

## 10.11 Notes

Math 403/503

October 2022

### 1 Diagonalization

Recall that  $T$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  if  $\text{null}(T - \lambda I)$  is not the zero space. Any nonzero element of  $\text{null}(T - \lambda I)$  is called an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ . We proved that over a scalar field  $C$ , every operator on a finite dimensional vector space has an eigenvalue (and at most  $\dim V$  many eigenvalues). We proved a corollary that every operator over  $C$  has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some basis. This then begs the question, does every operator over  $C$  have a diagonal matrix with respect to some (really special) basis?

First we give the following review fact: Suppose  $T$  is an operator on  $V$  which has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Then  $T$  is invertible if and only if the diagonal entries of the matrix are not 0.

Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  then  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ? & ? \\ 0 & 1/2 & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$  Because if we multiply

the two together it gives us entries of 1 along the diagonal. But if a matrix  $A$  were to have some diagonal entry equal to 0 it would not be invertible because we would have  $1/0$  in the corresponding entry. This helps us establish the following theorem.

**Theorem:** Suppose  $T \in L(V, V)$  has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some basis. Then the eigenvalues of  $T$  are precisely the diagonal entries of the matrix.

Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$  eigenvalues are 2, 5, 8. The reason is as follows;

$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 - \lambda & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$  This will be noninvertible and therefore have a nontrivial null space whenever  $2 - \lambda = 0$  or  $5 - \lambda = 0$  or  $8 - \lambda = 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be the basis for which the matrix of  $T$  is triangular: Then  $A$  is a matrix with  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in the diagonal entries and is upper triangular. Then  $T - \lambda I$  has matrix  $A - \lambda I$  where the diagonal entries are now

$\alpha_1 - \lambda, \dots, \alpha_n - \lambda$ . Leaving  $\lambda = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  by the fact above. QED.

Notation: Given an operator  $T$  and an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ , the eigenspace of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is  $E(T, \lambda) = \text{null}(T - \lambda I)$ . That is, the subspace of all eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$ , together with the 0 vector.  $E(T, \lambda)$  is an example of an invariant subspace for  $T$ . In fact,  $T$  restricted by  $E(T, \lambda)$  is simply the map that multiplies by  $\lambda$ .

Example: Let  $T$  have matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  (in standard basis). We know  $T$  has

eigenvalues 8, 5.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$E(T, 8) =$  the line through  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

$E(T, 5) =$  plane with basis  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

**Theorem:** The sum of the eigenspaces of  $T$  makes a direct sum for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  distinct values of  $T$ .

**Proof:** We have proved this before: If  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  respectively, then  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is independent. We need to show that if  $U_1 \in E(T, \lambda_1), \dots, U_m \in E(T, \lambda_m)$  and  $U_1 + \dots + U_m = 0$  then  $U_1 = \dots = U_m = 0$ . But if  $U_i \in E(T, \lambda_i)$  and  $U_i \neq 0$  then it is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_i$ . So by the above fact if  $U_1 + \dots + U_m = 0$  the only possibility is  $U_1 = \dots = U_m = 0$ . QED.

Preview of next result: If when we take the direct sum of  $E(T, \lambda_1) + \dots + E(T, \lambda_m)$  we get the whole space  $V$ , then  $T$  is diagonal in some basis (consists of eigenvectors). And conversely.