

# Programming Languages: Imperative Program Construction

## 10. Swaps in Arrays

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn Term, 2021

### 1 Swaps

- Extend the notion of function alteration to two entries.

$$(f : x, y \mapsto e_1, e_2) z = \begin{cases} e_1 & \text{if } z = x, \\ e_2 & \text{if } z = y, \\ f z & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Given array  $h$   $[0..N]$  and integer expressions  $E$  and  $F$ , let  $\text{swap } h \ E \ F$  be a primitive operation such that:

$$\text{wp } (\text{swap } h \ E \ F) \ P = \text{def } (h[E]) \wedge \text{def } (h[F]) \wedge P[h \setminus (h : E, F \mapsto h[F], h[E])]$$

- Intuitively,  $\text{swap } h \ E \ F$  means “swapping the values of  $h[E]$  and  $h[F]$ . (See the notes below, however.)

#### Complications

- $\text{swap } h \ E \ F$  does not always literally “swap the values.” For example, it is *not* always the case that

$$\{h[E] = X\} \text{swap } h \ E \ F \{h[F] = X\}.$$

- Consider  $h[0] = 0 \wedge h[1] = 1$ . This does not hold:

$$\{h[h[0]] = 0\} \text{swap } h \ (h[0]) \ (h[1]) \{h[h[1]] = 0\}.$$

- In fact, after swapping we have  $h[0] = 1 \wedge h[1] = 0$ , and hence  $h[h[1]] = 1$ .

#### A Simpler Case

- However, when  $h$  does not occur free in  $E$  and  $F$ , we do have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\{\langle \forall i : i \neq E \wedge i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle\} \wedge \\ & \quad h[E] = X \wedge h[F] = Y) \\ & \text{swap } h \ E \ F \\ & (\{\langle \forall i : i \neq E \wedge i \neq F : h[i] = H \ i \rangle\} \wedge \\ & \quad h[E] = Y \wedge h[F] = X) . \end{aligned}$$

- It is a convenient rule we use when reasoning about swapping.
- Note that, in the rule above,  $E$  and  $F$  are expressions, while  $X, Y, H$  are logical variables.

#### Note: Kaldewaij’s Swap

- Kaldewaij [Kal90, Chapter 10] defined  $\text{swap } h \ E \ F$  as an abbreviation of

$$[[ \text{var } r; r := h[E]; h[E] := h[F]; h[F] := r ]]$$

- where  $r$  is a fresh name and  $[[\dots]]$  denotes a program block with local constants and variables. We have not used this feature so far.
- I do not think this definition is correct, however. The definition would not behave as we expect if  $F$  refers to  $h[E]$ .

### 2 The Dutch National Flag

- Let  $RWB = \{R, W, B\}$  (standing respectively for red, white, and blue).

```

con  $N : \text{Int } \{0 \leq N\}$ 
var  $h : \text{array } [0..N] \text{ of } RWB$ 
var  $r, w : \text{Int}$ 
dutch_national_flag
 $\{0 \leq r \leq w \leq N \wedge$ 
 $\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < r : h[i] = R \rangle \wedge$ 
 $\langle \forall i : r \leq i < w : h[i] = W \rangle \wedge$ 
 $\langle \forall i : w \leq i < N : h[i] = B \rangle \wedge \}$ 

```

- The program shall manipulate  $h$  only by swapping.
- Denote the postcondition by  $Q$ .

#### Invariant

- Introduce a variable  $b$ .
- Choose as invariant  $P_0 \wedge P_1$ , where

```

 $P_0 \equiv P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b$ 
 $P_1 \equiv 0 \leq r \leq w \leq b \leq N$ 
 $P_r \equiv \langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < r : h[i] = R \rangle$ 
 $P_w \equiv \langle \forall i : r \leq i < w : h[i] = W \rangle$ 
 $P_b \equiv \langle \forall i : b \leq i < N : h[i] = B \rangle$ 

```

- $P_0 \wedge P_1$  can be established by  $r, w, b := 0, 0, N$ .
- If  $w = b$ , we get the postcondition  $Q$ .

#### The Plan

```

 $r, w, b := 0, 0, N$ 
 $\{P_0 \wedge P_1, bnd : b - w\}$ 
do  $b \neq w \rightarrow$  if  $h[w] = R \rightarrow S_r$ 
    |  $h[w] = W \rightarrow S_w$ 
    |  $h[w] = B \rightarrow S_b$ 
fi
od
 $\{Q\}$ 

```

#### Observation

- Note that
  - $r$  is the number of red elements detected,
  - $w - r$  is the number of white elements detected,
  - $N - b$  is the number of blue elements detected.
- Therefore,  $S_w$  should contain  $w := w + 1$ ,  $S_b$  should contain  $b := b - 1$ .
- $S_r$  should contain  $r, w := r + 1, w + 1$ , thus  $r$  increases but  $w - r$  is unchanged.
- The bound decreases in all cases! Good sign.

#### White

- The case for white is the easiest, since
 
$$P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge h[w] = W \Rightarrow (P_0 \wedge P_1)[w \setminus w + 1] .$$
- It is sufficient to let  $S_w$  be simply  $w := w + 1$ .

#### Blue

- We have
 
$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge w < b \wedge h[w] = B\}$$

$$\text{swap } h \ w \ (b - 1)$$

$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge w < b \wedge h[b - 1] = B\}$$

$$b := b - 1$$

$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge w \leq b\}$$
- Thus we choose  $\text{swap } h \ w \ (b - 1); b := b - 1$  as  $S_b$ .

#### Red

- Precondition:  $P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge w < b \wedge h[w] = R$ .
- It appears that  $\text{swap } h \ w \ r$  establishes  $P[w \setminus w + 1]$ . But we have to see what  $h[r]$  is before we can increment  $r$ .
- $P_w$  implies  $r < w \Rightarrow h[r] = W$ . Equivalently, we have  $r = w \vee h[r] = W$ .

#### Red: Case $r = w$

- We have
 
$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge r = w < b \wedge h[w] = R\}$$

$$\text{swap } h \ w \ r$$

$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge w < b \wedge h[r] = R\}$$

$$r, w := r + 1, w + 1$$

$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge r = w \leq b\}$$

#### Red: Case $h[r] = W$

- We have
 
$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge w < b \wedge h[r] = W \wedge h[w] = R\}$$

$$\text{swap } h \ w \ r$$

$$\{P_r \wedge h[r] = R \wedge \langle \forall i : r + 1 \leq i < w : h[i] = W \rangle \wedge$$

$$h[w] = W \wedge P_b \wedge w < b\}$$

$$r, w := r + 1, w + 1$$

$$\{P_r \wedge P_w \wedge P_b \wedge r = w \leq b\}$$
- In both cases,  $\text{swap } h \ w \ r; r, w := r + 1, w + 1$  is a valid choice.

## The Program

```

con  $N : \text{Int } \{0 \leq N\}$ 
var  $h : \text{array } [0..N) \text{ of } RWB$ 
var  $r, w, b : \text{Int}$ 
 $r, w, b := 0, 0, N$ 
 $\{P_0 \wedge P_1, bnd : b - w\}$ 
do  $b \neq w \rightarrow$  if  $h[w] = R \rightarrow \text{swap } h \ w \ r$ 
                                $r, w := r + 1, w + 1$ 
    |  $h[w] = W \rightarrow w := w + 1$ 
    |  $h[w] = B \rightarrow \text{swap } h \ w \ (b - 1)$ 
                                $b := b - 1$ 
fi
od
 $\{Q\}$ 

```

## 3 Rotation

### Rotation

- Given:  $h : \text{array } [0..N) \text{ of } A$  with integer constants  $0 \leq K < N$ .
- Task: rotate  $h$  over  $K$  places. That is,  $h[0]$  is moved to  $h[K]$ ,  $h[1]$  to  $h[(1 + K) \bmod N]$ ,  $h[2]$  to  $h[(2 + K) \bmod N]$ ...
- using swap operations only.

### Specification

- ```

con  $K, N : \text{Int } \{0 \leq K < N\}$ 
var  $h : \text{array } [0..N) \text{ of } A$ 

```
- $\{\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N : h[i] = H[i] \rangle\}$   
*rotation*  
 $\{\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N : h[(i + K) \bmod N] = H[i] \rangle\}$  .
  - To eliminate **mod**, the postcondition can be rewritten as:  
 $\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N - K : h[i + K] = H[i] \rangle \wedge$   
 $\langle \forall i : N - K \leq i < N : h[i + K - N] = H[i] \rangle$  .
  - Or,  $h[K..N) = H[0..N - K) \wedge h[0..K) = H[N - K..N)$ .

## Abstract Notations

- For this problem we benefit from using more abstract notations.
- Segments of arrays can be denoted by variables. E.g.  $X = H[0..N - K)$  and  $Y = H[N - K..N)$ .
- Concatenation of arrays are denoted by juxtaposition. E.g.  $H[0..N) = XY$ .
- Empty sequence is denoted by  $[]$ .
- Length of a sequence  $X$  is denoted by  $l \ X$ .
- Specification:

```

 $\{h = XY\}$ 
rotation
 $\{h = YX\}$ 

```

- When  $l \ X = l \ Y$  we can establish the postcondition easily – just swap the corresponding elements.
- Denote swapping of equal-lengthed array segments by  $SWAP \ X \ Y$ .

### Thinking Lengths

- When  $l \ X < l \ Y$ ,  $h$  can be written as  $h = XUV$ ,
- where  $l \ U = l \ X$  and  $UV = Y$ .
- Task:

```

 $\{h = XUV \wedge l \ U = l \ X\}$ 
rotation
 $\{h = UVX\}$ 

```

- Strategy:

```

 $\{h = XUV \wedge l \ U = l \ X\}$ 
 $SWAP \ X \ U$ 
 $\{h = UXV\}$ 
 $??$ 
 $\{h = UVX\}$ 

```

- The part  $??$  shall transform  $XV$  into  $VX$  – a problem having the same form as the original!
- Some (including myself) would then go for a recursive program. But there is another possibility.

## Leading to an Invariant...

- Consider the symmetric case where  $l \ X > l \ Y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\{h = UVY \wedge l \ V = l \ Y\} \\ &\text{SWAP } V \ Y \\ &\{h = UYV\} \\ &?? \\ &\{h = YUV\} \end{aligned}$$

- In general, the array is of them form  $AUVB$ , where  $UV$  needs to be transformed into  $VU$ , while  $A$  and  $B$  are parts that are done.

## The Invariant

- Strategy:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{h = XY\} \\ &A, U, V, B := [], X, Y, [] \\ &\{h = AUVB \wedge YX = AVUB, bnd : l \ U + l \ V\} \\ &\text{do } U \neq [] \wedge V \neq [] \rightarrow \dots \text{od} \\ &\{h = YX\} \end{aligned}$$

- Call the invariant  $P$ . Intuitively it means “currently the array is  $AUVB$ , and if we exchange  $U$  and  $V$ , we are done.”
- Note the choice of guard:  $P \wedge (U = [] \wedge V = []) \Rightarrow h = YX$ .

## An Abstract Program

$$\begin{aligned} &A, U, V, B := [], X, Y, [] \\ &\{h = AUVB \wedge YX = AVUB, bnd : l \ U + l \ V\} \\ &\text{do } U \neq [] \wedge V \neq [] \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{if } l \ U \geq l \ V \rightarrow \quad \text{-- } l \ U_1 = l \ V \\ &\quad \quad \{h = AU_0U_1VB \wedge YX = AVU_0U_1B\} \\ &\quad \quad \text{SWAP } U_1 \ V \\ &\quad \quad \{h = AU_0VU_1B \wedge YX = AVU_0U_1B\} \\ &\quad \quad U, B := U_0, U_1B \\ &\quad \quad \{h = AUVB \wedge YX = AVUB\} \\ &\quad | \ l \ U \leq l \ V \rightarrow \quad \text{-- } l \ V_0 = l \ U \\ &\quad \quad \{h = AUV_0V_1B \wedge YX = AV_0V_1UB\} \\ &\quad \quad \text{SWAP } U \ V_0 \\ &\quad \quad \{h = AV_0UV_1B \wedge YX = AV_0V_1UB\} \\ &\quad \quad A, V := AV_0, V_1 \\ &\quad \quad \{h = AUVB \wedge YX = AVUB\} \\ &\quad \text{fi} \\ &\text{od} \end{aligned}$$

## Representing the Sequences

- Introduce  $a, b, k, l : \text{Int}$ .
- $A = h[0..a)$ ;
- $U = h[a..a + k)$ , hence  $l \ U = k$ ;
- $V = h[b..b + l)$ , hence  $l \ V = l$ ;
- $B = h[b..N)$ .
- Additional invariant:  $a + k = b - l$ .
- Why having both  $k$  and  $l$ ? We will see later.

## A Concrete Program

- Represented using indices:

$$\begin{aligned} &a, k, l, b := 0, N - K, K, N \\ &\text{do } k \neq 0 \wedge l \neq 0 \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{if } k \geq l \rightarrow \text{SWAP } (b - l) \ l \ (-l) \\ &\quad \quad k, b := k - l, b - l \\ &\quad | \ k \leq l \rightarrow \text{SWAP } a \ k \ k \\ &\quad \quad a, l := a + k, l - k \\ &\quad \text{fi} \\ &\text{od} \end{aligned}$$

- where  $\text{SWAP } x \ num \ off$  abbreviates

$$\begin{aligned} &[[ \text{var } n : \text{Int} \\ &\quad n := x \\ &\quad \text{do } n \neq x + num \rightarrow \text{swap } h \ n \ (n + off) \\ &\quad \quad n := n + 1 \\ &\quad \text{od} \\ &]] \end{aligned}$$

- that is, starting from index  $x$ , swap  $num$  elements with those  $off$  positions away.

## Greatest Common Divisor

- To find out the number of swaps performed, we use a variable  $t$  to record the number of swaps.
- If we keep only computation related to  $t, k$ , and  $l$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &k, l, t := N - K, K, 0 \\ &\text{do } k \neq 0 \wedge l \neq 0 \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{if } k \geq l \rightarrow t := t + l; k := k - l \\ &\quad | \ k \leq l \rightarrow t := t + k; l := l - k \\ &\quad \text{fi} \\ &\text{od} \end{aligned}$$

- Observe: the part concerning  $k$  and  $l$  resembles computation of greatest common divisor.
- In fact,  $\gcd k\ l = \gcd N\ (N - K)$ , which is  $\gcd N\ K$ .
- When the program terminates,  $k + l = \gcd N\ K$ .
- It's always true that  $t + k + l = N$ .
- Therefore, the total number of swaps is  $t = N - (k + l) = N - \gcd N\ K$ .

## References

- [Kal90] A. Kaldewaij. *Programming: the Derivation of Algorithms*. Prentice Hall, 1990.