Programming Languages: Imperative Program Construction Practicals 2. Propositional Logic

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn Term, 2021

Prove each of the following properties using only axioms or theorems established before it (for example, prove (3.11) using only (1.?) and (3.1) - (3.10)).

Note that there are more than one ways to prove a property. You may discover a proof that is better than the one given in the solution.

1. Prove (3.9): $\neg (p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$.

```
Solution: 
\neg(p \equiv q) \\
= \{ \text{ definition of } False (3.15) \} \\
p \equiv q \equiv False \\
= \{ \text{ symmetry } (3.2) \text{ and associativity } (3.1) \text{ of } \equiv \} \\
p \equiv False \equiv q \\
= \{ \text{ definition of } False (3.15) \} \\
\neg p \equiv q
```

2. Prove (3.12): $\neg \neg p \equiv p$.

```
Solution: 
\neg p

= { definition of False (3.5), associativity (3.1) and symmetry (3.2) of \equiv }

\neg p \equiv False

= { definition of False (3.5) }

p
```

3. Prove (3.13): $\neg False \equiv True$.

```
Solution:

¬False
```

```
= { definition of False (3.5) }
False ≡ False
= { identity of ≡ (3.3) }
True
```

4. Prove (3.29): $p \lor True \equiv True$.

```
Solution:

p \lor True
= \left\{ \text{ identity of} \equiv (3.3) \right\}
p \lor (p \equiv p)
= \left\{ \text{ distributivity (3.27)} \right\}
p \lor p \equiv p \lor p
= \left\{ \text{ identity of} \equiv (3.3) \right\}
True
```

5. Prove (3.32): $p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q \equiv p$.

```
Solution:

p \lor q \equiv p \lor \neg q
= \left\{ \text{ distributivity (3.27) } \right\}
p \lor (q \equiv \neg q)
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } False \text{ (3.15) } \right\}
p \lor False
= \left\{ \text{ identity of } \lor \text{ (3.30) } \right\}
```

6. Prove (3.42): $p \land \neg p \equiv False$.

```
Solution:

p \land \neg p
= \{ \text{ golden rule (3.35) } \}
p \equiv \neg p \equiv p \lor \neg p
= \{ \text{ excluded middle (3.28) } \}
p \equiv \neg p \equiv True
= \{ \text{ identity of } True (3.3) \}
```

```
p \equiv \neg p
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } False \left( 3.15 \right) \right\}
False
Another proof:
p \land \neg p \equiv False
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } False \left( 3.15 \right) \right\}
p \land \neg p \equiv p \equiv \neg p
= \left\{ \text{ golden rule } \left( 3.35 \right) \right\}
p \lor \neg p
= \left\{ \text{ excluded middle } \left( 3.28 \right) \right\}
True
```

7. Prove (3.43a): $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$.

```
Solution:

p \land (p \lor q)
= \{ \text{ golden rule (3.35) } \}
p \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor p \lor q
= \{ \text{ idempotency of } \lor (3.26) \}
p \equiv p \lor q \equiv p \lor q
= \{ \text{ identity of } \equiv (3.3) \}
p \equiv True
= \{ \text{ identity of } \equiv (3.3) \}
p
```

8. Prove (3.44a). $p \land (\neg p \lor q) \equiv p \land q$.

```
Solution:

p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)
= \{ \text{ golden rule (3.35) } \}
p \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv p \vee \neg p \vee q
= \{ \text{ excluded middle (3.28) } \}
p \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv \text{True} \vee q
= \{ \text{ zero of } \vee (3.29) \text{ and identity of } \equiv (3.3) \}
p \equiv \neg p \vee q
= \{ (3.32), \text{ with } p, q := q, p \}
p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q
```

=
$$\{ \text{ golden rule (3.35)} \}$$

 $p \land q$

9. Prove (3.65): $p \land q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$.

```
Solution:
p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)
= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \}
p \land (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv p
= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \}
p \land (q \land r \equiv q) \equiv p
= \{ (3.49) \}
p \land q \land r \equiv p \land q \equiv p \equiv p
= \{ \text{ identity of } \equiv (3.3) \}
p \land q \land r \equiv p \land q
= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \}
(p \land q) \Rightarrow r
```

10. Prove (3.66): $p \land (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \land q$.

```
Solution: p \land (p \Rightarrow q)
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \right\}
p \land (p \land q \equiv p)
= \left\{ (3.49) \text{ and idempotency of } \land (3.38) \right\}
p \land q \equiv p \equiv p
= \left\{ \text{ identity of } \equiv (3.3) \right\}
p \land q
```

11. Prove (3.67): $p \land (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p$.

```
Solution: p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p)
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \right\}
p \wedge (q \wedge p \equiv q)
= \left\{ (3.49) \text{ and idempotency of } \wedge (3.38) \right\}
```

$$p \land q \equiv p \land q \equiv p$$

= { identity of $\equiv (3.3)$ }

12. Prove (3.68): $p \lor (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv True$.

```
Solution: p \lor (p \Rightarrow q)
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.57) \right\}
p \lor (p \lor q \equiv q)
= \left\{ \text{ distributity (3.27) and idempotency (3.26)} \right\}
p \lor q \equiv p \lor q
= \left\{ \text{ identity of } \equiv (3.3) \right\}
True
Another proof: p \lor (p \Rightarrow q)
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \right\}
p \lor \neg p \lor q
= \left\{ \text{ excluded middle (3.28) and zero of } \lor (3.29) \right\}
True
```

13. Prove (3.69): $p \lor (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv q \Rightarrow p$.

Solution:

$$p \lor (q \Rightarrow p)$$

$$= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \}$$

$$p \lor \neg q \lor p$$

$$= \{ \text{ idempotency of } \lor (3.26) \}$$

$$p \lor \neg q$$

$$= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \}$$

$$q \Rightarrow p$$

14. Prove (3.78): $(p \Rightarrow r) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q \Rightarrow r)$.

Solution: $p \lor q \Rightarrow r$ $= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \right\}$ $\neg (p \lor q) \lor r$ $= \left\{ \text{ de Morgan (3.47)} \right\}$ $(\neg p \land \neg q) \lor r$ $= \left\{ \text{ distributivity (3.45)} \right\}$ $(\neg p \lor r) \land (\neg q \lor r)$ $= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \right\}$ $(p \Rightarrow r) \land (q \Rightarrow r)$

15. Prove that $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (p \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q \land r)$.

Solution:
$$(p \Rightarrow q) \land (p \Rightarrow r)$$

$$= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \}$$

$$(\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r)$$

$$= \{ \text{ distributivity } (3.45) \}$$

$$\neg p \lor (q \land r)$$

$$= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.60) \}$$

$$p \Rightarrow q \land r$$

16. Prove that $(r \Rightarrow)$ is monotonic with respect to implication. That is, $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((r \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (r \Rightarrow q))$.

```
Solution:

(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((r \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (r \Rightarrow q))
= \{ \text{ shunting } (3.65) \}
((p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow p)) \Rightarrow (r \Rightarrow q)
= \{ \text{ shunting } (3.65) \}
((p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow p) \land r) \Rightarrow q
= \{ (3.66) \}
((p \Rightarrow q) \land p \land r) \Rightarrow q
= \{ (3.66) \}
(q \land p \land r) \Rightarrow q
= \{ \text{ weakening } (3.76b) \}
True
```

17. Prove that $(\Rightarrow r)$ is anti-monotonic with respect to implication. That is, $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r))$.

```
Solution:
(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r))
= \left\{ \text{ shunting } (3.65) \right\}
((p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)
= \left\{ \text{ shunting } (3.65) \right\}
((p \Rightarrow q) \land (q \Rightarrow r) \land p) \Rightarrow r
= \left\{ (3.66) \right\}
(p \land q \land (q \Rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow r
= \left\{ (3.66) \right\}
(p \land q \land r) \Rightarrow r
= \left\{ \text{ weakening } (3.76b) \right\}
True
```

18. Prove that conjunction is monotonic with respect to implication. That is, $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((p \land r) \Rightarrow (q \land r))$.

```
Solution:

(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((p \land r) \Rightarrow (q \land r))
= \{ \text{ shunting } (3.65) \}
((p \Rightarrow q) \land p \land r) \Rightarrow (q \land r)
= \{ (3.66) \}
(p \land q \land r) \Rightarrow (q \land r)
= \{ \text{ weakening } (3.76b) \}
True
```

19. Prove (4.3) $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \land r \Rightarrow q \land r)$. Start with the consequent, since it has more structure.

```
Solution: p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge r
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \right\}
\neg p \vee \neg r \vee (q \wedge r)
= \left\{ \text{ distributivity } (3.45) \right\}
\neg p \vee ((\neg r \vee q) \wedge (\neg r \vee r))
= \left\{ \text{ excluded middle } (3.28) \text{ and identity of } \wedge (3.39) \right\}
\neg p \vee \neg r \vee q
\Leftarrow \left\{ \text{ strengthening } (3.76a) \right\}
\neg p \vee q
```

```
= \{ definition of \Rightarrow (3.59) \} p \Rightarrow q
```

20. Prove (3.76d) $p \lor (q \land r) \Rightarrow p \lor q$ using inequality reasoning. Start with the antecedent, since it has more structure, and use distributivity.

```
Solution:

p \lor (q \land r)
= \{ \text{ distributivity (3.45) } \}
(p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)
\Rightarrow \{ \text{ weakening (3.76b) } \}
p \lor q
```

21. Prove $(p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s)$ using inequality reasoning. **Hint**: first remove the implication in the antecedent, distribute as much as possible, and use (3.76d) and an absorption theorem.

```
Solution:
(p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s)
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \right\}
(\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg r \lor s)
= \left\{ \text{ distributivity } (3.46) \right\}
(\neg p \land \neg r) \lor (\neg p \land s) \lor (q \land \neg r) \lor (q \land s)
\Rightarrow \left\{ (3.76d) \right\}
(\neg p \land \neg r) \lor s \lor (q \land \neg r) \lor q
= \left\{ \text{ absorption } (3.43b) \right\}
(\neg p \land \neg r) \lor s \lor q
= \left\{ \text{ de Morgan } (3.47b) \right\}
\neg (p \lor r) \lor s \lor q
= \left\{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \right\}
p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s
```

22. Prove (4.1) $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p)$ by assuming the antecedent.

```
Solution: Assume: p q \Rightarrow p
```

=
$$\{ \text{ assumption } p \}$$

 $q \Rightarrow True$
= $\{ \text{ zero of } \Rightarrow (3.76b) \}$
 $True$

23. Prove $(\neg p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q)$ by assuming the antecedent.

```
Solution:

Assume: \neg p \Rightarrow q

p \Rightarrow q
= \{ \text{ definition of } \Rightarrow (3.59) \}
\neg p \lor q
\Rightarrow \{ \text{ assumption, and monotonicity of } \lor (4.2) \}
q \lor q
= \{ \text{ idempotency of } \lor (3.26) \}
q
```

24. Prove $(p \Rightarrow p') \land (q \Rightarrow q') \Rightarrow (p \lor q \Rightarrow p' \lor q')$ by assuming the antecedent.

```
Solution:
Assume: (p \Rightarrow p') and (q \Rightarrow q')
p \lor q
\Rightarrow \{ \text{ assumption, and monotonicity of } \lor (4.2) \}
p' \lor q
\Rightarrow \{ \text{ assumption, and monotonicity of } \lor (4.2) \}
p' \lor q'
```