PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES: IMPERATIVE PROGRAM CONSTRUCTION 9. ARRAY MANIPULATION

Shin-Cheng Mu Autumn Term, 2021

National Taiwan University and Academia Sinica

Materials in these notes are mainly from Kaldewaij. Some examples are adapted from the course CSci 550: Program Semantics and Derivation taught by Prof. H. Conrad Cunningham, University of Mississippi.



ASSIGNMENT REVISITED

· Recall the weakest precondition for assignments:

$$wp(x := E) P = P[x \setminus E]$$
.

That is not the whole story... since we have to be sure that
 E is defined!

DEFINEDNESS

- In our current language, given expression E there is a systematic (inductive) definition on what needs to be proved to ensure that E is defined. Let's denote it by def E.
- · We will not go into the detail but give examples.
- For example, if there is division in *E*, the denominator must not be zero.
 - $def(x + y / (z + x)) = (z + x \neq 0).$
 - · $def(x + y / 2) = (2 \neq 0) = True$.

WEAKEST PRECONDITION

· A more complete rule:

$$wp(x := E) P = P[x \setminus E] \wedge def E$$
.

In fact, all expressions need to be defined. E.g.

wp (if
$$B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1$$
 fi) $P = B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 P \land B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 P \land (B_0 \lor B_1) \land def B_0 \land def B_1$.

How come we have never mentioned so?

- How come we have never mentioned so?
- The first partial operation we have used was division. And the denominator was usually a constant (namely, 2!).

ARRAY BOUND

- Array indexing is a partial operation too we need to be sure that the index is within the domain of the array.
- Let A: array [M..N) of Int and let I be an expression. We define $def(A[I]) = def I \land M \leq I < N$.
- E.g. given $A : \mathbf{array} [0..N)$ of Int,
 - $def(A[x / z] + A[y]) = z \neq 0 \land 0 \leqslant x / z < N \land 0 \leqslant y < N.$
 - wp $(s := s \uparrow A[n]) P = P[s \setminus s \uparrow A[n]] \land 0 \le n < N.$
- We never made it explicit, because conditions such as
 0 ≤ n < N were usually already in the invariant/guard and
 thus discharged immediately.

ARRAY ASSIGNMENT

ARRAY ASSIGNMENT

- So far, all our arrays have been constants we read from the arrays but never wrote to them!
- Consider a: array [0..2) of Int, where a[0] = 1 and a[1] = 1.
- · It should be true that

```
{a[0] = 1 \land a[1] = 1}
a[a[1]] := 0
{a[a[1]] = 1}.
```

However, if we use the previous wp,

```
wp (a[a[1]] := 0) (a[a[1]] = 1)

\equiv (a[a[1]] = 1)[a[a[1]] \setminus 0]

\equiv 0 = 1

\equiv False.
```

What went wrong?

ANOTHER COUNTEREXAMPLE

- For a more obvious example where our previous wp does not work for array assignment:
- wp (a[i] := 0) $(a[2] \neq 0)$ appears to be $a[2] \neq 0$, since a[i] does not appear (verbatim) in $a[2] \neq 0$.
- But what if i = 2?

ARRAYS AS FUNCTIONS

- An array is a function. E.g. a : array [0..N) of Bool is a function $Int \rightarrow Bool$ whose domain is [0..N).
- Indexing a[n] is function application.
 - Some textbooks use the same notation for function application and array indexing.
 - · (Could that have been a better choice for this course?)

FUNCTION ALTERATION

• Given $f: A \to B$, let $(f: x \to e)$ denote the function that maps x to e, and otherwise the same as f.

$$(f:x \rightarrow e) y = e$$
, if $x = y$;
= $f y$, otherwise.

• For example, given $f x = x^2$, $(f:1 \rightarrow -1)$ is a function such that

$$(f:1 \rightarrow -1) \ 1 = -1 \ ,$$

$$(f:1 \rightarrow -1) \ x = x^2 \ , \text{ if } x \neq 1.$$

WP FOR ARRAY ASSIGNMENT

- Key: assignment to array should be understood as altering the entire function.
- Given $a : \operatorname{array}[M..N)$ of A (for any type A), the updated rule:

$$wp \ (a[l] := E) \ P = P[a \setminus (a:l \rightarrow E)] \land def \ (a[l]) \land def \ E \ .$$

In our examples, def (a[I]) and def E can often be discharged immediately. For example, the boundary check M ≤ I < N can often be discharged soon. But do not forget about them.

THE EXAMPLE

· Recall our example

```
{a[0] = 1 \land a[1] = 1}

a[a[1]] := 0

{a[a[1]] = 1}.
```

· We aim to prove

```
a[0] = 1 \land a[1] = 1 \Rightarrow

wp (a[a[1]] := 0) (a[a[1]] = 1) .
```

```
Assume a[0] = 1 \land a[1] = 1.
         wp (a[a[1]] := 0) (a[a[1]] = 1)
      \equiv { def. of wp for array assignment }
         (a:a[1] \rightarrow 0)[(a:a[1] \rightarrow 0)[1]] = 1
      \equiv { assumption: a[1] = 1 }
         (a:1 \rightarrow 0)[(a:1 \rightarrow 0)[1]] = 1
      \equiv { def. of alteration: (a:1 \rightarrow 0)[0] = 0 }
         (a:1 \rightarrow 0)[0] = 1
      \equiv { def. of alteration: (a:1 \rightarrow 0)[0] = a[0] }
         a[0] = 1
      \equiv { assumption: a[0] = 1 }
```

True.

RESTRICTIONS

- In this course, parallel assignments to arrays are not allowed.
- This is done to avoid having to define what the following program ought to do:

```
x, y := 0, 0;

a[x], a[y] := 0, 1
```

• It is possible to give such programs a definition (e.g. choose an order), but we prefer to keep it simple.

TYPICAL ARRAY MANIPULATION IN A

LOOP

EXAMPLE: ALL ZEROS

Consider:

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}
var h: array [0..N) of Int
allzeros
\{\langle \forall i: 0 \le i < N: h[i] = 0 \rangle \}
```

THE USUAL DRILL

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}
var h : array [0..N) of Int
var n: Int
n := 0
\{\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < n : h[i] = 0 \rangle \land 0 \leq n \leq N,
   bnd: N - n
do n \neq N \rightarrow ?
                   n := n + 1
od
\{ \langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N : h[i] = 0 \rangle \}
```

CONSTRUCTING THE LOOP BODY

• With $0 \le n \le N \land n \ne N$:

$$\begin{split} & \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : h[i] = 0 \rangle [n \backslash n + 1] \\ & \equiv \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n + 1 : h[i] = 0 \rangle \\ & \equiv \quad \{ \text{ split off } i = n \} \\ & \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : h[i] = 0 \rangle \wedge h[n] = 0 \enspace . \end{split}$$

• If we conjecture that ? is an assignment h[I] := E, we ought to find I and E such that the following can be satisfied:

$$\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : h[i] = 0 \rangle \land 0 \leqslant n < N \Rightarrow$$

 $\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : (h:I + E)[i] = 0 \rangle \land$
 $(h:I + E)[n] = 0$.

- An obvious choice: $(h:n \to 0)$,
- · which almost immediately leads to

$$\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : (h:n \cdot 0)[i] = 0 \rangle \land$$

$$(h:n \cdot 0)[n] = 0$$

$$\equiv \quad \{ \text{ function alteration } \}$$

$$\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : h[i] = 0 \rangle \land 0 = 0$$

$$\Leftarrow \langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < n : h[i] = 0 \rangle \land 0 \leqslant n < N .$$

THE PROGRAM

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}

var h: array [0..N) of Int

var n: Int

n := 0

\{(\forall i : 0 \le i < n : h[i] = 0) \land 0 \le n \le N,

bnd : N - n\}

do n \ne N \to h[n] := 0; n := n + 1 od

\{(\forall i : 0 \le i < N : h[i] = 0)\}
```

Obvious, but useful.

- The calculation can certainly be generalised.
- Given a function $H:Int \rightarrow A$, and suppose we want to establish

$$\langle \forall i : 0 \leqslant i < N : h[i] = H i \rangle$$
,

where H does not depend on h (e.g, h does not occur free in H).

- Let $P \cap n = 0 \le n < N \land (\forall i : 0 \le i < n : h[i] = H i)$.
- We aim to establish P(n+1), given $P n \wedge n \neq N$.

· One can prove the following:

```
\begin{aligned} & \{P \ n \wedge n \neq N \wedge E = H \ n\} \\ & h[n] := E \\ & \{P \ (n+1)\} \end{aligned},
```

· which can be used in a program fragment...

```
\{P\ 0\}
n := 0
\{P n, bnd : N - n\}
do n \neq N \rightarrow
      { establish E = H n }
   h[n] := E
   n := n + 1
od
\{ \langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N : h[i] = H i \rangle \}
```

- Why do we need *E*? Isn't *E* simply *H n*?
- In some cases H n can be computed in one expression. In such cases we can simply do h[n] := H n.
- In some cases E may refer to previously computed results
 other variables, or even h.
 - Yes, E may refer to h while H does not. There are such examples in the Practicals.

EXAMPLE: HISTOGRAM

Consider:

```
con N: Int \{0 \le N\}; X: array [0..N) of Int \{ \langle \forall i: 0 \le i < N: 1 \le X[i] \le 6 \rangle \}
var h: array [1..6] of Int
histogram
\{ \langle \forall i: 1 \le i \le 6: h[i] = \langle \#k: 0 \le k < N: X[k] = i \rangle \rangle \}
```

THE UP LOOP AGAIN

```
    Let P n denote

  \langle \forall i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 : h[i] = \langle \#k : 0 \leq k < n : X[k] = i \rangle \rangle.

    A program skeleton:

          con N: Int \{0 \leq N\}; X: array [0..N) of Int
          \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N : 1 \leq X[i] \leq 6 \rangle\}
         var h : array [1..6] of Int; n : Int
          initialise
          n := 0
          \{P \ n \land 0 \leqslant n \leqslant N, bnd : N - n\}
          do n \neq N \rightarrow ?
                              n := n + 1
          od
          \{ \langle \forall i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 : h[i] = \}
              \langle \#k : 0 \leq k < N : X[k] = i \rangle \rangle
```

• The *initialise* fragment has to satisfy P 0, that is

· which can be performed by allzeros.

CONSTRUCTING THE LOOP BODY

· Let's calculate P(n+1), assuming $0 \le n < N$:

```
\langle \forall i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6 : h[i] = 
\langle \#k : 0 \leqslant k < n + 1 : X[k] = i \rangle \rangle
\equiv \quad \{ \text{ split off } k = n \}
\langle \forall i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6 : h[i] = 
\langle \#k : 0 \leqslant k < n : X[k] = i \rangle + \#(X[n] = i) \rangle
```

• Recall that $\#: Bool \rightarrow Int$ is the function such that

$$\#$$
 False = 0 $\#$ True = 1 .

- Again we conjecture that h[I] := E will do the trick. We want to find I ane E such that
- $P \cap A \cap A \leq n < N \Rightarrow (P(n+1))[h \setminus (h:I \rightarrow E)]$ can be proved.

• Assume
$$P \, n \wedge 0 \leqslant n < N$$
, consider $(P \, (n+1))[h \setminus (h:l + E)]$

- $\forall i: 1 \leq i \leq 6: (h:I \rightarrow E)[i] =$

- $\langle \#k : 0 \leq k < n : X[k] = i \rangle + \#(X[n] = i) \rangle$

- $\equiv \{Pn\}$
- $\langle \forall i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 : (h:I \rightarrow E)[i] =$
- h[i] + #(X[n] = i)
- - \equiv { defn. of # }

- $\langle \forall i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 : (h:I \rightarrow E)[i] = V i \rangle$, where

- V i = h[i] + 1, if X[n] = i;

29 / 30

- h[i], if $X[n] \neq i$.

• Therefore one chooses l = X[n] and F = h[X[n]] + 1

 $\langle \forall i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 : (h:I \rightarrow E)[i] =$ $(h:X[n]\rightarrow h[i]+1)[i]$.

THE PROGRAM

```
Let P \cap A \equiv \langle \forall i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6 : h[i] = \langle \#k : 0 \leqslant k < n : X[k] = i \rangle \rangle.
       con N: Int \{0 \le N\}; X: array [0..N) of Int
       \{\langle \forall i : 0 \leq i < N : 1 \leq X[i] \leq 6 \rangle\}
      var h : array [1..6] of Int
       var n: Int
       n := 1
       do n \neq 7 \rightarrow h[n] := 0; n := n + 1 od
       \{P\ 0\}
       n := 0
       \{P \ n \land 0 \leqslant n \leqslant N, bnd : N - n\}
       do n \neq N \to h[X[n]] := h[X[n]] + 1
                            n := n + 1
       od
       \{ \langle \forall i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 : h[i] = \}
```