

EXERCISE 12

Date issued: 1st July 2024
Date due: 9th July 2024

Homework Problem 12.1 (CQs are invariant under Slack Transformation) 10 Points

We can reformulate the original nonlinear problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & f(x) \quad \text{where } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n_{\text{ineq}} \\ & \text{and} \quad h_j(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n_{\text{eq}} \end{array} \right\} \quad (5.1)$$

by introducing a so called **slack variable** $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{ineq}}}$ to obtain the simple one-sided box-constrained problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & f(x) \quad \text{where } (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_{\text{ineq}}} \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) + s = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n_{\text{ineq}} \\ & \text{and} \quad -s \leq 0 \\ & \text{and} \quad h_j(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n_{\text{eq}} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (5.1_s)$$

- (i) Derive the KKT-system of (5.1_s) and show that there is a one-to-one connection between the solutions of the KKT systems corresponding to (5.1) and (5.1_s).
- (ii) Show that GCQ/ACQ/MFCQ/LICQ is satisfied at a feasible (x, s) for (5.1_s) if the respective condition is satisfied at x for (5.1).

For which CQs can you show equivalence?

Homework Problem 12.2 (Generalized derivatives) 5 Points

- (i) Compute the Bouligand- and Clarke generalized derivatives for $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = |x|$ at every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) Show that if $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is Lipschitz continuous on some neighborhood of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the Bouligand generalized derivative $\partial_B f(x)$ and the Clarke generalized derivative $\partial f(x)$ are nonempty and compact. In addition, $\partial f(x)$ is convex.

Homework Problem 12.3 (Semismooth NCP functions) 6 Points

Show that

$$\Phi_{\min}(a, b) := \min\{a, b\} \quad \text{"min" function,} \quad (11.8a)$$

$$\Phi_{FB}(a, b) := \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - a - b \quad \text{Fischer-Burmeister function (Fischer, 1992)} \quad (11.8b)$$

as functions from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

- (i) are NCP functions (Definition 11.4).
- (ii) are semismooth everywhere (Definition 11.7).

Homework Problem 12.4 (Reduced reformulation of the semismooth Newton step) 3 Points

Show that the semismooth Newton step (in abbreviated notation), cf. Equation (11.15):

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -\text{Id} & B^\top \\ D_{\mathcal{A}} & D_{\mathcal{I}} & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ D_{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\ell} \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

can be transferred by using selection matrices

$Z_{\mathcal{A}} :=$ rows of $\text{Id} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ pertaining to active indices

$Z_{\mathcal{I}} :=$ rows of $\text{Id} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ pertaining to inactive indices

and subvectors $\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{A}} = Z_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{d}$, $\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{I}} = Z_{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{d}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{A}} = Z_{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{I}} = Z_{\mathcal{I}} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ into the equivalent reduced problem, cf. Equation (11.16):

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{\mathcal{I}} H Z_{\mathcal{I}}^\top & Z_{\mathcal{I}} B^\top \\ B Z_{\mathcal{I}}^\top & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{I}} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\mathcal{I}}(b - H D_{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\ell}) \\ c - B D_{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Please submit your solutions as a single pdf and an archive of programs via [moodle](#).

REFERENCES

- Fischer, A. (1992). "A special Newton-type optimization method". *Optimization. A Journal of Mathematical Programming and Operations Research* 24.3-4, pp. 269–284. DOI: [10.1080/02331939208843795](https://doi.org/10.1080/02331939208843795).