PHIL 321

Lecture 20: Aristotle's Physics, 2.3-9

11/5/2013

Aristotle's distinction between natural and artificial things (from 2.1)

Natural things (e.g. animals, parts of animals, earth, air, fire, water): have within themselves a principle of motion and stability in place, in growth, in decay, or in alteration

Artificial things (e.g. bed, cloak, artifacts in general) do not have internal principle of motion or stability. It's not insofar as something is a bed that it changes in a certain way. But, they do have such principles coincidentally (i.e. insofar as they are made of simple natural bodies)

In general, A accepts a version of *hylomporhpism*, a metaphysical view on which (almost) all existing things are composites of matter and form

- Matter: the underlying material (e.g. the bronze of a bronze statue, the flesh and bones of a human being)
- Form: the shape or, for more complex things, set of capacities that jointly constitute what it is to be something of a certain kind (e.g. the shape having which makes a piece of bronze a statue; the capacities and dispositions that jointly constitute being a human being)

The four aitiai ("causes," "explanations," "becauses")

Since having *epistêmê* requires grasping *aitiai*, A sets out (in Ch. 3) to determine how many and what kinds of *aitiai* there are

- [1] "That from which, as present in it, a thing comes to be" (often called the "material cause")

 E.g.: the bronze and silver, and their genera, are *aitiai* of the statue and the bowl; letters of syllables; matter of artifacts; fire and such things of bodies; parts of wholes; premises of conclusion
- [2] "The form (i.e. the pattern)... The form is the account (and the genera of the account) of the essence, and the parts in that account" (often called the "formal cause")
 - E.g.: the aitia of an octave is the ratio two to one, and in general number; the whole, the composition
- [3] "Source of the primary principle of change or stability" (often called the "efficient cause")

 E.g.: adviser is an *aitia*, father is an *aitia* of his child; the producer is a *aitia* of the product; the initiator of a change is an *aitia* of what is changed; the seed; the doctor
- [4] "Something's end, i.e. what it is for"
 - E.g.: health of walking; health of purging, slimming, drugs, instruments; the good of the thing

In Chs. 8 and 9 we learn that A thinks final *aitiai* are relevant not only in the explanation of human behavior (e.g. Bob runs for the sake of health) but in nature as a whole

The things that occur in nature always or for the most part, for A, happen for the sake of ends (e.g. organisms develop the parts they do in the way that they do for the good of the organism: in plants, leaves grow *for the sake of* protecting the fruit; hearts contract for the sake of pumping blood (which, in turn, is done for the sake of maintaining the organism); rain falls in the patterns it does for the sake of nourishing the soil)

This (highly controversial) view allows A to explain the development of organisms and why the parts of organisms are the way that they are by showing how it is good or best for the parts to be that way

Other features of aitiai

- -There can be multiple *aitiai* of the same thing (e.g. the bronze and the sculpting are *aitiai* of the statue)
 - -Things can be *aitiai* of each other (e.g. we work hard to be fit and we are fit so that we can work hard)
 - -The same thing is the *aitia* of contraries, i.e. if a thing's presence accounts for F, by its absence it can account for the contrary of F (e.g. if a pilot is the *aitia* of safety of a ship, his absence can be the *aitia* of a shipwreck)
 - -There are proper *aitiai* and coincidental *aitiai*—the latter are *aitiai* insofar as they happen to coincide with things that are proper *aitiai* (e.g. the house-builder is a proper *aitia* of the house, the doctor is a coincidental *aitia*, insofar as the house-builder happens to also be a doctor)
 - -There can be more or less precise specifications of an *aitia* (even of the same kind) (e.g. a man is building because he is a builder; he is a builder insofar as he has the building craft; his building craft, then, is the prior *aitia* [of the thing being built])

Similarities and Differences between aitia and cause

- Differences
 - Contemporaneous
 - Things
 - Mathematics

Aitia problem set

- [1] Why is the statue shiny? (what kind(s) of *aitia(i)* would you cite to answer this question and what is a possible example(s))
- [2] Why is the bowl falling? (same as [1])
- [3] Sally's decision to go to the mall is the
- aitia of Sally's being in her car driving to the mall
- [4] Why are the organism's front teeth becoming sharp and back teeth becoming flat? (same as [1] and [2])
- [5] What is the *aitia* of the fact that a triangle's internal angles sum to 180°
- [6] What are the 4 aitiai of Socrates' birth?

Luck and Chance

Luck and Chance seem to present a problem: we certainly say that things happen "by chance" or "because of luck" (etc.), but, for each thing that we describe this way, we can also specify some definite thing that led to the "chance" event happening.

E.g.: If you go to the marketplace to buy some fruit, and run into someone you did not expect to meet that owes you money, we say it was by luck or by chance that you collected the money. But, we can also answer the question "how did you collect the money" by saying "by going to the marketplace," and it wasn't by chance that you went to the marketplace.

Negatively: luck and chance are not aitiai of things that happen always or usually in a certain way

Positively: the kind of events that can happen because of luck and chance are those that *can* be result from something done for the sake of it but happen coincidentally

So, go back to the marketplace case. You could have gone to the market in order to collect your debt. But, you went in order to buy fruit. Your collecting the debt was brought about coincidentally by your going to the marketplace (i.e. it just so happened that by doing that, you collected your debt).

Chance is actually the wider notion: luck only concerns those things that could result from decision or thought (i.e. roughly, the affairs of adult humans); whereas chance includes those and things including inanimate and non-adult human animals