## Programming Languages: Imperative Program Construction Practicals 4: Hoare Logic and Weakest Precondition: Loop

## Shin-Cheng Mu

## Autumn Term, 2022

1. Prove the correctness of the following program:

con 
$$N : Int \{ N \ge 0 \}$$
  
var  $x, y : Int$   
 $x, y := 0, 1$   
do  $x \ne N \rightarrow x, y := x + 1, y + y$  od  
 $\{ y = 2^N \}$ 

**Solution:** Denote  $y = 2^x \land x \leqslant N$  by P. Use P as the invariant and N - x as bound.

con 
$$N : Int \{ N \ge 0 \}$$
  
var  $x, y : Int$   
 $x, y := 0, 1$  -- Pf0  
 $\{ P, bnd : N - x \}$  -- Pf2  
do  $x \ne N \rightarrow \{ P \land x \ne N \} x, y := x + 1, y + y \{ P \}$  od -- Pf1  
 $\{ y = 2^N \}$  -- Pf3

Pf0.

$$(y = 2^{x} \land x \leqslant N)[x, y \backslash 0, 1]$$

$$\equiv 1 = 2^{0} \land 0 \leqslant N$$

$$\Leftarrow 0 \leqslant N.$$

Pf1.

$$(y = 2^{x} \land x \leq N)[x, y \backslash x + 1, y + y]$$

$$\equiv y + y = 2^{x} \land x + 1 \leq N$$

$$\Leftarrow y = 2^{x} \land x \leq N \land x \neq N.$$

Pf2. It is certainly true that

$$y = 2^x \wedge x \leq N \wedge x \neq N \Rightarrow N - x \geq 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$(N - x < C)[x, y \setminus x + 1, y + y]$$

$$\equiv N - x - 1 < C$$

$$\Leftarrow y = 2^x \land x \leqslant N \land x \neq N \land N - x = C.$$

Pf3. It is immediate that

$$y = 2^x \wedge x \leq N \wedge x = N \Rightarrow y = 2^N$$
.

2. Prove the correctness of the following program:

```
con N: Int \{N \ge 0\}
var y: Int
y := 1
do y < N \rightarrow y := y + y od
\{y \ge N \land (\exists k : k \ge 0 : y = 2^k)\}
```

**Solution:** We let the invariant be  $(\exists k : k \ge 0 : y = 2^k)$ . The annotated program is:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{con} \ N: Int \ \{N\geqslant 0\} \\ \mathbf{var} \ y: Int \\ y:=1 & -- \text{Pf0} \\ \{\langle \exists k: k\geqslant 0: y=2^k\rangle, bnd: N-y\} & -- \text{Pf1} \\ \mathbf{do} \ y< N\rightarrow y:=y+y \ \mathbf{od} & -- \text{Pf2} \\ \{y\geqslant N \land \langle \exists k: k\geqslant 0: y=2^k\rangle\} & -- \text{Pf3} \end{array}$$

Pf<sub>0</sub>. We reason:

$$\langle \exists k : k \geqslant 0 : y = 2^k \rangle [y \setminus 1]$$

$$\equiv \langle \exists k : k \geqslant 0 : 1 = 2^k \rangle$$

$$\Leftarrow \quad \{ \text{ range weakening } \}$$

$$\langle \exists k : k = 0 : 1 = 2^k \rangle$$

$$\equiv \quad \{ \text{ one-point rule } \}$$

$$1 = 2^0$$

$$\equiv True .$$

Pf<sub>1</sub>. Apparently y < N implies  $N - y \ge 0$ . To prove that the bound decreases, we reason:

$$(N - y < C)[y \setminus y + y]$$

$$\equiv N - (y + y) < C$$

$$\Leftarrow N - y = C \land y > 0$$

$$\Leftarrow N - y = C \land \langle \exists k : k = 0 : 1 = 2^k \rangle .$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>. We reason:

$$\langle \exists k : k \geqslant 0 : y = 2^k \rangle [y \backslash y + y]$$

$$\equiv \langle \exists k : k \geqslant 0 : y + y = 2^k \rangle$$

$$\Leftarrow \langle \exists k : k \geqslant 0 : y = 2^k \rangle.$$

Pf<sub>3</sub>. Immediate.

3. Given integers  $N \ge 0$  and M > 0, the following program computes integral division N / M. Prove its correctness.

```
con N, M: Int \{N \ge 0 \land M > 0\}

var l, r: Int

l, r:= 0, N+1

do l+1 \ne r \rightarrow

if ((l+r)/2) \times M \le N \rightarrow l:= (l+r)/2

|((l+r)/2) \times M > N \rightarrow r:= (l+r)/2

fi

od

\{l \times M \le N < (l+1) \times M\}
```

**Solution:** Let  $P \equiv l \times M \le N < r \times M \land 0 \le l < r$ . Use P as the invariant and r - l as bound.

con 
$$N, M : Int \{ N \ge 0 \land M > 0 \}$$
  
var  $l, r : Int$   
 $l, r := 0, N + 1$  -- Pf0  
 $\{ l \times M \le N < r \times M \land 0 \le l < r, bnd : r - l \}$  -- Pf3  
do  $l + 1 \ne r \rightarrow$   
if  $((l + r) / 2) \times M \le N \rightarrow l := (l + r) / 2$  -- Pf1  
 $| ((l + r) / 2) \times M > N \rightarrow r := (l + r) / 2$  -- Pf2  
fi  
od -- Pf4  
 $\{ l \times M \le N < (l + 1) \times M \}$ 

 $Pf_0$ . We reason:

$$(l \times M \leqslant N < r \times M \land 0 \leqslant l < r)[l, r \backslash 0, N+1]$$
  

$$\equiv 0 \times M \leqslant N < (N+1) \times M \land 0 \leqslant 0 < N+1$$
  

$$\Leftarrow 0 < M \land 0 \leqslant N.$$

Pf<sub>1</sub>. We reason:

$$\begin{array}{l} (l \times M \leqslant N < r \times M \ \land \ 0 \leqslant l < r)[l \backslash (l+r) \ / \ 2] \\ \equiv ((l+r) \ / \ 2) \times M \leqslant N < r \times M \ \land \ 0 \leqslant (l+r) \ / \ 2 < r \\ \Leftarrow l \times M \leqslant N < r \times M \ \land \ 0 \leqslant l < r \land \\ ((l+r) \ / \ 2) \times M \leqslant N \ \land \ l+1 \neq r \ . \end{array}$$

Pf<sub>2</sub>. We reason:

$$\begin{array}{l} (l \times M \leqslant N < r \times M \ \land \ 0 \leqslant l < r)[r \backslash (l+r) \ / \ 2] \\ \equiv l \times M \leqslant N < ((l+r) \ / \ 2) \times M \ \land \ 0 \leqslant l < (l+r) \ / \ 2 \\ \Leftarrow l \times M \leqslant N < r \times M \ \land \ 0 \leqslant l < r \ \land \\ N < ((l+r) \ / \ 2) \times M \ \land \ l+1 \neq r \ . \end{array}$$

Note that mere  $0 \le l < r$  does not guarantee l < (l + r) / 2 in integral division. We need  $l + 1 \ne r$  here.

Pf<sub>3</sub>. Termination. The invariant guarantees that  $r - l \ge 0$ . We need show that the bound decreases. For the first branch of **if**,

$$(r - l < C)[l \setminus (l + r) / 2]$$

$$\equiv r - (l + r) / 2 < C$$

$$\Leftarrow r - l = C \land l < (l + r) / 2$$

$$\equiv \{ \text{ integer arithmetic } \}$$

$$r - l = C \land 0 \leqslant l < r \land l + 1 \neq r .$$

Note that mere  $0 \le l < r$  does not guarantee l < (l + r) / 2 in integral division and we do need  $l + 1 \ne r$  here. For the second branch we reason:

$$(r - l < C)[r \setminus (l + r) / 2]$$

$$\equiv ((l + r) / 2) - l < C$$

$$\Leftarrow r - l = C \land (l + r) / 2 < r$$

$$\equiv \{ \text{ integer arithmetic } \}$$

$$r - l = C \land 0 \leqslant l < r .$$

Pf<sub>4</sub>. Certainly,  $l \times M \le N < r \times M$  and l + 1 = r implies  $l \times M \le N < (l + 1) \times M$ .

4. The following program non-deterministically computes x and y such that  $x \times y = N$ . Prove:

```
con N : Int \{ N \ge 1 \}

var p, x, y : Int

p, x, y := N - 1, 1, 1

\{ N = x \times y + p \wedge ... \}

do p \ne 0 \rightarrow

if p \mod x = 0 \rightarrow y, p := y + 1, p - x

| p \mod y = 0 \rightarrow x, p := x + 1, p - y

fi

od

\{ x \times y = N \}
```

**Solution:** If we try reasoning about the first branch:

$$(N = x \times y + p)[y, p \setminus y + 1, p - x]$$

$$\equiv N = x \times (y + 1) + p - x$$

$$\equiv N = x \times y + p,$$

we notice that  $N = x \times y + p$  does not need the guard  $p \mod x$  to hold. The guards, however, do play a role in Pf2 to maintain the invariant.

We use the invariant

$$P : (N = x \times y + p) \land (0 \le p) \land (0 < x) \land (0 < y) \land (p \mod x = 0 \lor p \mod y = 0)$$

and bound p.

```
con N: Int \{N \geqslant 1\}
var p, x, y : Int
p, x, y := N - 1, 1, 1
                                                                                              -- Pf0
\{P, bnd : p\}
                                                                                              -- Pf1
do p \neq 0 \rightarrow
   if p \mod x = 0 \to \{P \land p \neq 0 \land p \mod x = 0\} \ y, p := y + 1, p - x \{P\}
                                                                                             -- Pf2
    p \mod y = 0 \rightarrow \{P \land p \neq 0 \land p \mod y = 0\} x, p := x + 1, p - y \{P\} - Pf3
   fi
   {P}
                                                                                              -- Pf4
od
\{x \times y = N\}
                                                                                              -- Pf5
```

Pf0.

$$P[p, x, y \setminus N - 1, 1, 1]$$
  
 $\equiv N = 1 + (N - 1) \land 0 \leq N - 1 \land 0 < 1 \land 0 < 1 \land ((N - 1) \text{ mod } 1 = 0 \lor (N - 1) \text{ mod } 1 = 0)$   
 $\Leftarrow N \geq 1$ .

Pf1. Apparently  $P \land \neg (p \neq 0) \Rightarrow p \geqslant 0$ . The bound p decreases after the assignment p := p - x because 0 < x. More precisely, for the first branch:

$$(p < C)[y, p \setminus y + 1, p - x]$$

$$\equiv p - x < C$$

$$\Leftarrow p = C \land x > 0$$

$$\Leftarrow p = C \land P \land p \neq 0.$$

Similarly with the second branch (omitted).

Pf2. We reason:

$$(N = x \times y + p \land 0 \le p \land 0 < x \land 0 < y \land (p \bmod x = 0 \lor p \bmod y = 0))[y, p \backslash y + 1, p - x]$$

$$\equiv N = x \times (y + 1) + (p - x) \land 0 \le p - x \land 0 < x \land 0 < y + 1 \land$$

$$((p - x) \bmod x = 0 \lor (p - x) \bmod (y + 1) = 0)$$

$$\Leftarrow N = x \times y + p \land 0 \le p \land 0 < x \land 0 < y \land (p \bmod x = 0 \lor p \bmod y = 0) \land p \bmod x = 0.$$

Examine more closely how the last  $\Leftarrow$  holds.

- (a)  $N = x \times (y + 1) + (p x)$  and  $N = x \times y + p$  are equivalent;
- (b)  $0 \le p x$  follows from  $p \ne 0$  and  $p \mod x = 0$  (if p < x,  $p \mod x$  would be p);
- (c)  $((p-x) \mod x = 0 \lor (p-x) \mod (y+1) = 0)$ , being a disjunction, follows from  $p \mod x = 0$ .

Pf3. We reason:

$$(N = x \times y + p \land 0 \le p \land 0 < x \land 0 < y \land (p \bmod x = 0 \lor p \bmod y = 0))[x, p \backslash x + 1, p - y]$$

$$\equiv N = (x + 1) \times y + (p - y) \land 0 \le p - y \land 0 < x + 1 \land 0 < y \land$$

$$((p - y) \bmod (x + 1) = 0 \lor (p - y) \bmod y = 0)$$

$$\Leftarrow N = x \times y + p \land 0 \le p \land 0 < x \land 0 < y \land (p \bmod x = 0 \lor p \bmod y = 0) \land p \bmod y = 0.$$

Pf4. Here we only have to show that  $p \mod x = 0 \lor p \mod y = 0$ , which is included in the invariant P.

Pf5. Certainly, 
$$P \wedge p = 0 \Rightarrow x \times y = N$$
.

5. Prove the correctness of the following program:

con *N*: Int 
$$\{N \ge 0\}$$
  
var  $x, y : Int$   
 $x, y := 0, 0$   
do  $x \ne 0 \rightarrow x := x - 1$   
 $| y \ne N \rightarrow x, y := x + 1, y + 1$   
od  
 $\{x = 0 \land y = N\}$ 

**Solution:** Apparently the negation of the guards equivals  $x = 0 \land y = N$ . The difficult part is the proof of termination.

The variable x decreases in one of the branches, therefore we might want to have x in the bound. The variable y increases, therefore we might want -y in the bound. And since each time y increment, x increment too, we weigh y twice as much as x. That gives us  $x - 2 \times y$ . And since the final value of  $x - 2 \times y$  would be -2 N, we add 2 N to the bound. Thus we pick the bound to be  $x + 2 \times (N - y)$ .

Let the invariant be  $P \equiv 0 \leqslant x \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N$ . The annotated program is:

**con** 
$$N : Int \{ N \ge 0 \}$$
  
**var**  $x, y : Int$   
 $x, y := 0, 0$  -- Pf0  
 $\{ P, bnd : x + 2 \times (N - y) \}$  -- Pf1  
**do**  $x \ne 0 \rightarrow x := x - 1$  -- Pf2  
 $| y \ne N \rightarrow x, y := x + 1, y + 1$  -- Pf3  
**od**  
 $\{ x = 0 \land y = N \}$  -- Pf4

Pf0. We reason:

$$P[x, y \setminus 0, 0]$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant 0 \land 0 \leqslant 0 \leqslant N$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant N.$$

Pf1. It is immediate that  $P \land (x \neq 0 \lor y \neq N)$  implies  $bnd \geqslant 0$ . That the first branch decreases the bound is apparent. For the second branch we reason:

$$(x + 2 \times (N - y) < C)[x, y \setminus x + 1, y + 1]$$

$$\equiv (x + 1) + 2 \times (N - y - 1) < C$$

$$\equiv x + 2 \times (N - y) + 1 - 2 < C$$

$$\Leftarrow x + 2 \times (N - y) = C.$$

Pf2.

$$(0 \leqslant x \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N)[x \backslash x - 1]$$
  

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant x - 1 \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N$$
  

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant x \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N \land x \neq 0.$$

Pf3.

$$(0 \leqslant x \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N)[x, y \backslash x + 1, y + 1]$$
  

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant x + 1 \land 0 \leqslant y + 1 \leqslant N$$
  

$$\Leftarrow 0 \leqslant x \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N \land y \neq N.$$

Pf4. Apparently,  $\neg(x \neq 0 \lor y \neq N) \equiv x = 0 \land y = N$ , and thus  $P \land \neg(x \neq 0 \lor y \neq N) \Rightarrow x = 0 \land y = N$ .

6. Prove the correctness of the following program:

```
con N : Int \{ N \ge 0 \}

var x, y : Int

x, y := 0, 0

do x \ne 0 \to x := x - 1

| y \ne N \to x, y := N, y + 1

od

\{ x = 0 \land y = N \}
```

**Solution:** Again, the negation of the guards equivals  $x = 0 \land y = N$  and the difficult part is the proof of termination.

Since x decrements in one of the branches, we might want x in the bound. In another branch, N-y decrements. However, x is set to N each time y decrements by 1. To balance that, one possible guess for the bound is  $x + N \times (N-y)$ . This turns out to be not sufficient (see Pf<sub>1</sub> below) — we need the increment of y to decrease the bound a bit more. The bound we choose turns out to be:

$$x + (N + 1) \times (N - y)$$
.

To prove the bound we use the following *P* as the loop invariant:

$$P \equiv 0 \leqslant x \leqslant N \wedge 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N .$$

The invariant is only needed for proof of termination.

**con** 
$$N : Int \{ N \ge 0 \}$$
  
**var**  $x, y : Int$   
 $x, y := 0, 0$  -- Pf0  
 $\{ P, bnd : x + (N+1) \times (N-y) \}$  -- Pf1  
**do**  $x \ne 0 \rightarrow x := x - 1$  -- Pf2  
 $| y \ne N \rightarrow x, y := N, y + 1$  -- Pf3  
**od**  
 $\{ x = 0 \land y = N \}$  -- Pf4

Pf0. We reason:

$$P[x, y \setminus 0, 0]$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant 0 \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant 0 \leqslant N$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant N .$$

Pf1. It is immediate that  $P \land (x \neq 0 \lor y \neq N)$  implies  $bnd \geqslant 0$ . That the first branch decreases the bound is apparent. For the second branch we reason:

$$(x + (N + 1) \times (N - y) < C)[x, y \setminus N, y + 1]$$

$$\equiv N + (N + 1) \times (N - y - 1) < C$$

$$\equiv N + (N + 1) \times (N - y) - (N + 1) < C$$

$$\equiv (-1) + (N + 1) \times (N - y) < C$$

$$\Leftarrow x + (N + 1) \times (N - y) = C \land 0 \leqslant x$$

Note that, had we use  $x + N \times (N - y)$  as the bound, the proof would not go through:

$$(x + N \times (N - y) < C)[x, y \setminus N, y + 1]$$

$$\equiv N + N \times (N - y - 1) < C$$

$$\equiv N + N \times (N - y) - N < C$$

$$\equiv N \times (N - y) < C$$

$$\not\Leftarrow x + N \times (N - y) = C \land 0 \leqslant x \text{ (since } x \text{ could be } 0).$$

Pf2.

$$(0 \leqslant x \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N)[x \backslash x - 1]$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant x - 1 \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant x \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N \land x \neq 0.$$

Pf3.

$$(0 \leqslant x \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N)[x, y \backslash N, y + 1]$$

$$\equiv 0 \leqslant N \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant y + 1 \leqslant N$$

$$\Leftarrow 0 \leqslant x \leqslant N \land 0 \leqslant y \leqslant N \land y \neq N.$$

Pf4. Apparently,  $\neg (x \neq 0 \lor y \neq N) \equiv x = 0 \land y = N$ , and thus  $P \land \neg (x \neq 0 \lor y \neq N) \Rightarrow x = 0 \land y = N$ .