Derivation of the Exponential Moving Average

R. Scott McIntire

Aug 29, 2023

1 Overview

The essence of the exponential moving average (EMA) is to provide a localized estimate of a time series as it proceeds. It does this by using a weighted average of the values in a "window" around each point of the series in way that each summand in the average is multiplied by powers of a factor, λ , in the interval (0,1). In what follows below we will assume a 1-based index, and that the data series \mathbf{x} has length N.

Specifically, the exponential moving average (over a window of length m) is defined as:

$$e_n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} \tag{1}$$

To get the exponential decay in weights we assume that $w_{k+1} = \lambda w_k$. Since the parameter λ is in the interval (0,1), it represents a decay factor. Notice that the indices of \mathbf{x} and that of \mathbf{w} go in the "opposite direction". This is done so that the largest weights are associated with the most recent data in the averaging window.

Before we go further, there is a problem with the formula as stated. If n = 1; or, in fact any value that is less than or equal to m, the expression x_{n-k} does not make sense for $k \ge n$. That is, at the start of the computation and for a little while, there is not a full window of values over a window of length m. As there is no information before x_1 , we interpret these values to be x_1 . We will apply this principal more generally below. That is, if \mathbf{z} is a data series, we extend the definition of \mathbf{z} so that it is defined for indices less than 1 as:

$$z_k = \begin{cases} z_k & k \ge 1; \\ z_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

¹The reason this is called exponential is that it is the discrete analog of continuous exponential decay. To see this let $f(x) = e^{-kt}$ for k > 0. Then sampling this function at regular intervals of say 1 we get the sequence: $\{e^{-k}, e^{-2k}, e^{-3k}, \ldots\}$. Here we can see that the elements of the sequence are powers of a value, $\lambda = e^{-k}$. That is, the sequence is nothing other than: $\{\lambda, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \ldots\}$.

While we can use (1) to compute the EMA it is *inefficient*. The purpose of this paper is to derive a recursive formula that is fast to compute. The formula takes advantage of the fact that when the weights are exponentials (powers of λ) we don't have to recompute the bulk of the sums in the averaging window.

Regardless of the averaging scheme, we want our weights to sum to one.² But we also want the weights to be exponential; that is, $w_{k+1} = \lambda w_k$.

Ideally, all we want to do is give the decay factor, λ . If so, how do we determine the weights? The weights can be constructed as follows once a λ is given.

For $i \in [1, m]$ define

$$\hat{w}_i = \lambda^i \tag{3}$$

The $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ have the property that they decay with λ ; that is, $\lambda \hat{w}_k = \hat{w}_{k+1}$. However, how do we ensure that these values sum to 1 over the window?

To do this, we simply normalize the weights:

$$w_i = \frac{\hat{w}_i}{\sum_{k=1}^m \hat{w}_k} \quad \forall i \in [1, m]$$
 (4)

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 1 \tag{5}$$

In doing this, did the proposed weights, \mathbf{w} , lose the decay factor property? The answer is no, the property remains:

$$\lambda w_i = w_{i+1} \quad \forall i \in [1, m-1]. \tag{6}$$

Note: We will (2), (5), and (6) in our derivations below.

2 Recursive Formula for EMA

We state again the definition of the exponential moving average of x as:

$$e_n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} \tag{7}$$

In order to find a recursive formula, we examine the next element in the smoothing sequence and try to relate it to the present element at index n.

²If we consider the underlying data to be generated from a series of identically distributed random variables; then, treating the series elements as random variables we can view the moving average as an estimate of their mean, μ . To be a good estimate we which this estimate to be unbiased: $E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m}x_{n-k}w_{k+1}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}E[x_{n-k}]w_{k+1}$. Which is $\mu\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}w_{k+1} = \mu\sum_{k=1}^{m}w_k = \mu$.

$$e_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n+1-k} w_{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-(k-1)} w_{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} x_{n-k} w_{k+2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} x_{n-k} w_{k+2} + x_{n+1} w_{1}$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} + x_{n+1} w_{1} \quad (\text{Since } \lambda w_{k+1} = w_{k+2})$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} + x_{n+1} w_{1} - \lambda x_{n-(m-1)} w_{m}$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} + x_{n+1} w_{1} - \lambda x_{(n+1)-m} w_{m}$$

Finally, we may write³

$$e_{n+1} = \lambda \left(e_n - x_{(n+1)-m} w_m \right) + x_{n+1} w_1 \quad \forall n \in [1, N-1]$$
 (8)

$$e_1 = x_1 \tag{9}$$

The corresponding 0-based index formula is:⁴

$$e_n = \lambda \left(e_{n-1} - x_{(n-m} w_{m-1} \right) + x_n w_0 \quad \forall n \in [1, N-1]$$
 (10)

$$e_0 = x_0 \tag{11}$$

3 Recursive Formula for the Std of EMA

In practice, one also wants to know a localized standard deviation of the process. In applications, one can provide "Bollinger" bands around the EMA estimate. These bands are some multiple of the standard deviation of the process.

³From the definition of e_n and using (2) and (5), $e_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} = x_1 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_{k+1} = x_1 \sum_{k=1}^m w_k = x_1$.

⁴We write the formulas down for both 1 and 0 based vector indices because computer languages typically use one or the

We derive the standard deviation of the exponential moving average starting with the definition of the exponential moving average and its moving variation.⁵

$$e_n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_{n-k} w_{k+1} \tag{12}$$

$$v_n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (x_{n-k} - e_{n-k})^2 w_{k+1}$$
(13)

Note, that the form of the two equations is identical; that is, they both have the form:

$$g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(x_{n-k}) w_{k+1} \tag{14}$$

One can find a recursive formula g_n in *exactly* the same was as was done for (12). This is done by reworking the derivation of the recursive formula for (12), replacing x_i with $f(x_i)$.

The recursive formula for g_n is

$$g_{n+1} = \lambda \left(g_n - f(x_{(n+1)-m}) w_m \right) + f(x_{n+1}) w_1 \quad \forall n \in [1, N-1]$$
 (15)

$$g_1 = f(x_1) (16)$$

The 0-based index formula is:

$$g_n = \lambda (g_{n-1} - f(x_{n-m})w_{m-1}) + f(x_n)w_0 \quad \forall n \in [1, N-1]$$
(17)

$$g_0 = f(x_0) (18)$$

The corresponding f for (13) is $f(x) = x^2$; consequently, its recursive formula is

$$v_{n+1} = \lambda \left(v_n - (x_{(n+1)-m} - e_{(n+1)-m})^2 w_m \right) + (x_{n+1} - e_{n+1})^2 w_1 \quad \forall n \in [1, N-1]$$
(19)

$$v_1 = (x_1 - e_1)^2 = 0 (20)$$

The corresponding 0-based index formula is:

$$v_n = \lambda \left(v_{n-1} - (x_{n-m} - e_{n-m})^2 w_{m-1} \right) + (x_n - e_n)^2 w_0 \quad \forall n \in [1, N-1]$$
 (21)

$$v_0 = (x_0 - e_0)^2 = 0 (22)$$

⁵This variance formula needs to be corrected by a factor which we leave to the end. The correction is a factor that is used to make the estimated standard deviation of the moving average *unbiased*. The formula for this factor is independent of the structure of the weights. This means that it can be pre-computed independently of the data series.

Finally, the standard deviation of the exponential moving average is:⁶

$$s_n = \sqrt{\frac{v_n}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^m w_i^2}} \tag{23}$$

The variance corrective factor⁷, $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i^2$, is a one time calculation independent of n. This factor is the standard correction when determining empirical variance of a weighted average.

Note: In practice, one may wish to use some prior estimate of the standard deviation of the process and smoothly move from that to the estimate above over the averaging windows when $n \in [1, m]$.

How to Specify the λ Factor 4

When using the EMA, practitioners do not specify the factor λ directly; instead they provide the "half-life" of the decay. By the half-file of λ , we mean the number of powers of λ , N, so that $\lambda^N \approx \frac{1}{2}$.

In our case we are interested in the reverse; namely, given a half-life, N, determine the factor λ . To do this we solve the equation $\lambda^N = \frac{1}{2}$ for λ . Taking the log of this equation and solving for λ we have:⁸

$$\log(\lambda^{N}) = \log(\frac{1}{2})$$

$$N\log(\lambda) = \log(1) - \log(2)$$

$$\log(\lambda) = -\frac{\log(2)}{N}$$

The formula to determine λ given the half-life N is:

$$\lambda = e^{-\frac{\log(2)}{N}} \tag{24}$$

Unbiased Estimator for a Sequence of IID Random Variables \mathbf{A}

In this section we derive the corrective formula for computing the empirical variance.

In what follows we assume that we are given a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables (IID): $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and corresponding weights: $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^N$. We assume that the random variables have a mean and a variance:

$$E[x_i] = \mu \quad \forall i, i \in [1, N] \tag{25}$$

$$E[x_i] = \mu \quad \forall i, i \in [1, N]$$
 (25)
 $E[(x_i - \mu)]^2 = \sigma^2 \quad \forall i, i \in [1, N]$ (26)

 $^{^6}$ The window length, m, must be greater than 1.

⁷The 0-based factor formula is: $1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} w_i^2$.

 $^{^8}$ The log used below is the logarithm base e.

We assume that the weights are non-negative and are normalized:9

- $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 1$
- $\forall i, 0 \leq w_i < 1$

If we take the expectation of the weighted sum, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i w_i$ we find that it is μ^{10}

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[x_i n_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[x_i] w_i = \mu \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = \mu$$
 (27)

The weighted sum represents an estimate of the true mean of the IID random variables, which is μ . And in this case we see that it is a good estimate in that the expected value of the weighted combination of the random variables is μ .

We refer to such "good" estimates as unbiased estimators.

Now consider the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2 w_i$. People use this as an estimate of the variance of the random variables. Is this "good" (unbiased) estimate? Yes, it is as: $E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2 w_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[(x_i - \mu)^2] w_i = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = \sigma^2$

But what if we replace the true mean in this sum with our estimate: $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i w_i$? In this case it turns out that the resulting estimate for the variance is *biased*. However, it happens that we are "off" by a certain factor and that factor depends only on the weights we use. In fact the factor doesn't depend on the ordering of the weights.

To find this factor we examine the expected value of the straight forward formula one would imagine using to estimate the variance of the random variables.

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} w_{i}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}\right] w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} E\left[((x_{i} - \mu) + (\mu - \bar{x}))^{2}\right] w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)^{2} - 2(x_{i} - \mu)(\bar{x} - \mu) + (\bar{x} - \mu)^{2}\right] w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right] + E\left[(\bar{x} - \mu)^{2}\right] - 2E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)(\bar{x} - \mu)\right]\right) w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right] + E\left[(\bar{x} - \mu)^{2}\right] - 2E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)(\bar{x} - \mu)\right]\right) w_{i}$$

⁹We also assume that the weight is not concentrated at any one index.

¹⁰This is due to the linearity of the expectation operator, E: E[ax+by+c]=aE[x]+bE[y]+c, provided a,b,c are constants.

We separately compute the expectation of each of the three summands.

$$E\left[(x_i - \mu)^2\right] = \sigma^2 \tag{29}$$

$$E\left[\left(\bar{x} - \mu\right)^{2}\right] = E\left[\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j} w_{j}\right) - \mu\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{j} w_{j} - \mu w_{j})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{j} - \mu) w_{j}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{j} - \mu) w_{j}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} (x_{k} - \mu) w_{k}\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (x_{j} - \mu) (x_{k} - \mu) w_{j} w_{k}\right]$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} E\left[(x_{j} - \mu) (x_{k} - \mu)\right] w_{j} w_{k}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma^{2} w_{j} w_{k} \delta_{j,k}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma^{2} w_{j}^{2}\right)$$

$$= \sigma^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j}^{2}\right)$$

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)(\bar{x} - \mu)\right] = E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j} w_{j}\right) - \mu\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{j} - \mu) w_{j}\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \mu)(x_{j} - \mu) w_{j}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)(x_{j} - \mu)\right] w_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma^{2} w_{j} \delta_{i,j}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} w_{i}$$

$$(31)$$

Continuing with (30) we have

$$\begin{split} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}w_{i}\right] &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left[(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}\right]w_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left[((x_{i}-\mu)+(\mu-\bar{x}))^{2}\right]w_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left[(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}-2(x_{i}-\mu)(\bar{x}-\mu)+(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}\right]w_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(E\left[(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}\right]+E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_{j}-\mu)w_{j}\right)^{2}\right]-2E\left[(x_{i}-\mu)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_{j}-\mu)w_{j}\right)\right]\right)w_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(E\left[(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}\right]+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}E[(x_{j}-\mu)^{2}]w_{j}^{2}\right)-2E\left[(x_{i}-\mu)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_{j}-\mu)w_{j}\right)\right]\right)w_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sigma^{2}+\sigma^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{j}^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}E[(x_{i}-\mu)(x_{j}-\mu)]w_{j}\right)\right)w_{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sigma^{2}+\sigma^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{j}^{2}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sigma^{2}\delta_{i,j}w_{j}\right)\right)w_{i} \\ &= \sigma^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{j}^{2}-2w_{i}\right)w_{i} \\ &= \sigma^{2}+\sigma^{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{j}^{2}\right)-2\sigma^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right) \\ &= \sigma^{2}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

Therefore, to make $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x - \hat{x})^2 w_i$ an unbiased estimator of the variance σ^2 we need to correct by dividing by the factor $\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2\right)^{1}$.

Consequently, $\frac{1}{1-\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \hat{x})^2 w_i$ is an unbiased estimator for the variance of any of the IID variables: $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$. The unbiased standard deviation is then

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 w_i}$$
 (32)

 $^{^{11}\}mathrm{Note},$ this factor is non-zero when the weights are not concentrated at one point. As a consequence, the formula requires N>1.

What is the formula when the weights are evenly distributed? That would mean that the weights are $w_i = \frac{1}{N}$. In this case the estimate for the standard deviation of the random variables is:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \frac{1}{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{N}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{N-1}}{\frac{N}{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

We see that we end up with the usual "sample" standard deviation.

A.1 Bias of Arbitrary Sample Moments.

We now proceed in the same way as above to compute the bias of more general moments.

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{r}w_{i}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{r}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left[\left((x_{i}-\mu)+(\mu-\bar{x})\right)^{r}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left[\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}(x_{i}-\mu)^{r-j}(\bar{x}-\mu)^{j}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-j}(\bar{x}-\mu)^{j}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-j}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{j}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-j}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)w_{i}+\sum_{l\in[1,N]}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{j}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-j}\sum_{k=0}^{j}\binom{j}{k}(x_{i}-\mu)^{j-k}w_{i}^{j-k}\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{k}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-k}w_{i}^{j-k}\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{k}\right]w_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-k}\right]E\left[\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{k}\right]w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=2}^{r}\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-k}\right]E\left[\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{k}\right]w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=2}^{r}\sum_{k=2}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}E\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{r-k}\right]E\left[\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}(x_{l}-\mu)w_{l}\right)^{k}\right]w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

Consequently,

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{r}w_{i}\right] = M_{r} + M_{r}\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=2}^{r}\sum_{k=2}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}M_{r-k}$$

$$\times E\left[\sum_{k_{1}+k_{2}...+k_{N}=k}\binom{k}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}}\prod_{\substack{l\in[1,N]\\l\neq i}}(x_{l}-\mu)^{k_{l}}w_{l}^{k_{l}}\right]w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

$$= M_{r} + M_{r}\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=2}^{r}\sum_{k=2}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}M_{r-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}...+k_{N}=k\\\forall n,k_{n}\geq0\wedge k_{n}\neq1}}\binom{k}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}}\left(\prod_{\substack{l\in[1,N]\\l\neq i}}E\left[(x_{l}-\mu)^{k_{l}}\right]w_{l}^{k_{l}}\right)w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

$$= M_{r} + M_{r}\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=2}^{r}\sum_{k=2}^{j}(-1)^{j}\binom{r}{j}\binom{j}{k}M_{r-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}...+k_{N}=k\\\forall n,k_{n}\geq0\wedge k_{n}\neq1}}\binom{k}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{N}M_{k_{l}}w_{l}^{k_{l}}\right)w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}...+k_{N}=k\\\forall n,k_{n}\geq0\wedge k_{n}\neq1}}\binom{k}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{N}M_{k_{l}}w_{l}^{k_{l}}\right)w_{i}^{j+1-k}$$

Here, we use the notation, M_r , for the r^{th} moment of the distribution of the $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$.

We break up the multinomial formula into a sum with respect to k_i producing the final formula for the expected value of the naïve r^{th} moment:¹²

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{r} w_{i}\right] = M_{r} + M_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j} {r \choose j} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{r} \sum_{k=2}^{j} (-1)^{j} {r \choose j} {j \choose k} M_{r-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{\substack{k_{1} + k_{2} \dots + k_{N} = k \\ \forall n, k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} {k \choose k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{N}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+1-k} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{z=2}^{k} M_{z} \sum_{\substack{k_{1} + k_{2} + \dots + k_{N-1} = k - z \\ \forall n, k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} {k \choose k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{N-1}} \left(\prod_{l \in [1, N-1]} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

$$\left[\prod_{l \in [1, N-1]} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right] w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

¹²We use the standard interpretation of any sum of the form, $\sum_{i=n}^{m} f(i)$, to be 0 if m < n.

We check the case r=2 to see if it coincides with the previous section:

$$E\left[(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}w_{i}\right] = \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (-1)^{j} \binom{2}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{j} (-1)^{j} \binom{2}{j} \binom{j}{k} M_{2-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}...+k_{N}=k\\ \forall n,k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} \binom{k}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+1-k} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{z=2}^{k} M_{z} \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}+...+k_{N-1}=k-z\\ \forall n,k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} \binom{k-z}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N-1}} \left(\prod_{l \in [1,N-1]} M_{k_{l}} M_{k_{l}} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

$$- \sum_{z=2}^{k} M_{z} \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}+...+k_{N-1}=k-z\\ \forall n,k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} \binom{k-z}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N-1}} \left(\prod_{l \in [1,N-1]} M_{k_{l}} M_{k_{l}} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

This becomes

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}w_{i}\right] = \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (-1)^{j} {2 \choose j} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{j+1}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k_{1} + k_{2} \dots + k_{N} = 2 \\ \forall n, k_{n} > 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} {2 \choose k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{N}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}\right) - \sigma^{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{3}\right)$$

$$(37)$$

Which is

$$E\left[(x_i - \bar{x})^2 w_i\right] = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \left(-2\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^3\right) + \sigma^2 \sum_{l=1}^N w_l^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i\right) - \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^3\right)$$
(38)

This becomes

$$E\left[(x_i - \bar{x})^2 w_i\right] = \sigma^2 \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^2\right)$$
(39)

As a more complicated example we try r = 3. In this case the formula is:

$$E\left[(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{3}w_{i}\right] = M_{3} + M_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} (-1)^{j} \binom{3}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{3} \sum_{k=2}^{j} (-1)^{j} \binom{3}{j} \binom{j}{k} M_{3-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}...+k_{N}=k\\ \forall n,k_{n}\geq 0 \land k_{n}\neq 1}} \binom{k}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+1-k} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{z=2}^{k} M_{z} \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+k_{2}+...+k_{N-1}=k-z\\ \forall n,k_{n}\geq 0 \land k_{n}\neq 1}} \binom{k-z}{k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N-1}} \left(\prod_{l\in[1,N-1]} M_{k_{l}} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

$$\left[\prod_{l\in[1,N-1]} M_{k_{l}} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right] w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

Which is

$$E\left[(x_i - \bar{x})^3 w_i\right] = M_3 + M_3 \left(-3\sum_{i=1}^2 w_i^2 + 3\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^3 - \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^4\right) - M_3 \left(\sum_{l=1}^N w_l^3 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^4\right)$$
(41)

Or,

$$E\left[(x_i - \bar{x})^3 w_i\right] = M_3 \left(1 - 3\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^3\right)$$
(42)

Therefore, the corresponding unbiased estimator for the 3^{rd} moment is:¹³

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^3 w_i}{1 - 3\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^3}$$

$$\tag{43}$$

In the more general case, r > 3, there may be more work to determine a corrective procedure to render the naïve r^{th} moment unbiased.

As a last example we try the case r = 4. The naïve estimate for the 4^{th} moment is:

¹³As mentioned in the case of the second moment, this formula does not apply when the weights are concentrated at one point. Consequently, we must have N > 1.

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{4}w_{i}\right] = M_{4} + M_{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} (-1)^{j} {4 \choose j} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{j+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{4} \sum_{k=2}^{j} (-1)^{j} {4 \choose j} {j \choose k} M_{4-k}$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{\substack{k_{1} + k_{2} \dots + k_{N} = k \\ \forall n, k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} {k \choose k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{N}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+1-k} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{z=2}^{k} M_{z} \sum_{\substack{k_{1} + k_{2} + \dots + k_{N-1} = k - z \\ \forall n, k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} {k \choose k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{N-1}} \left(\prod_{l \in [1, N-1]} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

$$- \sum_{z=2}^{k} M_{z} \sum_{\substack{k_{1} + k_{2} + \dots + k_{N-1} = k - z \\ \forall n, k_{n} \geq 0 \land k_{n} \neq 1}} {k \choose k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{N-1}} \left(\prod_{l \in [1, N-1]} M_{k_{l}} w_{l}^{k_{l}} \right) w_{i}^{j+z+1-k}$$

This is

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{4}w_{i}\right] = M_{4}\left(1 - 4\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2} + 6\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{3} - 4\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{4} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5}\right)$$

$$+ \binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{2}M_{2}^{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{3}\right)\right)$$

$$- \binom{4}{3}\binom{3}{2}M_{2}^{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{4}\right)\right)$$

$$+ \binom{4}{4}\binom{4}{2}M_{2}^{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{3}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5}\right)\right)$$

$$+ \binom{4}{4}\binom{4}{4}M_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N}w_{l}^{4}w_{i}\right)$$

$$+ \binom{4}{2,2}M_{2}^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N-1}\sum_{m=l+1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}w_{m}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}\right)$$

$$- M_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}M_{2}w_{l}^{2}\right)w_{i}^{3} - M_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5}$$

$$(45)$$

$$+ \binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{2}M_{2}^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N-1}\sum_{m=l+1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}w_{m}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}\right)$$

$$- M_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}M_{2}w_{l}^{2}\right)w_{i}^{3} - M_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5}$$

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{4}w_{i}\right] = M_{4}\left(1 - 3\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2} + 6\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{3} - 3\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{4} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5} - \sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5}\right) + 6M_{2}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{3}\right)\right) - 12M_{2}^{2}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{4}\right)\right) + 6M_{2}^{2}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{3}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{5}\right)\right) + 6M_{2}^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N-1}\sum_{m=l+1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}w_{m}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{l\in[1,N]}w_{l}^{2}\right)w_{i}^{3}$$

Let $W_j = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^j$ for $j \in [1, 5]$. We may then write the above as:

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{4}w_{i}\right] = M_{4}\left(1 - 3W_{2} + 6W_{3} - 3W_{4}\right) + 6M_{2}^{2}W_{2} - 12M_{2}^{2}W_{2}^{2} + 12M_{2}^{2}W_{2}W_{4} - 6M_{2}^{2}W_{2}W_{5} + 6M_{2}^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N-1}\sum_{m=l+1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}w_{m}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\substack{l \in [1,N]\\l \neq i}}w_{l}^{2}w_{i}^{3}$$

$$(47)$$

Or,

$$E\left[(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{4}w_{i}\right] = M_{4}\left(1 - 3W_{2} + 6W_{3} - 3W_{4}\right) + 6M_{2}^{2}W_{2} - 12M_{2}^{2}W_{2}^{2} + 12M_{2}^{2}W_{2}W_{4} - 6M_{2}^{2}W_{2}W_{5} + 6M_{2}^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N-1}\sum_{m=l+1}^{N}w_{l}^{2}w_{m}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}W_{2}W_{3} + M_{2}^{2}W_{5}$$

$$(48)$$

There relative 4^{th} naïve moment becomes:

$$\frac{E\left[(x_i - \bar{x})^4 w_i\right]}{M_2^2} = \frac{M_4}{M_2^2} \left(1 - 3W_2 + 6W_3 - 3W_4\right)
+ 6W_2 - 12W_2^2 + 12W_2W_4 - 6W_2W_5
+ 6\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=l+1}^{N} w_l^2 w_m^2 - W_2W_3 + W_5$$
(49)

Therefore, the estimate for the unbiased relative 4^{th} moment is:

$$\frac{M_4}{M_2^2} = \frac{\frac{E\left[(x_i - \bar{x})^4 w_i\right]}{M_2^2} - 6W_2 + 12W_2^2 - 12W_2W_4 + 6W_2W_5 - 6\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=l+1}^{N} w_l^2 w_m^2 + W_2W_3 - W_5}{(1 - 3W_2 + 6W_3 - 3W_4)}$$
(50)

Since we don't know $M_2 = \sigma^2$, we use our unbiased ustimate: $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N w_i (x-\bar{x})^2}{1-\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^2}$. We also replace $E\left[\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i-\bar{x})^4 w_i\right]$ with the sample estimate: $\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i-\bar{x})^4 w_i$.

Therefore, our unbiased estimate of the relative Kurtosis is:

$$\frac{M_4}{M_2^2} = \frac{\frac{(1-W_2)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^4 w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 w_i)^2} - 6W_2 + 12W_2^2 - 12W_2W_4 + 6W_2W_5 - 6\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=l+1}^{N} w_l^2 w_m^2 + W_2W_3 - W_5}{(1 - 3W_2 + 6W_3 - 3W_4)}$$

$$(51)$$