## Notes on (Spanne, 1988)

Scott Trinkle

March 8, 2018

## 1 Brief overview of Spanne's derivation

Spanne assumes that N, the number of detected photons is an uncorrelated Gaussian process. The variance of the estimate of  $\mu(x,y)$  is approximated by:

$$var(\mu(x,y)) = \left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)^2 \sum_{n_{\theta}=0}^{m} \sum_{n_{R}=-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{g^2(n_R \Delta R - R')}{E\{N(R', n_{\theta} \Delta \theta)\}}$$
(1)

where:

• m: number of views/angles

•  $n_{\theta}$ : angular sampling index

•  $n_R$ : sampling point index

• g: filter function

•  $E\{\}$ : denotes expectation

• R': radial coordinate

•  $\Delta\theta$ : angular increment

Solving for the variance at an arbitrary pixel (x, y) would involve finding all rays traversing that pixel, so Spanne simplifies things by looking at a single pixel in the center of a circular object, for which  $E\{N\}$  is equal for all angles. The variance then reduces to:

$$\operatorname{var}(\mu(0,0)) = \left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)^{2} \sum_{n_{\theta}=0}^{m} \sum_{n_{R}=-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{g^{2}(n_{R}\Delta R)}{E\{N(0,n_{\theta}\Delta\theta)\}}$$

$$= c_{filter} \left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)^{2} \sum_{n_{\theta}=0}^{m} \frac{1}{E\{N(0,n_{\theta}\Delta\theta)\}}$$

$$= c_{filter} \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{m}\right) \frac{1}{E\{N(0,n_{\theta}\Delta\theta)\}}$$
(2)

where he has grouped the sum of squared filter terms into  $c_{filter}$  and exploited the fact that  $E\{N(0, n_{\theta}\Delta\theta)\}$  is independent of  $n_{\theta}$ . Note also that the expectation for N is just the usual:

$$E\{N(0, n_{\theta} \Delta \theta)\} = N_0 \exp\left\{\sum_i \mu_i d_i\right\}$$
(3)

Spanne then defines the SNR as

$$\frac{S}{\sigma} = \frac{|\mu_1 - \mu_2|}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\mu_1(0,0)) + \text{var}(\mu_2(0,0))}} \tag{4}$$

where  $\mu_1$  represents the attenuation in the central voxel without any contrast material, and  $\mu_2$  represents the attenuation in the central voxel with added contrast material.

## 2 Applications to our model

Spanne includes a third material as a "shell" in his derivation. Ignoring that shell for our purposes, consider a homogeneous spherical object of diameter d, with an attenuation coefficient  $\mu_1 \equiv \mu_{bg}$ . Now, consider adding a small amount of contrast material to the central voxel, with voxel dimension r and attenuation coefficient  $\mu_c$ , such that the total attenuation coefficient is now  $\mu_2 \equiv \mu_{bg} + \mu_c$ . The SNR can then be calculated from equations 2, 3 and 4 to be:

$$\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)_{\text{Spanne}} = \sqrt{\frac{mN_0}{\pi^2 c_{filter}}} \frac{\mu_c}{\sqrt{\exp\{\mu_{bg}d\} + \exp\{\mu_c r + \mu_{bg}d\}}} \tag{5}$$

Compare this to our working model:

$$\left(\frac{S}{\sigma}\right)_{\text{Ours}} = \sqrt{N_0 \mu_c \sqrt{\exp\{-\mu_c r - \mu_{bg} d\}}} \tag{6}$$

Besides the inclusion of energy-independent constants (including the reconstruction term,  $c_{filter}$ ), the main difference is that our working model only incorporates the noise from the contrast-present case:

$$\sigma \propto \frac{1}{\bar{N}} \tag{7}$$

$$\bar{N} \equiv N_0 \exp\{-\mu_c r - \mu_{bq} d\} \tag{8}$$

whereas Spanne adds the variances from the contrast-present and contrast-absent cases in quadrature.

Comparisons of the two noise models (additional constants in Spanne model were not included) are shown below for a few values of contrast density. You can see that the Spanne model has generally the same shape, but a lower overall value.

