# Singular Transformations of Probability Density Functions

Author: R. Scott McIntire

**Date:** Dec 03 2003

#### Overview

For non-singular transformations of variables with distributions, there are standard formulas that describe the probability distribution of the target variable. However, in the case of singular transformations, there is no immediate formula. Often what is done is to add independent variables in a somewhat add-hoc fashion to make the transformation non-singular, and then "integrate out" the independent variables. Below we describe a procedure to determine the target distribution with a more systematic process.

### Singular Transformation Density Formula

We provide and prove a theorem for constructing the probability density function of a random variable Y which is a singular function of a random variable X. By singular, we mean that Y = f(X) with f a function such that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  and m < n.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$  be a continuously differentiable function with n > m. If for each  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$  is a union of  $k(\mathbf{y})$  disjoint  $C^1$  n-m dimensional manifolds with parameterizations  $\mathbf{x}_i(\mu; \mathbf{y})$ ,  $i \in [1, k(\mathbf{y})]$ ,  $\mu \in \Omega_i \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n-m}$ , with  $P_X(\mathbf{x})$  being the continuous probability density function of the random variable X, then the density function  $P_Y(\mathbf{y})$  of the random variable Y defined by Y = f(X) exists, is continuous, and is given by

$$P_Y(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k(\mathbf{y})} \int_{\Omega_i} \frac{P_X(\mathbf{x}_i(\mu; \mathbf{y}))}{\sqrt{|Df(\mathbf{x}_i(\mu; \mathbf{y})) Df^T(\mathbf{x}_i(\mu; \mathbf{y}))|}} \sqrt{|D_{\mu}\mathbf{x}_i^T(\mu; \mathbf{y}) D_{\mu}\mathbf{x}_i(\mu; \mathbf{y})|} d\mu$$

## Prerequisite Results

We recall how to compute the pseudo inverse of a matrix which maps from a high dimension to a low dimension.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation with n > m. If the rank of A is m, then the pseudo inverse of A is  $A^T(AA^T)^{-1}$ .

**proof**: A given  $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$  can be written uniquely as  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x_1} + \mathbf{x_2}$  where  $\mathbf{x_1} \in R(A^T)$  and  $\mathbf{x_2} \in N(A)$ . For a given  $\mathbf{y} \in R^m$ , it easy to show that there is a unique  $\mathbf{x_p} \in R(A^T)$  such that  $A\mathbf{x_p} = \mathbf{y}$ . The set of all  $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$  such that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  has the form  $\{\mathbf{x_p} + \mathbf{x_n} | \mathbf{x_n} \in N(A)\}$ . The pseudo inverse of  $\mathbf{y}$  is defined to be  $\mathbf{x_p}$ . Since  $R(A^T) \perp N(A)$ ,  $\mathbf{x_p}$  is the solution to the problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$
 subject to :  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$ 

The solution of this can be found using Lagrange multipliers. The resulting minimization problem is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} F(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \langle \lambda, \mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x} \rangle$$

At a minimum,  $\mathbf{x}^*$ ,  $DF(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{0}$ .  $DF(\mathbf{x}^*)$  can be computed from  $F(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) = F(\mathbf{x}) + DF(\mathbf{x})(h) + o(\mathbf{h})$ . The order  $\mathbf{h}$  terms are:

$$2 < \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h} > - < \lambda, A\mathbf{h} >$$

Therefore,  $DF(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{h}) = \langle 2\mathbf{x}^* - A^T\lambda, \mathbf{h} \rangle$ . Since  $DF(\mathbf{x}^*) \equiv 0$ , we have that  $2\mathbf{x}^* - A^T\lambda = \mathbf{0}$ . Applying A to this last equation and using the fact that A has rank m and  $A\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{y}$  yields  $\lambda = 2(AA^T)^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{x}^* = A^T(AA^T)^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ .

We need the following change of volume formula:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $A : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$  be a linear transformation with n < m, then if A has rank n it transforms the n dimensional unit cube in  $R^n$  to a region in  $R^m$  with n dimensional volume  $\sqrt{|A^T A|}$ .

**proof**: Since R(A) has rank n, there is a linear map  $P: R(A) \mapsto R^n$  which is a linear isomorphism that preserves the inner product. That is,  $\langle P\mathbf{x}, P\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ . Or,  $\langle (P^TP - I)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0 \ \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ . This implies that  $P^TP \equiv I$ . The change in volume of the unit cube under PA is the same as A as P preserves volumes. However, PA is a map from  $R^n$  to  $R^n$ , so it changes the volume of the unit cube by |PA|. Since for given transformations,  $B, C: R^n \mapsto R^n$  we have  $|B^T| = B$  and |BC| = |B||C|, it follows that  $|PA|^2 = |PA||PA| = |A^TP^T||PA| = |A^TP^TPA| = |A^TA|$ . The last equality comes from the fact that  $P^TP = I$ . Therefore, the change in volume is  $\sqrt{|A^TA|}$ .

#### **Proof of Singular Transformation Formula**

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.

**proof**: Let  $\mu$  be the induced probability measure defined by  $\dagger$ 

$$\mu(\Omega_y) = \int_{f^{-1}(\Omega_y)} P_X(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Given an arbitrary Borel measurable region  $\Omega_Y$  and letting  $\Omega_X = f^{-1}(\Omega_Y)$  we have that

$$\mu(\Omega_Y) = \int_{\Omega_X} P_X(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

Assume that  $\Omega_X$  is composed of just one n-m dimensional manifold. In this case there are local coordinates  $\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}$ , and manifolds  $\Omega_{X_1}, \Omega_{X_2}$ , such that the manifold,  $\Omega_X$  is a product of  $\Omega_{X_1}$  and  $\Omega_{X_2}$  with the component manifolds  $\Omega_{X_1}, \Omega_{X_2}$ , locally tangent to  $R(Df^T(\mathbf{x}))$  and  $N(Df(\mathbf{x}))$  respectively. Therefore,

$$\mu(\Omega_y) = \int_{\Omega_X} P_X(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2})) \, d\mathbf{x_1} \wedge d\mathbf{x_2}$$

<sup>†</sup> The measure extends in a natural way to all Lebesgue measurable sets.

Since for a given transformation A,  $R(A^T) \perp N(A)$ , it follows that the product measure  $d\mathbf{x_1} \wedge d\mathbf{x_2}$  is equal to the product of the component measures. Thus, we may write (1) as

$$\mu(\Omega_y) = \int_{\Omega_{X_1}} \int_{\Omega_{X_2}} P_X(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2})) \, d\mathbf{x_1} \, d\mathbf{x_2}$$

For a given  $\mathbf{x_2}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$  maps to the manifold  $\Omega_{X_1}$  in an invertible way (locally). The linear approximation to the map f is Df, its pseudo inverse is by lemma 1:  $\mathbf{x} = Df^T(\mathbf{x})(Df(\mathbf{x})Df^T(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\mathbf{y}$ . Using  $\mathbf{y}$  to express  $\mathbf{x_1}$  combined with lemma 2 we have

$$\mu(\Omega_y) = \int_{\Omega_Y} \int_{\Omega_{X_2}} \frac{P_X(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))}{\sqrt{|Df(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))Df^T(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))|}} \, d\mathbf{x_2} \, d\mathbf{y}$$

We argue now that the measure  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure; so that there exists a measurable function,  $P_Y(y)$  such that  $\mu(\Omega_Y) = \int_{\Omega_Y} P_Y(y) d\mathbf{y}$ . So that

$$\int_{\Omega_Y} P_Y(\mathbf{y}) - \left( \int_{\Omega_{X_2}} \frac{P_X(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))}{\sqrt{|Df(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))Df^T(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))|}} \, d\mathbf{x_2} \right) d\mathbf{y}$$

Since this is true for all Borel measurable sets,  $\Omega_Y$ , we have

$$P_Y(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\Omega_{X_2}} \frac{P_X(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))}{\sqrt{|Df(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))Df^T(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x_2}))|}} d\mathbf{x_2}$$

But in this case the component manifold,  $\Omega_2$ , is just  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$ . If we parameterize this as  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mu)$ , then by lemma 2 we have

$$P_Y(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \frac{P_X(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mu))}{\sqrt{|Df(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mu))Df^T(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mu))|}} \sqrt{|D_{\mu}\mathbf{x}^T(\mathbf{y}, \mu))D_{\mu}\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}, \mu)|} d\mu$$

To conclude, in the general case, the we may have a situation like  $y = x^2$ . Here, there are two manifolds that contribute to the probability distribution of y. In general, there may be some number of these manifolds which map on to the target variable. In this case we add the contributions to the distribution of the target variable, Y.

<sup>‡</sup> Since the Lebesgue measure is regular, any Lebesgue measurable set can be approximated by a Borel measurable set.