## 11.17 Notes

Math 403/503

November 2022

## 1 Game Plan For Future Weeks

- Today finish spectral theorem
- Next week break
- Following week maybe chapter 10
- Dead week review and give out final quiz
- Finals week submit quiz

## 2 Last Time...

Last time we introduced the (complex) spectral theorem which consisted of two statements:

- If T is normal  $(T^*T = TT^*)$  then T and  $T^*$  have the same eigenvectors but with conjugate eigenvalues:  $Tv = \lambda v \leftrightarrow T^*v = \overline{\lambda}v$
- ullet If T is normal then the eigenvectors of T are mutually orthogonal, and there are dim V many eigenvectors (an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors).

I owe you a few portions of proofs.

• Assume  $Tv = \lambda v$  (i.e.  $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$ . Note that  $(T - \lambda I)^* = T^* - \overline{\lambda}I$ . Note that  $T - \lambda I$  is also normal (if T is):  $(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) = (T^* - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)$   $= T^*T - \lambda T^* - \overline{\lambda}T + \lambda \overline{\lambda}I$   $= TT^* - \lambda T^* - \overline{\lambda}T + \overline{\lambda}\lambda I$   $= (T - \lambda I)(T^* - \overline{\lambda}I)$ Now,  $(T - \lambda I)v = 0 \rightarrow ||(T - \lambda I)v||^2 = 0$   $\rightarrow < (T - \lambda I)v, (T - \lambda I)v >= 0$   $\rightarrow < v, (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I)v >= 0$   $\rightarrow < v, (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^*v >= 0$   $\rightarrow < (T - \lambda I)^*v, (T - \lambda I)^*v >= 0$   $\rightarrow < (T - \lambda I)^*v, (T - \lambda I)^*v >= 0$   $\rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^*v = 0$ 

$$\begin{split} & \to (T^* - \overline{\lambda}I)v = 0 \\ & \to T^*v = \overline{\lambda}v. \end{split}$$

• We showed last time that the various eigenspaces of T are pairwise and orthogonal. Let me just repeat: Let  $Tv_1 = \lambda_1 v_1, Tv_2 = \lambda_2 v_2 (\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2)$ . (know that  $T^*v_1 = \overline{\lambda_1} v_1, T^*v_2 = \overline{\lambda_2} v_2$ ). Now,  $\langle Tv_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, T^*v_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \lambda_1 v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, \overline{\lambda_2} v_2 \rangle \rightarrow \lambda_1 \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \lambda_2 \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 0$ 

The last thing we need to do is show that in fact T is diagonalized by its eigenvectors. We can do this in two parts:

- There exists an orthonormal basis in which T has an upper triangular matrix
- Any upper triangular matrix that happens to be normal must be diagonal

The first bullet point follows from some things we have already done. Begin with any basis  $v_1, ..., v_n$  and let A be the matrix of T in this basis. Since we are working over C we know that T has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some basis (e.g. the Jordan form) so:  $A = BUB^{-1}$  where U is upper triangular. Now from Gram-Schmidt we can factor B as B = QR where Q is orthonormal and R is upper triangular, then:

$$\begin{split} A &= BUB^{-1} \\ &= QRU(QR)^{_1} \\ &= QRUR^{-1}Q^{-1} \\ &= Q(RUR^{-1})Q^{-1} \end{split}$$

For the second bullet point we simply inspect what it means for an upper trian-

gular matrix 
$$U$$
 to be normal.  $U = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & \dots \end{bmatrix}$  Normal:  $U^*U = UU^*$ .

Looking at the 
$$(1,1)$$
 entry:  
 $|a_{11}|^2 = |a_{11}|^2 + ... + |a_{1n}|^2$   
 $\rightarrow |a_{12}|^2 = ... = |a_{1n}|^2 = 0$   
 $\rightarrow a_{12} = ... = a_{1n} = 0$ .

Looking at the 
$$(2,2)$$
 entry:  
 $|a_{12}|^2 + |a_{22}|^2 = |a_{22}|^2 + \dots + |a_{2n}|^2$   
 $\rightarrow |a_{23}|^2 + \dots + |a_{2n}|^2 = 0$   
 $\rightarrow a_{23} = \dots = a_{2n} = 0$ 

Inductively we can find that all off-diagonal entries are zero, so, normal and upper triangular implies diagonal. So we conclude that normal matrices over C have the decomposition  $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$  where Q is orthonormal and  $\Lambda$  is diagonal (over a real vector space one must assume A is symmetric i.e. self adjoint).

One application of the spectral theorem is the "Polar decomposition". Any complex number z can be written in a polar form  $e^{i\theta} \cdot r$ , as a product of a unit (norm 1) and a positive real number. There is a similar result for operators! The unit will be replaced by a "unitary" or orthonormal matrix Q. The positive real number will be replaced by a "positive operator".

**Definition**: An operator  $p\epsilon L(V)$  is called <u>positive</u> if for all  $v\epsilon V, < Pv, v > \geq 0$ . NOte that over C, positive is equivalent to  $\overline{P}$  being orthogonally diagonalizable with all eigenvalues being real and nonnegative.

**Theorem**: Any square matrix A may be decomposed as  $Q \cdot P$  where Q is orthonormal and P is positive.

Proof (in the special case when A is normal): We know  $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$  from the spectral theorem. Then for each  $\lambda_{ii}$  in  $\Lambda$  write it as  $\lambda_{ii} = u_i |\lambda_{ii}|$  where  $u_i$  satisfies  $|u_i| = 1$ . Then let U be a matrix with just entries along the diagonal, and similarly  $|\Lambda|$  just has entries along the diagonal. Then  $A = QU|\Lambda|Q^* = QUQ^* \cdot Q|\Lambda|Q^*$ 

An arbitrary matrix A gives rise to a normal matrix  $A^*A...$  then work harder to get the result for A too