## Programming Languages: Guarded Command Language Summary

## **Weakest Precondition**

The weakest precondition transformer  $\it wp$  satisfies the following rules:

- $wp \ S \ False = False$ .
- $wp \ S \ Q \wedge wp \ S \ R = wp \ S \ (Q \wedge R)$ .
- $wp \ S \ Q \lor wp \ S \ R \Rightarrow wp \ S \ (Q \lor R)$ .

Denote if  $B_0 \to S_0 \mid B_1 \to S_1$  fi by IF, do  $B_0 \to S_0 \mid B_1 \to S_1$  od by DO, and  $B_0 \lor B_1$  by BB.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{wp abort} & \textit{P} = \textit{False} \\ \textit{wp skip} & \textit{P} = \textit{P} \\ \textit{wp } (\textit{x} := \textit{E}) \; \textit{P} = \textit{P}[\textit{x} \backslash \textit{E}] \\ \textit{wp } (\textit{S}; \textit{T}) & \textit{P} = \textit{wp } \textit{S} \; (\textit{wp } \textit{T} \; \textit{P}) \\ \textit{wp } \textit{IF } \textit{P} \\ &= (\textit{B}_0 \Rightarrow \textit{wp } \textit{S}_0 \; \textit{P}) \land (\textit{B}_1 \Rightarrow \textit{wp } \textit{S}_1 \; \textit{P}) \land \textit{BB} \\ &= ((\textit{B}_0 \land \textit{wp } \textit{S}_0 \; \textit{P}) \lor (\textit{B}_1 \land \textit{wp } \textit{S}_1 \; \textit{P})) \\ \textit{wp } \textit{DO } \textit{P} = \\ & \mu \; (\lambda \textit{X} \rightarrow \textit{wp } \textit{IF } \textit{X} \lor (\neg \textit{BB} \land \textit{P})) \; , \end{array}$$

where  $\mu$  F denotes the strongest X such that X = F X. The x := E case shall have a side condition that E is defined.

General case: denote by  $B_i \to S_i$  the guarded commands  $B_0 \to S_0 \mid ... B_{n-1} \to S_{n-1}$ .

$$wp ext{ (if } B_i \rightarrow S_i ext{ fi) } P =$$
  
 $\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : B_i \Rightarrow wp S_i P \rangle \land$   
 $\langle \exists i : 0 \leqslant i < n : B_i \rangle .$ 

When n = 0, we have if  $\mathbf{fi} = abort$ . Similarly,

$$wp (\mathbf{do} \ B_i \to S_i \ \mathbf{od}) \ P = \mu (\lambda X \to wp (\mathbf{if} \ B_i \to S_i \ \mathbf{fi}) \ X \lor (\neg (\exists i : 0 \leqslant i < n : B_i) \land P)) .$$

When n = 0, we have  $\mathbf{do} \ \mathbf{od} = skip$ .

## **Hoare Logic**

**Definition**:  $\{P\} S \{Q\} \equiv P \Rightarrow wp S Q$ .

The definition entails that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{P\} \, skip \, \{Q\} & \equiv P \Rightarrow Q \\ \{P\} \, x := E \, \{Q\} \equiv P \Rightarrow Q[x \backslash E] \\ \{P\} \, S; \, T \, \{Q\} & \equiv \\ \langle \exists R :: \, \{P\} \, S \, \{R\} \, \wedge \, \{R\} \, T \, \{Q\} \rangle \\ \{P\} \, IF \, \{Q\} & \equiv \\ (P \Rightarrow B_0 \vee B_1) \, \wedge \\ \{P \wedge B_0\} \, S_0 \, \{Q\} \, \wedge \, \{P \wedge B_1\} \, S_1 \, \{Q\} \end{array}$$

There is also a side condition that E is defined.

Regarding loops, by the Fundamental Invariance Theorem, the weakest precondition of DO entails that  $\{P\}\ DO\ \{Q\}$  follows from

- 1.  $P \wedge \neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1 \Rightarrow Q$
- 2.  $\{P \wedge B_0\} S_0 \{P\}$  and  $\{P \wedge B_1\} S_1 \{P\}$ , and
- 3. there exists an integer function t on the state space such that
  - (a)  $[P \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) \Rightarrow t \geqslant 0]$ ,
  - (b)  $\{P \land B_0 \land t = C\} S_0 \{t < C\}$ , and
  - (c)  $\{P \wedge B_1 \wedge t = C\} S_1 \{t < C\}.$

**Properties** Hoare triples satisfy the following rules:

- $\{P\} S \{false\} \equiv \neg P$ ,
- $\{P\} S \{Q\} \land (P_0 \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow \{P_0\} S \{Q\},$
- $\{P\} S \{Q\} \land (Q \Rightarrow Q_0) \Rightarrow \{P\} S \{Q_0\},$
- $\{P\} S \{Q\} \land \{P\} S \{R\} \Rightarrow \{P\} S \{Q \land R\},$
- $\{P\} S \{Q\} \land \{R\} S \{Q\} \Rightarrow \{P \lor R\} S \{Q\}.$

## References

- [Dij76] E. W. Dijkstra. *A Discipline of Programming*. Prentice Hall, 1976.
- [Kal90] A. Kaldewaij. *Programming: the Derivation of Algorithms*. Prentice Hall, 1990.