

Prompt Engineering Cheatsheet

Claude Code Training | Quick Reference

The Golden Rule

Be clear, direct, and specific. Claude performs best when you tell it exactly what you want.

âŒ "Can you maybe help me with some code stuff?"

âœ... "Refactor the user authentication module to use JWT tokens instead of sessions."

XML Tags Structure

Use XML tags to organize complex prompts:

```
<context>
  You are reviewing a Node.js REST API that handles user authentication.
  The codebase uses Express, PostgreSQL, and follows REST conventions.
</context>

<task>
  Add input validation to the POST /api/users endpoint.
  Validate: email format, username (3+ chars), password (8+ chars).
</task>

<requirements>
  - Use a validation library (suggest one if needed)
  - Return 400 Bad Request with specific error messages
  - Add corresponding unit tests
</requirements>
```

```
<output_format>  
Show the modified route handler and new test file.  
</output_format>
```

Common Tags

Tag	Purpose	Example
<context>	Background information	Tech stack, constraints
<task>	What you want done	The specific request
<requirements>	Constraints and criteria	Must-haves, avoid list
<examples>	Input/output samples	Show the pattern
<output_format>	How to structure response	JSON, markdown, code

Chain of Thought

Ask Claude to think step-by-step for complex problems:

Before implementing the caching layer, think through:

1. What data needs caching?
2. What's the cache invalidation strategy?
3. What are the failure modes?

Then provide your implementation.

Trigger phrases: - "Think step by step..." - "Walk me through your reasoning..." - "Before you answer, consider..." - "Let's break this down..."

Thinking Modes (Claude Code)

Phrase	Thinking Level	Use When
"think"	Low	Simple analysis, quick questions
"think hard"	Medium	Multi-file changes, design decisions
"think harder"	High	Complex refactoring, architecture
"ultrathink"	Maximum	Critical decisions, security review

Example:

```
Think harder about how to implement rate limiting  
across our microservices without a central store.
```

Few-Shot Examples

Show Claude the pattern you want:

```
<examples>  
<example>  
Input: "usr_abc123"  
Output: { "type": "user", "id": "abc123" }  
</example>  
  
<example>  
Input: "org_xyz789"  
Output: { "type": "organization", "id": "xyz789" }  
</example>  
</examples>  
  
<task>
```

```
Now parse: "team_def456"  
</task>
```

Role Assignment

Set Claude's perspective:

You are a senior security engineer reviewing code for vulnerabilities. Focus on:

- SQL injection
- XSS attacks
- Authentication bypasses
- Exposed secrets

Review this pull request with that lens.

Effective roles: - Senior [role] at a [type] company - Expert in [domain] with 10+ years experience - Code reviewer focused on [aspect] - Technical writer creating docs for [audience]

Output Control

Length Control

- "Be concise" / "Brief response"
- "Provide a comprehensive analysis"
- "In 3 bullet points..."
- "In under 100 words..."

Format Control

- "Respond in JSON format"
- "Use markdown with headers"
- "Create a table comparing..."
- "List as numbered steps"

Tone Control

- "Explain like I'm a junior developer"
 - "Use technical language appropriate for architects"
 - "Keep it casual and friendly"
-

Avoiding Hallucinations

âœ... Do

Based ONLY on the code in this repository,
explain how authentication works.

â?œ Don't

How does authentication work in this codebase?
(Claude might invent details)

Grounding Techniques

1. **Limit scope:** "Only use information from these files..."
 2. **Ask for citations:** "Quote the relevant code..."
 3. **Request uncertainty:** "If you're not sure, say so..."
 4. **Verify facts:** "After your response, list any assumptions you made."
-

Iterative Refinement

Start broad, then narrow:

Round 1: "Give me an overview of how this API handles errors"

Round 2: "Focus on the error handling in the payment module"

Round 3: "Show me how to add retry logic to the payment error handler, following existing patterns"

Common Patterns

Code Review

```
<task>Review this code for:</task>
<criteria>
    - Security vulnerabilities
    - Performance issues
    - Maintainability concerns
    - Test coverage gaps
</criteria>
<format>
    For each issue:
    1. Location (file:line)
    2. Severity (Critical/High/Medium/Low)
    3. Description
    4. Suggested fix
</format>
```

Bug Investigation

The /api/users endpoint returns 500 errors intermittently.
Error in logs: "Connection timeout"

Think through possible causes, then:

1. List diagnostic steps
2. Identify the most likely root cause
3. Propose a fix

Feature Implementation

```
<feature>
  Add password reset functionality via email
</feature>

<constraints>
  - Use existing email service (src/services/email.js)
  - Tokens expire in 1 hour
  - Rate limit: 3 requests per hour per email
  - Follow existing route patterns
</constraints>

<deliverables>
  1. New route handlers
  2. Database migration for reset tokens
  3. Unit tests
  4. Integration test
</deliverables>
```

Documentation

Generate API documentation for the user endpoints.

Include:

- Endpoint path and method
- Request body schema (if applicable)
- Response schema with examples
- Error responses
- Authentication requirements

Format as OpenAPI 3.0 YAML.

Anti-Patterns (What NOT to Do)

âœœ Anti-Pattern	âœ... Better Approach
"Make this code better"	"Refactor for readability, add error handling"
"Is this good?"	"Review for [specific criteria]"
"Fix bugs"	"The login fails when email has '+'. Find and fix."
"Write tests"	"Write unit tests covering edge cases for validateEmail()"
Long, rambling context	Use XML tags, be structured
Assuming Claude knows your stack	State tech stack explicitly

CLAUDE.md Quick Template

```
# Project Context

## Tech Stack
- [Language] + [Framework]
- [Database]
- [Testing framework]

## Commands
- `npm run build` - Build project
- `npm test` - Run tests
- `npm run lint` - Check code style

## Conventions
- [Coding style rules]
- [Naming conventions]
- [File organization patterns]
```

```
## Do
- [Preferred patterns]
- [Required practices]
```

```
## Don't
- [Anti-patterns to avoid]
- [Deprecated approaches]
```

Quick Reference Card

Prompt Structure

1. **Context** - What Claude needs to know
2. **Task** - What you want done
3. **Constraints** - Rules and limitations
4. **Format** - How to structure the response

Power Phrases

- "Think step by step..."
- "Based only on the code in..."
- "Before implementing, outline your approach..."
- "If uncertain, ask clarifying questions..."

Debugging Prompts

- "Why might this be failing?"
- "What edge cases am I missing?"
- "Walk me through the execution flow..."

Quality Prompts

- "Review this for security issues..."
 - "How would a senior engineer improve this?"
 - "What's the simplest solution that works?"
-

© 2026 AIA Copilot | Claude Code Training