

## Introduction

Aristotle is our focus for the next three weeks. We begin with a brief examination of his account of the best life. As well as characterizing his view, our primary goal will be to reflect on how far his style and method diverges from Plato. For instance, we will see that A's account of the best life relies heavily on his natural philosophy. The basic idea of the *Ethics* might be expressed as follows:

- A. There is a final human good.
- B. Human beings have functions (erga).
- C. The human ergon is an activity of the soul involving reason.
- D. The final good depends on the perfection of this activity

## The highest good (chs. 1 & 2)

A's investigations normally follow a pattern: a question is asked, various answers are surveyed, a *test* is developed to decide between the answers, the answers are adjudicated. The *Nicomachean Ethics* begins by arguing for what we may take as an obvious point: all of our lives aim at some end, at some goal we wish, even if unconsciously, to realize. Call this *the highest good*. A will ask what this highest good is, survey some options, develop a test to identify the correct option, and then apply that test.

1. Every craft (*technê*), investigation (*methodos*), action (*praxis*), and decision (*prohairesis*) aims at some good (in what follows we will focus on actions)
2. Thus, the good (*to agathon*) is that at which everything aims
3. Ends (telos) are the goods aimed for in purposeful action. They differ in being. Some are actions or activities themselves. Some are the products that result from the actions or activities that aim at (producing) them.
4. Ends may be *hierarchically structured*: one end (e.g. bridles) can be pursued for the sake of another (e.g. horse-riding)
  - In such a case, the superordinate end is more *choiceworthy* than the subordinate end
  - The superordinate end also sets the conditions for when the subordinate end is accomplished well (e.g. the value of a bridle *qua* bridle is determined by the "needs" of horse-riding)
5. If there is some end we pursue *only* for itself and for which we pursue all our other ends, this end will be the *highest good* (or, simply, *the good*)

6. If there were no highest good, then our desires would be empty and futile (1094a21-2)
7. But, our desires are not empty and futile.
- C There is a highest good.

What is this highest good that we all seek? Aristotle claims that everyone agrees that "happiness" (*eudaimonia*) is the *name* of the HG, but they disagree about what happiness is (some say pleasure, others wealth, others honor, others thinking) (ch. 4 1095a18-25)

## Methodological points from Book 1

- Ethics as Aristotle conceives it is part of political science (I.2).
- One should not seek too much exactness in ethics (I.3).
- Ethical argument should appeal to common beliefs (endoxa) (I.4).
- Forming correct ethical beliefs depends on correct habituation (I.4).
- Contra Socrates and Plato, 'good' does not denote a single property (I.6).

## Book 1.7

In ch.7 A identifies various formal features of the highest good and argues that happiness meets these criteria (but, again, we don't know what, exactly, happiness is). If happiness meets the criteria for the highest good, concrete proposals for the nature of happiness must also meet these criteria.

**Most choiceworthy:** The HG must not merely be good and valuable, it cannot be one good among many.

**Complete:** X is *more complete* than Y if (a) X is pursued for X and (b) Y is pursued for something else, Z. But HG is complete without qualification, i.e., HG is pursued *only* for itself (1097a).

**Self-sufficient:** Possessing the HG all by itself makes a life lacking in nothing (1097b)

- NB: This does *not* necessarily mean that the value of a life that has the HG can't be increased. It could mean that a life with the HG doesn't need anything more to make it choice-worthy as a life.

## The Function Argument

So, happiness is the highest good. It is choice-worthy, an end by which we can order our actions, complete, and self-sufficient. But this does not yet tell us what happiness is. If anything, it tells us some marks of happiness. But these marks are useful. Suppose someone claimed that being wealthy is the nature of happiness. Well, wealth is choice-worthy and it is an end. But it certainly is not self-sufficient; a person who possesses wealth is not guaranteed to possess everything else that makes life choice-worthy. A wealthy person's life could still lack in something.

So, what is happiness? Aristotle's answer comes in his (in)famous *ergon* argument. The word '*ergon*' can be translated as 'function'. Perhaps a better translation is 'work' (or perhaps 'activity'). The idea will be that things have characteristic work or functions. An eye's characteristic work is seeing. A knife's characteristic work is cutting.

- P1 For any F, where F is a kind with a function, the good of an F = performing the function of Fs well.
- P2 The function (*ergon*) of any living being x is determined by x's unique and characteristic activity (1097b23)
- P3 The parts of a human have a function; so a human as a whole should have a special function
- P4 The "lives" of nutrition and perception are not unique to humans
- P5 The unique and characteristic activity of human beings is reasoning (1098a3) ("Life" understood as activity (as opposed to capacity) is more properly life).
- P6 So the HG = performing activity of the rational part of the soul well.
- P7 An F performs its function well when F acts in accordance with virtue (1098a7-12).
- C Therefore, the HG = activity of the soul expressing reason in a virtuous manner.

In our next class, we will discuss what A means by 'expressing reason in a virtuous manner.' Here I note a few additional constraints on happiness.

- It requires activity based on virtue in a *complete* life (ch. 9 1100a)
- requires external goods (ch. 8 1099b)
- It must be the best, finest, and most pleasant (life) (ch. 8 1099a)