Assignment

```
Questions:L: 2.2 #7, 8a 2.3 #5, 6, 9, 11 2.4 #1, 3, 4, 5, 10
2.2
7.a. t(n) \in O(g(n))
t(n) \le cg(n) for all n >= n_0, where c > 0
(1/c)t(n) \le g(n) for all n >= n_0
7.b. f(n) \le c\alpha(n) for all n \ge n_0 where c > 0
f(n) \le c\alpha(n) for all n >= n_0 where c_1 = c\alpha > 0
let f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))
f(n) \le cg(n) for all n >= n_0 where c>0
f(n) \le (c/\alpha)\alpha g(n) = c_1\alpha g(n) for all n >= n_0 where c_1 = (c/\alpha) > 0
7.c. \Theta(g(n)) \subseteq O(g(n)) \cap \Omega(g(n))
O(g(n))\cap\Omega(g(n))\subseteq\Theta(g(n))
7.d. This is false
t(n) = n \text{ if n is even}
          n^2 if n is odd
and
          n if n is odd n^2 if n is even
g(n) =
```

8.a. Ω notation

If there exists some positive constant c an a nonnegative integer n_0 such that t(n) >= cg(n) for all $n >= n_0$. 2.3

5.a. what does it compute? It finds the minimum and the maximum value passing through the array

- b. what is the basic op? Comparisons
- c. how many times is that executed? two for checking if it is the lowest or highest. So it has one time through n elements with 2 comparisons per. 2n
- d. what is the efficiency? $\Theta(n)$
- e. suggest an improvement. You could make it so it checks just one then the other. So If you have a new lowest it obviously wont be your new highest
- 6.a. It should move through checking if the pairs match up to indicate that the two arrays are symmetric
- b. Comparison between array elements

c.
$$\sum_{n-2}^{i=0} \sum_{n-1}^{j=i+1} 1$$
 ((n-1)n/2)

d.this moves through at a quadratic rate

e. I believe there really is not a good way to increase efficiency

```
9. S= \sum_{n=1}^{i=1} = 1+2+3+4+...+n
2S=(1+n)+(2+(n-2))+...+(n+1)
2S = n(n+1)
S=n(n+1)/2
11.a. 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}(2i+1)=2n^2+2n+1
b. This triple loop really seems silly at first glance. I think this can re-factored
simpler
2.4
1.a. x(n) = x(n-1) + 5 for n > 1, x(1) = 0
x(n) = x(n-i) + 5i
\sum_{i=0}^{k} (x(k) + 5k)
\widetilde{\text{Let K}} = n
x(n)=x(n-(n)+5(n-1))
x(1)+5(n-1) x(n)=5n-5
b.x(n)=3x(n-1)
x(n)=3^ix(n-i)
\sum_{i=1}^{k'} 3^k x(k)
multiply with some c
x(n) = 4 * 3^{n-1}
c. x(n)=x(n-1)+n for n>0, x(0)=0
x(1)=[x(n-2)+(n-1)+n]
x(n)=x(n-i)+(n-i+1)+x(n-i+2)+....+n
so it is adding like factorial
=x(0)+1+2+...+n
x(n)=(n(n+1))/2
d. x(n)=x(n/2)+n for n > 1, x(1)=1 (solve for n = 2^k)
x(2^k) = x(2^{k-1}) + 2^k
= [x(2^{k-2}) + 2^{k-1}] + 2^k
= [x(2^{k-3}) + 2^{k-2}] + 2^{k-1} + 2^k
= [x(2^{k-i}) + 2^{k-i+1}] + \dots + 2^k
=x(2^{k-k})+2^1+2^2+2^k
=1+2^{1}+2^{2}+\ldots+2^{k}
=2^{k+1}-1=2*2^{k}-1=2n-1
e. x(n)=x(n/3)+1 for n>1, x(1)=1 (solve for n=3^k)
x(3^k) = x(3^{k-1} + 1)
= [x(3^{k-2} + 1) + 1] = [x(3^{k-2}) + 2]
=[x(3^{k-2}+1)+2]=[x(3^{k-2})+3]
= x(3^{k-i} + i)
=x(3^{k-k}+k)=x(1)+k
=1+\log_3 n
3.a. M(n)=M(n-1)+2, M(1)=0
M(n) = M(n-1)+2
```

```
= M(n-2)+2 +2 
= M(n-3)+2 +2 +2
```

= M(n-i) + 2i

=M(n-n) + 2(n-1) = 2(n-1) b. It should take the same amount of compares.

However this one will prove faster because it wont have the bulky overhead formed by a bunch of recursive calls

4.a.
$$Q(n-1)+2n-1$$
 for $n>1$

$$Q(2)=Q(1)+2*2-1 = 1+2*2-1 = 4$$

$$Q(3)=Q(2)+2*3-1=4+2*3-1=9$$

$$Q(4)=Q(3)+2*4-1=9+2*4-1=16$$

$$Q(5) = Q(4) + 2*5 - 1 = 16 + 2*5 - 1 = 25$$

b.
$$M(n) = M(n-1)+1$$
, for $n>1$, $M(1)=0$

$$M(n) = M(n-1)+1$$

$$= M(n-2)+1+1$$

$$= M(n-3)+1+1+1$$

$$= M(n-i) + i$$

$$=M(n-n) + (n-1) = (n-1)$$
 c.Let $M(n)$ be the number of additions and

subtractions made

$$M(n) = M(n-1) + 3 \text{ for } n>1, M*(1)=0$$

5.a. The tower of hanoi have $M(n)=2^n-1$ for an algorithm (2^64-1) / 60mins * 24hours * 365days = 3.5096545e13 years

b.
$$i \text{ th disk } (1 \le i \le n)$$

$$M(i+1) = 2M(i) (1 \le i \le n), m(1)=1$$

$$M(i) = 2^{i-1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{i-1} = 1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{n-1} = 2^n - 1$$

c. 3 stacks

towers ¡0,n-1; vector //disks

while not done

select highest value at top of stacks

select largest disk

dont undue prior move //if it will skip

MOVESTACK(disk selected)

else if select second largest

dont undue prior move //if it will skip

MOVESTACK(disk selected)

else if select 3rd largest dont undue prior move //if it will skip

MOVESTACK(disk selected)

store last move if stack 3 has n items return done

MOVESTACK(disk)// move disk item to 3 if on stack 1

move disk item to 2 if on stack 3

move disk item to 1 if on stack 2

return

10. in best case we have constant time. We have M(n) comparisons though in worst case It is moving through a set of two arrays giving it the look of a quadratic time algorithm.