# Dummit & Foote Ch. 1.6: Homomorphisms and Isomorphisms

#### Scott Donaldson

Mar. 2023

## 1. (3/25/23)

Let  $\varphi:G\to H$  be a homomorphism.

(a) Prove that  $\varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.* By induction. When  $n = 1, \varphi(x^1) = \varphi(x) = \varphi(x)^1$ .

Suppose for some n,  $\varphi(x^n)=\varphi(x)^n$ . Then  $\varphi(x^{n+1})=\varphi(x^nx)$ . By definition, because  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism from G to H,  $\varphi(ab)=\varphi(a)\varphi(b)$  for all  $a,b\in G$ . So  $\varphi(x^nx)=\varphi(x^n)\varphi(x)$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\varphi(x^n)=\varphi(x)^n$ , so this equals  $\varphi(x)^{n+1}$ .

Therefore  $\varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

(b) Do part (a) for n = -1 and deduce that  $\varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This proof diverges slightly from the directions but arrives at the same result.

Note that, for all  $x \in G$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(1 \cdot x) = \varphi(1)\varphi(x)$ . Therefore  $\varphi(1) = 1$  (in H). Now  $1 = \varphi(1) = \varphi(x^n \cdot x^{-n}) = \varphi(x^n)\varphi(x^{-n})$ . From part a), this equals  $\varphi(x)^n \varphi(x^{-n})$ . Left-multiplying both sides by  $\varphi(x)^{-n}$ , we obtain  $\varphi(x^{-n}) = \varphi(x)^{-n}$ , as desired.

## 2. (3/26/23)

If  $\varphi: G \to H$  is an isomorphism, prove that  $|\varphi(x)| = |x|$  for all  $x \in G$ . Deduce that any two isomorphic groups have the same number of elements of order n for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: G \to H$  be an isomorphism and let  $x \in G$ . If |x| is finite, then (from 1.a)  $\varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n$  and (from 1.b)  $\varphi(1) = \varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n = 1 \in H$ . The order of the element  $\varphi(x)^n \in H$  is therefore at most n. Because  $\varphi$  is an

isomorphism, there is only one element whose image is 1, and that is  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Therefore for no m < n do we have  $\varphi(x)^m = 1$ , and so the  $|\varphi(x)| = n$ .

Next, suppose that x has infinite order in G. Then  $x^n \neq 1$  for all n > 0. Because  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, we know that only  $\varphi(1) = 1 \in H$ . Therefore  $\varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n \neq 1$  for all n > 0. Therefore  $|\varphi(x)| = \infty$ .

This result is not necessarily true if  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. For example,  $\varphi$  could send every element of G to the identity in H. (This is a homomorphism:  $\varphi(x)\varphi(y)=1\cdot 1=1$  and  $\varphi(x)\varphi(y)=\varphi(xy)=1$ .) Then for all  $x\in G$ ,  $|\varphi(x)|=1$ , regardless of the order of x.

## 3. (3/27/23)

If  $\varphi: G \to H$  is an isomorphism, prove that G is abelian if and only if H is abelian. If  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, what additional conditions on  $\varphi$  (if any) are sufficient to ensure that if G is abelian, then so is H?

*Proof.* First, let G be an abelian group and  $\varphi: G \to H$  be an isomorphism. Given arbitrary distinct elements of H, because  $\varphi$  is surjective, there are two distinct elements in G whose images are these elements in H. Let  $\varphi(x), \varphi(y) \in H$  be distinct elements and  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ . Also, because x and y commute,  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx) = \varphi(y)\varphi(x)$ . Therefore  $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(y)\varphi(x)$ , so H is an abelian group.

Next, let H be an abelian group. Again let  $\varphi(x), \varphi(y) \in H$  and  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(xy)$ . Also,  $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(y)\varphi(x) = \varphi(yx)$ . So  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$ . Because  $\varphi$  is one-to-one, this implies that xy = yx, and so G is an abelian group.

If  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, then G being an abelian group does not imply that H is abelian. For example, H could be a non-abelian group and  $\varphi$  could send every element of G to the identity in H.

A sufficient condition for a homomorphism  $\varphi: G \to H$  to ensure that if G is abelian, then so is H, is that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Then for all  $h \in H$ ,  $h = \varphi(x)$  for some  $x \in G$  (possibly more than one x). Let  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  with  $h_1 = \varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_2) = \dots$  and  $h_2 = \varphi(y_1) = \varphi(y_2) = \dots$  and with  $x_i, y_j \in G$ .  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, so for any  $i, j, \varphi(x_iy_j) = \varphi(x_i)\varphi(y_j) = h_1h_2$ . Also, because G is abelian,  $\varphi(x_iy_j) = \varphi(y_jx_i) = \varphi(y_j)\varphi(x_i) = h_2h_1$ . Therefore  $h_1h_2 = h_2h_1$ , so H is abelian.

## 4. (3/27/23)

Prove that the multiplicative groups  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  are not isomorphic.

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ ,  $x \neq \pm 1$ , x has infinite order. The proof of this is as follows: Let  $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, \pm 1\}$ . If the absolute value of x is greater than 1, then the absolute value of  $x^n$  is greater than 1 for all n, and by induction x has infinite order. If the absolute value of x is less than 1, then the absolute value

of  $x^n$  is less than 1 for all n, and by induction x has infinite order. So 1 and -1 are the only elements of  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  with finite order.

In  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , i and -i have order 4. From 2., isomorphic groups have the same number of elements of order n for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . However,  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  has no elements of order 4, and  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  has at least 2. Therefore they are not isomorphic.

### 5. (3/27/23)

Prove that the additive groups  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are not isomorphic.

*Proof.* Given that  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  do not have the same cardinality ( $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable while  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countably infinite), there is no map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$  that is surjective. An isomorphism is a bijection that is necessarily surjective, and so the two groups are not isomorphic.

Alternatively, consider the homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\varphi(q) = q$ . Such a map is injective but not surjective: There is no  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\varphi(q) = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we attempt to make  $\varphi$  surjective by assigning  $\varphi(q_1) = \sqrt{2}$  for some  $q_1$ , then  $q_1$  now has no preimage in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and so we must find a  $q_2$  and assign  $\varphi(q_2) = q_1$ . However, now  $q_2$  has no preimage. This process continues ad infinitum, and  $\varphi$  is forever not surjective. Therefore  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are not isomorphic.

## 6. (3/27/23)

Prove that the additive groups  $\mathbb Z$  and  $\mathbb Q$  are not isomorphic.

*Proof.* Consider a homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\varphi(0) = \varphi(n+(-n)) = \varphi(n) + \varphi(-n)$ . From 1.b),  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , so  $\varphi$  preserves inverses:  $\varphi(-n) = -\varphi(n)$ . That is,  $\varphi(n) = q$  implies that  $\varphi(-n) = -q$ .

We also claim that, if  $\varphi(1) = k$ , then  $\varphi$  assigns all integers to their product with k in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\varphi$  preserves inverses, we only have to show this for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , by induction (base case given): Suppose that  $\varphi(n) = kn$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Then  $\varphi(n+1) = \varphi(n) + \varphi(1) = kn + k = k(n+1)$ , as desired. Therefore  $\varphi$  assigns all integers to their product with k in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

But now it is impossible for  $\varphi$  to be surjective, because only integer multiples of k have preimages in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For example,  $k/2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  has no preimage. Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are not isomorphic.