Measure Theoretic Conditional Expectation in an Elementary Setting

Author: *R. Scott McIntire*

Date: Sep 9, 2024

Overview

The measure theoretic approach to conditional expectation can be confusing when compared to the traditional approach – especially in a discrete setting. In what follows we go through a conditional expectation problem within a discrete and familiar setting in an attempt to reduce this confusion. In the example shown, we show explicitly that the conditional expectation function is non-measurable in the origin measure space.

Elementary Probability Example

Let $X = \{D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_5, D_6\}$ and define a function P by $P(D_i) = \frac{1}{6}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. The intent is that P will become a probability measure for the space we construct. Let $\mathcal{E} = 2^X$ be the sigma algebra consisting of the power set of X. We extend P for every element in the sigma algebra. Since the sigma algebra consists of all sets we need an assignment for an arbitrary set, A. The assignment is $P(A) = \frac{|A|}{6}$; that is the cardinality of the set divided by 6. We now have a measure space; in fact, a probability space: $(P, X, \mathcal{E})$. Note that for a probability space we need an event space, X, a sigma algebra of sets (in the discrete case just an algebra), and a function P which takes elements of the sigma algebra to [0,1] with the property that

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(A_i) \quad \text{when } A_k \cap A_j = \emptyset \quad k \neq j$$

We now consider a random variable from which we will get a sub-sigma algebra. Let

$$g(D_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

In a discrete space the sigma algebra generated from g is the algebra of sets generated from the sets: $g^{-1}(0), g^{-1}(1), g^{-1}(a)$, for $a \neq 0, 1$. It is not too hard to see that $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$.

For a discrete space a function, f, is measurable with respect to a sigma algebra if $f^{-1}(a)$ is an element in the sigma algebra for all $a \in (-\infty, \infty)$. This has implications for the sigma algebra $\mathcal{F}$.

The claim is that any function which is measurable over $\mathcal{F}$ has the property that f is constant on the sets $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6\}$. More generally, we claim that any measurable function f in $\mathcal{F}$ must be constant on the *minimal* elements of the algebra – elements which have no non-trivial subsets.[†]

[†] Specifically, in a discrete setting, a set Z in a sigma algebra, $\mathcal{H}$, is *minimal* in $\mathcal{H}$ if there is no non-empty, strict subset, Y, of Z with $Y \in \mathcal{H}$.

To see this suppose that $f(1)$ differs from $f(3)$. Then $\{1,3,5\} \cap f^{-1}(f(1))$ is a non trivial subset of $\{1,3,5\}$ as is $\{1,3,5\} \cap f^{-1}(f(3))$. These two sets must differ since $f(1)$ and $f(3)$ differ. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a sigma algebra and $\{1,3,5\}$, $f^{-1}(f(1))$, and $f^{-1}(f(3))$ are sets in $\mathcal{F}$, any intersection of these sets is also in $\mathcal{F}$. But since the two sets differ and are non-empty subsets of $\{1,3,5\}$, one of them must be a strict subset of $\{1,3,5\}$. And, since they are each in $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}$ an algebra of sets, this strict subset must be in $\mathcal{F}$. However, we know that they also are *not* in $\mathcal{F}$ – contradiction. Therefore, our premise that $f(1)$ and $f(3)$ could take differing values is incorrect. The same argument shows that $f(1)$ and $f(5)$ do not differ. The argument can be used to show that f is constant on the other minimal set $\{2,4,6\}$.

Notice that while any function over the measure space $(P, X, \mathcal{E})$ is measurable, we can write down a specific function that is non-measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. We know that all we have to do is come up with a function that differs on either of the sets $\{1,3,5\}$ or $\{2,4,6\}$. For instance, the function: $f(D_i) = i$, for $i \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, is a non-measurable function in $\mathcal{F}$.

Conditional Expectation

Given a probability space $(P, X, \mathcal{E})$, the conditional expectation of a measurable function f with respect to a sub-sigma algebra $\mathcal{F}$ is the unique $\mathcal{F}$ measurable function (random variable) labeled, $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$, such that

$$\int_\Lambda \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right] dP = \int_\Lambda f \, dP \quad \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{F} \tag{1}$$

That is, $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ is a measurable function in the probability space $(P, X, \mathcal{F})$. Although it seems that f itself satisfies this equation you have to be careful. The function we are looking for must be measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}$, and since $\mathcal{F}$ is a sub-sigma algebra of $\mathcal{E}$, it is quite possible that f is not $\mathcal{F}$ measurable. However, if f is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ then it is its own conditional expectation.

Example Calculation of Conditional Expectation

Consider the function from two sections back, $f(D_i) = i$, which is measurable in the space $(P, X, \mathcal{E})$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the sub-sigma algebra of that section. We now compute the conditional expectation of f with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Using (1) we choose two Λ's: $\Lambda_1 = \{1,3,5\}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \{2,4,6\}$. We have

$$\int_{\Lambda_1} \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right] dP = \int_{\Lambda_1} f \, dP \tag{2}$$

and

$$\int_{\Lambda_2} \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right] dP = \int_{\Lambda_2} f \, dP \tag{3}$$

We know $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ is constant on Λ_1. We label this value as C_{Λ_1}. From (2) we have

$$\int_{\Lambda_1} \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right] dP = \int_{\Lambda_1} f \, dP$$

$$C_{\Lambda_1} \int_{\Lambda_1} dP = \int_{\Lambda_1} f \, dP$$

$$C_{\Lambda_1} * P(\Lambda_1) = \int_{\Lambda_1} f \, dP = f(D_1) * P(D_1) + f(D_3) * P(D_3)$$

$$C_{\Lambda_1} * P(\Lambda_1) = 1 * \frac{1}{6} + 3 * \frac{1}{6} + 5 * \frac{1}{6} = \frac{3}{2}$$

2

Since $P(\Lambda_1) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$, we have that
$$C_{\Lambda_1} = 3$$

Consequently,
$$\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](D_1) = \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](D_3) = C\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](D_5) = C_{\Lambda_1} = 3$$

Likewise, $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ is constant on the set Λ_2. As we did above, we find the value of $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ on the set Λ_2. Let C_{Λ_2} be the constant value of $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ on Λ_2. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Lambda_2} \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right] dP &= \int_{\Lambda_2} f\, dP \\
C_{\Lambda_2} \int_{\Lambda_2} dP &= \int_{\Lambda_2} f\, dP \\
C_{\Lambda_2} * P(\Lambda_2) &= \int_{\Lambda_2} f\, dP = f(D_2) * P(D_2) + f(D_4) * P(D_4) + f(D_6) * P(6) \\
C_{\Lambda_2} * P(\Lambda_2) &= 2 * \frac{1}{6} + 4 * \frac{1}{6} + 6 * \frac{1}{6} = 2
\end{aligned}
$$

($\dagger$)

Since $P(\Lambda_2) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$, we have that
$$C_{\Lambda_2} = 4$$

Consequently,
$$\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](D_2) = \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](D_4) = \mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](D_6) = C_{\Lambda_2} = 4$$

Since a function is determined once we know what its values are on every $x \in X$, we have found the conditional expectation function, $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$, as we know its values on every $x \in X$.

From equation ($\dagger$) we see, that the value of the function $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ evaluated on any 'x' value in a minimal set, Λ, is[‡]

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right](x) = \frac{\int_{\Lambda} f\, dP}{P(\Lambda)} \qquad (\ddagger)$$

More generally, discrete probability or otherwise, we may think of the sigma algebra, $\mathcal{F}$, as a coarser "mesh" than the sigma algebra, $\mathcal{E}$. And we can think of the value of $\mathbb{E}\left[f/\mathcal{F}\right]$ on any "minimal" element of the mesh as the average of the function, f, over this minimal element with respect to the finer "mesh" – the sigma algebra, $\mathcal{E}$.

You can think of the conditional expectation as giving the "best" representation of a function given a cruder mesh, $\mathcal{F}$, than the refined mesh, $\mathcal{E}$. Just as the "best" representation of an image at a larger pixel (crude mesh) would be an average over the smaller pixels (refined mesh) of the larger pixel.

Example Redux

Let's adjust the dice example probabilities and redo the calculation of the conditional expectation. Set the probability measure, P, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(D_i) &= 0 & i &\in \Lambda_1 = \{1, 3, 5\} \\
P(D_i) &= \frac{1}{3} & i &\in \Lambda_2 = \{2, 4, 6\}
\end{aligned}
$$

[‡] This *assumes* that $P(\Lambda) \neq 0$.

In this case we can find the value of $\mathbb{E}[f/\mathcal{F}]$ on the set Λ_2 as before. However, we can't find its value on the set Λ_1 since $P(\Lambda_1) = 0$. In the case of Λ_1, the equation (‡) will not help us determine the value of $\mathbb{E}[f/\mathcal{F}]$ on Λ_1.

Effectively, it turns out, we don't have to determine the values with any specificity. We can *set* the values of $\mathbb{E}[f/\mathcal{F}]$ to 0; or, to any other value for that matter. The reason for this is that the definition of conditional expectation determines a unique element in the function space on $\mathcal{F}$ [†]. However, each element in such a space is actually an equivalence class of measurable functions over $\mathcal{F}$ who differ by at most a set of measure 0.

We can't directly compute what the value of a representative of $\mathbb{E}[f/\mathcal{F}]$ is on the set Λ_1 but we don't have to, since any value will give us a function that is equivalent. Therefore, we may take $\mathbb{E}[f/\mathcal{F}]$ to be 0 on Λ_1. Since we know the value of $\mathbb{E}[f/\mathcal{F}]$ over all input values in X, we have determined the conditional expectation.

[†] We know that Λ_1 is minimal so for the conditional expectation function to be measurable it must have the same value on all elements of Λ_1.