Consent

Readings on informed consent in data science practices, why it ethically matters, and how to grapple with the practical challenges of obtaining informed consent in data science.

Title	Citation
Informed Consent (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy)	Eyal (<u>2019</u>)
Privacy Self-Management and the Consent Dilemma	Solove (<u>2012</u>)
The Ethics of Consent: Theory and Practice	Miller & Wertheimer (<u>2010</u>)
Middletown, a Study in Contemporary American Culture	Wolmarans & Voorhoeve (<u>2022</u>)
A Belmont Report for Health Data	Wolmarans & Voorhoeve (<u>2022</u>)
Naturalizing Coercion: The Tuskegee Experiments and the Laboratory Life of the Plantation	Wolmarans & Voorhoeve (<u>2022</u>)
What Makes Personal Data Processing by Social Networking Services Permissible?	Wolmarans & Voorhoeve (<u>2022</u>)
What's Wrong with Automated Influence	Benn & Lazar (2021)

References

- Benn, C., & Lazar, S. (2021). What's wrong with automated influence. Canadian Journal of Philosophy, 52, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1017/can.2021.23
- Eyal, N. (2019). Informed Consent. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy* (Spring 2019). https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2019/entries/informed-consent/; Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University.
- Miller, F. G., & Wertheimer, A. (2010). *The ethics of consent: Theory and practice* (pp. 1–430). https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195335149.001.0001
- Solove, D. (2012). Privacy self-management and the consent dilemma. Harvard Law Review, 126.
- Wolmarans, L., & Voorhoeve, A. (2022). What makes personal data processing by social networking services permissible? *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, *52*(1), 93–108. https://doi.org/10.1017/can.2022.4