# PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES: IMPERATIVE PROGRAM CONSTRUCTION 8. CASE STUDIES

Shin-Cheng Mu Autumn Term, 2024

National Taiwan University and Academia Sinica

# **FASTER DIVISION**

## QUOTIENT AND REMAINDER

· Recall the problem:

```
con A, B: Int \{0 \le A \land 0 < B\}
var q, r: Int
?
\{A = q \times B + r \land 0 \le r < B\}.
```

• Recall: recognising the postcondition as a conjunction, we use  $A = q \times B + r \wedge 0 \le r$  as the invariant and  $\neg (r < B)$  as the guard.

· The program we came up with:

```
q, r := 0, A \{A = q \times B + r \wedge 0 \leqslant r, bnd : r\} do B \leqslant r \rightarrow q := q + 1 r := r - B od \{A = q \times B + r \wedge 0 \leqslant r < B\}.
```

- In each iteration of the loop, r is decreased by B.
- We can probably get a quicker program by decreasing r by ...  $2 \times B$ , when possible.
- What about decreasing r by  $4 \times B$ ,  $8 \times B$ ,... etc?

#### STRATEGY...

```
con A, B: Int \{0 \le A \land 0 < B\}
var q, r, b, k : Int
\{0 \leqslant k \land b = 2^k \times B \land A < b\}
{A = q \times b + r \wedge 0 \leq r < b \wedge}
   0 \leqslant k \land b = 2^k \times B, bnd : b
do b \neq B \rightarrow ...od
{A = q \times B + r \wedge 0 \leq r < B}
```

## THE PROGRAM

```
con A, B : Int \{0 \leq A \land 0 < B\}
var q, r, b, k: Int
b, k := B, 0
do b \le A \rightarrow b, k := b \times 2, k + 1 od
\{0 \le k \land b = 2^k \times B \land A < b\}
q, r := 0, A
\{A = q \times b + r \land 0 \leqslant r < b \land ndevelopingsuch programs,
  0 \le k \land b = 2^k \times B, bnd:b}fyouaresurethatthequantifiedvariabl
do b \neq B \rightarrow
  if r < b / 2 \rightarrow a, b, k := a \times 2, b / 2, k - 1
   |b|/2 \le r \to q, b, k, r := q \times 2 + 1, b/2,
                                    k-1, r-b/2
  fi
od
```

 $\{A = q \times B + r \wedge 0 \le r < B\}$ 

con A, B : Int 
$$\{0 \le A \land 0 < B\}$$
  
var  $q, r, b, k$  : Int  
 $b, k := B, 0$   
do  $b \le A \to b, k := b \times 2, k + 1$  od  
 $q, r := 0, A$   
do  $b \ne B \to$   
 $q, b, k := q \times 2, b / 2, k - 1$   
if  $r < b \to skip$   
 $|b \le r \to q, r := q + 1, r - b$   
fi  
od  
 $\{A = q \times B + r \land 0 \le r < B\}$ 

- The program has the advantage that we do not need to have  $b \ / \ 2$  in the guards.
- · Note what the first assignment establishes:

$$\{A = q \times b + r \wedge 0 \leqslant r < b \wedge \\
 0 \leqslant k \wedge b = 2^k \times B \wedge b \neq B\} \\
 q, b, k := q \times 2, b / 2, k - 1 \\
 \{A = q \times b + r \wedge 0 \leqslant r < 2 \times b \wedge \\
 0 \leqslant k \wedge b = 2^k \times B\}$$

## THE PROGRAM SKELETON

```
\{M < N \land \Phi M N\}
l, r := M, N
\{\Phi \mid r \land M \leq l < r \leq N, bnd : r - l\}
do l+1 \neq r \rightarrow
   \{\dots \land l+2 \leqslant r\}
   m := anything s.t. l < m < r
   \{ \dots \land l < m < r \}
   if \Phi m r \rightarrow l := m
    | \Phi | m \rightarrow r := m
   fi
od
\{M \leq l < N \land \Phi \ l \ (l+1)\}
```

**Note**: m := (l + r) / 2 is a valid choice, thanks to the precondition that  $l + 2 \le r$ .

## CONSTRAINTS ON Φ

- But we need the if to be total.
- Therefore we demand a constrant on Φ:

$$\Phi l r \Rightarrow \Phi l m \vee \Phi m r, \text{ if } l < m < r.$$
 (1)

· Van Gasteren and Feijen believe that  $\Phi l r = F[l] \neq F[r]$  is a

• Some  $\Phi$  satisfying (1) (for F of appropriate type):

- $\Phi l r \equiv F[l] \neq F[r]$ , •  $\Phi l r \equiv F[l] < F[r]$ .

  - $\Phi l r \equiv F[l] \times F[r] \leq 0,$   $\Phi l r \equiv F[l] \times F[r] \leq 0,$
  - $\Phi l r \equiv F[l] \vee F[r]$ .
  - $\Phi l r \equiv \neg (Q l) \wedge Q r$ .
- $\varphi : I = I(Q : I) \land Q I.$

### SEARCHING FOR A KEY

- The case  $\Phi l r \equiv \neg (Q l) \land Q r$  is worth special attention.
- Choose  $Q i \equiv K < F[i]$  for some K.
- Therefore  $\Phi$  l  $r \equiv F[l] \leqslant K < F[r]$ .
- · That constitutes the binary search we wanted!
- The postcondition:  $M \le l < N \land F[l] \le K < F[l+1]$ .
- Note that we do *not* yet need *F* to be sorted!
- The algorithm gives you some l such that  $F[l] \le K < F[l+1]$ . If there are more than one such l, one is returned non-deterministically.

#### **SORTEDNESS**

- That *F* is sorted comes in when we need to establish that there is at most one *l* satisfying the postcondition.
- That is, either F[l] = K, or  $\neg \langle \exists i : M \leq i < N : F[i] = K \rangle$ .

## THE PROGRAM... OR A PART OF IT

- Let  $\Phi$  l  $r = F[l] \leqslant K < F[r]$ .
- Processing the array fragment F[a..b]:

```
l, r := a, b
\{\Phi \mid r \land a \leq l < r \leq b, bnd : r - l\}
do l+1 \neq r \rightarrow
   m := (l + r) / 2
   if F[m] \leq K \rightarrow l := m
   | K < F[m] \rightarrow r := m
   fi
od
\{a \leq l < b \land F[l] \leq K < F[l+1]\}
```

- Note that *F*[*a*] and *F*[*b*] are never accessed.
- This program is not yet complete....

#### INITIALISATION

- But wait.. to apply the algorithm to the entire array, we need the precondition  $\Phi$  0 N, that is  $F[0] \leq K < F[N]$ . Is that true? (We don't even have F[N].)
- One can rule out cases when the precondition do not hold (and also deal with empty array). E.g.

```
if 0 = N \rightarrow p := False
 | 0 < N \rightarrow
  if K < F[0] \rightarrow p := False
   |F[N-1]=K\rightarrow p, l:=True, N-1
   |F[0] \leq K < F[N-1] \rightarrow
        a, b := 0, N-1
        program above
        p := F[l] = K
```

#### **PSEUDO ELEMENTS**

- But there is a better way... introduce two pseudo elements!
- Let  $F[-1] = -\infty$  and  $F[N] = \infty$ .
- Initially,  $\Phi$  0 N is satisfied.
- In the code, F[-1] and F[N] are never accessed. Therefore we do not actually have to represent them!
- We need to be careful interpreting the result, once the main loop terminates, however.

## THE PROGRAM (1)

```
Let \Phi l r = F[l] \leqslant K < F[r].
      con N, K: Int \{0 \leq N\}
      con F: array [0..N) of Int \{F \text{ ascending } \land
         F[-1] = -\infty \land F[N] = \infty
      var l, m, r : Int
      var p : Bool
      l, r := -1, N
      \{\Phi \mid r \land -1 \leqslant l < r \leqslant N, bnd : r - l\}
      do l+1 \neq r \rightarrow
         m := (l + r) / 2
         if F[m] \leq K \rightarrow l := m
          | K < F[m] \rightarrow r := m
         fi
      od
```

 $\int_{-1} < I < N \land F[I] < K < F[I + 1]$ 

#### A More Common Program

- Recall that Bentley proposed using binary search as an exercise.
- · Bentley's solution can be rephrased below:

## A More Common Program

I'd like to derive it, but

- it is harder to formally deal with break.
  - Still, Bentley employed a semi-formal reasoning using a loop invariant to argue for the correctness of the program.
- To relate the test F[m] < K to l := m + 1 we have to bring in the fact that F is sorted earlier.

#### **COMPARISON**

- The two programs do not solve exactly the same problem (e.g. when there are multiple Ks in F).
- Is the second program quicker because it assigns l and r to m+1 and m-1 rather than m?
  - l := m + 1 because F[m] is covered in another case;
  - r := m 1 because a range is represented differently.
- Is it quicker to perform an extra test to *return* early?
  - When *K* is not in *F*, the test is wasted.
  - Rolfe claimed that single comparison is quicker in average.
  - Knuth: single comparison needs 17.5  $\lg N + 17$  instructions, double comparison needs 18  $\lg N 16$  instructions.