

# Programming Languages: Imperative Program Construction

## Practicals 0: Non-Looping Constructs and Weakest Precondition

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn Term, 2022

### Guarded Command Language Basics

1. Which of the following Hoare triples hold?

- (a)  $\{x = 7\} \text{skip} \{ \text{odd } x \};$
- (b)  $\{x > 60\} x := x \times 2 \{x > 100\};$
- (c)  $\{x > 40\} x := x \times 2 \{x > 100\};$
- (d)  $\{ \text{true} \} \text{if } x \leq y \rightarrow y := y - x \mid x \geq y \rightarrow x := x - y \text{ fi} \{x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0\};$
- (e)  $\{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \} \text{if } x \leq y \rightarrow y := y - x \mid x \geq y \rightarrow x := x - y \text{ fi} \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \}.$

**Solution:** As the first exercise I expect merely that you answer by informal reasoning. What follows is the more formal approach which you will learn later.

(a) The Hoare triple holds because:

$$\begin{aligned} & wp \text{ skip } (\text{odd } x) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\ & \text{odd } x \\ \Leftarrow & x = 7 . \end{aligned}$$

(b) The Hoare triple holds because:

$$\begin{aligned} & wp (x := x \times 2) (x > 100) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\ & x \times 2 > 100 \\ \Leftarrow & x > 60 . \end{aligned}$$

(c) The Hoare triple does not hold because:

$$\begin{aligned} & wp (x := x \times 2) (x > 100) \\ \equiv & x \times 2 > 100 \\ \not\Leftarrow & x > 40 . \end{aligned}$$

(d) The annotated **if** statement is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \text{True} \} \\ \text{if } & x \leq y \rightarrow \{x \leq y\} y := y - x \{x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0\} \\ & \quad x \geq y \rightarrow \{x \geq y\} x := x - y \{x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0\} \\ \text{fi} & \\ & \{x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0\} . \end{aligned}$$

That  $x \leq y \vee x \geq y$  certainly holds. For the Hoare triple in the first branch we reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0)[y \setminus y - x] \\ \equiv & x \geq 0 \wedge y - x \geq 0 \\ \equiv & x \geq 0 \wedge x \leq y \\ \not\equiv & x \leq y . \end{aligned}$$

The situation with the other branch is similar. The bottom line is that the initial Hoare triple does *not* hold.

The initial Hoare triple would be true if the precondition were  $x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0$ .

(e) The annotated **if** statement is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \} \\ \text{if } & x \leq y \rightarrow \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \wedge x \leq y \} y := y - x \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \} \\ & x \geq y \rightarrow \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \wedge x \geq y \} x := x - y \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \} \\ \text{fi} & \\ & \{ \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \} . \end{aligned}$$

That  $x \leq y \vee x \geq y$  certainly holds. For the Hoare triple in the first branch we reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y)[y \setminus y - x] \\ \equiv & \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } (y - x) \\ \equiv & \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \\ \Leftarrow & \text{even } x \wedge \text{even } y \wedge x \leq y . \end{aligned}$$

The situation with the other branch is similar. The bottom line is that the initial Hoare triple does hold.

2. Is it always true that  $\{ \text{True} \} x := E \{ x = E \}$ ? If you think the answer is yes, explain why. If your answer is no, give a counter example.

**Solution:** No. For a counterexample, let  $E$  be  $x + 1$ .

When do we have the property that  $\{ \text{True} \} x := E \{ x = E \}$ ? Since  $(x = E)[x \setminus E] \equiv (E = E[x \setminus E])$ , the Hoare triple holds if and only if  $E = E[x \setminus E]$ . Examples of such  $E$  include those that do not contain  $x$ , or those that are idempotent functions on  $x$ , for example  $E = 0 \uparrow x$ .

The actual forward rule for assignment (due to Floyd) is:

$$\{ P \} x := E \{ (\exists x_0 :: x = E[x \setminus x_0] \wedge P[x \setminus x_0]) \} ,$$

where  $x_0$  is a fresh name.

3. Verify:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ x = X \wedge y = Y \} \\ x & := x \not\equiv y \\ y & := x \not\equiv y \\ x & := x \not\equiv y \\ & \{ x = Y \wedge y = X \} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are boolean and  $(\not\equiv)$  is the “not equal” or “exclusive or” operator. In fact, the code above works

for any  $(\otimes)$  that satisfies the properties that for all  $a, b$ , and  $c$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{associative} : a \otimes (b \otimes c) &= (a \otimes b) \otimes c, \\ \text{unipotent} : a \otimes a &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where 1 is the unit of  $(\otimes)$ , that is,  $1 \otimes b = b = b \otimes 1$ .

**Solution:** The annotated program is:

```
{x = X ∧ y = Y, Pf2}
x := x ⊗ y
{y = Y ∧ x ⊗ y = X, Pf1}
y := x ⊗ y
{x ⊗ y = Y ∧ y = X}
x := x ⊗ y
{x = Y ∧ y = X} .
```

Pf<sub>1</sub>:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \otimes y = Y \wedge y = X) [x \otimes y / y] \\ \equiv & x \otimes (x \otimes y) = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X \\ \equiv & \{ (\otimes) \text{ associative} \} \\ & (x \otimes x) \otimes y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X \\ \equiv & \{ \text{unipotence} \} \\ & 1 \otimes y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X \\ \equiv & \{ \text{identity} \} \\ & y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X . \end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>:

$$\begin{aligned} & (y = Y \wedge x \otimes y = X) [x \otimes y / x] \\ \equiv & y = Y \wedge (x \otimes y) \otimes y = X \\ \equiv & \{ (\otimes) \text{ associative} \} \\ & y = Y \wedge x \otimes (y \otimes y) = X \\ \equiv & \{ \text{unipotence} \} \\ & y = Y \wedge x \otimes 1 = X \\ \equiv & \{ \text{identity} \} \\ & y = Y \wedge x = X . \end{aligned}$$

4. Verify the following program:

```
var r, b : Int
{0 ≤ r < 2 × b}
if b ≤ r → r := r - b
| r < b → skip
fi
{0 ≤ r < b}
```

**Solution:** The annotated program is:

```

var  $r, b : \text{Int}$ 
 $\{0 \leq r < 2 \times b\}$ 
if  $b \leq r \rightarrow \{0 \leq r < 2 \times b \wedge b \leq r\} r := r - b \{0 \leq r < b, \text{Pf}_1\}$ 
   $| r < b \rightarrow \{0 \leq r < 2 \times b \wedge r < b\} \text{skip} \{0 \leq r < b, \text{Pf}_2\}$ 
fi
 $\{0 \leq r < b, \text{Pf}_3\}$ 

```

$\text{Pf}_1$ . We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (0 \leq r < b) [r \setminus r - b] \\
 & \equiv 0 \leq r - b < b \\
 & \equiv b \leq r < 2 \times b \\
 & \Leftarrow 0 \leq r < 2 \times b \wedge b \leq r .
 \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Pf}_2$ . Trivial.

$\text{Pf}_3$ . Certainly any proposition implies  $b \leq r \vee r < b$ .

5. Verify:

```

var  $x, y : \text{Int}$ 
 $\{ \text{True} \}$ 
 $x, y := x \times x, y \times y$ 
if  $x \geq y \rightarrow x := x - y$ 
   $| y \geq x \rightarrow y := y - x$ 
fi
 $\{x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0\} .$ 

```

**Solution:** For brevity we abbreviate  $x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0$  to  $P$ . The fully annotated program could be:

```

 $\{ \text{True} \}$ 
 $x, y := x \times x, y \times y$ 
 $\{P, \text{Pf}_4\}$ 
if  $x \geq y \rightarrow \{x \geq y \wedge P\} x := x - y \{P, \text{Pf}_1\}$ 
   $| y \geq x \rightarrow \{y \geq x \wedge P\} y := y - x \{P, \text{Pf}_2\}$ 
fi
 $\{P, \text{Pf}_3\} .$ 

```

To verify the **if** branching, we check that

$\text{Pf}_1$ .  $\{x \geq y \wedge P\} x := x - y \{P\}$ . The Hoare triple is valid because

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0) [x \setminus x - y] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x - y \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0 \\
 & \Leftrightarrow x \geq y \wedge y \geq 0 \\
 & \Leftarrow x \geq y \wedge x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>.  $\{y \geq x \wedge P\} y := y - x \{P\}$ . Omitted.

Pf<sub>3</sub>. And indeed  $x \geq y \vee y \geq x$  always holds, thus  $P \Rightarrow x \geq y \vee y \geq x$ .

Do not forget that we have yet to verify  $\{true\} x, y := x \times x, y \times y \{P\}$ , which is not difficult either:

Pf<sub>4</sub>.

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \geq 0 \wedge y \geq 0)[x, y \setminus x \times x, y \times y] \\ \Leftrightarrow & x \times x \geq 0 \wedge y \times y \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & true. \end{aligned}$$

6. Verify:

```
var a, b : Bool
{ True }
if ¬ a ∨ b → a := ¬ a
| a ∨ ¬ b → b := ¬ b
fi
{ a ∨ b } .
```

**Solution:**

```
var a, b : Bool
{ True }
if ¬ a ∨ b → { ¬ a ∨ b } a := ¬ a { a ∨ b, Pf1 }
| a ∨ ¬ b → { a ∨ ¬ b } b := ¬ b { a ∨ b, Pf2 }
fi
{ a ∨ b, Pf3 } .
```

Pf<sub>1</sub>. To verify the first branch:

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \vee b)[a \setminus \neg a] \\ \equiv & \neg a \vee b. \end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>. The other branch is similar.

Pf<sub>3</sub>. Certainly  $true \Rightarrow \neg a \vee b \vee a \vee \neg b$ .

7. Assuming that  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are integers, prove the following

- (a)  $\{True\} \text{ if } x \geq 1 \rightarrow x := x + 1 \mid x \leq 1 \rightarrow x := x - 1 \text{ fi } \{x \neq 1\}$ .
- (b)  $\{True\} \text{ if } x \geq y \rightarrow skip \mid y \geq x \rightarrow x, y := y, x \text{ fi } \{x \geq y\}$ .
- (c)  $\{x = 0\} \text{ if } True \rightarrow x := 1 \mid True \rightarrow x := -1 \{x = 1 \vee x = -1\}$ .
- (d)  $\{A = x \times y + z\} \text{ if even } x \rightarrow x, y := x / 2, y \times 2 \mid True \rightarrow y, z := y - 1, z + x \{A = x \times y + z\}$ .

**Solution:** The annotated program is

```

{A = x × y + z}
if even x → {A = x × y + z ∧ even x} x, y := x / 2, y × 2 {A = x × y + z, Pf0}
| True → {A = x × y + z} y, z := y - 1, z + x {A = x × y + z, Pf1}
fi
{A = x × y + z, Pf2}

```

Pf<sub>0</sub>: We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A = x \times y + z)[x, y \setminus x / 2, y \times 2] \\
& \equiv A = (x / 2) \times (y \times 2) + z \\
& \Leftarrow A = x \times y + z \wedge \text{even } x .
\end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>: We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A = x \times y + z)[y, z \setminus y - 1, z + x] \\
& \equiv A = x \times (y - 1) + (z + x) \\
& \Leftarrow A = x \times y + z .
\end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>: Certainly  $P \Rightarrow Q \wedge \text{True}$  for any  $P$  and  $Q$ .

(e)  $\{x \times y = 0 \wedge y \leq x\}$  **if**  $y < 0 \rightarrow y := -y \mid y = 0 \rightarrow x := -1 \{x < y\}$ .

**Solution:** The annotated program is

```

{x × y = 0 ∧ y ≤ x}
if y < 0 → {x × y = 0 ∧ y ≤ x ∧ y < 0} y := -y {x < y, Pf0}
| y = 0 → {x × y = 0 ∧ y ≤ x ∧ y = 0} x := -1 {x < y, Pf1}
fi
{x < y, Pf2}

```

Pf<sub>0</sub>: Note that  $x \times y = 0$  equals  $x = 0 \vee y = 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& x \times y = 0 \wedge y \leq x \wedge y < 0 \\
& \equiv (x = 0 \vee y = 0) \wedge y \leq x \wedge y < 0 \\
& \equiv \{ \text{distributivity} \} \\
& (x = 0 \wedge y \leq x \wedge y < 0) \vee (y = 0 \wedge y \leq x \wedge y < 0) \\
& \equiv \{ \text{since } (y = 0 \wedge y \leq x \wedge y < 0) \equiv \text{False} \} \\
& x = 0 \wedge y \leq x \wedge y < 0 \\
& \equiv x = 0 \wedge y < 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the Hoare triple we reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x < y)[y \setminus -y] \\
& \equiv x < -y \\
& \Leftarrow x = 0 \wedge y < 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>1</sub>: We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x < y)[x \setminus -1] \\
& \equiv -1 < y \\
& \Leftarrow x \times y = 0 \wedge y \leq x \wedge y = 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>: We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \times y = 0 \wedge y \leq x \\
 \equiv & (x = 0 \vee y = 0) \wedge y \leq x \\
 \equiv & \{ \text{distributivity} \} \\
 & (x = 0 \wedge y \leq x) \vee (y = 0 \wedge y \leq x) \\
 \Rightarrow & y < 0 \vee y = 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

### Weakest Precondition of Simple Statements

8. Given below is a list of statements and predicates. What are the weakest precondition for the predicates to be true after the statement?

- (a)  $x := x \times 2, x > 100$ ;
- (b)  $x := x \times 2, \text{even } x$ ;
- (c)  $x := x \times 2, x > 100 \wedge \text{even } x$ ;
- (d)  $x := x \times 2, \text{odd } x$ .
- (e)  $\text{skip}, \text{odd } x$ .

**Solution:**

- (a)  $x \times 2 > 100$ , that is,  $x > 50$ .
- (b)  $\text{even } (x \times 2)$ , which simplifies to *True*.
- (c)  $x \times 2 > 100 \wedge \text{even } (x \times 2)$ , that is,  $x > 50$ .
- (d)  $\text{odd } (x \times 2)$ , that is, *False*.
- (e)  $\text{odd } x$ .

9. Determine the weakest  $P$  that satisfies

- (a)  $\{P\} x := x + 1; x := x + 1 \{x \geq 0\}$ .
- (b)  $\{P\} x := x + y; y := 2 \times x \{y \geq 0\}$ .
- (c)  $\{P\} x := y; y := x \{x = A \wedge y = B\}$ .
- (d)  $\{P\} x := E; x := E \{x = E\}$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a)} \quad & wp(x := x + 1; x := x + 1)(x \geq 0) \\
 &= wp(x := x + 1)(wp(x := x + 1)(x \geq 0)) \\
 &= wp(x := x + 1)(x + 1 \geq 0) \\
 &= (x + 1) + 1 \geq 0 \\
 &= x \geq -2 .
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) \quad & wp(x := x + y; y := 2 \times x) (y \geq 0) \\
&= wp(x := x + y) (wp(y := 2 \times x) (y \geq 0)) \\
&= wp(x := x + y) (2 \times x \geq 0) \\
&= 2 \times (x + y) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(c) \quad & wp(x := y; y := x) (x = A \wedge y = B) \\
&\equiv wp(x := y) (wp(y := x) (x = A \wedge y = B)) \\
&\equiv wp(x := y) (x = A \wedge x = B) \\
&\equiv y = A \wedge y = B \\
&\equiv y = A = B .
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(d) \quad & wp(x := E; x := E) (x = E) \\
&\equiv wp(x := E) (wp(x := E) (x = E)) \\
&\equiv wp(x := E) ((x = E)[x \setminus E]) \\
&\equiv wp(x := E) (E = E[x \setminus E]) \\
&\equiv (E = E[x \setminus E])[x \setminus E] \\
&\equiv E[x \setminus E] = (E[x \setminus E])[x \setminus E] .
\end{aligned}$$

The equation certainly does not hold in general. One example where it does hold is  $E = (-x) \uparrow 0$ , for which we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[x \setminus E] \\
&= (-((-x) \uparrow 0)) \uparrow 0 \\
&= (x \downarrow 0) \uparrow 0 \\
&= 0 \\
&= (-0) \uparrow 0 \\
&= (-((-((-x) \uparrow 0)) \uparrow 0)) \uparrow 0 \\
&= (E[x \setminus E])[x \setminus E] .
\end{aligned}$$

Let me know if you have a more interesting  $E$ .

10. What is the weakest  $P$  such that the following holds?

```

var x : Int
{P}
x := x + 1
if x > 0 → x := x + 1
  | x < 0 → x := x + 2
  | x = 1 → skip
fi
{x ≥ 1} .

```

**Solution:** Denote the **if** statement by IF. The aim is to compute  $wp(x := x + 1; \text{IF}) (x \geq 1)$ .

Recall the definition of  $wp$  for **if**. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
wp \text{ IF } (x \geq 1) &= (x > 0 \Rightarrow wp(x := x + 1) (x \geq 1)) \wedge \\
&\quad (x < 0 \Rightarrow wp(x := x + 2) (x \geq 1)) \wedge \\
&\quad (x = 1 \Rightarrow wp \text{ skip } (x \geq 1)) \wedge \\
&\quad (x > 0 \vee x < 0 \vee x = 1) .
\end{aligned}$$



We calculate the four conjuncts separately:

- $$\begin{aligned} x > 0 &\Rightarrow wp(x := x + 1)(x \geq 1) \\ &\equiv x > 0 \Rightarrow x + 1 \geq 1 \\ &\equiv x > 0 \Rightarrow x \geq 0 \\ &\equiv \text{True} . \end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned} x < 0 &\Rightarrow wp(x := x + 2)(x \geq 1) \\ &\equiv x < 0 \Rightarrow x + 2 \geq 1 \\ &\equiv x < 0 \Rightarrow x \geq -1 \\ &\equiv \{ (P \Rightarrow Q) = (\neg P \vee Q) \} \\ &\quad x \geq 0 \vee x \geq -1 \\ &\equiv x \geq -1 . \end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned} x = 1 &\Rightarrow wp \text{ skip } (x \geq 1) \\ &\equiv x = 1 \Rightarrow x \geq 1 \\ &\equiv \text{True} . \end{aligned}$$
- Furthermore,  $x > 0 \vee x < 0 \vee x = 1$  simplifies to  $x \neq 0$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &wp \text{ IF } (x \geq 1) \\ &= \text{True} \wedge x \geq -1 \wedge \text{True} \wedge x \neq 0 \\ &= x \geq -1 \wedge x \neq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, recall what we want to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} &wp(x := x + 1; \text{IF})(x \geq 1) \\ &= wp(x := x + 1)(wp \text{ IF } (x \geq 1)) \\ &= wp(x := x + 1)(x \geq -1 \wedge x \neq 0) \\ &= x + 1 \geq -1 \wedge x + 1 \neq 0 \\ &= x \geq -2 \wedge x \neq -1 . \end{aligned}$$

11. Two programs  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are equivalent if, for all  $Q$ ,  $wp S_0 Q = wp S_1 Q$ . Show that the two following programs are equivalent.

**if**  $B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1$  **fi**;  $S$   
**if**  $B_0 \rightarrow S_0; S \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1; S$  **fi**

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
& wp(\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}; S) Q \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\
& wp(\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}) (wp S Q) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\
& (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 (wp S Q)) \wedge \\
& (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 (wp S Q)) \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\
& (B_0 \Rightarrow wp (S_0; S) Q) \wedge \\
& (B_1 \Rightarrow wp (S_1; S) Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) \\
&= \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\
& wp(\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0; S \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1; S \text{ fi}) Q .
\end{aligned}$$

12. Consider the two programs:

$$\begin{aligned}
IF_0 &= \text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi} , \\
IF_1 &= \text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \wedge \neg B_0 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi} .
\end{aligned}$$

Show that for all  $Q$ ,  $wp IF_0 Q \Rightarrow wp IF_1 Q$ .

**Solution:** Firstly, we show that  $B_0 \vee (B_1 \wedge \neg B_0) = B_0 \vee B_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_0 \vee (B_1 \wedge \neg B_0) \\
&= \{ \text{distributivity} \} \\
& (B_0 \vee B_1) \wedge (B_0 \vee \neg B_0) \\
&= (B_0 \vee B_1) \wedge \text{True} \\
&= B_0 \vee B_1 .
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, recall that

- conjunction is monotonic, that is,  $(P_0 \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (P_1 \wedge Q)$  if  $P_0 \Rightarrow P_1$ ;
- implication is anti-monotonic in its first argument, that is  $(P_0 \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (P_1 \Rightarrow Q)$  if  $P_1 \Rightarrow P_0$ .

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& wp(\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}) Q \\
&= (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) \\
&= \{ \text{since } B_0 \vee (B_1 \wedge \neg B_0) = B_0 \vee B_1 \} \\
& (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee (B_1 \wedge \neg B_0)) \\
&\Rightarrow \{ \text{since } B_1 \wedge \neg B_0 \Rightarrow B_1, \text{ (anti-)monotonicity as discussed above.} \} \\
& (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge (B_1 \wedge \neg B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee (B_1 \wedge \neg B_0)) \\
&= wp(\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \wedge \neg B_0 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}) Q .
\end{aligned}$$

### Properties of Weakest Precondition

13. Prove that  $(wp S Q_0 \vee wp S Q_1) \Rightarrow wp S (Q_0 \vee Q_1)$ .

**Solution:** Recall from propositional logic that  $(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow R$  iff.  $(P \Rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (wp\ S\ Q_0 \vee wp\ S\ Q_1) \Rightarrow wp\ S\ (Q_0 \vee Q_1) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{said property above} \} \\
 & (wp\ S\ Q_0 \Rightarrow wp\ S\ (Q_0 \vee Q_1)) \wedge \\
 & (wp\ S\ Q_1 \Rightarrow wp\ S\ (Q_0 \vee Q_1)) \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{Monotonicity} \} \\
 & (Q_0 \Rightarrow (Q_0 \vee Q_1)) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow (Q_0 \vee Q_1)) \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{True} .
 \end{aligned}$$

14. Recall the definition of Hoare triple in terms of  $wp$ :

$$\{P\} S \{Q\} = P \Rightarrow wp\ S\ Q .$$

Prove that

1.  $(\{P\} S \{Q\} \wedge (P_0 \Rightarrow P)) \Rightarrow \{P_0\} S \{Q\}$ .
2.  $\{P\} S \{Q\} \wedge \{P\} S \{R\} \equiv \{P\} S \{Q \wedge R\}$ .

**Solution:**

1. We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{P_0\} S \{Q\} \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{definition of Hoare triple} \} \\
 & P_0 \Rightarrow wp\ S\ Q \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{since } P_0 \Rightarrow P \} \\
 & P \Rightarrow wp\ S\ Q \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{definition of Hoare triple} \} \\
 & \{P\} S \{Q\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

2. We reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{P\} S \{Q \wedge R\} \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{definition of Hoare triple} \} \\
 & P \Rightarrow wp\ S\ (Q \wedge R) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{distributivity over conjunction} \} \\
 & P \Rightarrow (wp\ S\ Q \wedge wp\ S\ R) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } (P \Rightarrow (X \wedge Y)) \equiv (P \Rightarrow X) \wedge (P \Rightarrow Y) \} \\
 & (P \Rightarrow wp\ S\ Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow wp\ S\ R) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{definition of Hoare triple} \} \\
 & \{P\} S \{Q\} \wedge \{P\} S \{R\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

15. Recall the weakest precondition of **if**:

$$wp\ (\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi})\ Q = (B_0 \Rightarrow wp\ S_0\ Q) \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow wp\ S_1\ Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1) .$$

Prove that

$$\{P\} \text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{fi } \{Q\} \equiv \\ \{P \wedge B_0\} S \{Q\} \wedge \{P \wedge B_1\} S \{Q\} \wedge (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1)) .$$

**Note:** having proved so shows that the way we annotate **if** is correct:

$$\{P\} \\ \text{if } B_0 \rightarrow \{P \wedge B_0\} S_0 \{Q\} \\ \mid B_1 \rightarrow \{P \wedge B_1\} S_1 \{Q\} \\ \text{fi} \\ \{Q\} .$$

**Solution:** We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{P\} \text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{fi } \{Q\} \\ = & \{ \text{definition of Hoare triple} \} \\ & P \Rightarrow wp (\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \mid B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{fi}) Q \\ = & \{ \text{definition of } wp \} \\ & P \Rightarrow ((B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge (B_0 \vee B_1)) \\ = & \{ \text{since } (P \Rightarrow (Q \wedge R)) \equiv (P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \Rightarrow R) \} \\ & (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q)) \wedge \\ & (P \Rightarrow (B_1 \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q)) \wedge \\ & (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1)) \\ = & \{ \text{since } (P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)) \equiv ((P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R) \} \\ & ((P \wedge B_0) \Rightarrow wp S_0 Q) \wedge \\ & ((P \wedge B_1) \Rightarrow wp S_1 Q) \wedge \\ & (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1)) \\ = & \{ \text{definition of Hoare triple} \} \\ & \{P \wedge B_0\} S_0 \{Q\} \wedge \\ & \{P \wedge B_1\} S_1 \{Q\} \wedge \\ & (P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1)) . \end{aligned}$$

16. Recall that  $wp \ S \ Q$  stands for “the weakest precondition for program  $S$  to terminate in a state satisfying  $Q$ ”. What programs  $S$ , if any, satisfy each of the following conditions?

1.  $wp \ S \ True = True$ .
2.  $wp \ S \ True = False$ .
3.  $wp \ S \ False = True$ .
4.  $wp \ S \ False = False$ .

**Solution:**

1.  $wp \ S \ True = True$ :  $S$  is a program that always terminates.
2.  $wp \ S \ True = False$ :  $S$  is a program that never terminates.
3.  $wp \ S \ False = True$ : there is no such a program  $S$ .
4.  $wp \ S \ False = False$ :  $S$  can be any program.