

# Properties of a Linear Unbiased Minimum Variance Estimator

**Author:** R. Scott McIntire

**Date:** Dec 29, 2023

---

## Overview

A standard problem is to find the linear unbiased “minimum” variance estimator for the over determined problem<sup>†</sup>:  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where  $A$  is  $n \times m$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are  $m \times 1$  and  $n \times 1$  random variables respectively. The covariance of  $\mathbf{b}$  is given as  $V$  and is assumed invertible. We look for a linear unbiased estimator for  $\mathbf{x}$  of the form  $\mathbf{x}^* = L\mathbf{b}$ , where  $L \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$ . Since  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is unbiased we must have  $E\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* = E[\mathbf{x} - L\mathbf{b}] = E[\mathbf{x} - LA\mathbf{x}]$ . We satisfy this constraint by requiring that  $LA = I$ . This implies that  $A$  and  $L$  have rank  $m$ . The covariance of  $L\mathbf{b}$  is  $LVL^T$ . The problem now is to find the best  $L$  such that  $LA = I$  and the covariance matrix,  $LVL^T$ , is minimized in some sense.

The problem may be restated as choosing  $L$  to “minimize” the norm of  $LVL^T$  subject to the constraint  $LA = I$ . The question is what norm does one use. The “natural” norm of a matrix is  $\sqrt{\text{tr}(M^T M)}$ . This follows from considering the standard basis in  $\mathbf{R}^{nm}$  and using the usual norm of the vector space  $\mathbf{R}^{nm}$ . The matrix of interest,  $LVL^T$  is positive definite symmetric; in this case, each of the “trace” expressions,  $\sqrt[k]{\text{tr}(M^k)}$  ( $k \in [1, \infty)$ ), is a norm for a positive definite matrix  $M_{m \times m}$ .

## Do the Norms Matter?

The question is, do different choices of norms lead to a different solution,  $L$ ? To answer this, we solve the constrained minimization problem that results from each of these trace norms\*:

$$F(L; k) = \text{tr}((LVL^T)^k - (LA - I)\Lambda_k^T) \quad k \in [1, \infty)$$

where,  $\Lambda_k \in \mathbf{R}^{mm}$  is a Lagrange multiplier.

The derivative of  $F$  with respect to  $L$  is given by:

$$DF(L)(H) = \text{tr}[k(LVL^T)^{k-1}(HVL^T + LVH^T) - H\Lambda_k^T]$$

At a minimum value,  $L^*$ , the derivative must vanish; therefore,

$$\text{tr}[k(L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1}(HVL^{*T} + L^*VH^T) - H\Lambda_k^T] = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathbf{R}^{mn} \quad (1)$$

Before going further we list some facts regarding the trace inner product that will be used in the rest of the paper.

- $\forall H \in R^{nn}$ ,  $H$  anti-symmetric  $\Rightarrow \text{tr}(H) = 0$ .
- Given a matrix  $A \in R^{mn}$ :  $\forall H \in R^{mn}$ ,  $\text{tr}(A^T H) = 0 \Rightarrow A \equiv 0$ .

---

<sup>†</sup> This means that  $n > m$ .

\* Equivalently, we minimize the  $k^{\text{th}}$  power of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  trace norm.

- $\forall A, H \in R^{nn}, A \text{ symmetric} \wedge H \text{ anti-symmetric} \Rightarrow \text{tr}(A^T H) = 0.$
- Given a matrix  $A \in R^{nn}: \forall H \in R^{nn}, H \text{ anti-symmetric} \wedge \text{tr}(A^T H) = 0 \Rightarrow A \text{ is symmetric.}$
- Given a matrix  $A \in R^{nn}: \forall H \in R^{nn}, A \text{ symmetric} \wedge H \text{ symmetric} \wedge \text{tr}(A^T H) = 0 \Rightarrow A \equiv 0.$

The first fact follows from a property of square matrices; namely,  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^T)$ ; and the definition of an anti-symmetric  $A$ ,  $A^T = -A$ . The second fact follows since any inner product on a vector space,  $V$ , satisfies:  $\forall y \in V, \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow x \equiv 0$ . The third fact follows since if  $S$  and  $W$  are symmetric and anti-symmetric matrices in  $R^{nn}$ , then  $\text{tr}(SW) = \text{tr}((SW)^T) = \text{tr}(W^T S^T) = -\text{tr}(WS) = -\text{tr}(SW)$ . This implies that  $\text{tr}(SW) = 0$ . The fourth fact follows using that fact that any matrix  $A \in R^{nn}$  can be written uniquely as a sum of a symmetric and an anti-symmetric matrix:  $A = A^s + A^w$ . Therefore,  $\text{tr}(A^T H) = 0$  implies that  $\text{tr}((A^s - A^w)H) = 0$ . Using the second fact,  $\text{tr}((A^w)^T H) = 0$ . Since this is true for any anti-symmetric  $H$ , choosing  $H$  to be  $A^w$  and using the fact that the trace inner product induces a norm, we see that  $A^w \equiv 0$ . And thus  $A$  is symmetric. The fifth fact follows by setting  $H$  to  $A$ . Again, the fact that the trace inner product induces a norm implies that  $A = 0$ .

Continuing with the derivation, in the special case  $k = 1$ , equation (1) implies that  $2VL^{*T} = A\Lambda_1^T$ ; or,

$$2L^{*T} = V^{-1}A\Lambda_1^T \quad (2)$$

Multiplying both sides by  $A^T$  and using the fact that  $A^T L^{*T} = I$  yields  $2I = A^T V^{-1} A \Lambda_1$ . Since  $A$  has rank  $m$ , it follows that  $\Lambda_1 = 2(A^T V^{-1} A)^{-1}$ . Combining this with equation (2) gives

$$L^* = (A^T V^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T V^{-1}$$

We now proceed with the case when  $k > 1$ . Given any  $\tilde{H} \in \mathbf{R}^{mm}$ , let  $H = \tilde{H} A^T V^{-1}$ . Then equation (1) becomes:

$$\text{tr} \left[ k(L^* V L^{*T})^{k-1} (\tilde{H} A^T L^{*T} + L^* A \tilde{H}^T) - \tilde{H} (A^T V^{-1} A) \Lambda_k^T \right] = 0 \quad \forall \tilde{H} \in \mathbf{R}^{mm}$$

Or,

$$\text{tr} \left[ k(L^* V L^{*T})^{k-1} (\tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^T) - \tilde{H} (A^T V^{-1} A) \Lambda_k^T \right] = 0 \quad \forall \tilde{H} \in \mathbf{R}^{mm} \quad (3)$$

since  $L^* A = I$  and  $A^T L^{*T} = I$ .

Given any  $H' \in \mathbf{R}^{mm}$ , consider  $\tilde{H} = H' (A^T V^{-1} A)^{-1}$  with  $H'$  anti-symmetric. Since the trace of the product of symmetric and anti-symmetric square matrices is 0, equation (3) becomes  $\text{tr}(H' \Lambda_k^T) = 0 \quad \forall H'_{m \times m}$  with  $H'$  anti-symmetric. This implies that  $\Lambda_k$  is symmetric.

Equation (3) is true for all symmetric  $\tilde{H}$ . In this case it reads:

$$\text{tr} \left[ \left( 2k(L^* V L^{*T})^{k-1} - \Lambda_k (A^T V^{-1} A) \right) \tilde{H} \right] = 0 \quad \forall \tilde{H} \in \mathbf{R}^{mm}, \tilde{H} \text{ symmetric} \quad (3s)$$

Since  $(L^* V L^{*T})^{k-1}$  and  $\Lambda_k (A^T V^{-1} A)$  are both symmetric, equation (3s) implies that

$$2k(L^* V L^{*T})^{k-1} - \Lambda_k (A^T V^{-1} A) = 0$$

Since  $A$  has rank  $m$ ,  $(A^T V^{-1} A)^{-1}$  exists and we can solve for  $\Lambda_k$ .

$$\Lambda_k = 2k(L^* V L^{*T})^{k-1} (A^T V^{-1} A)^{-1}$$

Equation (1) now becomes:

$$\text{tr} \left[ k(L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1}(HVL^{*T} + L^*VH^T) - 2kHA(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1}(L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1} \right] = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$$

Or,

$$\text{tr} \left[ \left( (HVL^{*T} + L^*VH^T)/2 - HA(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1} \right) (L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1} \right] = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$$

Which may be written

$$\text{tr} \left[ \left( HVL^{*T} + (L^*VH^T - HVL^{*T})/2 - HA(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1} \right) (L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1} \right] = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$$

Since  $(L^*VH^T - HVL^{*T})/2$  is anti-symmetric and  $(L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1}$  is symmetric, the trace of their product is zero. Therefore we may write the last equation as

$$\text{tr} \left[ \left( HVL^{*T} - HA(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1} \right) (L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1} \right] = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$$

Or,

$$\text{tr} \left[ H \left( VL^{*T} - A(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1} \right) (L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1} \right] = 0 \quad \forall H \in \mathbf{R}^{mn}$$

This implies that  $(VL^{*T} - A(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1})(L^*VL^{*T})^{k-1} = 0$ . Since  $L^*$  has rank  $m$ ,  $(L^*VL^{*T})^{-(k-1)}$  exists, so that  $VL^{*T} - A(A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1} = 0$ . Hence,

$$L^* = (A^TV^{-1}A)^{-1}A^TV^{-1}$$

Therefore, it does not matter which of the matrix norms we use: the "best" linear unbiased estimator is the same.

## Related features of "the" best estimator

We now use the results of the trace norms above to show that the estimator obtained has the smallest maximum eigenvalue over all linear estimators.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\Omega$  is a closed set in  $R^m$  and if  $x^* \in \Omega$  is a solution to the family of problems:  $\text{Min } \varphi_k(x)$  over  $\{x \in \Omega : g(x) = 0\}$   $k \in [1, \infty)$ ; further, if  $\varphi_k$  are functions which converge point-wise to the continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $\Omega$  with the accretive property that  $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $x^* \in \text{Min}_{\{x \in \Omega : g(x)=0\}} \varphi(x)$*

**Proof:**

*Existence:* We know there is a non empty set of points that satisfy  $\{x \in \Omega : g(x) = 0\}$ . If the minimum of  $\varphi$  is attained on this set, then a solution exists. Otherwise, the infimum on this set is finite, by the accretive

property of  $\varphi$ . Let  $x_n$  be a sequence of points in this set such that  $\varphi(x_n)$  converges to the infimum. Again, by the accretive property, the  $\{x_n\}$  are bounded in  $\Omega$ . Therefore, since  $\Omega$  is closed, there exists a subsequence of the  $x_n$  which converges to a point, say  $x' \in \Omega$ . By the continuity of  $\varphi$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $x'$  must attain the infimum of  $\varphi$  on the set  $\{x \in \Omega : g(x) = 0\}$ ; so that a solution exists.

We proceed to show that  $x^*$  is a solution. Let  $\tilde{x}$  be a solution of the problem  $\text{Min } \varphi(x)$  over  $\{x \in \Omega : g(x) = 0\}$ ; then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have, for sufficiently large  $n$ , (since  $\varphi_n$  converges point-wise to  $\varphi$ )

$$\varphi_n(\tilde{x}) < \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon$$

Since  $x^*$  is a solution for  $\varphi_n$ , we also have

$$\varphi_n(x^*) \leq \varphi_n(\tilde{x})$$

Combining the two gives

$$\varphi_n(x^*) \leq \varphi_n(\tilde{x}) < \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon$$

Or,

$$\varphi_n(x^*) \leq \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have:

$$\varphi(x^*) \leq \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon$$

Since this is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we necessarily have that

$$\varphi(x^*) \leq \varphi(\tilde{x})$$

Noting that  $x^*$  satisfies the constraint,  $g(x) = 0$ , we infer that  $x^*$  is also a solution.

**Theorem 1.** *The linear unbiased estimator previously obtained for the least squares problem,  $L^*\mathbf{b} = (A^T V^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T V^{-1} \mathbf{b}$ , is also the solution that minimizes the maximum eigenvalue of the estimator's covariance matrix,  $L V L^T$ , subject to the constraint  $L_{m \times n} A_{n \times m} = I_{m \times m}$ .*

**Proof:** We apply Lemma 1 with  $\Omega$  equal to the  $m \times m$  symmetric matrices;  $\varphi$  equal to the  $L_2$  matrix norm; and  $\varphi_n$  equal to the  $n^{\text{th}}$  trace norm. Theorem 1 follows after collecting the facts:

- The maximum eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix is the result of taking the limit of the trace norms of a symmetric matrix.
- The  $L_2$  norm applied to a symmetric matrix is the maximum eigenvalue of that matrix.
- The  $L_2$  norm is accretive.