Programming Languages: Imperative Program Construction Practicals 0: Non-Looping Constructs and Weakest Precondition

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn Term, 2021

Guarded Command Language Basics

- 1. Which of the following Hoare triples hold?
 - (a) $\{x = 7\} skip \{odd x\};$
 - (b) $\{x > 60\}x := x \times 2\{x > 100\};$
 - (c) $\{x > 40\}x := x \times 2\{x > 100\};$
 - (d) $\{true\}$ if $x \leqslant y \rightarrow y := y x \mid x \geqslant y \rightarrow x := x y$ fi $\{x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0\}$;
 - (e) $\{even \ x \land even \ y\}$ if $x \leqslant y \rightarrow y := y x \mid x \geqslant y \rightarrow x := x y$ fi $\{even \ x \land even \ y\}$.

Solution: As the first exercise I expect merely that you answer by informal reasoning. What follows is the more formal approach which you will learn later.

(a) The Hoare triple holds because:

$$wp \ skip \ (odd \ x)$$
 $\Leftrightarrow \{ definition \ of \ wp \}$
 $odd \ x$
 $\Leftarrow x = 7$.

(b) The Hoare triple holds because:

$$wp (x := x \times 2) (x > 100)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \{ \text{ definition of } wp \}$
 $x \times 2 > 100$
 $\Leftarrow x > 60$.

(c) The Hoare triple does not hold because:

$$wp (x := x \times 2) (x > 100)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \times 2 > 100$$

$$\notin x > 40.$$

(d) The annotated if statement is

{ True} if
$$x \leqslant y \rightarrow \{x \leqslant y\}$$
 $y \coloneqq y - x$ $\{x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0\}$ $x \geqslant y \rightarrow \{x \geqslant y\}$ $x \coloneqq x - y$ $\{x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0\}$ fi $\{x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0\}$.

That $x \le y \lor x \ge y$ certainly holds. For the Hoare triple in the first branch we reason:

$$(x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0)[y \backslash y - x]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \geqslant 0 \land y - x \geqslant 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \geqslant 0 \land x \leqslant y$$

$$\Leftarrow x \leqslant y.$$

The situation with the other branch is similar. The bottom line is that the initial Hoare triple does hold.

(e) The annotated if statement is

That $x \le y \lor x \ge y$ certainly holds. For the Hoare triple in the first branch we reason:

```
(even \ x \land even \ y)[y \setminus y - x]

\Leftrightarrow even \ x \land even \ (y - x)

\Leftrightarrow even \ x \land even \ y

\Leftarrow even \ x \land even \ y \land x \leqslant y .
```

The situation with the other branch is similar. The bottom line is that the initial Hoare triple does hold.

2. Is it always true that $\{True\}$ x := E $\{x = E\}$? If you think the answer is yes, explain why. If your answer is no, give a counter example.

Solution: No. For a counterexample, let E be x + 1.

When do we do have the property that $\{True\}\ x := E\ \{x = E\}$? Since $(x = E)[x \setminus E] \Leftrightarrow (E = E\ [x \setminus E])$, the Hoare triple holds if and only if $E = E\ [x \setminus E]$. Examples of such E include those that do not contain x, or those that are idempotent funtions on x, for example $E = 0 \uparrow x$.

The actual forward rule for assignment (due to Floyd) is:

$$\{P\} \ x \coloneqq E \ \{(\exists \ x_0 :: x = E \ [x \backslash x_0] \land P \ [x \backslash x_0])\} \ ,$$

where x_0 is a fresh name.

3. Verify:

$$\{x = X \land y = Y\}$$

$$x := x \not\Leftrightarrow y$$

$$y := x \not\Leftrightarrow y$$

$$x := x \not\Leftrightarrow y$$

$$\{x = Y \land y = X\}$$

where x and y are boolean and $(\not\Leftrightarrow)$ is the "not equal" or "exclusive or" operator. In fact, the code above works for any (\otimes) that satisfies the properties that for all a, b, and c:

```
associative : a \otimes (b \otimes c) = (a \otimes b) \otimes c,
unipotent : a \otimes a = 1,
```

where 1 is the unit of (\otimes), that is, 1 \otimes *b* = *b* = *b* \otimes 1.

```
Solution: The annotated program is:
         \{x = X \land y = Y, Pf_2\}
         x := x \otimes y
         \{y = Y \land x \otimes y = X, Pf_1\}
         y := x \otimes y
         \{x \otimes y = Y \wedge y = X\}
         x := x \otimes y
         \{x=Y\wedge y=X\}\ .
Pf<sub>1</sub>:
               (x \otimes y = Y \wedge y = X) [x \otimes y / y]
          \Leftrightarrow x \otimes (x \otimes y) = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X
          \Leftrightarrow { (\otimes) associative }
               (x \otimes x) \otimes y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X
          ⇔ { unipotence }
               1 \otimes y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X
          \Leftrightarrow { identity }
               y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X.
Pf<sub>2</sub>:
               (y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X) [x \otimes y / x]
          \Leftrightarrow y = Y \wedge (x \otimes y) \otimes y = X
          \Leftrightarrow { (\otimes) associative }
               y = Y \wedge x \otimes (y \otimes y) = X
          \Leftrightarrow { unipotence }
               y = Y \wedge x \otimes 1 = X
           \Leftrightarrow { identity }
               y = Y \wedge x = X.
```

4. Verify the following program:

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{var } r, b : Int \\ & \{0 \leqslant r < 2 \times b\} \\ & \textbf{if } b \leqslant r \rightarrow r \coloneqq r - b \\ & \mid r < b \rightarrow skip \\ & \textbf{fi} \\ & \{0 \leqslant r < b\} \end{aligned}
```

Pf₁. We reason:

$$(0 \leqslant r < b) [r \backslash r - b]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \leqslant r - b < b$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b \leqslant r < 2 \times b$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \leqslant r < 2 \times b \wedge b \leqslant r.$$

Pf₂. Trivial.

Pf₃. Certainly any proposition implies $b \le r \lor r < b$.

5. Verify:

```
var x, y : Int

\{True\}

x, y := x \times x, y \times y

if x \ge y \to x := x - y

| y \ge x \to y := y - x

fi

\{x \ge 0 \land y \ge 0\}.
```

Solution: For brevity we abbreviate $x \ge 0 \land y \ge 0$ to *P*. The fully annotated program could be:

```
 \begin{split} & \{\mathit{True}\} \\ & x, y \coloneqq x \times x, y \times y \\ & \{\mathit{P}, \mathsf{Pf}_4\} \\ & \text{if } x \geqslant y \to \{x \geqslant y \land \mathit{P}\} \, x \coloneqq x - y \, \{\mathit{P}, \mathsf{Pf}_1\} \\ & \mid y \geqslant x \to \{y \geqslant x \land \mathit{P}\} \, y \coloneqq y - x \, \{\mathit{P}, \mathsf{Pf}_2\} \\ & \text{fi} \\ & \{\mathit{P}, \mathsf{Pf}_3\} \ . \end{split}
```

To verify the **if** branching, we check that

Pf₁. $\{x \ge y \land P\} x := x - y \{P\}$. The Hoare triple is valid because

$$(x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0)[x \backslash x - y]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x - y \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \geqslant y \land y \geqslant 0$$

$$\Leftarrow x \geqslant y \land x \geqslant 0 \land y \geqslant 0.$$

$$Pf_2$$
. $\{y \geqslant x \land P\} y := y - x \{P\}$. Omitted.

Pf₃. And indeed $x \ge y \lor y \ge x$ always holds, thus $P \Rightarrow x \ge y \lor y \ge x$.

Do not forget that we have yet to verify $\{true\} x, y := x \times x, y \times y \{P\}$, which is not difficult either:

Pf₄.

$$(x \geqslant 0 \ \land \ y \geqslant 0)[x, y \backslash x \times x, y \times y]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \times x \geqslant 0 \ \land \ y \times y \geqslant 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow true.$$

6. Verify:

```
var a, b : Bool

{True}

if \neg a \lor b \rightarrow a := \neg a

\mid a \lor \neg b \rightarrow b := \neg b

fi

{a \lor b}.
```

Solution:

```
 \begin{aligned} &\textbf{var}\ a,b:Bool \\ &\{\textit{True}\}\\ &\textbf{if}\ \neg\ a\lor b\to \{\neg\ a\lor b\}\ a:=\neg\ a\{a\lor b,\mathsf{Pf}_1\}\\ &|\ a\lor \neg\ b\to \{a\lor \neg\ b\}\ b:=\neg\ b\{a\lor b,\mathsf{Pf}_2\} \\ &\textbf{fi}\\ &\{a\lor b,\mathsf{Pf}_3\} \end{aligned} .
```

Pf₁. To verify the first branch:

$$(a \lor b)[a \backslash \neg a]$$

$$\equiv \neg a \lor b.$$

Pf₂. The other branch is similar.

Pf₃. Certainly $true \Rightarrow \neg a \lor b \lor a \lor \neg b$.

Weakest Precondition

- 7. Given below is a list of statements and predicates. What are the weakest precondition for the predicates to be true after the statement?
 - (a) $x := x \times 2, x > 100;$
 - (b) $x := x \times 2$, even x;
 - (c) $x := x \times 2, x > 100 \land even x;$
 - (d) $x := x \times 2$, odd x.
 - (e) skip, odd x.

Solution:

- (a) $x \times 2 > 100$, that is, x > 50.
- (b) even $(x \times 2)$, which simplifies to *True*.
- (c) $x \times 2 > 100 \land even(x \times 2)$, that is, x > 50.
- (d) odd ($x \times 2$), that is, *False*.
- (e) *odd x*.

8. Prove that $(wp \ S \ Q_0 \lor wp \ S \ Q_1) \Rightarrow wp \ S \ (Q_0 \lor Q_1)$.

```
Solution: Recall from propositional logic that (P \lor Q) \Rightarrow R iff. (P \Rightarrow R) \land (Q \Rightarrow R).

 (wp \ S \ Q_0 \lor wp \ S \ Q_1) \Rightarrow wp \ S \ (Q_0 \lor Q_1) 
\Leftrightarrow \quad \{ \text{ said property above } \} 
 (wp \ S \ Q_0 \Rightarrow wp \ S \ (Q_0 \lor Q_1)) \land 
 (wp \ S \ Q_1 \Rightarrow wp \ S \ (Q_0 \lor Q_1)) 
\Leftrightarrow \quad \{ \text{ Monotonicity } \} 
 (Q_0 \Rightarrow (Q_0 \lor Q_1)) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow (Q_0 \lor Q_1)) 
\Leftrightarrow True .
```

9. Recall the definition of Hoare triple in terms of wp:

$$\{P\} S \{Q\} = P \Rightarrow wp S Q .$$

Prove that

- 1. $(\{P\} S \{Q\} \land (P_0 \Rightarrow P)) \Rightarrow \{P_0\} S \{Q\}.$
- 2. $\{P\} S \{Q\} \land \{P\} S \{R\} \Leftrightarrow \{P\} S \{Q \land R\}$.

Solution:

1. We reason:

2. We reason:

10. Recall the weakest precondition of if:

$$wp ext{ (if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \vee B_1 \rightarrow S_1 ext{ fi) } Q = (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) .$$

Prove that

```
\{P\} if B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \lor B_1 \rightarrow S_1 fi \{Q\} \Leftrightarrow \{P \land B_0\} S \{Q\} \land \{P \land B_1\} S \{Q\} \land (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \lor B_1)).
```

Note: having proved so shows that the way we annotate if is correct:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \{P\} \\ \textbf{if } B_0 \to \{P \wedge B_0\} \, S_0 \, \{Q\} \\ \mid \, B_1 \to \{P \wedge B_1\} \, S_1 \, \{Q\} \\ \textbf{fi} \\ \{Q\} \ . \end{array}
```

```
Solution: We reason:
             \{P\} if B_0 	o S_0 \lor B_1 	o S_1 fi \{Q\}
          P \Rightarrow wp (if B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \lor B_1 \rightarrow S_1 fi) Q
          \Leftrightarrow { definition of wp }
             P \Rightarrow ((B_0 \Rightarrow wp \ S_0 \ Q) \land (B_1 \Rightarrow wp \ S_1 \ Q) \land (B_0 \lor B_1))
          \Leftrightarrow { since (P \Rightarrow (Q \land R)) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q) \land (P \Rightarrow R) }
             (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q)) \land
             (P \Rightarrow (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q)) \land
             (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1))
          \Leftrightarrow { since (P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)) \Leftrightarrow ((P \land Q) \Rightarrow R) }
             ((P \wedge B_0) \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge
             ((P \wedge B_1) \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge
             (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1))
          ⇔ { definition of Hoare triple }
             {P \wedge B_0} S_0 {Q} \wedge
             \{P \wedge B_1\} S_1 \{Q\} \wedge
             (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1)).
```

- 11. Recall that *wp S Q* stands for "the weakest precondition for program *S* to terminate in a state satisfying *Q*". What programs *S*, if any, satisfy each of the following conditions?
 - 1. wp S True = True.
 - 2. wp S True = False.
 - 3. wp S False = True.
 - 4. wp S False = False.

Solution:

- 1. wp S True = True: S is a program that always terminates.
- 2. wp S True = False: S is a program that never terminates.
- 3. $wp \ S \ False = True$: there is no such a program S.
- 4. wp S False = False: S can be any program.