

## EXERCISE 13

Date issued: 8th July 2024  
Date due: 16th July 2024

**Homework Problem 13.1** (Detecting convergence in primal-dual active set strategies) 6 Points

Consider the primal-dual active set strategy (semismooth Newton, [Algorithm 11.10](#)) for the lower bound constrained QP from the lecture notes with the iterates  $(d^{(k)}, \mu^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k)})$ , initialized with some  $(d^{(0)}, \mu^{(0)}, \lambda^{(0)})$ .

(i) Show that the residual  $F(d^{(k)}, \mu^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k)})$  is nonzero only in its second component for  $k \geq 1$ .

(ii) Prove that when

$$\mathcal{A}(d^{(k)}, \mu^{(k)}) = \mathcal{A}(d^{(k+1)}, \mu^{(k+1)})$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (the primal-dual active index sets coincide for two consecutive iterations) then  $(d^{(k+1)}, \mu^{(k+1)}, \lambda^{(k+1)})$  is a solution of the constrained QP.

**Homework Problem 13.2** (Differentiability of the  $\ell_1$ -merit function) 2 Points

Verify that the directional derivative

$$\pi'_1(x; d) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{\pi_1(x + t d) - \pi_1(x)}{t}$$

of the  $\ell_1$ -penalty part

$$\pi_1(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \pi_1(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{ineq}}} \max\{0, g_i(x)\} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{eq}}} |h_j(x)|$$

of the  $\ell_1$ -merit function exists everywhere and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi'_1(x; d) = & \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ g_i(x) < 0}}^{n_{\text{ineq}}} 0 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ g_i(x)=0}}^{} \max\{0, g'_i(x) d\} + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ g_i(x) > 0}}^{n_{\text{ineq}}} g'_i(x) d \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ h_j(x) < 0}}^{n_{\text{eq}}} -h'_j(x) d + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ h_j(x)=0}}^{} |h'_j(x) d| + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ h_j(x) > 0}}^{n_{\text{eq}}} h'_j(x) d\end{aligned}\quad (12.2)$$

for  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Homework Problem 13.3** (Penalty reformulation of infeasible SQP-subproblems) 1 Points

Show that the penalty reformulation

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Minimize } & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}^\top A \mathbf{d} - b^\top \mathbf{d} + \gamma [\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{t}], \quad \text{where } (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{eq}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{eq}}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{ineq}}} \\ \text{subject to } & B_{\text{eq}} \mathbf{d} - c_{\text{eq}} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \\ \text{and } & B_{\text{ineq}} \mathbf{d} - c_{\text{ineq}} \leq \mathbf{t} \\ \text{as well as } & \mathbf{v} \geq 0, \mathbf{w} \geq 0, \mathbf{t} \geq 0\end{aligned}\quad (12.10)$$

of the SQP-subproblem-type problem

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Minimize } & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}^\top A \mathbf{d} - b^\top \mathbf{d}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{subject to } & B_{\text{eq}} \mathbf{d} - c_{\text{eq}} = 0 \\ \text{and } & B_{\text{ineq}} \mathbf{d} - c_{\text{ineq}} \leq 0\end{aligned}\quad (12.9)$$

is always feasible.

**Homework Problem 13.4** (Smoothness properties of exact penalty functions) 6 Points

Consider the constrained optimization problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \quad \text{where } x \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{P})$$

for a functional  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a nonempty feasible set  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Further, define the **penalized** (unconstrained) problems

$$\text{minimize } f(x) + \gamma \pi(x) \quad \text{where } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (\text{P}_\gamma)$$

for a penalty function  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a (penalty) parameter  $\gamma > 0$ .

**Note:** A penalty function is defined as satisfying  $\pi(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\pi(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}$ .

Show the following:

- (i) If  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$  is a local/global solution for  $(P_\gamma)$  for a  $\gamma^* > 0$ , then it is a local/global solution for  $(P)$  and for  $(P_\gamma)$  for any  $\gamma \geq \gamma^*$ .
- (ii) If there exist a  $\gamma^* > 0$  and an  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $x^*$  is a global solution of  $(P_\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \geq \gamma^*$ , then  $x^*$  is a global solution to  $(P)$ .
- (iii) Let  $f$  be differentiable. If  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$  is a local solution to  $(P)$  and to  $(P_\gamma)$  for a  $\gamma^* > 0$ , then  $\pi$  is not differentiable at  $x^*$  or  $f'(x^*) = 0$ .

What does Statement (iii) mean for exact penalization methods in general?

Please submit your solutions as a single pdf and an archive of programs via [moodle](#).