

# EXACT COMPUTATION OF THE $n$ -LOOP INVARIANTS OF KNOTS

STAVROS GAROUFALIDIS, ERIC SABO, AND SHANE SCOTT

ABSTRACT. The loop invariants of Dimofte-Garoufalidis is a formal power series with arithmetically interesting coefficients that conjecturally appears in the asymptotics of the Kashaev invariant of a knot to all orders in  $1/N$ . We develop methods implemented in **SnapPy** that compute the first 6 coefficients of the formal power series of a knot. We give examples that illustrate our method and its results.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The Volume Conjecture to all orders in $1/N$	1
1.2. Ideal triangulations, shapes, and the loop invariants	2
1.3. Our code	3
1.4. Usage	4
1.5. Sample computations	5
Acknowledgment	6
References	6

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The Volume Conjecture to all orders in  $1/N$ .** The best known quantum invariant of a knot in 3-space is the Jones polynomial [Jon87]. The Kashaev invariant  $\langle K \rangle_N$  of a knot  $K$  (for  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ ) [Kas95] coincides with the evaluation of the Jones polynomial of a knot and its parallels at complex roots of unity [MM01]. The Volume Conjecture of Kashaev [Kas95] states that for a hyperbolic knot  $K$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log |\langle K \rangle_N| = \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{2\pi},$$

where  $\text{Vol}(K)$  is the hyperbolic volume of  $K$ . An extension of the Volume Conjecture to all orders in  $1/N$  was proposed independently by Gukov and the first author [Guk05, Gar08]. Namely, for every hyperbolic knot  $K$  there exists a formal power series  $\phi_K(\hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$  such

---

*Date:* March 9, 2015.

S.G. was supported in part by NSF. 1991 *Mathematics Classification*. Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25. *Key words and phrases:* knots, Jones polynomial, Kashaev invariant, Volume Conjecture, volume, hyperbolic geometry, ideal triangulations, shapes, Neumann-Zagier data, 1-loop,  $n$ -loop, formal Gaussian integration, Feynman diagrams.

that

$$(1) \quad \langle K \rangle_N \sim N^{3/2} e^{C_K N} \phi_K(2\pi i/N),$$

where  $C_K$  is the complexified volume of  $K$  divided by  $2\pi i$ ,

$$(2a) \quad \phi_K(\hbar) = \tau_K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{K,1}^+(\hbar),$$

$$(2b) \quad \phi_K^+(\hbar) \in 1 + \hbar F_K[[\hbar]],$$

$$(2c) \quad \tau_K \in F_K,$$

and  $F_K$  is the trace field of  $K$ .

**1.2. Ideal triangulations, shapes, and the loop invariants.** Although the left hand side of Equation (1) is concretely defined, the power series  $\phi_K(\hbar)$  that conjecturally appears in the right hand side is not explicit. Numerical computations of the Kashaev invariant were performed by Zagier and G., and using numerical interpolation and a variety of guessing methods, it was possible to recognize the first few coefficients of the power series  $\phi_K$  for several knots [GZ].

In [DG13], Dimofte-G. associated a power series  $\phi_\gamma(\hbar)$  to a Neumann-Zagier datum  $\gamma$ . The latter is a tuple that depends on an ideal triangulation of a knot complement together with a solution of the gluing equations that recovers the complete hyperbolic structure. For a detailed discussion on ideal triangulations and their gluing equations, see [Thu77, NZ85] and also [DG13, Sec.1.2]. Equations (2a)-(2c) are manifest by the definition of  $\phi_\gamma(\hbar)$ . In [DG13] it was shown that  $\tau_\gamma$  is a topological invariant, defined up to a sign. We may call  $\tau_\gamma$  the 1-loop invariant. If we write

$$\phi_\gamma^+(\hbar) = \exp \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} S_{\gamma,n} \hbar^{n-1} \right),$$

then  $S_{\gamma,n}$  are the  $n$ -loop invariants of the  $\gamma$ . In [DG13] it was conjectured that  $S_{\gamma,2}$  is well-defined up to addition of an integer multiple of  $1/24$ , and that  $S_{\gamma,n}$  are topological invariants for  $n \geq 3$ .

The definition of  $\phi_\gamma^+(\hbar)$  is given explicitly by formal Gaussian integration. It follows that  $S_{\gamma,n}$  is a weighted sum of a finite set of Feynman diagrams. The Feynman rules were explained in detail in [DG13, Sec.1.6-1.8] and the contributing Feynman diagrams for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  were explicitly drawn. For  $n > 3$ , the number of Feynman diagrams gets large and drawings-by-hand is not advisable.

For the benefit of the reader, we recall the Feynman rules from [DG13, Sec.1.6-1.8]. By connected *Feynman diagram*  $G$  we mean a connected multigraph, possibly with loops and multiple edges. If  $G$  is a Feynman diagram, its *Feynman loop number*  $L(G)$  is given by

$$L(G) = |V_1(G)| + |V_2(G)| + b_1(G)$$

where  $|V_k(G)|$  is the number of  $k$ -valent vertices of  $G$  and  $b_1(G)$  is the first betti number (also known as the number of holes) of  $G$ . It is easy to see that a connected Feynman diagram with loop number at most  $n$  has at most  $2n - 2$  vertices and at most  $n$  holes. Hence, there are finitely many Feynman diagrams of loop number at most  $n$ .

$n$	2	3	4	5	6
$g_n$	6	40	331	3700	53758

**Table 1.** The number  $g_n$  of graphs that contribute to the  $n$ -loop invariant for  $n = 2, \dots, 6$ .

Fix a Neumann-Zagier datum  $\gamma = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \nu, f, f'', z)$  which we assume is non-degenerate, that is the propagator (defined below) makes sense. In each Feynman diagram  $G$ , the edges represent an  $N \times N$  propagator

$$\Pi = \hbar \left( -\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} + \text{diag}(1/(1-z)) \right)^{-1}$$

while each  $k$ -vertex comes with an  $N$ -vector of factors  $\Gamma_i^{(k)}$ ,

$$\Gamma_i^{(k)} = (-1)^k \sum_{p=\alpha_k}^{\alpha_k+n-L(D)} \frac{\hbar^{p-1} (-1)^p B_p}{p!} \text{Li}_{2-p-k}(z_i^{-1}) + \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\nu)_i & k=1 \\ 0 & k \geq 2 \end{cases},$$

where  $\alpha_k = 1$  (resp., 0) if  $k = 1, 2$  (resp.,  $k \geq 3$ ). Here  $B_k$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number ( $B_1 = -1/2$ ,  $B_2 = 1/6$ ) and  $\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} z^m/m^s \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$  is the  $s$ -polylogarithm function for  $s$  a nonpositive integer. The diagram  $G$  is then evaluated by contracting the *vertex factors*  $\Gamma_i^{(k)}$  with propagators, multiplying by a standard *symmetry factor*, and taking the  $\hbar^{n-1}$  part of the answer. In the end,  $S_{\gamma,n}$  is the sum of evaluated diagrams, plus an additional *vacuum* contribution

$$\Gamma^{(0)} = \frac{B_n}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Li}_{2-n}(z_i^{-1}) + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8} f \cdot \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} f & n=2 \\ 0 & n \geq 3 \end{cases}.$$

**1.3. Our code.** Our goal is to give an exact computation for the  $n$ -loop invariants for  $n = 1, \dots, 6$  of a Neumann-Zagier datum of a **SnapPy** triangulation. Our method is implemented in **SnapPy**. We accomplished this in three steps.

- (a) We wrote a Python method `generate_feynman_diagrams.py` that generates all Feynman diagrams that contribute to the  $n$ -loop invariant. The Feynman diagrams were generated by first generating trees, and then adding to them multiple edges or loops. The number of such diagrams is shown in Table 1. Observe that if  $G$  is a multigraph with corresponding simple graph  $S(G)$  then  $S(G)$  has at most  $2n - 2$  vertices and at most  $n$  holes, and  $L(G)$  can be obtained from  $S(G)$  by adding at most  $n - L(S(G)) + |V_1(S(G))| + |V_2(S(G))|$  edges. Thus, all Feynman diagrams with Feynman loop number at most  $n$  can thus be generated by first generating all trees with at most  $2n - 2$  vertices then iteratively adding edges between pairs of vertices. Every edge added also adds an additional hole. If multigraph  $G$  has more than  $n - |V_1(G)| - |V_2(G)|$  holes it cannot be the subgraph of a Feynman diagram with Feynman loop number at most  $n$ .
- (b) We wrote a Python class `NeumannZagierDatum` which gives the Neumann-Zagier matrices and the exact value of the shape parameters that recover the geometric representation of an ideal triangulation. The exact computation of the shape parameters was done using the Ptolemy module [GGZ15, CDW] and the numerical computation is already implemented in **SnapPy**.

- (c) We wrote Python classes `nloop_exact.py` and `nloop_num.py` which given a Neumann-Zagier datum  $\gamma$  and a natural number  $n = 1, \dots, 6$  computes  $S_{\gamma,n}$  exactly (as an element of the trace field) or numerically to arbitrary precision.

To verify correctness of our code, we computed the  $n$ -loop invariants for  $n = 1, \dots, 5$  for different triangulations of each of a fixed knot, such as  $5_2$ ,  $(-2, 3, 7)$  pretzel,  $6_1$ , and  $6_2$ . In all cases the results agreed (up to a sign when  $n = 1$  and up to addition of  $1/24$  times an integer when  $n = 2$ ). This illustrates both the topological invariance of the  $n$ -loop invariants, and the correctness of our code.

**1.4. Usage.** The essence of our code lies in two Python classes `NeumannZagierDatum` and `nloop`. The former takes as input a manifold and generates the Neuman-Zagier datum  $\gamma = (A, B, \nu, f, f'', z)$ , and the latter takes as input Neuman-Zagier datum, an integer  $n$ , and a list of Feynman diagrams and returns the  $n$ -th loop invariant  $S_{\gamma,n}$ .

The `NeumannZagierDatum` class has three optional arguments `engine`, `verbose`, and `file_name`, which are set to `None`, `False`, and `None`, respectively, by default. The `engine` variable is passed as an option into the Ptolemy module and controls the method in which solutions to the Ptolemy variety are found. The preferred value for this variable for manifolds in `CensusKnots` is `engine="magma"`, which refers to the Sage interface to the Magma Computational Algebra System [BCP97]. If Magma is not available, `engine="None"` will attempt to compute solutions of the Ptolemy variety using Sage. Solutions for manifolds in `HTLinkExteriors` and `LinkExteriors` have been precomputed and are available with the Ptolemy module using `engine="retrieve"`, [GGZ15]. This option requires an internet connection, but will automatically switch to recomputing locally if the download is unsuccessful. The output of the Ptolemy module including the `retrieve` option are suppressed with `verbose=False` and are displayed with `verbose=True`.

To utilize the `NeumannZagierDatum` class use a terminal to navigate to the directory containing `nloop_exact.py`, available at [GSS], and load Sage. Once loaded, the class must first be initiated via

```
sage: attach('nloop_exact.py')
sage: M = Manifold('6_1')
sage: D = NeumannZagierDatum(M, engine="retrieve").
```

To generate the Neuman-Zagier datum use

```
sage: D.generate_nz_data().
```

This will assign a Python list  $[A, B, \nu, f, f'', z, \text{embedding}]$  consisting of the Neuman-Zagier datum plus the embedding of the something in the something to the class variable `nz`. If the optional argument `file_name` is used, this variable will be saved as a Sage object file (\*.sobj) in the current directory. To view the data simply use

```
sage: D.nz.
```

The shape equations  $z$  and field embedding may be computed separately via

```
sage: D.exact_shapes_via_ptolemy_lifted()
```

and

```
sage: D.compute_ptolemy_field_and_embedding(),
```

respectively.

Once the Neuman-Zagier datum has been computed, one may use it to compute the  $n$ -loop invariants  $S_{\gamma,n}$ . First, load the Feynman diagrams you wish to use and choose an invariant you wish to calculate,

```
sage: n = 2
sage: diagrams = load('6diagrams.sobj')
sage: E = nloop(D.nz, n, diagrams).
```

Here, we have chosen to calculate  $S_{\gamma,2}$  using Feynman diagrams up to six loops. Note that the manifold  $M$  is not directly used when initiating the `nloop` class, as all the information about the manifold we need is encoded in the Neuman-Zagier datum `D.nz`. To compute the invariant use

```
sage: E.one_loop()
```

if  $n = 1$  or

```
sage: E.nloop_invariant()
```

otherwise. To do this using a precomputed Neuman-Zagier datum `Sage` object file instead of defining `D` as above use

```
sage: nz = load('nz_exact_6_1.sobj')
sage: E = nloop(nz, n, diagrams).
```

The entire process described above has been streamlined into two automated functions for convenience. For example, to start with a specified manifold  $M$  and diagrams list, compute the Neuman-Zagier datum, and then compute the  $n$ -loop invariant, simply use

```
sage: nloop_from_manifold(M, n, diagrams, engine="retrieve").
```

The `NeumannZagierDatum` optional arguments described above may be entered here as seen in the example. On the other hand, to start with a precomputed Neuman-Zagier datum `Sage` object file (loaded as `nz`) and a diagrams list then compute the  $n$ -loop invariant, simply use

```
sage: nloop_from_nzdatum(nz, n, diagrams, engine="retrieve").
```

Also available at [GSS] is an almost identical version of our code, `nloop_num.py`, which produces numerical results to arbitrary precision instead of exact computations. The usage for this file is the same.

**1.5. Sample computations.** The results of our computations are available from [GSS], along with the code and data files.

To illustrate our method, consider the  $6_1 = K4_1$  knot with trace field  $F_{6_1} = \mathbb{Q}(x)$ , where  $x = -1.50410836415074 \dots + i1.22685163774658 \dots$  is a root of

$$x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 - 3x + 1 = 0.$$

$F_{6_1}$  is a number field of type  $[0, 2]$  with discriminant 257, a prime number. It follows that the Bloch group  $\mathcal{B}(F_{6_1})$  is an abelian group of rank 2 [Sus90, Zic09]. The default `Snappy` triangulation for  $K4_1$  uses 4 ideal tetrahedra with shapes

$$z = \left( \frac{3}{2}x^3 + \frac{7}{2}x^2 + 3x - \frac{5}{2}, 2x^3 + 5x^2 + 5x - 3, -\frac{1}{2}x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 - x + \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}x^3 + \frac{3}{2}x^2 + 2x + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

A Neuman-Zagier datum  $\gamma = (A, B, \nu, f, f'', z)$  is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The  $n$ -loop invariants for  $n = 1, \dots, 6$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= -\frac{7}{2}x^3 - \frac{17}{2}x^2 - \frac{17}{2}x + 6 \\ S_2 &= \frac{46490}{198147}x^3 + \frac{231209}{396294}x^2 + \frac{473191}{792588}x - \frac{62777}{264196} \\ S_3 &= \frac{570416}{16974593}x^3 + \frac{2833463}{33949186}x^2 + \frac{1122215}{16974593}x - \frac{1386486}{16974593} \\ S_4 &= -\frac{2255130587026}{50451970187565}x^3 - \frac{91695358340911}{807231523001040}x^2 - \frac{85651263871967}{807231523001040}x + \frac{1596902056811}{20180788075026} \\ S_5 &= -\frac{37040877003091}{1728820845093894}x^3 - \frac{330280282463219}{6915283380375576}x^2 - \frac{53499149965837}{1728820845093894}x + \frac{72838757049049}{1152547230062596} \\ S_6 &= \frac{1449319256564305241317}{17984434859623040256945}x^3 + \frac{23592842410230239076799}{115100383101587457644448}x^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{110567432832899754708187}{575501915507937288222240}x - \frac{20008494585620168748319}{143875478876984322055560} \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to thank the computer support group of the School of Mathematics and especially Justin Filoleta and Lew Lefton for their support.

## REFERENCES

- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [CDW] Marc Culler, Nathan M. Dunfield, and Jeffery R. Weeks, *SnapPy*, <http://www.math.uic.edu/t3m/SnapPy>.
- [DG13] Tudor Dimofte and Stavros Garoufalidis, *The quantum content of the gluing equations*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 3, 1253–1315.
- [Gar08] Stavros Garoufalidis, *Chern-Simons theory, analytic continuation and arithmetic*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **33** (2008), no. 3, 335–362.
- [GGZ15] Stavros Garoufalidis, Matthias Goerner, and Christian K. Zickert, *The Ptolemy field of 3-manifold-representations*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. (2015), arXiv:1401.5542, To appear.
- [GSS] Stavros Garoufalidis, Eric Sabo, and Shane Scott, <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~stavros/publications/nloop.data/index.html/>.
- [Guk05] Sergei Gukov, *Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A-polynomial*, Comm. Math. Phys. **255** (2005), no. 3, 577–627.
- [GZ] Stavros Garoufalidis and Don Zagier, *Asymptotics of quantum knot invariants*, Preprint 2013.
- [Jon87] V. F. R. Jones, *Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 2, 335–388.
- [Kas95] Rinat M. Kashaev, *A link invariant from quantum dilogarithm*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995), no. 19, 1409–1418.
- [MM01] Hitoshi Murakami and Jun Murakami, *The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot*, Acta Math. **186** (2001), no. 1, 85–104.

- [NZ85] Walter D. Neumann and Don Zagier, *Volumes of hyperbolic three-manifolds*, Topology **24** (1985), no. 3, 307–332.
- [Sus90] Andrei Suslin,  $K_3$  of a field, and the Bloch group, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **183** (1990), 180–199, 229, Translated in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **1991**, no. 4, 217–239, Galois theory, rings, algebraic groups and their applications (Russian).
- [Thu77] William Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Lecture notes, Princeton.
- [Zic09] Christian K. Zickert, *The extended Bloch group and algebraic K-theory*, 2009, arXiv:0910.4005, Preprint.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA  
<http://www.math.gatech.edu/~stavros>  
E-mail address: stavros@math.gatech.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA  
<http://www.math.gatech.edu/users/esabo3>  
E-mail address: esabo3@gatech.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA  
<http://www.math.gatech.edu/users/sscott42>  
E-mail address: scottsha@gatech.edu