Problem 1

Describe a polynomial-time reduction from Vertex Cover to Set Cover. Definition of Set Cover: The input is U, C, k where U is a set, $C = \{S1, S2, ..., Sm\}$ is a collection of subsets of U, and k is an integer. The output is yes if there is a set cover in C of size k, and no otherwise. A set cover is a collection C C of sets in C such that the union of the sets in C is U. The size of C is the number of sets in C.

Given an instance $\langle G = (V, E), k \rangle$ of Vertex Cover, the reduction outputs the instance $\langle U, C, k \rangle$ of Set Cover, where U, C, and k are defined as follows:

Let U = E be the set of edges in G;

let C_i be the number of edges touched by V_i , that is $C_i = \{e_{V_i V_i} | e_{V_i V_i} \in E\}$;

Let k be k from the Vertex Cover problem.

Here is why the reduction can be computed in polynomial time:

Constructing C will require at worst a loop over the edge set for each vertex, resulting in O(VE) time, which is polynomial.

Here is a proof that the reduction is correct.

Lemma 1. Given any instance $\langle G, k \rangle$ of Vertex Cover, let $\langle U, C, k \rangle$ be the instance of Set Cover produced by the reduction. Then G has a vertex cover of size k if and only if there is a set $C' \subseteq C$ of size k where $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C'_i = U$.

Proof (long form).

- 1. First we show the "only if" direction.
- 2. Assume that G has a vertex cover of size k.
- 2.1. Let I be the vertex cover of size k in G.
- 2.2. Take C' to be the set of sets in C corresponding to the vertices in I.
- 2.3. Then C' has size k, and the union of all sets in C' equal U because each set in C', C'_i corresponds to the edges touched by including vertex V_i .
- 2.4. So there is a subset of size k of C such that the union over them all will equal U.
- 3. Next we show the "if" direction.
- 4. Assume there is a set of at least i ingredients that have total discord at most p.
- 4.1. Let S be a set of at i ingredients that have total discord at most p. Note i = k and p = 0.
- 4.2. Take I to be the vertices corresponding to ingredients in S.
- 4.3. Then I has size i, and is an independent set because each pair (i, j) of vertices in I corresponds to a pair of ingredients with zero discord $(D_{ij} \leq p = 0)$, so there is no edge (i, j).
- 4.4. There is an independent set of size k = i in G.
- 5. By blocks 2 and 4, G has an independent set of size k if and only if there is a set of i ingredients that have total discord at most p.