

# Time 1

Scott O'Connor

February 21, 2016

## The A-Series and the B-Series

- Events are ordered in time. The A-series and the B-series are different theories about the nature of this ordering.
- A-properties are one-place relations: *being past, being two days past, being present, being future, being 1 year in the future*.
  - Time flows by events changing their A-properties.
  - For example, in 1992 the event of Obama winning the election had the property of being a future event; an event that will happen. At that time, it did not have the property of being a present event; an event that was currently happening. Nor did it have the property of being a past event; an event that had occurred. This event briefly acquired the property of being present in 2008 before losing that property and becoming a past event.
- B-properties are two-place relations: *earlier than, later than, simultaneous with*
  - B-properties are relations between events, e.g., the event of Obama winning the election was later than the event of George Bush winning the election.
  - Events never change their B-properties, e.g., it is eternally true that Obama won the election after George Bush won the election.
  - Consider a spatial analogy....volunteers please.
- A-Series: both A and B properties exist.
- B-Series: A properties cannot exist. Only B-properties exist.

## Objects at Different Times

Distinguish two senses of 'x exists now'. 1) 'x is present'. 2) 'x is in the domain of all things that exist.'

**Presentism** is the view that only present objects exist. If we were to make an accurate list of all the things that exist, there would not be a single non-present object on the list. Thus, you and the Taj Mahal would be on the list, but neither Socrates nor any future Martian outposts would be included. The same goes for any other putative object that lacks the property of being present. All such objects are unreal, according to Presentism.

**Eternalism** is the view that objects from both the past and the future exist just as much as present objects. According to Eternalism, non-present objects like Socrates and future Martian outposts exist right now, even though they are not currently present. We may not be able to see them at the moment, on this view, and they may not be in the same space-time vicinity that we find ourselves in right now, but they should nevertheless be on the list of all existing things.

**The Growing Universe Theory** is the view that only objects that are either past or present, but not objects that are future, exist.

## McTaggart on Time

1. If time is real, either the B-theory or the A-theory of time is the correct characterization of time.
2. The correct characterization of time must allow for change.
3. The B-theory cannot allow for change. [See below]
4. The B-theory cannot characterize time. [From 2-3]
5. An adequate characterization of time cannot be contradictory.
6. The A-theory is contradictory. [See below]
7. The A-theory cannot characterize time. [From 5-6]
8. Time is unreal. [From 4&7]

### Argument for Premise 3

Most assume that the existence of time requires the existence of change; if change is impossible, then so is time. This assumption places a constraint on an adequate theory of time, namely, an adequate theory of time must be compatible with the existence of change. This presents a problem for the B-Theory:

1. If change exists, the passage of time must be real.
2. If the B-theory is true, the passage of time is unreal.
3. If change exists, the B-theory cannot be true. [From 1-2]

Why accept (1)? Changes are events that have temporal duration. They also seem to be made up of smaller events with shorter durations. For instance, running a 400m race is an event that has temporal duration, but it is itself made up of shorter lasting events. There is the event of running the first 100m, the event of running the second 100m, and so on. But these events do not seem to 'eternally' occur, i.e., the journalist shouts, 'the sprinter is *now* on the second leg'. Later he shouts, 'the sprinter is *now* on the third leg.' The B-Theory cannot seem to accommodate this obvious feature of the sprinter's run.

### Argument for P6

1. If the A-Theory is true, then a particular event E either (a) co-instantiates the properties of being past, present, and future, or (b) does not co-instantiate these properties.
2. It is impossible for E to co-instantiate the properties of being past, present, and future.
3. It is impossible for E not to co-instantiate the properties of being past, present, and future.
4. The A-Theory is not true.

Big questions:

- Do you accept (2)? If so, why? If not, why not?
- Why might we accept (3)? Recall that there is a worry about an infinite regress. What is this worry? A hint: it assumes a distinction between moments of time and the events occurring at those moments.