

Immersion Corporation (IMMR) – 6-Month Micro-Cap Equity Analysis

1. Key Financials

- **Market Cap:** Approximately \$230 million (at ~\$7.06/share as of late August 2025) ¹ . Shares outstanding are about 32 million, implying a **float** largely in public hands (no dominant insider owner). Average daily volume is ~290k shares, moderate for a micro-cap, indicating decent liquidity with manageable bid/ask spreads ² ³ .
- **Revenue:** Immersion's **trailing revenue** was in the ~\$160 million range (TTM) prior to a recent transformative acquisition. After acquiring a controlling stake in Barnes & Noble Education (BNED) in mid-2024, **quarterly sales have surged** – e.g. \$616.2 million in the Aug–Oct 2024 quarter ⁴ and \$474.8 million in the Nov 2024–Jan 2025 quarter ⁵ – because Immersion now **consolidates BNED's large bookstore revenue**. (For context, Immersion's standalone **2023 revenues** were only \$33.9 M ⁶ .)
- **Margins:** Immersion's legacy **haptics licensing business** enjoys ~75% gross margins (essentially software/IP licensing) ⁷ . However, the newly consolidated BNED segment is a low-margin retail operation (selling textbooks and merchandise). As a result, the **consolidated gross margin** is now much lower than 75% (BNED's bookstore revenues carry modest margins typical of retail). Immersion's **GAAP operating margin** remains positive – for example, in the Oct 2024 quarter they earned \$27.2 M net income on \$616 M revenue ⁸ , and in the Jan 2025 quarter \$15.5 M on \$475 M revenue ⁹ ¹⁰ – indicating the combined businesses are operating profitably.
- **Profitability & Valuation:** Trailing **earnings are unusually strong**, giving a **trailing P/E under 4** on the current stock price ¹¹ . (As of Aug 27, 2025, IMMR's P/E was ~2.5 ¹¹ , reflecting ~\$7 stock vs. ~\$2.80 TTM EPS.) This extremely low P/E suggests a potentially undervalued stock **but includes one-time factors** from the BNED transaction. On a book value basis, the stock also looks cheap: shareholders' equity attributable to IMMR was ~\$311 M as of Oct 31, 2024 ¹² , putting **P/B ~0.7**. Other multiples are similarly low – **EV/Sales** is not very meaningful post-acquisition (the enterprise value-to-sales is <0.5 when including BNED's ~\$1.1B annualized revenue, since much of those sales are low-margin ⁵), and **EV/EBITDA** would be in the low single-digits given robust earnings.
- **Cash & Debt:** As of the last reported quarter (Oct 31, 2024), **cash and short-term investments** on the balance sheet were about **\$147.7 M** in total (cash \$68.9 M + current investments \$78.8 M) ¹³ . Immersion's **core business has no debt**, but **consolidated debt** exists via BNED's financing. BNED underwent a refinancing in mid-2024, securing a new **\$325 M asset-backed credit facility maturing 2028** ¹⁴ to replace prior debt, and even converted ~\$34 M of subordinated debt to equity as part of the deal ¹⁵ . This greatly improved BNED's solvency. As a result, **overall solvency is solid**: Immersion's core has a net cash position, and BNED has sufficient credit access and equity infusion to operate. Consolidated total liabilities were \$837.6 M (including lease obligations for BNED's stores) against \$482.5 M total equity as of Oct 2024 ¹⁶ ¹² . There is **no going-concern warning** on Immersion – the company is profitable and well-capitalized, with positive free cash flow from the high-margin licensing segment.

- **Shares Outstanding & Capital Moves:** Shares outstanding are ~32 M. Notably, Immersion has been **shareholder-friendly**: in 2023 it even **bought back ~3.9%** of its shares ¹⁷ and initiated a dividend. The current **dividend yield ~2.5%** (quarterly \$0.045 dividend) ¹⁸ is rare for a micro-cap tech firm. This dividend, alongside occasional buybacks, signals management's confidence in cash flows and a commitment to return capital.

2. Business Model & Competitive Position

- **Core Haptics Licensing (Immersion):** Immersion's original business is **licensing haptic technology** (touch feedback IP). It develops and holds patents on technologies that make devices (phones, wearables, VR controllers, automotive touchscreens, etc.) produce tactile vibrations and feedback. The company earns revenue primarily through **royalty and license agreements** with device manufacturers and software developers. Major customers/licensees over the years include **mobile OEMs (e.g. Samsung)**, console and gaming companies (**Nintendo**, Sony, Meta for VR) and automotive suppliers ¹⁹. Immersion's technology is embedded in billions of devices, giving it a strong niche position. Its **moat** comes from a large patent portfolio built over decades and technical know-how in haptics. The company has a track record of enforcing its patents via litigation if needed (e.g. suits against Meta and Valve) ²⁰, which encourages industry players to license rather than fight. That said, some of Immersion's early foundational patents have been around for a long time, so **patent expirations** are a consideration (the company must continue innovating and securing new IP to maintain its edge).
- **Market & Competition:** The market for haptics is growing as touch feedback becomes standard in smartphones, gaming, AR/VR, and automotive interfaces. Immersion's main "competitors" are not traditional rivals selling similar licenses (few companies focus purely on haptics IP at this scale) but rather **in-house R&D teams** at large OEMs who try to develop haptics solutions without infringing Immersion's IP. Some big firms (like Apple) historically resisted paying Immersion and pursued workarounds or legal defenses. Immersion's strategy has been to either sign these players to licenses or litigate; for example, it **signed new multi-year license deals in 2024 with Samsung, Nintendo, Meta, and others** ¹⁹, reflecting its continued leverage in negotiations. Overall, Immersion's competitive position in haptic IP is strong – it's a recognized leader in this specialized field ²¹ – but its growth is limited by the pace of new device rollouts and the willingness of manufacturers to pay license fees. Pricing power in licensing comes from patent strength; Immersion has historically secured multi-million-dollar settlements or agreements, implying decent pricing for its IP. Gross margins near ~75–95% on licensing revenue underscore its pricing power and low cost of delivering technology ²².
- **Barnes & Noble Education (BNED) Segment:** In June 2024, Immersion made an unconventional move for a tech IP company – it **acquired a controlling stake (initially ~42%) in Barnes & Noble Education** ²³, a publicly traded operator of college bookstores (now treated as a subsidiary). BNED's business is **running campus bookstores (physical and online)** for hundreds of colleges, selling textbooks (physical and digital), school merchandise, and managing campus e-commerce and loyalty programs. It also has a textbook wholesaling division. This is a **low-margin, high-volume business** that was struggling financially prior to Immersion's involvement. BNED's market is competitive and undergoing change: students increasingly buy textbooks online or opt for digital materials, and universities demand better revenue-sharing or "inclusive access" programs. BNED's main competitor is Follett (a private firm) which also operates many campus stores. BNED tries to differentiate via its **"First Day" digital inclusive-access program**, where course materials are billed through tuition, ensuring high sell-through but at negotiated bulk rates. The **market size** (college

retail/books) is in the billions but is mature/low-growth, and BNED's share depends on contract wins with schools. BNED's historical **moat** was its contracts and long relationships with universities – it often signs multi-year agreements as the exclusive campus bookstore operator. However, those contracts can be re-bid, and BNED must remain competitive (often low bid or promise tech investments). In terms of **competitive position**, BNED is one of the two big players in U.S. campus bookstore management, but it has faced **thin margins** and declining textbook revenue, forcing a strategic review in 2023. Immersion's control (with a new board installed) aims to turn BNED around financially. The **pricing power** in this business is limited – textbook prices are largely set by publishers, and merchandise prices by market rates; BNED can't easily raise prices on students beyond what the market bears. Instead, margin improvement must come from cost cuts, supply chain efficiencies, and growing higher-margin segments (digital content, merchandise, online sales). Immersion's management believes there is value to unlock in BNED through better capital allocation and expense discipline (leveraging their activist playbook). Still, this segment will likely always have significantly **lower margins** than the haptics business.

Combined Company Strategy: Post-acquisition, Immersion is effectively a **holding company with two disparate segments**. There are no obvious synergies between haptics tech and college bookstores – the investment was primarily opportunistic. The **thesis** for buying BNED was that Immersion's cash could be used to take control of an underpriced asset (BNED's equity was deeply distressed), and that new management could stabilize BNED and create value, benefiting IMMR shareholders. This has turned Immersion into a unique hybrid: a profitable IP licensing business plus a turnaround campus retail business. The complexity may dampen traditional tech investor interest (since it's not a pure-play anymore), but it potentially allows **diversified revenue streams**. Immersion's competitive strength now lies in adept capital allocation (the CEO/Chairman Eric Singer is an activist investor by background). The company's ability to generate alpha will depend on extracting value from BNED (either via improved earnings or eventual sale/spin-off) while continuing to defend and monetize the core haptics franchise.

3. Price & Volume Behavior

- **Recent Price Trend (1–6 months):** IMMR's stock has been **volatile**. It hit a 52-week high of \$10.72 and a low of \$6.47 in the past year ³. In the last six months, the trend has been mostly downward. After a rally into the \$9–10 range earlier in 2025 (driven by strong earnings reports and a special dividend announcement), the stock **pulled back sharply** – at one point IMMR had **plunged ~28% over a six-month span** ²⁴. As of early September 2025 it trades around the mid-\$7s ²⁵ ³. The decline reflects profit-taking and increased wariness as investors digested the complex BNED integration and news of a delayed annual report (more on that risk later). It has underperformed the broader market in recent months – for example, over a period this spring when indices rose, IMMR lagged behind (it “remains behind market” per commentary) ²⁶ ²⁷. There was a minor uptick in late August/early September, possibly on anticipation that required filings would be completed, but overall momentum has been weak.
- **Volume & Accumulation/Distribution:** Average volume of ~290k shares/day is respectable for a \$7 stock, translating to about \$2 million in daily dollar volume. **Liquidity is adequate** for small positions, though larger trades could still move the price given the micro-cap status. Over the past 1–2 quarters, volume spikes have coincided with news: for instance, volume jumped on earnings release days and when the dividend was declared, indicating **trader/investor engagement around catalysts**. There is evidence of some **accumulation by value-oriented investors** earlier in the year (when the stock was in the \$6–7 range, activists and possibly institutions were buyers as the

company announced buybacks/dividends). Conversely, the spring/summer sell-off on higher volume suggests some holders **distributed shares** amid the uncertainty of the BNED situation. Insider activity has been modest – one minor insider sale (~\$45k by an executive) was reported, which is not significant enough to read into. No major insider buying was disclosed recently, but given insiders already have exposure via their roles (Singer and other directors own meaningful stakes through their funds), lack of insider buys isn't necessarily bearish.

- **Relative Strength vs. Sector:** Immersion doesn't neatly fit a single sector now (tech IP vs retail). Compared to small-cap tech peers, IMMR's stock performance has been lackluster lately – many tech stocks rallied in 2023/24, whereas IMMR is roughly flat to down over the past year. Its **1-year return is negative**, trailing the NASDAQ index substantially. Versus retail sector peers, BNED's struggles likely dragged perception down too. In summary, **relative strength has been poor**, with the stock in a sideways-to-down channel for months. This could imply a potential rebound if company-specific clouds clear, but at the moment IMMR is not a momentum leader. The stock's **beta** appears moderate: it does react to market moves (Zacks notes it often moves with the market day-to-day)²⁸, but idiosyncratic factors have dominated recently.

4. Risks & Red Flags

- **Delayed Filing & Nasdaq Compliance:** The most pressing red flag is **governance/compliance related**. Immersion failed to file its annual 10-K report (for fiscal year ended April 30, 2025) on time, due to issues at BNED. As a result, in August 2025 the company received a **Nasdaq delinquency notice** and has 60 days to submit a plan to regain compliance²⁹³⁰. The reason given was an "ongoing internal investigation" at BNED that prevented timely financial reporting³¹. Specifically, BNED's audit committee is reviewing **accounting errors in how it booked certain digital revenues**³². This raises concern about BNED's prior financial controls. While Immersion has stated it is working diligently to resolve this and file the 10-K³⁰, the delay signals a **material weakness in reporting**. If the company cannot file by the Nasdaq deadline (likely by late October 2025), it could face potential delisting procedures – a serious risk. However, management expects to fix the issues (they are dependent on BNED completing its investigation). Investors should watch for the 10-K filing and any restatements at BNED. The silver lining is that the issue seems to be contained to **BNED's accounting (revenue recognition)** rather than Immersion's core operations, but it is a notable governance red flag that undermines confidence in the short term.
- **Complexity & Strategic Risk:** Immersion's pivot into an unrelated industry (education retail) introduces significant **strategic risk**. The company's attention and resources are now split. Management (led by Chairman/CEO Eric Singer) has to oversee a textbook retail turnaround while also managing the haptics IP business. This complexity could lead to **execution challenges**. There's a risk that the core licensing business might not get the focus it needs for growth, or conversely that BNED continues to bleed cash and distracts management. Furthermore, investors may apply a "conglomerate discount" to IMMR's valuation due to this unusual mix – the parts might be worth more separately than together. The lack of synergistic fit means Immersion is effectively an investment vehicle for BNED now, which might not appeal to all shareholders. If BNED's performance disappoints or requires more capital, it could **drag down Immersion's overall financial health**.
- **Dilution & Capital Needs:** On the Immersion side, dilution risk appears **low in the near term** – the company has *not* been issuing shares; in fact it has repurchased stock and initiated dividends. There is an unused shelf registration (common for small caps) but no active ATM offering reported. However, **indirect dilution** did occur within BNED: Immersion's stake in BNED fell from 42% to ~32% because BNED issued additional shares to other investors post-deal²³. This means Immersion

chose not to (or was not able to) maintain its percentage in a subsequent BNED funding round, a cautionary sign. If BNED needs further capital infusions down the line, Immersion might either have to put in more cash (which could strain Immersion's resources) or accept further dilution of its ownership in BNED. Thus, a **risk of future cash calls** exists if BNED's turnaround stalls. The good news is BNED's mid-2024 recapitalization was significant – \$95 M new equity raised and ~\$34 M debt converted ¹⁵ – likely giving it runway for a year or more. And BNED now has a \$325 M credit facility for working capital ¹⁴. So near-term liquidity at BNED seems sufficient, making an emergency dilution unlikely in the 1–6 month horizon. Still, investors should monitor BNED's cash burn during the upcoming back-to-school season.

- **Solvency & Financial Distress:** Immersion's consolidated financial stability hinges on BNED. Before the rescue, BNED was verging on insolvency. After the June 2024 transactions, BNED's balance sheet improved (debt pushed out to 2028, new equity added) ¹⁴ ¹⁵. Yet BNED remains a *turnaround case* – it has a history of net losses and could potentially face distress if the business doesn't improve. Risks include: loss of major campus bookstore contracts, faster decline in physical textbook sales, or inability to grow digital sales to offset print decline. If BNED were to falter badly, Immersion might have to write down its investment or even face impairment charges. However, given BNED's strengthened finances and the new management oversight, **outright insolvency risk in the next 6 months is low** (there are no near-term debt maturities or liquidity crunch expected). Immersion's core business by itself is very solvent and was debt-free with \$160 M cash at end of 2023 ³³. Therefore, the main financial risk is BNED not hitting turnaround targets, rather than Immersion running out of cash.
- **Operational Risks – Core Business:** In the haptics segment, a few red flags: Immersion's **royalty revenues have been flat-to-declining in recent years** (2023 revenue was down to \$33.9 M from \$38.5 M in 2022) ⁶. The Q2 FY2025 report even noted that core licensing revenue fell over 70% sequentially after the BNED deal (because a large one-time license fee might have boosted a prior quarter) ³⁴. This suggests the core business may be struggling to grow, or at least has lumpy, unpredictable sales. If major customers decide not to renew licenses or if patent litigation doesn't result in wins, revenue could stagnate. **Customer concentration** is another factor – a significant portion of Immersion's licensing income historically comes from a handful of big licensees (for example, Sony PlayStation, or certain smartphone makers). The loss of any one such customer (or a delay in signing a expected deal) would impact results. Moreover, some of Immersion's older patents have expired or will soon, which could reduce the pressure on OEMs to license. The competitive landscape could also shift if haptics become commoditized or if new technologies (not covered by Immersion's IP) emerge.
- **Governance & Transparency:** Immersion's **governance is tightly controlled by activists**. Eric Singer (of VIE Capital) is Chairman and CEO; he and allies effectively steer both Immersion and now BNED (multiple Immersion board members, including Singer, joined BNED's board) ³⁵. While this alignment can be good for shareholder value (their interests are to increase the stock price), it also means **insiders have significant influence**. The board's focus on capital returns (buybacks/dividends) is shareholder-friendly, but some might question the long-term vision given the opportunistic BNED foray. Additionally, Immersion **does not host quarterly earnings calls** (as noted by analysts) ³⁶. This lack of regular communication is unusual and could be seen as a negative for transparency – investors have less insight into management's thinking, and it limits scrutiny. The ongoing internal probe at BNED also flags a potential **integrity issue** (even if it's just correcting mistakes, it indicates prior oversight lapses). Investors should be aware that **BNED's prior management** might have left accounting issues that the new team must clean up; there could be some restatement or internal control weaknesses revealed when the investigation concludes.

- **Other Risks:** Regulatory or legal risks include the outcome of patent lawsuits (e.g., Immersion's suit against Valve for controller haptics ³⁷). Litigation is costly and unpredictable – a loss or invalidation of patents in court would hurt the licensing business. On the BNED side, lawsuits or liability issues (for example, if BNED were sued over content, or faces regulatory changes in student data/privacy) could arise, though nothing major is public at the moment. **Macroeconomic factors:** a reduction in college enrollments or campus activity (e.g. due to pandemics or enrollment declines) would hit BNED's sales. Meanwhile, tech sector trends (such as slowing smartphone sales) could affect Immersion's royalty streams. Lastly, the stock's relatively low trading volume means it can be **volatile**; any negative development might trigger outsized price drops due to limited liquidity.

In summary, **the biggest red flags** are the delayed 10-K (compliance risk), the uncertainty around BNED's accounting and turnaround, and the potential stagnation of the core licensing business. These risks need to be weighed against the stock's cheap valuation and catalysts.

5. Upcoming Catalysts (next 6 months)

- **Filing of Delayed Annual Report (FY2025 10-K) – Due by mid-Oct 2025 (est.).** This is a crucial near-term catalyst. Immersion must file its annual 10-K (year ended Apr 30, 2025) after resolving BNED's internal investigation ³¹. A successful filing (with no nasty surprises) would **remove the Nasdaq compliance cloud** and could reassure investors. We will learn the extent of BNED's accounting restatements (if any) and get updated financials for Q4 FY25. If the investigation finds only minor issues and the filing happens on time, the stock is likely to get a relief boost. Conversely, a delay or adverse findings (e.g. material misstatements at BNED) would be a negative catalyst. Keep an eye on early October – management has 60 days from the late August notice to submit a compliance plan ³⁸, so news could come by then.
- **Q1 FY2026 Earnings Release – Expected September or early October 2025** (the company indicated next earnings around Sept 11, 2025) ³⁹. This report (for May–July 2025 quarter) will show how the business fared over the summer. While summer is seasonally weaker for BNED (fewer students on campus until August), it will include late-July back-to-school ramp. More importantly, management's commentary (likely via press release, since no conference call) might update on BNED's progress and core licensing wins. Any positive surprises – e.g. better-than-expected profitability or new licensing deals signed – could catalyze the stock upward. This earnings release will also be the **first financial report after the fiscal year change** (Immersion shifted fiscal year to align with BNED, now ending April 30 ⁴⁰). Investors will parse the results to gauge if the **core haptics revenue decline has stabilized** and how much BNED is contributing.
- **Back-to-School Season Performance (BNED) – Fall 2025 textbook rush (Aug–Sept)** will be a key indicator for BNED. By the time Immersion reports Q2 FY2026 (Aug–Oct quarter, likely in December 2025), we'll see BNED's first full fall semester under new management. Strong performance – such as higher sell-through of the "First Day" inclusive access program or improved margins due to cost cuts – would validate the turnaround and could significantly boost investor confidence. Any early signs of this could move the stock sooner; for example, if BNED or Immersion issues a business update or if analysts pick up channel checks indicating robust campus sales, IMMR shares could react. Since this catalyst is a bit beyond 6 months (results in Dec), the **anticipation** of it in late fall could still drive some positioning in the stock within our timeframe.
- **Resolution of BNED's Internal Investigation – Next 1–2 months.** The conclusion of BNED's audit committee investigation into revenue accounting will likely be announced along with the 10-K. This could be a catalyst if the findings are **reassuring** (e.g. "no fraud, minor restatement done"). Clearing

this uncertainty would allow investors to focus on fundamentals again. It's also possible BNED will file its own delayed 10-K in this window, providing more transparency into its standalone finances (BNED, as a separate public company, likely delayed its filing too). A clean bill of health (or implementation of fixes) at BNED would be a **positive trigger**.

- **Patent Litigation/Settlements – Timing uncertain (could be within 6 months)**. Immersion has ongoing patent lawsuits, notably against Valve Corporation (filed in 2023 over haptics in gaming controllers) ³⁷ and possibly against other firms (Xiaomi, etc.). At any time a case could reach a settlement or court decision. A **settlement win** could mean a one-time payment or new license royalties – a clear upside catalyst. While it's hard to predict timing, any news on this front (e.g. if Valve were to settle and license Immersion's tech) would likely spike the stock. Even a public trial schedule or favorable legal rulings could draw speculative buying.
- **New Licensing Deals or Renewals – Next 6 months (if announced)**. Immersion's pipeline for licensing deals could yield catalysts. For example, one notable holdout historically is **Apple** – if by chance Immersion were to announce a licensing agreement or settlement with a giant like Apple (which uses extensive haptics in its devices), that would be game-changing news. While there's no specific indication this will happen in the immediate term, it remains a latent catalyst given ongoing industry use of haptics. More realistically, **renewals or small new deals** (perhaps with automotive suppliers or VR/AR device makers) might be announced via press release. Each such deal can incrementally boost revenue and sentiment (as seen with prior announcements: Samsung, Nintendo, Meta licensing all announced in 2024 ¹⁹).
- **Capital Allocation Moves** – Management has shown willingness to return capital. In November 2024 they declared a **special dividend of \$0.245** ⁴¹ (paid Jan 2025) and thereafter a standing quarterly dividend of \$0.045 ¹⁸ . Within the next 6 months, potential catalysts could include: an **increase in the quarterly dividend**, or the announcement of a **new share buyback program** (the previous buyback authority might be refreshed given the stock's low price). Such moves would signal confidence and could attract income-focused micro-cap investors, providing a bump to the stock.
- **Corporate Actions / Spin-off Potential** – While perhaps a longer shot in the near term, there is speculation that Immersion could eventually **spin-off or divest BNED** once it's stabilized, to unlock value. Any hint of such a plan (for instance, if management in late 2025 says they are evaluating strategic alternatives for BNED or taking it private) would be a strong catalyst, as the market might reward the return to a pure-play tech model. Similarly, if an outside investor made an offer for BNED or if BNED's stock (NYSE: BNED) itself rallies separately, it could lift IMMR's value (since IMMR holds ~11 million BNED shares). This is more speculative and likely beyond a 6-month horizon, but worth noting as a background catalyst.

In short, the next six months will bring **critical events**: the clearing of the accounting issue and return to timely filings (a make-or-break for sentiment), and the first real test of BNED under Immersion's control during the fall semester, plus steady newsflow from the core IP business. These catalysts skew positive if executed well, given how low expectations are currently.

6. Alpha Thesis

Thesis: IMMR represents a **misunderstood deep-value micro-cap** with a clear path to upside in the next 1–6 months, driven by the resolution of temporary issues and the market's re-rating of its sum-of-parts value. The stock is **exceptionally cheap** on earnings and assets – trading at ~3× trailing earnings and ~0.7× book ¹² ¹¹ – largely because investors are uneasy about the BNED acquisition and the delayed filings. This has created an opportunity: as these concerns abate, IMMR's price can **correct upward** significantly.

Edge/Why Now: The core **alpha opportunity** here is that the market is pricing IMMR as if something is seriously wrong (implied by the rock-bottom P/E). We believe this pessimism is overdone and largely **transient**. Immersion's core business is still profitable and throwing off cash, and now it's complemented by a rebounding asset (BNED) that was recapitalized at the trough of its value. Over the next few months, we expect **several validating events**: the company will file its 10-K and prove that no catastrophic fraud or restatement is occurring, thereby averting delisting ²⁹; earnings releases will show that Immersion continues to earn money (even if BNED only breaks even, the consolidated entity should be profitable given cost cuts and interest savings from the refinance); and management will likely reiterate its commitment to shareholder returns (the ongoing dividend, etc.). In short, much of the "risk discount" can dissipate quickly with normal execution. Once filings are current and BNED's issues are fixed, investors can refocus on the fundamentals: a company with **over \$140 M in liquidity, no parent-level debt, and yearly earnings power that could be ~\$40–60 M (based on recent quarters) – all for a <\$230 M market cap** ¹ ⁸. That mismatch offers asymmetric upside.

Furthermore, IMMR's unique structure as an **activist-led holdco** could yield positive surprises. Management has a demonstrated track record of unlocking value (they've already improved Immersion's balance sheet and returned cash to shareholders ¹⁷ ⁴²). They could take additional steps such as accelerating BNED's turnaround (perhaps selling non-core pieces of BNED or leveraging BNED's new partnerships) or even pursuing another undervalued acquisition (though not immediate, it's part of their strategy). There's also hidden optionality in the **patent portfolio** – any big legal win or new license (for example, if a major infringer settles) would be a windfall not reflected in current estimates.

Time-Frame (1–6 months): In the next quarter or two, as the catalysts discussed materialize, we expect **investor sentiment to improve**. Even a return to a modest valuation – say 8–10× earnings – would imply a share price well into the teens given IMMR's earnings run-rate. More conservatively, if the stock simply revisits the \$9–\$10 range (where it traded when optimism was higher), that's ~30–40% upside from current levels. This upside is not far-fetched: recall that in late 2024, after the special dividend and earnings beat, IMMR traded above \$10 ³. Now the company is larger (post-acquisition) and still very profitable, just temporarily out of favor. With the overhangs lifted, a re-rating can be swift.

What the Market is Missing: The market appears to be **overemphasizing the risks** and complexity, while **ignoring the strengths**: - Immersion's core business is *resilient and high-margin*. Even if it doesn't grow fast, it provides a steady stream of cash (which supports the dividend and any buybacks). At the current price, one is effectively getting this cash-cow business at a very low multiple and getting the BNED turnaround potential **almost for free**. In fact, a recent sum-of-parts analysis noted that IMMR's stock only carries a ~7% "holdco discount" to the value of its parts, suggesting little downside and lots of upside if BNED improves ⁴³. - BNED, despite its challenges, is now on far better footing after the infusion. The **market may be assuming BNED will continue to destroy value**, but under new ownership it could surprise to the upside (through cost cuts, improved terms with schools, etc.). Any hint of BNED moving from loss to profit will force a positive reevaluation of IMMR. - **Insider incentives** are aligned with shareholders. Singer and the board own meaningful stakes and have a clear mandate to boost the stock price (their strategy so far has been shareholder-friendly). They have not hesitated to return capital or make bold moves (like the BNED deal) to create value. This activist dynamic is a strength that the broader market may not fully appreciate – IMMR is not a sleepy micro-cap; it's an actively managed one with catalysts being actively pursued.

Risks to Thesis (What would prove it wrong): The buy thesis would be invalidated if **thesis-breaking risks materialize**. Key things to watch that could derail the upside: - *BNED's internal probe finds serious*

wrongdoing or necessitates a major earnings restatement. If it turns out there was fraud or huge accounting errors at BNED, trust in management would erode and the stock could tank regardless of valuation. Similarly, if the 10-K gets delayed further or IMMR ends up on the brink of delisting, the investment case changes – a forced delisting would likely crush the stock short term. We are betting that doesn't happen (so far no indication of fraud, just "errors" in revenue timing ³²). Successful filing by October is crucial.

- *BNED's turnaround fails quickly.* If this fall's textbook rush is a disaster – say, BNED loses key contracts or reports a big loss despite the fresh capital – then the anticipated upside from BNED would vanish. Not only would BNED perhaps need more cash (dilution risk), but it would cast doubt on management's decision-making. A clear sign of trouble would be if BNED's sales significantly miss normal levels or if its expenses aren't coming down. In such a case, IMMR might remain a "value trap" with a low P/E but no catalyst for re-rating.

- *Core licensing deteriorates or faces new litigation setbacks.* The thesis assumes the haptics business at least holds steady or grows modestly with new licenses. If instead major customers drop off or a big patent is invalidated in court, core revenue could drop, undermining earnings. For instance, if a company like Apple is actively avoiding Immersion's tech and finds a non-infringing solution, that could foreclose a future deal. Or if Immersion were to lose the Valve lawsuit (or spend heavily on it with no payoff), it could reduce the cash flow available for dividends. A shrinking core business would justify a low multiple, meaning the stock might *deserve* to be cheap.

- *Market conditions or liquidity issues:* A final consideration – micro-caps can be buffeted by market sentiment. If overall market risk appetite wanes (say, a broad sell-off or risk-off environment), IMMR could languish despite company-specific improvements. Also, if any large holder (perhaps a fund) decided to exit their position, the stock could see short-term pressure given its liquidity profile. There's no sign of that now, but it's a general micro-cap risk.

Each of these would need to be monitored. At this time, none appear inevitable – they are risks, not base-case expectations.

In summary, the core thesis is that **Immersion's current price severely undervalues the company's real earnings power and assets due to fixable, short-term issues.** As those issues get resolved in the coming months, the stock has a strong chance of an upward revaluation, providing significant alpha. We have a margin of safety (solid balance sheet, low valuation) and multiple shots on goal (filing resolution, earnings, BNED improvement, potential legal wins). This asymmetric setup – limited downside unless something goes very wrong, but considerable upside on fairly normal outcomes – makes IMMR a compelling buy for a 1–6 month horizon.

7. Final Recommendation: [Buy]

We recommend [Buy] on IMMR for inclusion in the micro-cap portfolio. The stock offers a favorable risk/reward with near-term catalysts. **Three strongest reasons:**

- **Deep Value with Catalyst Path:** IMMR is **extraordinarily undervalued** on fundamentals – trading at ~3× trailing earnings and under 1× sales ¹¹ – and this discount exists for **fixable reasons**. As the company files its annual report and clears the BNED accounting issue in the next few weeks, a major overhang will lift. With compliance restored, the stock's P/E is likely to expand from distressed levels to something more reasonable, delivering quick upside. In short, we're buying a dollar for 40 cents, and the events to realize that value (filings, earnings) are imminent.

- **Strong Balance Sheet and No Dilution Risk:** There are **no thesis-breaking risks** apparent – Immersion is not facing insolvency or dilution. It has ~\$140M+ in cash/investments against a \$230M market cap ¹³ ¹, and even after consolidating BNED's debt, the company has ample liquidity (BNED's new \$325M credit facility covers its working capital needs) ¹⁴. Management is so confident in cash flows that they are *paying dividends* rather than issuing equity ¹⁸. This significantly limits downside risk. We see no sign of the kind of dilution, fraud, or cash crunch that would invalidate the thesis – instead, governance is oriented toward **returning capital to shareholders** (dividends, buybacks) and driving value.
- **Multiple Upside Drivers in 1–6 Months:** The stock has **clear, near-term catalysts** that could unlock upside within our horizon. These include the resolution of the 10-K delay (removing delisting risk) ²⁹, a likely solid fall semester performance from BNED (benefiting from fresh capital and operational improvements), and continued profitability of the high-margin haptics business (with potential new licensing deals or legal victories on the way). Each of these on its own could rerate the stock upward; together, they present a compelling narrative for a turnaround. Importantly, the current price bakes in very low expectations – so any **positive surprise or even “less bad” outcome** can move the stock materially higher. With insiders/activists at the helm and catalysts lined up, IMMR has an asymmetric setup: the upside potential (a return to ~\$9–\$10 or higher) is significantly greater than the downside, making it a timely buy for the next 1–6 months.

²⁹ ⁵ ¹⁴

¹ ¹⁸ ²⁹ ³⁰ ³¹ ³⁸ Immersion receives Nasdaq notice over delayed annual report filing By Investing.com
<https://www.investing.com/news/company-news/immersion-receives-nasdaq-notice-over-delayed-annual-report-filing-93CH-4207486>

² ³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶ ²⁷ ²⁸ ³⁴ ⁴³ IMMR News
<https://www.marketlog.com/symbol/immr-xngs/news/2>

⁴ ⁸ ¹² ¹³ ¹⁶ ⁴⁰ ⁴¹ Immersion Corporation Reports Second Quarter of Fiscal 2025 Results
<https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20241216031626/en/Immersion-Corporation-Reports-Second-Quarter-of-Fiscal-2025-Results>

⁵ ⁹ ¹⁰ ²³ Immersion Corp (IMMR) 10-Q Quarterly Report March 2025
<https://last10k.com/sec-filings/immr/0001213900-25-022972.htm>

⁶ ¹⁷ ²¹ ²² ³³ ⁴² Immersion Corporation Reports Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2023 Results | Business Wire
<https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20240307428413/en/>

⁷ ¹⁹ ²⁰ ³⁷ Press Releases - Immersion - Haptic Technology
<https://www.immersion.com/investor-relations/press-releases/>

¹¹ Immersion PE Ratio 2010-2025 | IMMR | MacroTrends
<https://www.macrotrends.net/stocks/charts/IMMR/immersion/pe-ratio>

¹⁴ ¹⁵ Barnes & Noble Education Receives \$325MM Facility as Part of Refinancing and Equity Transactions - ABF Journal
<https://www.abfjournal.com/barnes-noble-education-receives-325mm-facility-as-part-of-refinancing-and-equity-transactions/>

32 Barnes & Noble Education: Buy The Dip - An Overlooked Business ...

<https://seekingalpha.com/article/4803220-barnes-and-noble-education-buy-the-dip-an-overlooked-business-clearly-inflecting>

35 immr-20240610.htm

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1058811/000121390024051888/immr-20240610.htm>

36 Immersion: Cheap But Lacking Catalysts - Hold

<https://seekingalpha.com/article/4769618-immersion-cheap-but-lacking-catalysts-hold>

39 Immersion Corporation Reports Third Quarter of Fiscal 2025 Results

<https://www.moomoo.com/community/feed/immersion-corporation-reports-third-quarter-of-fiscal-2025-results-114149521424390>