

Proof for uniqueness of the choice of A

Proposition 1:

Suppose $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has n different eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$, and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors that form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ is a semi-orthogonal matrix that satisfies $AA^T = I_r, r \leq n$.

Then we have the following statements:

a) if $A\Sigma A^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_r \end{pmatrix}$, then we have $A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \pm v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_r^T \end{pmatrix}$. The choice of A is unique up to a sign

of \pm .

b) if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$, then we have $A = O \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \pm v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_r^T \end{pmatrix}$, $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is an orthogonal

matrix.

To conclude, if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$, are exactly the r largest eigenvalues of Σ , and Σ 's eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are different from each other, then the choice of semi-orthogonal matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ is unique up to an orthogonal transformation $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$.

Proof: We use mathematical induction to prove this.

1) If $r = 1$, then $A \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$. As $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , we assume $A = \alpha_1 v_1^T + \dots + \alpha_n v_n^T$. Since A is semi-orthogonal, $AA^T = I_r = 1$, we have $\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 = 1$.

Now suppose a) $A\Sigma A^T = \lambda_1, \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$.

By eigenvalue decomposition we have:

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$$

So we have:

$$A\Sigma A^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i = \lambda_1$$

Since $\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$, we have $\alpha_1^2 = 1$ and $\alpha_2^2 = \dots = \alpha_n^2 = 0$. So we have:

$$A = \pm v_1^T$$

which proves that statement a) is correct when $r = 1$.

If $r = 1$, then statement a) is equivalent to statement b). Hence, we proved that statement a), b) are correct when $r = 1$.

2) Suppose statement a) b) are correct for all $r \leq k < n$, now we prove that statement a) b) are

correct when $r = k + 1 \leq n$.

For statement a), suppose $A = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ \vdots \\ u_{k+1}^T \end{pmatrix}$ and $A \Sigma A^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ \vdots \\ u_k^T \end{pmatrix} \Sigma (u_1 \ u_2 \ \cdots \ u_k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and $u_{k+1}^T \Sigma u_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+1}$.

Since statement a) is true when $r = k$, we deduce that the choice of $\begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ \vdots \\ u_k^T \end{pmatrix}$ is unique up to a sign

\pm :

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ \vdots \\ u_k^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \pm v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_k^T \end{pmatrix}$$

Since A is semi-orthogonal, we have $u_{k+1}^T u_1 = \cdots = u_{k+1}^T u_k = 0$ and $u_{k+1}^T u_{k+1} = 1$. So $u_{k+1} \in \text{span}(v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)$. Assume $u_{k+1}^T = \alpha_{k+1} v_{k+1}^T + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n^T$, then we have $\alpha_{k+1}^2 + \cdots + \alpha_n^2 = 1$.

By eigenvalue decomposition we have $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i^T v_i$ and $u_{k+1}^T \Sigma u_{k+1} = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i = \lambda_{k+1}$, so we have $\alpha_{k+1}^2 = 1$ and $\alpha_{k+2}^2 = \cdots = \alpha_n^2 = 0$ and $u_{k+1}^T = \pm v_{k+1}^T$.

Hence, we proved that the choice of $\begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ \vdots \\ u_{k+1}^T \end{pmatrix}$ is unique up to a sign \pm , which means that the

statement a) is true when $r = k + 1$.

For statement b): if $A \Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$, then we have:

$$A \Sigma A^T = O \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} O^T$$

where $O \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$ is an orthogonal matrix. So we have:

$$O^T A \Sigma A^T O = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

According to statement a), we deduce that $O^T A$ is unique up to a sign \pm :

$$O^T A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \pm v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{k+1}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

So we proved that $A = O \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \pm v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{k+1}^T \end{pmatrix}$, $O \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Hence, we

completed the proof for statement b).

Putting the deductions in 1) and 2) together, we conclude that the choice of semi-orthogonal matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ is unique up to an orthogonal transformation $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$, are exactly the r largest eigenvalues of Σ .

Similar to proposition 1, we can prove that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ is unique up to an orthogonal transformation $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues, $\lambda_{n-r+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$, are exactly the r smallest eigenvalues of Σ and Σ 's eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are different from each other.

Proposition 2:

Suppose $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has n different eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$, and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors that form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ is a semi-orthogonal matrix that satisfies $AA^T = I_r, r \leq n$.

Then we have the following statements:

a) if $A\Sigma A^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{n-r+1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$, then we have $A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_{n-r+1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+2}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$. The choice of A is unique up

to a sign of \pm .

b) if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues are $\lambda_{n-r+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$, then we have $A = O \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_{n-r+1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+2}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$, $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is an

orthogonal matrix.

Sketch of the Proof: The proof of proposition 2 is quite similar to the proof of proposition 1. First, we can verify that if $r = 1$, then the only choice of A is $A = \pm v_n^T$. Then we assume that for any $r \leq k < n$, statement a) b) are correct. Following the very same deduction for proposition 1, we can confirm that statement a) b) are correct when $r = k + 1 \leq n$. This suffice to prove that proposition 2 is correct.

Then, we can prove that, if $A\Sigma A^T$'s r eigenvalues are composed of r_1 largest eigenvalues of Σ and r_2 smallest eigenvalues of Σ , $r_1 + r_2 = r$ and Σ 's eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are different from each other, then the choice of A is also unique up to an orthogonal transformation $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$.

Proposition 3:

Suppose $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has n different eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$, and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors that form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ is a semi-orthogonal matrix that satisfies $AA^T = I_r, r \leq n$.

Then we have the following statements:

a) if $A\Sigma A^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda_{r_1} & & \\ & & & \lambda_{n-r+r_1+1} & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$, then we have $A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{r_1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+r_1+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$. The

choice of A is unique up to a sign of \pm .

b) if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r_1}, \lambda_{n-r+r_1+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$, then we have $A = O \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{r_1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+r_1+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$,

$O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is an orthogonal matrix.

Proof: For statement a), suppose $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1 \times n}$, $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r-r_1) \times n}$. Since $A\Sigma A^T =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda_{r_1} & & \\ & & & \lambda_{n-r+r_1+1} & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ we have } A_1 \Sigma A_1^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{r_1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 \Sigma A_2^T =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{n-r+r_1+1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}. \text{ From proposition 1 we have } A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{r_1}^T \end{pmatrix} \text{ is unique and from}$$

proposition 2 we have $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_{n-r+r_1+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$ is unique, so $A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{r_1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+r_1+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$ is unique up to a

sign of \pm .

For statement b): if $A\Sigma A^T$'s eigenvalues are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r_1}, \lambda_{n-r+r_1+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$, then we have:

$$A\Sigma A^T = O \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda_{r_1} & & \\ & & & \lambda_{n-r+r_1+1} & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} O^T$$

where $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is an orthogonal matrix. So we can deduce that $O^T A$ is unique up to a sign \pm :

$$O^T A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{r_1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+r_1+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$$

So we proved that $A = O \begin{pmatrix} \pm v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_{r_1}^T \\ \pm v_{n-r+r_1+1}^T \\ \vdots \\ \pm v_n^T \end{pmatrix}$, $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Hence, we

completed the proof for statement b).

We have proved that to maximize each f -divergence is to extract some of the largest eigenvalues and some of the smallest eigenvalues of Σ , so according to proposition 3, we have the following:

Proposition 4:

If $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has n different eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$, and the evaluation function $g(\lambda)$ attains n different values $g(\lambda_i)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_i$, then to maximize each f -divergence, the optimal choice of the semi-orthogonal matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{t \times n}$ is unique up to an orthogonal transformation $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$.