

## EXERCISE 7 (SOLUTION)

Date issued: 25th November 2024

### Homework Problem 7.1. (Convergence principle)

Suppose that  $X$  is a normed linear space and that  $(x^{(k)})$  is a sequence in  $X$ . Show Lemma 5.9, i. e., the following statements:

(a) The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $x^{(k)} \rightarrow x$ .
- (ii) Every subsequence of  $(x^{(k)})$  contains a subsequence that converges to  $x$  strongly.

(b) The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $x^{(k)} \rightharpoonup x$ .
- (ii) Every subsequence of  $(x^{(k)})$  contains a subsequence that converges to  $x$  weakly.

### Solution.

(a) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $x^{(k)} \rightarrow x$  be a convergent sequence and  $x^{(k^{(l)})}$  be any subsequence. Then  $x^{(k^{(l)})}$  itself converges to  $x$ , because for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\|x^{(k)} - x\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $k \geq k_0(\varepsilon)$ , but by the definition of a subsequence, there exists an  $l_0(k_0(\varepsilon))$  such that  $k^{(l)} > k_0(\varepsilon)$  for all  $l \geq l_0(k_0(\varepsilon))$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose that  $x^{(k)} \not\rightarrow x$ , then there exists a  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $x^{(k^{(l)})}$  such that  $\|x^{(k^{(l)})} - x\| \geq \varepsilon$ . By (ii), this sequence would have a convergent subsequence, which is a contradiction.

(b) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $x^{(k)} \rightarrow x$  and  $x^{(k^{(l)})}$  be any subsequence. Then  $x^{(k^{(l)})}$  itself converges to  $x$  weakly, because for any  $f \in X^*$ , we have  $\langle f, x^{(k)} - x \rangle \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $\mathbb{R}$  and applying statement (a) to this sequence yields  $\langle f, x^{(k^{(l)})} - x \rangle \rightarrow 0$ .

**(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)**: Suppose that  $x^{(k)} \not\rightarrow x$ , i. e., there exists a  $\hat{f} \in X^*$ , such that  $\langle \hat{f}, x^{(k)} - x \rangle \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Accordingly, there exists a subsequence  $x^{(k(l))}$  such that  $|\langle \hat{f}, x^{(k(l))} \rangle| \geq \varepsilon$ , implying that  $x^{(k(l))}$  has no weakly convergent subsequence, and thus a contradiction.

**Homework Problem 7.2.** (Characterization of weak sequential lower semi-continuity)

Suppose that  $X$  is a normed linear space and  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a functional. Show Lemma 5.15, i. e., the equivalence of the following statements:

- (a)  $f$  is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous.
- (b) The epigraph  $\text{epi } f$  is weakly sequentially closed.
- (c) The sublevel sets  $S_\alpha := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$  are weakly sequentially closed (possibly empty) for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution.**

**(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)**: Let  $x^{(k)}$  be a sequence in  $X$  converging weakly to  $x \in X$ . Let  $x^{(k(l))}$  denote a subsequence where  $f(x^{(k(l))}) \rightarrow \liminf f(x^{(k)})$ . Then  $(x^{(k(l))}, f(x^{(k(l))}))$  is in  $\text{epi } f$  and weakly convergent to  $(x, \liminf f(x^{(k)}))$ , which, by assumption, lies in  $\text{epi } f$  as well, meaning that  $f(x) \leq \liminf f(x^{(k)})$ .

**(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)**: Let  $(x^{(k)}, \mu^{(k)})$  be a weakly convergent sequence in  $\text{epi } f$  with limit  $(x, \mu)$ . Then of course  $x^{(k)}$  and  $\mu^{(k)}$  are weakly convergent on their own and since  $\mu^{(k)}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is also strongly convergent to  $\mu$ . Now, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can pass to subsequences  $x^{(k(l))}$  and  $\mu^{(k(l))}$  such that  $\mu^{(k(l))}$  and therefore  $f(x^{(k(l))})$  is bounded by  $\mu + \varepsilon$ . Accordingly,  $x^{(k(l))}$  is in the weakly sequentially closed sublevelset  $S_{\mu+\varepsilon}$ , so  $x \in S_{\mu+\varepsilon}$  as well, i. e.,  $f(x) \leq \mu + \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $f(x) \leq \mu$  and therefore  $(x, \mu) \in \text{epi } f$ .

**(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)**: Let  $x^{(k)} \rightharpoonup x$  and  $x^{(k)} \in S_\alpha$ , i. e.,  $f(x^{(k)}) \leq \alpha$ . Then  $f(x) \leq \liminf f(x^{(k)}) \leq \alpha$  and therefore  $x \in S_\alpha$ .

**Homework Problem 7.3.** (Hilbert spaces are reflexive)

Show Lemma 5.20, i. e., that Hilbert spaces are reflexive.

**Solution.**

We need to show that the canonical embedding  $i: X \rightarrow X^{**}$  is surjective, i. e., that for every  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$

there exists an  $x \in X$ , such that  $\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle_{X^*} = \langle i(x), x^* \rangle_{X^*} = \langle x^*, x \rangle_X$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ . Since by theorem [Theorem 4.14](#), both  $X$  and  $X^*$  are Hilbert spaces, there are corresponding Riesz-mappings  $\Phi_X: X \rightarrow X^*$  and  $\Phi_{X^*}: X^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ . For any  $x^{**}$ , we obtain  $i(\Phi_X^{-1}(\Phi_{X^*}^{-1}(x^{**}))) = x^{**}$ , because

$$\begin{aligned}\langle i(\Phi_X^{-1}(\Phi_{X^*}^{-1}(x^{**}))), x^* \rangle_{X^*} &= \langle x^*, \Phi_X^{-1}(\Phi_{X^*}^{-1}(x^{**})) \rangle_X \\ &= \langle x^*, \Phi_{X^*}^{-1}(x^{**}) \rangle_{X^*} \\ &= \langle \Phi_{X^*}^{-1}(x^{**}), x^* \rangle_{X^*} \\ &= \langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle_{X^*}.\end{aligned}$$

You are not expected to turn in your solutions.