

NoSQL Databases: MongoDB

Scott Coughlin, Computational Specialist Some slides adapted from Professor Michael Coughlin and Matthew Graham July 19th 2022

Databases, SQL, and Django

Topics Covered

- What drove the development of NoSQL? Pros and cons of traditional SQL systems
- 2. NoSQL: motivation and promise
- 3. What are the different flavors of NoSQL?
- 4. Some SQL to MongoDB Command Mapping

What drove the development of NoSQL?

Pros of traditional SQL systems

- Have been around for quite a while
- SQL: mature and powerful
- Transactions + ACID-compliance built-in
- Data normalization + joins
- Schema: good when data can be represented in appropriate way
- Many open-source solutions

Reminder of ACID

By definition, a database transaction must be:

- Atomic: all or nothing
- Consistent: no integrity constraints violated
- Isolated: does not interfere with any other transaction
- Durable: committed transaction effects persist

Cons of traditional SQL systems

- Horizontal scale-out | scaling issues
- Joins + transactions across multiple databases quickly become costly for complicated objects and big data -> performance and availability are affected
- Object-relational impedance mismatch
- Schema: bad for unstructured/evolving data

NoSQL: motivation and promise

NoSQL: motivation and promise

The design and development of NoSQL databases has been largely driven by the RDBMS cons from the previous slide.

- Deliver performance for big, potentially unstructured or evolving data that may come in in real time
- Simple design
- Horizontal scaling
- NoSQL ~= not only SQL, i.e. some systems support SQLlike query languages

NoSQL: motivation and promise

Always comes with a cost!

- Most such systems lack ACID transactions and offer BASE (Basic Availability, Soft state and Eventual consistency) instead
- Some systems exhibit potential lost writes and other forms of data loss but not MongoDB
- No schema means data integrity might become an issue
- Some systems do allow defining schemas and perform validation/enforcement (e.g. MongoDB)
- Many query languages vs single SQL (albeit with different flavors)

NoSQL: relational data

In practice, you almost always still need to deal with relational data! There are three main techniques to do that:

- Nesting/embedding data
- Store all data needed for a specific task in one place (e.g. in a single document)
- Linking + Multiple queries
- Store a foreign key and fetch data in multiple queries.
- Caching and replication
- Instead of storing a foreign key, store the actual values

Main types of NoSQL databases

NoSQL: What are the different flavors of NoSQL?

Key-value store

- Uses maps/dictionaries/associated lists/hash tables with corresponding operation complexities
- Examples: Redis, ArangoDB, ZooKeeper, Couchbase, Cassandra, Amazon DynamoDB

Wide column store

- Essentially, a two-dimensional semi-structured key-value store
- Examples: Cassandra, HBase

Document store

- Semi-structured; data are encapsulated in some standard form (XML, JSON, BSON) in "documents" with unique keys/identifiers
- Often uses B-trees with corresponding operation complexities
- Examples: Couchbase, MongoDB, Amazon DynamoDB

Graph store

- Uses graphs to represent data + relationship between them (the latter can be queried, too)
- Examples: Neo4J, ArangoDB

NoSQL: What are the performance differences for these flavors of NoSQL?

Data model	Performance	Scalability	Flexibility	Complexity	Functionality
Key-value store	high	high	high	none	variable
Column-oriented store	high	high	moderate	low	minimal
Document-oriented store	high	variable (high)	high	low	variable
Graph database	variable	variable	high	high	graph theory
Relational database	variable	variable	low	moderate	relational algebra

MongoDB: Document-Based NoSQL

MongoDB

- Uses <u>BSON</u> (serialized binary python-dictionary-like structures)
 documents to store the data in collections (a rough analog of a table in the relational DBMS world).
- Giant <u>B-tree</u> (Binary Tree): O(log(N)) guaranteed for search, insert, and delete operations, where N is the number of documents in a collection.
- MongoDB Query Language (MQL): cone and general searches, aggregation pipelines
- Horizontal scale-out
- ACID-compliant transactions

MongoDB Commands Compared to SQL

In the following slides we compare Mongo commands to SQL

CREATE

```
CREATE TABLE tableName (name1 type1, name2 type2, ...);
CREATE TABLE star (name varchar(20), ra float, dec float,
vmag float);
MongoDB: Create a Collection
 db.people.insertOne( {
 user id: "abc123",
 age: 55,
 status: "A"
 })
```

ALTER

```
ALTER TABLE table ...:
ALTER TABLE star ADD COLUMN bmag double AFTER vmag;
ALTER TABLE star DROP COLUMN bmag;
MongoDB
   db.people.updateMany(
                                 db.people.updateMany(
   { },
                                 { },
   { $set: { join date: new Date() } }
                                 { $unset: { "join date": "" } }
```

DROP

DROP TABLE table;

DROP TABLE star;

MongoDB: Drop a Collection

db.people.drop()

INSERT

```
INSERT INTO table VALUES(val1, val2, ..);
INSERT INTO star VALUES ('Sirius', 101.287, -16.716, -
1.47);
Mongo: insertOne
db.people.insertOne(
{ user id: "bcd001", age: 45, status: "A" }
```

SELECT

SELECT column1, column2 FROM table WHERE condition (LIMIT #ofrows) ORDER BY sort_expression [ASC | DESC];

```
SELECT name, constellation FROM star WHERE dec > 0 ORDER BY vmag;
```

Mongo: find

```
db.people.find(
{ },
{ user_id: 1, status: 1, _id: 0 }
)
```

```
db.people.distinct( "status" )
    db.people.find()
```

```
db.people.find(
{ status: "A" },
{ user_id: 1, status: 1, _id: 0 }
)
```

Aggregate Functions

```
SELECT cust_id,
ord_date,
SUM(price) AS total
FROM orders
GROUP BY cust_id,
ord_date
HAVING total > 250
```

```
db.orders.aggregate([
$group: {
id: {
cust id: "$cust id",
ord_date: { $dateToString: {
format: "%Y-%m-%d",
date: "$ord date"
}}
},
total: { $sum: "$price" }
{ $match: { total: { $gt: 250 } } }
```

Aggregate Functions

SQL Terms, Functions, and Concepts	MongoDB Aggregation Operators
WHERE	\$match
GROUP BY	\$group
HAVING	\$match
SELECT	\$project
ORDER BY	\$sort
LIMIT	\$limit
SUM()	\$sum
COUNT()	\$sum \$sortByCount
join	\$lookup
SELECT INTO NEW_TABLE	\$out
MERGE INTO TABLE	\$merge (Available starting in MongoDB 4.2)
UNION ALL	\$unionWith (Available starting in MongoDB 4.4)

UPDATE

UPDATE *table* SET *column* = val1 WHERE condition;

```
UPDATE star SET vmag = vmag + 0.5;
UPDATE star SET vmag = -1.47 WHERE name LIKE 'Sirius';
UPDATE star INNER JOIN temp on star.id = temp.id SET star.vmag = temp.mag;
Mongo: updateMany
  db.people.updateMany(
                                          db.people.updateMany(
  { status: "A" },
                                          { age: { $gt: 25 } },
  { $inc: { age: 3 } }
                                          { $set: { status: "C" } }
```

Thank You