# Análise da Camada Política - Dores e Soluções CBDC

## Mapeamento de Stakeholders e Dores

### Bacen (Regulador)

Loss of ControlPrivacy layers ocultam supervisãoAltoAuthority keys + selective disclosureTech Debt LegacySistemas COBOL dos anos 70CríticoAPI wrapper + gradual migrationInternational PressureChina já tem 7T yuan digitaisEstratégicoFast-track development	DOR	CAUSA RAIZ	IMPACTO	SOLUÇÃO PROPOSTA
	Loss of Control	Privacy layers ocultam supervisão	Alto	Authority keys + selective disclosure
International Pressure         China já tem 7T yuan digitais         Estratégico         Fast-track development	Tech Debt Legacy	Sistemas COBOL dos anos 70	Crítico	API wrapper + gradual migration
	International Pressure	China já tem 7T yuan digitais	Estratégico	Fast-track development
Regulatory Uncertainty         Lei unclear para tokens         Legal         Sandbox regulatório expandido	Regulatory Uncertainty	Lei unclear para tokens	Legal	Sandbox regulatório expandido

### **Bancos Comerciais**

DOR	CAUSA RAIZ	IMPACTO	SOLUÇÃO PROPOSTA
Disintermediation Fear	CBDC bypass banks	Existencial	Revenue share model
Integration Cost	Legacy core systems	Alto	SDK + API abstraction
Compliance Burden	New KYC/AML requirements	Médio	Automated compliance
Competitive Disadvantage	Tech giants entry	Alto	Open innovation platform

## Fintechs/Big Techs

DOR	CAUSA RAIZ	IMPACTO	SOLUÇÃO PROPOSTA
Regulatory Capture	Traditional banks favored	Alto	Level playing field APIs
Tech Access	Closed development	Médio	Open source approach
Market Share	Banks get preferential access	Alto	Multi-tier partnership
4		-	•

### **Usuários Finais**

DOR	CAUSA RAIZ	IMPACTO	SOLUÇÃO PROPOSTA
Privacy Concerns	Government surveillance	Alto	ZK proofs + TEE
Usability	Complex interfaces	Médio	Mobile-first UX
Digital Divide	Tech literacy gap	Social	Offline capabilities
◀			•

## Estratégia de Resolução Política

### 1. Quem Gera as Dores?

Conflitos de Interesse Identificados:

```
STAKEHOLDER A vs STAKEHOLDER B = CONFLITO

Bacen (control) vs Users (privacy) = Surveillance vs Liberty

Banks (revenue) vs Fintechs (disruption) = Incumbents vs Innovation

Legacy (stability) vs Tech (agility) = Risk vs Speed

National (sovereignty) vs International (interop) = Isolation vs Integration
```

#### 2. Como Lucrar com o Remédio?

#### **Model 1: Platform Economics**

#### Model 2: Sovereign Tech Export

- License full stack para outros países
- Revenue potential: \$50-100M per country implementation
- Target market: 134 countries exploring CBDCs

#### **Model 3: Innovation Ecosystem**

- DeFi protocols built on Drex infrastructure
- Programmable money applications
- **IoT payments** revenue share
- Carbon credits tokenization

## 3. Tática de Neutralização de Resistências:

#### Bacen (Regulatory Capture Strategy)

```
def neutralize_bacen_resistance():
    return {
        "offer": "Enhanced supervisory tools + real-time monitoring",
        "incentive": "International leadership position",
        "timeline": "Gradual rollout preserving existing systems",
        "guarantee": "100% regulatory compliance built-in"
    }
```

#### Banks (Partnership Model)

```
def neutralize_bank_resistance():
    return {
        "offer": "Revenue sharing + preferential API access",
        "incentive": "New product development capabilities",
        "protection": "Gradual disintermediation over 5+ years",
        "value_add": "Compliance automation + risk reduction"
    }
```

#### **Political Opposition**

```
def neutralize_political_resistance():
    return {
        "narrative": "National sovereignty + financial inclusion",
        "proof_point": "China already has $986B digital yuan volume",
        "economic_impact": "R$ 50B+ in economic efficiency gains",
        "jobs": "20,000+ new tech jobs created"
    }
```

## Posicionamento Competitivo Global

## **CBDC Global Leaderboard (2024)**

<b>d</b> 9/10 8/10
9/10
0/10
7/10
6/10
e 5/10
8/10
7/10

## Nossa Solução - Posição Projetada:

CENÁRIO ATUAL: Brasil #5 - Atrás na corrida

CENÁRIO C/ NOSSA SOLUÇÃO: Brasil #2-3 - Superando UE/India

#### DIFERENCIAIS TÉCNICOS:

- Throughput: 50,000+ TPS vs 125 TPS atual
- Privacy: ZK + TEE vs basic encryption
- Programmability: Full smart contracts vs limited
- ✓ Integration: Legacy systems preserved
- ▼ Time-to-Market: 5 anos vs 8-10 anos tradicional

## Estratégia de Monetização

#### Phase 1: Domestic Market (Anos 1-3)

## Phase 2: International Export (Anos 3-7)

TARGET COUNTRIES: 20-30 developing nations

REVENUE PER COUNTRY: \$50-100M setup + 0.05% transaction fees

POTENTIAL MARKET: \$10-20B over 5 years

#### **COUNTRIES PRIORITÁRIOS:**

- Latin America: Argentina, Chile, Colombia
- Africa: South Africa, Nigeria, Kenya
- Asia: Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand
- Europe: Poland, Czech Republic

## Phase 3: Ecosystem Revenue (Anos 5+)

DeFi PROTOCOL FEES: 0.3% of all DeFi transactions

PROGRAMMABLE MONEY: Revenue share from IoT/automation

CROSS-BORDER: 0.1% of international settlements DATA INSIGHTS: Anonymized analytics licensing

PROJECTED: \$5-10B annual recurring revenue

## Timing Crítico - Janela de Oportunidade

2025: China consolida liderança global 2026: UE lança digital Euro em produção

2027: USD digital entra em pilot avançado

Nossa Janela: 18-24 meses para capturar posição #2-3 global

**Estratégia**: Fast-track development + aggressive international marketing + open source community

building = Market leadership antes que mercado amadureça