**Project Title:** Who Trades With Whom - Exploring International Trade Relationships Over Time

**Team Information:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Scott Gale | u1203422 | scottdgale@gmail.com |
| Sudie Roweton | u1210082 | sudie.roweton@gmail.com |

**Project Repository Link:** <https://github.com/scottdgale/DataVisProject.git> (public)

**Background and Motivation**

We both have a connection to the Department of Defense, and we decided to make a group to consider a defense related topic. As we were looking for viable data sets, we came across the Correlates of War Project Trade Data Set. This data set sparked our interest, and we pivoted from defense to trade, specifically considering international trade relationships over time. Examining international trade data in the context of trade relationships over time is an interesting analysis and lends itself to rich visualization possibilities. In essence, the data shaped our project topic decision.

Another motivating factor for our choice is that international trade is a major topic in the contemporary political environment. The United States is currently in the process of renegotiating trade agreements from many allied countries. Additionally, the United States is imposing tariffs on imported goods and services from many long-time trade partners.

We would like to build a visualization to help people understand more about international trade supporting the user tasks of presentation, exploration, identification, and comparison. This will help to provide context and understanding on the volume and interactions of international trade between the countries of the world.

## **Project Objectives**

**Questions to be answered by our visualization:**

1. What countries trade with other countries?
2. For a given country – who are their top importers?
3. For a given country – who are their biggest exporters?
4. What is the trade deficit (or surplus) between two countries (in US dollars)?
5. How has trade between countries changed over time?
6. What effects do tariffs have on trade (nice to have implementation)?

**Learning/Accomplishment Goals**

1. Create intuitive visualization that accurately represent the data.
2. Design highly interactive visualization that allow users to explore the data set.
3. Design an effective visualization that takes advantage of visualization theory principles and guidelines learned during the course (especially expressiveness/effectiveness).
4. Create custom (never used in class) interactive data manipulation tools (both direct and indirect) that make navigation simple.

## **Data**

We currently have one comprehensive data set. Below is the link and an overview as described from the source.

“The trade dataset is the result of the effort to code trade flows between states (as defined by the Correlates of War project) for the period 1870-2014. The data include information on both bilateral trade flows and total national imports and exports. The dyadic trade dataset describes import and export data in current U.S. dollars for pairs of sovereign states. The National (Monadic) Trade dataset contains information on individual states import and export levels in current U.S. dollars.”

Link:<http://www.correlatesofwar.org/data-sets/bilateral-trade>

An additional possible source of data comes from <https://wits.worldbank.org/>. We can get AHS Weighted % and MFN Weighted % (Tariff Data) from a selected country to other countries/regions. This data only goes back to 1991 - so we would have a significant gap in tariff data from 1870 - 1990. This may, however, still be useful.

We will also use the GDP per capita data that we already have from homework 4 to explore trade balance vs GDP.

Separate from the trade specific data, we have acquired data to support our world map. From homework 4, we have a world data file (world.json) that we can utilize. Additionally, to link trade partners, we also have latitude/longitude data for the capital cities.

Link:<https://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/cd-rom/WUP2014_XLS_CD_FILES/WUP2014-F13-Capital_Cities.xls>

We are in the process of identifying additional data sets that contain information on tariffs, trade agreements, and other geopolitical factors that we could possibly use in our project to provide greater clarity and understanding on international trade. Additionally, we are looking for data on trade over the last 3 years since the data set we are using is only current through 2014.

## **Data Processing**

Our datasets (correlates of war, GDP, tariff data, and lat/long data) will not require substantial data cleanup. The data appears to be clean and well organized. In addition, all three are in a format (.csv) with which we are used to working. However, we will still perform a data cleaning process on our datasets to make sure there will be seamless integration into our visualization.

We expect to use most of the data as is. However, the visualization will handle summing quantities when multiple years are selected. For example, the total amount of imports from country B from year 1 to year 5 will be a summation. This will be done on the fly and not a part of processing the data ahead of time.

Our data processing implementation will include checking for duplicate rows, trimming leading/trailing whitespace in columns, checking for spelling and capitalization inconsistencies, and using a ‘no-data’ flag for applicable cells. Additionally, our primary dataset from Correlates of War contains several columns of data that we are not using, so we will remove these extraneous columns. All of these cleaning/processing tasks can be done using Excel.

## **Must-Have Features**

1. Interactive Map
2. Single Country Elements:
   1. Trade Balance over time (comparing imports to exports)
   2. Top trade partners - From Imports and Exports
3. Dyadic Elements:
   1. Compare import/exports correlated with GDP Per Capita between 2 countries from the perspective of the primary country
   2. Global Trade Balance of the 2 Countries

## **Optional Features**

1. Holistic data representing the entire data set regardless of selection
2. Tariff Data Set (US centric) – will only show when US is primary country
3. Storytelling Element - ‘Show Me Over Time’ button that animates the visualization through the years

## **Project Schedule**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Week | Plan | Important Deadlines |
| 10/19 - 10/26 | * Conduct individual research in topic area and prepare additional data sources. * Conduct individual brainstorming for vis ideas * Meet as a team on Tues 10/23 to work on Project Proposal * Final dataset(s) decision * Data Cleaning / Processing | 10/26 - Proposal Due |
| 10/27 - 11/2 | * Peer evaluations - Use feedback to refine visualization sketches * Draft visualizations complete * Finalize protocol for interactions between different visualizations (interactive design) * HTML layout complete * File / class structure complete | 10/30 - Peer Evaluations |
| 11/3 - 11/9 | * Views creation in progress * Interactivity in progress | 11/9 - Project Milestone Due |
| 11/10 - 11/16 | * Complete Views/Interactivity * Project Website hosted on GitHub pages | 11/16 - Fully Functioning Draft Complete |
| 11/17 - 11/23 | * Testing (peer testing) - Final adjustments and error handling | 11/23 - Visualization Complete |
| 11/24 - 11/30 | * Complete and embed screencast into project | 11/30 - Final Project Due |


Visualization Design

**Overview:**

In order to determine the direction of our visualization design, we had a brainstorming meeting using some ideas from the Five Design Sheet Methodology to guide our process. This process allowed us to zero in on the sub-visualizations -- what we call elements -- that when combined, make up our total visualization. We will describe the process, what elements we decided were the most important, the alternative layouts we discussed, and the current favorite (i.e. the final design that incorporates the best of the alternatives).

**Brainstorming Process:**

To start, we started generating ideas by sketching different ways to visualize our data set on post-it notes and sticking them to a whiteboard.





Next, we filtered, categorized, and combined the ideas. We discussed the pros and cons of the ideas. We further questioned whether the idea was answering the questions we posed as the purpose for our visualization (ie. is there a better, more effective way to represent the data?).


Some of the most important discussion points were as follows:

* Using a map instead of a standard graph (or omitting connection information completely)
* Representing top trade partners
* Appropriately showing trade balance
* User interaction – how will the user focus in on details

Sketches developed during brainstorming:









**Putting it All Together - Sub-Visualizations:**

At the end of the process, we decided on the five best visualizations to be included as parts of our whole visualization. These visualizations are described in detail below.















**Putting it All Together - Layouts:**



After discussing how we wanted to visualize the data by determining the parts of the whole, we then discussed various layout alternatives incorporating these visualizations.

**Current Favorite (‘Final’ Design):**



**Design Alternatives:**

Linear Design 1: Linear Design 2:



Map Centric with “Holistic”: No Map Design:

