x, n := 1, 0
\{x=2^n \wedge n \leqslant N\}
do n \neq N \rightarrow
      x, n := x + x, n + 1
od
{x = 2^N}
```

• What is the bound function? con $N \{0 \leq N\}$; var x, n : Int x, n := 1, 0 $\{x = 2^n \land n \leq N, bnd : N - n\}$ do $n \neq N \rightarrow$ x, n := x + x, n + 1od ${x = 2^N}$ $\cdot x = 2^n \land n \leq N \land n \neq N \Rightarrow N - n \geq 0$ $\{... \land N - n = t\} x, n := x + x, n + 1\{N - n < t\}.$

E.G. GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

• What is the bound function?

```
con A, B : Int \{0 < A \land 0 < B\}
var x, y : Int
X, V := A, B
\{0 < x \land 0 < y \land gcd(x, y) = gcd(A, B)\}
do V < X \rightarrow X := X - Y
  X < Y \rightarrow Y := Y - X
od
\{x = gcd(A, B) \land y = gcd(A, B)\}
```

E.G. GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

 What is the bound function? **con** A, B : Int $\{0 < A \land 0 < B\}$ var x, y : Int

```
X, V := A, B
         \{0 < x \land 0 < y \land qcd(x, y) = qcd(A, B), bnd : x + y\}
         do V < X \rightarrow X := X - V
           X < V \rightarrow V := V - X
         od
         \{x = gcd(A, B) \land y = gcd(A, B)\}
\cdot \ldots \Rightarrow x + v \ge 0.
```

 $\{ \dots 0 < y \land y < x \land x + y = t \} x := x - y \{x + y < t \}.$

WEAKEST PRECONDITION

- What about the weakest precondition?
- Denote the program do $B \rightarrow S$ od by DO. It should behave the same as

if
$$B \rightarrow S$$
; $DO \mid \neg B \rightarrow skip fi$.

• For any R, if wp DO R = X, it should satisfy

$$X = (B \Rightarrow wp S X) \land (\neg B \Rightarrow R)$$
,

which is equivalent to

$$X = (B \land wp S X) \lor (\neg B \land R)$$
. (Why?)

• We let *wp DO R* be the *strongest X* satisfying the equation above.

WEAKEST PRECONDITION FOR LOOP

To be slightly more general,

- denote do $B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1$ od by DO,
- denote if $B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1$ fi by *IF*, and
- denote $B_0 \vee B_1$ by BB.
- For all R, wp DO R is the strongest predicate satisfying

$$X \equiv wp \ IF \ X \lor (R \land \neg BB)$$
.

A BOTTOM-UP FORMULATION

- Alternatively, let H_i denote "DO terminates, in at most i iterations, in a state satisfying R."
- $H_0 = R \wedge \neg BB$.
- · $H_{n+1} = wp \ IF (H_n) \lor (R \land \neg BB).$
- · We may define

wp DO
$$R = \langle \exists i : 0 \leq i : H_i \rangle$$
.

• Theory on *fixed points* shows that the two definitions are equivalent.

RELATIONSHIP TO HOARE LOGIC

- However, how does wp DO R relate to the way we annotate loops in the previous section?
- We had a theorem about IF which justified the way to annotate branches:

wp IF
$$R = (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 R)$$

 $\land (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 R) \land (B_0 \lor B_1)$.

Do we have a similar result about loops?

FUNDAMENTAL INVARIANCE THEOREM

Theorem Let (D, \leq) be a partially ordered set; let C be a subset of D such that (C, <) is well-founded. Let t be a function on the state with value of type D. Then

$$(P \land BB \Rightarrow t \in C) \land$$

 $\langle \forall x :: P \land t = x \Rightarrow wp \ IF \ (P \land t < x) \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow wp \ DO \ (P \land \neg BB)) .$

- Informally, (C, <) being well-founded means that there is no infinite chain c1 > c2 > c3... in C.
- The Fundamental Invariance Theorem was proved several times. Proving this theorem motivated developments in many related fields.