## EXACT COMPUTATION OF THE n-LOOP INVARIANTS OF KNOTS

## STAVROS GAROUFALIDIS, ERIC SABO, AND SHANE SCOTT

ABSTRACT. The loop invariants of Dimofte-Garoufalidis is a formal power series with arithmetically interesting coefficients that conjecturally appears in the asymptotics of the Kashaev invariant of a knot to all orders in 1/N. We develop methods implemented in **snappy** that compute the first 6 coefficients of the formal power series of a knot. We give examples that illustrate our method and its results.

## Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1.	The Volume Conjecture to all orders in $1/N$	1
1.2.	Ideal triangulations, shapes, and the loop invariants	2
1.3.	Our results	2
1.4.	Usage	4
1.5.	Sample computations	5
1.6.	Conclusion	6

## 1. Introduction

sec.intro

1.1. The Volume Conjecture to all orders in 1/N. The best known quantum invariant of a knot in 3-space is the Jones polynomial [?]. The Kashaev invariant  $\langle K \rangle_N$  of a knot K (for N = 1, 2, ...) [?] coincides with the evaluation of the Jones polynomial of a knot and its parallels at complex roots of unity [?]. The Volume Conjecture of Kashaev [?] states that for a hyperbolic knot K,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log |\langle K \rangle_N| = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(K)}{2\pi},$$

where  $\operatorname{Vol}(K)$  is the hyperbolic volume of K. An extension of the Volume Conjecture to all orders in 1/N was proposed independently by Gukov and the first author [?, ?]. Namely, for every hyperbolic knot K there exists a formal power series  $\phi_K(\hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[\![\hbar]\!]$  such that

(1) 
$${}^{\rm eq.\,expN} \langle K \rangle_N \sim N^{3/2} e^{C_K N} \phi_K(2\pi i/N),$$

Date: February 9, 2015.

S.G. was supported in part by NSF. 1991 Mathematics Classification. Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25. Key words and phrases: knots, Jones polynomial, Kashaev invariant, Volume Conjecture, volume, hyperbolic geometry, ideal triangulations, shapes, Neumann-Zagier data, 1-loop, n-loop, formal Gaussian integration, Feynman diagrams.

where  $C_K$  is the complexified volume of K divided by  $2\pi i$ ,

(2a) 
$$\begin{aligned} & \overset{\mathsf{eq.FK1}}{\phi_K(\hbar)} = \tau_K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ \phi_{K,1}^+(\hbar), \\ & (2b) \\ & \overset{\mathsf{eq.FK2}}{\phi_K^+(\hbar)} \in 1 + \hbar F_K[\![\hbar]\!], \\ & (2c) \\ & \tau_K \in F_K, \end{aligned}$$

(2b) 
$$\phi_K^+(\hbar) \in 1 + \hbar F_K[\![\hbar]\!].$$

$$\tau_K \in F_K$$

and  $F_K$  is the trace field of K.

sub.loop

1.2. Ideal triangulations, shapes, and the loop invariants. Although the left hand side of Equation (1) is concretely defined, the power series  $\phi_K(\hbar)$  that conjecturally appears in the right hand side is not explicit. Numerical computations of the Kashaev invariant were performed by Zagier and G., and using numerical interpolation and a variety of guessing methods, it was possible to recognize the first few coefficients of the power series  $\phi_K$  for several knots [?].

In [?], Dimofte-G. associated a power series  $\phi_{\gamma}(\hbar)$  to a Neumann-Zagier datum  $\gamma$ . The latter is a tuple that depends on an ideal triangulation of a knot complement together with a solution of the gluing equations that recovers the complete hyperbolic structure. For a detailed discussion on ideal triangulations and their gluing equations, see [?, ?] and also [?, Sec.1.2]. Equations (2a)-(2c) are manifest by the definition of  $\phi_{\gamma}(\hbar)$ . In [?] it was shown that  $\tau_{\gamma}$  is a topological invariant, defined up to a sign. If we write

$$\phi_{\gamma}^{+}(\hbar) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} S_{\gamma,n} \hbar^{n-1}\right),$$

then  $S_{\gamma,n}$  are the n-loop invariants of the  $\gamma$ . In [?] it was conjectured that  $S_{\gamma,2}$  is well-defined up to addition of an integer multiple of 1/24, and that  $S_{\gamma,n}$  are topological invariants for  $n \geq 3$ .

The definition of  $\phi_{\gamma}^{+}(\hbar)$  is given explicitly by formal Gaussian integration. It follows that  $S_{\gamma,n}$  is a weighted sum of a finite set of Feynman diagrams. The Feynman rules were explained in detail in [?, Sec.1.6-1.8] and the contributing Feynman diagrams for n=2 and n=3 were explicitly drawn. For n>3, the number of Feynman diagrams gets large and drawings-by-hand is not advisable. perhaps we should summarize the Feynman rules here. this is very important in our work in this paper. also, the whole point of this paper is that we compute the  $S_{\gamma,n}$  yet we never once give an equation for it. we should do this.

sub.results

- 1.3. Our results. change results to code. this section describes our code more so than our results. our results are the invariants. Our goal is to give an exact computation for the n-loop invariants for  $n=1,\ldots,6$  of a Neumann-Zagier datum of a SnapPy triangulation. Our method is implemented in SnapPy. We accomplished this in three steps.
  - (a) We wrote a Python method generate\_feynman\_diagrams.py that generates all Feynman diagrams that contribute to the n-loop invariant. The Feynman diagrams were generated by first generating trees, and then adding to them multiple edges or loops. The number of such diagrams is shown in Table 1.

n	2	3	4	5	6
$g_n$	6	40	331	3700	53758

**Table 1.** The number  $g_n$  of graphs that contribute to the n-loop invariant for  $n = 2, \ldots, 6$ .

t.diagrams

need transition If G is a connected looped multigraph the Feynman loop number L(G) is the number of 1-vertices plus the number 2-vertices plus the number of holes (i.e. rank of the fundamental group=  $|E| - |V| + 1 = \chi + 1$ ).

$$L(G) = |V_1| + |V_2| + |E| - |V| + 1$$

Observe that if an edge  $e = \{vw\}$  with is added to G then

$$L(G \cup \{e\}) = \begin{cases} L(G) - 1 & \text{if } \deg(v) = \deg(w) = 2 \text{ and } v \neq w \\ L(G) & \text{if } v = w \text{ and } \deg(v) \in \{1, 2\} \\ & \text{or if } \deg(v) = 2 \text{ and } \deg(w) \neq 2 \\ L(G) + 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

If G is a looped multigraph its simplification S(G) is the simple graph obtained from G by deleting all loops and collapsing multiedges. Observe that G can be obtained from S(G) by adding loops and multiedges and if  $L(G) \leq n$  then S(G) has at most n holes and 2(n-1) vertices as

$$n \ge |V_1| + |V_2| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k k|V_k| - |V| + 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}|V_1| + |V_2| + \sum_{k \ge 3} \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1\right)|V_k| + 1$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2}|V| + \frac{1}{2}|V_2| + 1$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2}|V| + 1$$

These bound is tight. If n > 2 then the looped graph with a single vertex and n loops achieves the bound on the number of holes. The trivalent graph with 2(n-1) vertices arranged in a cycle with every other edge a 2-multiedge has achieves a loop number n.

All Feynman diagrams with Feynman loop number at most n can thus be generated by first generating all trees with at most 2(n-1) vertices then iteratively adding edges between pairs of vertices. Every edge added also adds an additional hole. If looped multigraph G has more than  $n - |V_1| - |V_2|$  holes it cannot be the subgraph of a Feynman diagram with Feynman loop number at most n. Thus contributing diagrams can be computed by brute force exhaustion via iteratively adding edges.

(b) We wrote a Python class NeumannZagierDatum which gives the Neumann-Zagier matrices and the exact value of the shape parameters that recover the geometric

- representation of an ideal triangulation. we should mention explicitly that it gives back the A, B, nu, etc that we see in section 1.5 below The exact computation of the shape parameters was done using the Ptolemy module [?, ?] and the numerical computation is already implemented in SnapPy.
- (c) We wrote Python classes  $nloop_exact.py$  and  $nloop_num.py$  which given a Neumann-Zagier datum  $\gamma$  and a natural number n = 1, ..., 6 computes  $S_{\gamma,n}$  exactly (as an element of the trace field) or numerically to arbitrary precision. make reference here to equation for  $S_{\gamma,n}$  above

To verify correctness of our code, we computed the n-loop invariants for n = 1, ..., 5 for different triangulations of each of a fixed knot, such as  $5_2$ , (-2, 3, 7) pretzel,  $6_1$ , and  $6_2$ . In all cases the results agreed (up to a sign when n = 1 and up to addition of 1/24 times an integer when n = 2). This illustrates both the topological invariance of the n-loop invariants, and the correctness of our code.

sub.usage

1.4. Usage. The essence of our code lies in two Python classes NeumannZagierDatum and nloop. The former takes as input a manifold and generates the Neuman-Zagier datum  $\gamma = (A, B, \nu, f, f'', z)$ , and the latter takes as input Neuman-Zagier datum, an integer n, and a list of Feynman diagrams and returns the n-th loop invariant  $S_{\gamma,n}$ .

The NeumannZagierDatum class has three optional arguments engine, verbose, and file\_name, which are set to None, False, and None, respectively, by default. The engine variable is passed as an option into the Ptolemy module and controls the method in which solutions to the Ptolemy variety are found. The preferred value for this variable for manifolds in CensusKnots is engine="magma", which refers to the Sage interface to the rather costly Magma Computational Algebra System [?]. If Magma is not available, engine="None" will attempt to compute solutions of the Ptolemy variety using Sage. This is known to be currently unsuccessful with a handful of manifolds. (Note that in this case the system simply hangs and no error message is produced.) Solutions for manifolds in HTLinkExteriors and LinkExteriors have been precomputed and are available with the Ptolemy module using engine="retrieve". This option requires an internet connection, but will automatically switch to recomputing locally if the download is unsuccessful. The output of the Ptolemy module including the retrieve option are suppressed with verbose=False and are displayed with verbose=True.

To utilize the NeumannZagierDatum class use a terminal to navigate to the directory containing nloop\_exact.py, available at [?], and load Sage. Once loaded, the class must first be initiated via

```
sage: attach('nloop_exact.py')
sage: M = Manifold('6_1')
sage: D = NeumannZagierDatum(M, engine="retrieve").
```

Note that in this example we have used the optional argument engine="retrieve", which will fail because the manifold  $6_1$  is in CensusKnots and not HTLinkExteriors or LinkExteriors. However, as noted above, such errors are automatically taken into account in nloop\_exact.py. To generate the Neuman-Zagier datum use

```
sage: D.generate_nz_data().
```

This will assign a Python list  $[A, B, \nu, f, f'', z]$ , embedding consisting of the Neuman-Zagier datum plus the embedding of the something in the something to the class variable nz. If the optional argument file\_name is used, this variable will be saved as a Sage object file (\*.sobj) in the current directory. To view the data simply use

sage: D.nz.

The shape equations z and field embedding may be computed separately via

sage: D.exact\_shapes\_via\_ptolemy\_lifted()
and

sage: D.compute\_ptolemy\_field\_and\_embedding(),
respectively.

Once the Neuman-Zagier datum has been computed, one may use it to compute the n-loop invariants  $S_{\gamma,n}$ . First, load the Feynman diagrams you wish to use and choose an invariant you wish to calculate,

sage: n = 2

sage: diagrams = load('6diagrams.sobj')

sage: E = nloop(D.nz, n, diagrams).

Here, we have chosen to calculate  $S_{\gamma,2}$  using Feynman diagrams up to six loops. Note that the manifold M is not directly used when initiating the nloop class, as all the information about the manifold we need is encoded in the Neuman-Zagier datum D.nz. To compute the invariant use

```
sage: E.one_loop() if n = 1 or
```

sage: E.nloop\_invariant()

otherwise. To do this using a precomputed Neuman-Zagier datum Sage object file instead of defining D as above use

```
sage: nz = load('nz_exact_6_1.sobj')
sage: E = nloop(nz, n, diagrams).
```

The entire process described above has been streamlined into two automated functions for convenience. For example, to start with a specified manifold M and diagrams list, compute the Neuman-Zagier datum, and then compute the *n*-loop invariant, simply use

```
sage: nloop_from_manifold(M, n, diagrams, engine="retrieve").
```

The NeumannZagierDatum optional arguments described above may be entered here as seen in the example. On the other hand, to start with a precomputed Neuman-Zagier datum Sage object file (loaded as nz) and a diagrams list then compute the n-loop invariant, simply use

sage: nloop\_from\_nzdatum(nz, n, diagrams, engine="retrieve").

Also available at [?] is an almost identical version of our code, nloop\_num.py, which produces numerical results to high precision instead of exact computations. The usage for this file is the same.

sub.sample

1.5. Sample computations. To illustrate our methods, consider the  $6_1 = K4_1$  knot with trace field  $F_{6_1} = \mathbb{Q}(x)$ , where  $x = -1.50410836415074 \cdots + i1.22685163774658 \ldots$  is a root of

$$x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 - 3x + 1 = 0.$$

 $F_{6_1}$  is a number field of type [0,2] with discriminant 257, a prime number. It follows that the Bloch group  $\mathcal{B}(F_{6_1})$  after tensored with  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of dimension 2 [?]. The default SnapPy triangulation for K4<sub>1</sub> uses 4 ideal tetrahedra with shapes difficult to read z below, perhaps put on separate lines

$$z = \left(\frac{3}{2}x^3 + \frac{7}{2}x^2 + 3x - \frac{5}{2}, \ 2x^3 + 5x^2 + 5x - 3, \ -\frac{1}{2}x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 - x + \frac{3}{2}, \ \frac{1}{2}x^3 + \frac{3}{2}x^2 + 2x + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

A Neuman-Zagier datum  $\gamma = (A, B, \nu, f, f'', z)$  is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ \nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ f'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *n*-loop invariants for n = 1, ..., 6 are given by:

$$\begin{split} \tau_1 &= -\frac{7}{2}x^3 - \frac{17}{2}x^2 - \frac{17}{2}x + 6 \\ S_2 &= \frac{46490}{198147}x^3 + \frac{231209}{396294}x^2 + \frac{473191}{792588}x - \frac{62777}{264196} \\ S_3 &= \frac{570416}{16974593}x^3 + \frac{2833463}{33949186}x^2 + \frac{1122215}{16974593}x - \frac{1386486}{16974593} \\ S_4 &= -\frac{2255130587026}{50451970187565}x^3 - \frac{91695358340911}{807231523001040}x^2 - \frac{85651263871967}{807231523001040}x + \frac{1596902056811}{20180788075026} \\ S_5 &= -\frac{37040877003091}{1728820845093894}x^3 - \frac{330280282463219}{6915283380375576}x^2 - \frac{53499149965837}{1728820845093894}x + \frac{72838757049049}{1152547230062596} \end{split}$$

1.6. **Conclusion.** The results of our computations are available from [?]. now say more here about exactly what is available, i.e., code, data files, what manifolds have been done and what can people expect from future updates to the website as data comes in.

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA http://www.math.gatech.edu/~stavros

E-mail address: stavros@math.gatech.edu

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA http://www.math.gatech.edu/users/esabo3

E-mail address: esabo3@gatech.edu

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA http://www.math.gatech.edu/users/sscott42

E-mail address: scottsha@gatech.edu