1.1.1 Mathematical Induction

Principle: For any $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$:

 $P(0) \land \forall k : \mathbb{N}.[P(k) \to P(k+1)] \longrightarrow \forall n : \mathbb{N}.P(n)$

Proof Schema:

Base Case:

To Show: P(0)

Inductive Step: Take arbituary k Inductive Hypothesis: P(k)

To Show: P(k+1)

1.1.2 Mathematical Induction Technique

Principle: For any $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and any $m : \mathbb{Z}$

$$P(m) \land \forall k \geq m.[P(k) \to P(k+1)] \longrightarrow \forall n \geq m.P(n)$$

Proof Schema:

Base Case

To Show: P(m)

Inductive Step: Take arbituary k. Assume that $k \geq m$.

Inductive Hypothesis: P(k)

To Show: P(k+1)

1.1.3 Strong Induction

Principle: For any $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$:

$$P(0) \land \forall k : \mathbb{N}. [\forall j \in [0..k]. P(j) \rightarrow P(j+1)] \longrightarrow \forall n : \mathbb{N}. P(n)$$

Proof Schema: (for 2 base cases)

Base Case:

To Show: P(0)

Inductive Step: Take arbituary k

Inductive Hypothesis: $\forall j \in [0..k].P(j)$

To Show: P(k+1)

1st Case: k = 0

To Show: P(1)

2nd Case: $k \neq 0$

(A) $k \ge 1$ because $k : \mathbb{N}$ and $k \ne 0$ by case

(B) $k, k-1 \in [0..k]$ because $k : \mathbb{N}$ and $k \neq 0$

1.1.4 Strong Induction Technique

Principle: For any $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and any $m : \mathbb{Z}$

$$P(m) \land \forall k \geq m. [\forall j \in [m..k]. P(j) \rightarrow P(j+1)] \longrightarrow \forall n \geq m. P(n)$$

1.2 Structural Induction

1.2.1 Induction over Lists

Principle: For any type T, and $P \subseteq [T]$:

$$P([]) \land \forall vs : [T]. \forall v : T.[P(vs) \rightarrow P(v : vs)] \longrightarrow \forall vs : [T].P(xs)$$

Proof Schema:

Base Case:

To Show: P(||)

Inductive Step: Take arbituary v':a, vs':[a]

Inductive Hypothesis: P(vs')

To Show: P((v':vs'))

Inductive Step:

Take an arbitrary k:Nat. Inductive Hypothesis: P(k) To show: P(Succ k)

Proof by structural induction on m.

To Show: P(Zero)

proof of base case

List Lemmas:

(A) us + +[] = us

proof of inductive step Proof by structural induction on m

(B) [] + +us = us

(C) (u:us) + +vs = u:(us + +vs)

(D) (us + +vs) + +ws = us + +(vs + +ws)

1.2.2 Induction over arbituary data structures

• data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat

$$P(Zero) \land \forall n : Nat.[P(n) \rightarrow P(Succ\ n)] \longrightarrow \forall n : Nat.P(n)$$

• data Tree a = Empty | Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)

 $P(Empty) \land \forall t1, t2 : Tree \ T. \forall x : T. [P(t1) \land P(t2) \rightarrow P(Node \ t1 \ x \ t2)]$ $\longrightarrow \forall t : Tree \ T.P(t)$

• data BExp = Tr | Fl | BNt BExp | BAnd BExp BExp

 $P(Tr) \land P(Fl) \land \forall b: BExp.[P(b) \rightarrow P(BNt\ b)]$ $\land \ \forall b1, b2: BExp.[P(b1) \land P(b2) \rightarrow P(BAnd\ b1\ b2)] \longrightarrow \forall b: BExp.P(b)$

 $\bullet \ data \ T = C1 \ [Int] \ | \ C2 \ Int \ T$

 $\forall is: [Int].P(C1\ is) \land \forall i: Int. \forall t: T.[P(t) \rightarrow P(C2\ i\ t)] \longrightarrow \forall t: T.P(t)$

• data Reds = BaseR | Red Greens $data Greens = BaseG \mid Green Reds$

> $P(BaseR) \land \forall g : Greens.[Q(g) \rightarrow P(Red\ g)]$ $\land Q(BaseG) \land \forall r : Reds.[P(r) \rightarrow Q(Green \ r)]$ $\longrightarrow \forall r : Reds.P(r) \land \forall g : Greens.Q(g)$

• data Cactus = Root Tree data Tree = Leaf | Node Trees

data Trees = Empty | Cons Tree Trees

 $P(Leaf) \land \forall ts : Trees.[Q(ts) \rightarrow P(Node\ ts)] \land$ $Q(Empty) \land \forall t : Tree. \forall ts : Trees. [P(t) \land Q(ts) \rightarrow Q(Cons \ t \ ts)]$

 $\rightarrow \forall t : Tree.P(t) \land \forall ts : Trees.Q(ts)$

1.2.3 Two Approaches

- 1. Invent an Auxiliary Lemma
- 2. Strengthen the original property

1.3 General Induction

1.3.1 Inductively Defined Sets

• $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ defined over Zero and Succ through $Zero \in S_{\mathbb{N}}$

$$\forall n. [n \in S_{\mathbb{N}} \to Succ \ n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}]$$

$$Q(Zero) \wedge \forall m \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Q(m) \rightarrow Q(Succ \ m)] \longrightarrow \forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.Q(n)$$

• Tree

 $i \in \mathbb{N} \to Leaf \ i \in Tree$ $\forall t1, t2 \in Tree. \forall c \in Char. Node \ c \ t1 \ t2 \in Tree$

> $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}. Q(Leaf i)$ $\land \forall t1, t2 \in Tree. \forall c \in Char. [Q(t1) \land Q(t2) \rightarrow Q(\textit{Node c t1 t2})]$

• $OL \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$

 $[] \in OL$

 $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}.i : [] \in OL$

 $\longrightarrow \forall t \in Tree.Q(t)$

 $\forall i,j \in \mathbb{N}, js \in \mathbb{N}^*. [i \leq j \land j: js \in OL \rightarrow i: j: js \in OL]$

 $Q([]) \land \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. Q(i:[])$

 $\land \, \forall i,j \in \mathbb{N}, js \in \mathbb{N}^*.[i \leq j \land j: js \in OL \land Q(j:js) \rightarrow Q(i:j:js)]$

 $\longrightarrow \forall ns \in OL.Q(ns)$

1.3.2 Inductively Defined Relations

• $SL \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

 $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}.SL(0, k+1)$

 $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.[SL(m,n) \to SL(m+1,n+1)]$

 $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. Q(0, k+1)$

 $\land \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.[SL(m.n) \land Q(m,n) \rightarrow Q(m+1,n+1)]$

 $\longrightarrow \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.[SL(m,n) \to Q(m,n)]$

• $Even \subseteq S_{\mathbb{N}}$

Even(Zero)

 $\forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Even(n) \to Even(Succ\ (Succ\ n))]$

 $\land \forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Even(n) \land Q(n) \rightarrow Q(Succ\ (Succ\ n))]$ $\longrightarrow \forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Even(n) \to Q(n)]$

• $Odd \subseteq S_{\mathbb{N}}$

Odd(Succ Zero)

 $\forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Odd(n) \rightarrow Odd(Succ\ (Succ\ n))]$

 $Q(Succ\ Zero)$

 $\land \forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Odd(n) \land Q(n) \rightarrow Q(Succ \ (Succ \ n))]$

 $\longrightarrow \forall n \in S_{\mathbb{N}}.[Odd(n) \to Q(n)]$

1.3.3 Inductively Defined Functions

• F 0 = 0F i = 1 + F(i - 3)

$$1 = 1 + F(1 - 3)$$

• G'(i,j,cnt,acc)

| i = cnt = acc| otherwise = G'(i,j,cnt+1,acc+j)

 $\forall i, j, acc : \mathbb{N}.Q(i, j, i, acc, acc)$

 $\land \forall i,j,acc,cnt,r: \mathbb{N}. [i \neq cnt \land G'(i,j,cnt+1,acc+j) = r$ $\land Q(i,j,cnt+1,acc+j,r) \rightarrow Q(i,j,cnt,acc,r)]$

 $\longrightarrow \forall i,j,acc,cnt,r: \mathbb{N}.[G'(i,j,cnt,acc)=r \rightarrow Q(i,j,cnt,acc,r)$

• DM'(i,j,cnt,acc) | acc+j > i = (cnt,i-acc) | otherwise = DM'(i,j,cnt+1,acc+j) | vi, j, cnt, acc : N. [acc + j > i → Q(i, j, cnt, acc, cnt, i − acc)] | $\land \forall i, j, acc, cnt, k1, k2 : N. [acc + j \le i \land DM'(i, j, cnt + 1, acc + j) = (k1, k2) | \land Q(i, j, cnt + 1, acc + j, k1, k2) → Q(i, j, cnt, acc, k1, k2)] | → <math>\forall i, j, acc, cnt, k1, k2 : N. [DM'(i, j, cnt, acc) = (k1, k2) → Q(i, j, cnt, acc, k1, k2)]$ | M'(i,cnt,acc) | i==cnt = acc | otherwise = M'(i,cnt+1,2*acc) | $\forall i, acc : N.Q(i, i, acc, acc)$ | $\forall i, cnt, acc, r : N. [i ≠ cnt \land M'(i, cnt + 1, 2*acc) = r \land Q(i, cnt + 1, 2*acc, r)$

2 Imperative Programs

2.1 Program Specifications

 $\rightarrow Q(i, cnt, acc, r)$]

2.1.1 Hoare Logic

$$\frac{P[x \mapsto x_{old}] \land x = E[x \mapsto x_{old}] \longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad x = E; \quad \{Q\}}$$

 $\longrightarrow \forall i, cnt, acc, r: \mathbb{N}.[M'(i, cnt, acc) = r \rightarrow Q(i, cnt, acc, r)]$

2.1.2 Straight Line Code

$$\frac{\{P\} \quad code1 \quad \{R\} \quad \{R\} \quad code2 \quad \{Q\}}{\{P\} \quad code1; code2 \quad \{Q\}}$$

2.2 Conditional Branches

$$\frac{\{P \land cond\} \quad code1 \quad \{Q\} \quad \{P \land \neg cond\} \quad code2 \quad \{Q\}}{\{P\} \quad if(cond)\{code1\}else\{code2\} \quad \{Q\}}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} M_1 & \triangleq & \mathtt{y} = \mathtt{x} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{x} = \mathtt{x}_{pre} \\ M_2 & \triangleq & \mathtt{y} = \mathtt{x}_{pre} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{x} = \mathtt{x}_{pre} + 1 \\ M_3 & \triangleq & \mathtt{y} = 2 * \mathtt{x}_{pre} \end{array}$$

2.3 Method Calls

void some
Method(type
$$x_1$$
, ..., type x_n) //Pre: R
//Post: S

line 7:
$$M_1[y\mapsto y_{old}] \land y=y_{old}+x \longrightarrow M_2$$

$$y_{old}=x \land y=y_{old}+x \longrightarrow y_{old}+x \longrightarrow y_{old}+x$$

$$\begin{split} P &\longrightarrow R[\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{v}] \\ \frac{P[\overline{v}[..) \mapsto \overline{v}[..)_{old}] \wedge S[\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{v}][\overline{v}[..)_{pre} \mapsto \overline{v}[..)_{old}] \longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad someMethod(v_1,...,v_n) \quad \{Q\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} P &\longrightarrow R[\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{v}] \\ P[\overline{v}[..) &\mapsto \overline{v}[..)_{old}][res_{old} \mapsto res] \wedge res = r \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\land S[\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{v}][\overline{v}[..)_{pre} \mapsto \overline{v}[..)_{old}][res \mapsto res_{old}] \longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad res = someMethod(v_1, ..., v_n) \quad \{Q\}}$$

2.4 Iteration

- 1. I holds before the loop is entered
- 2. Given condition, the loop re-establishes I
- 3. Termination of loop and I establishes Q

$$\frac{P \longrightarrow I \quad \{I \land cond\} \ body \ \{I\} \quad I \land \neg cond \longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad while(cond)\{body\} \quad \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{I[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] \wedge cond[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] \wedge body\text{-}effect \longrightarrow I}{\{I \wedge cond\} \quad body \quad \{I\}}$$

- 4. V is bounded
- 5. V decreases with each iteration

$$\begin{split} I[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] \wedge cond[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] \wedge body\text{-}effect \\ \longrightarrow V > n \wedge V[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] > V \end{split}$$

6. Array access are legal

$$I[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] \wedge cond[\overline{mod} \mapsto \overline{mod}_{old}] \longrightarrow 0 \leq x \leq a.length$$

for any array a and access x (i_{old} or i)

7. No integer overflows — Assume perfect machine