FillInTheGaps

December 30, 2018

1 Fill In The Gaps

1.0.1 Predicting the missing word

Our goal is take a sentence with a missing word -- for example "Mary eats a _", and replace the blank with a 'reasonable' replacement word. This task is something that people do everyday, especially in a noisy setting or when speaking to someone with a thick accent. However, this task goes beyond just syntactic validity, since few people would guess that the sentence was 'Mary eats a laptop'. We need to inject some notion of semantics. However, we cannot just naively apply methods from WordNet, because we are missing the word that fits in the blank. Most WordNet methods are ways of mapping from one word to other words that are related in a particular way, eg hypernymy or synonymy.

So in order to move forward, we should first come up with a way of distinguishing valid sentences in a way that lets us generate a missing word. We decided to adopt a model of language learning that is very similar to the notion of near-miss learning. We take a set of training sentences, use software from lab 3 to convert them into event structures, and group event structures together in a way that lets us generalize semantically valid sentences from our training data. This means that we are assuming that the training data is semantically valid.

Below, we will experiment with different grouping and generalization strategies in order to determine semantically valid replacements for a missing word.

```
In [1]: #Imports
       import subprocess
       import os
       import copy
       import math
       import semantic as s
       import semantic_rule_set
       import rules
       import en
       import nltk
[nltk_data] Downloading package wordnet to
[nltk_data] /home/scottviteri/nltk_data...
[nltk_data] Package wordnet is already up-to-date!
[nltk_data] Downloading package treebank to
               /home/scottviteri/nltk_data...
[nltk\_data]
[nltk_data] Package treebank is already up-to-date!
```

1.0.2 Generate Event Structures

First we generate event structures from sentences, which we store in a list of dictionaries for simplicity.

1.0.3 Simplest Strategy: No Grouping

```
In [4]: #Parse each sentence in training data
       def train(sem, sentences, groupingProcedure):
           event list = []
           for sentence in sentences:
                  new_event_dict = s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence)
                  event_list = groupingProcedure(event_list, new_event_dict)
               except Exception as e:
                   # The parser did not return any parse trees.
                   raise
           return event_list
       def keepSeparate(event_list, new_event_dict):
           return event_list + [new_event_dict]
       event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, keepSeparate)
       for g in event_groupings:
           print g
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
```

1.0.4 One Difference Groupings

This is a very conservative form of grouping. If two training sentences the same event structure but differ across one feature, we group together the values of that feature.

```
In [5]: def groupIfOneDiff(event_list, new_event): #if different structure, do not match
           #maybe only do after reaching a certain size
           new_event_list = copy.deepcopy(event_list)
           merged = False
           #try merging in
           for i in range(len(event_list)): #try to match with event_list[i]
               event = event_list[i]
               if set(event.keys()) == set(new_event.keys()):
                  unequal_count = 0
                   for feat in event.keys():
                       if new_event[feat] not in event[feat]:
                          unequal_feat = feat
                           unequal_count += 1
                   if unequal_count == 0: merged = True
                   elif unequal_count == 1: #merge into previous
                       new_event_list[i] [unequal_feat] .add(new_event[unequal_feat])
                       merged = True
           #make new spot
           if not merged:
               new_event_list.append({k:set([v]) for k,v in new_event.iteritems()})
           return new_event_list
       event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, groupIfOneDiff)
       for e in events:
           print e
       for g in event_groupings:
           print g
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato',
'potato']), 'agent': set(['John', 'Mary'])}
```

Note that the above only produces one output grouping (as opposed to two groupings, composed of sentences (1,2) and (2,3)). This is because we are applying the groupings iteratively. We loop through the events, and compare the current event with the groupings that we have collected up to that point. The comparison in this case is not checking for equality between values of a common feature, but rather it is checking for inclusion of the current event's feature values within groupings of that feature.

```
for feat in event.keys():
    if new_event[feat] not in event[feat]:
        unequal_feat = feat
```

This part of the previous method demonstrates this inclusion checking.

This implies that the same training sentences, in different orders, can lead to different event groupings.

```
event_groupings_2 = train(sem, rotate(training_sentences), groupIfOneDiff)

print event_groupings_1 #creates 1 group
print event_groupings_2 #creates 2 groups

['John eats the potato', 'John eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato']
['Mary eats the tomato', 'John eats the tomato', 'John eats the potato']
[{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato', 'potato']), 'agent': set(['John', 'Mary'])}]
[{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato']), 'agent': set(['John', 'Mary'])}, {'action': set(['eat']), 'patient': set(['tomato', 'potato']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'agent': set(['John'])}]
```

This grouping pattern is related to near-miss learning:

The reason that event_groupings_2 creates 2 instead of 1 grouping is that the 1st and 2nd sentence differ from each other is 2 ways, instead of just 1.

But maybe this is a bit too conservative of an assumption. Alternately we could try grouping when seeing two differences.

```
In [7]: def groupIfOneOrTwoDiffs(event_list, new_event): #if different structure, do not match
            #maybe only do after reaching a certain size
           new_event_list = copy.deepcopy(event_list)
           merged = False
           #try merging in
           for i in range(len(event_list)): #try to match with event_list[i]
               event = event_list[i]
               if set(event.keys()) == set(new_event.keys()):
                   unequal_count = 0
                   for feat in event.keys():
                       if new_event[feat] not in event[feat]:
                           if unequal_count == 0:
                              unequal_feat_1 = feat
                           if unequal_count == 1:
                              unequal_feat_2 = feat
                           unequal_count += 1
                   if unequal_count == 0: merged = True
                   elif unequal_count == 1: #merge into previous
                       new_event_list[i][unequal_feat_1].add(new_event[unequal_feat_1])
                       merged = True
                   elif unequal_count == 2: #merge into previous
                       new_event_list[i][unequal_feat_1].add(new_event[unequal_feat_1])
                       new_event_list[i] [unequal_feat_2].add(new_event[unequal_feat_2])
                       merged = True
           #make new snot
               new_event_list.append({k:set([v]) for k,v in new_event.iteritems()})
           return new_event_list
       events = map(lambda sent: s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sent), rotate(training_sentences))
       for e in events:
           print e
       event_groupings = train(sem, rotate(training_sentences), groupIfOneOrTwoDiffs)
       print event_groupings
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
[{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato',
'potato']), 'agent': set(['John', 'Mary'])}]
```

1.0.5 Filling In the Word Blank

Now that we have come up with some event grouping strategies, we return to the original goal of our project -- to fill in the missing word.

The reason for the groupings above, is that we would like to take training event structures like:

```
['John eats the potato', 'John eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato']
   And conclude that:
['Mary eats the potato']
   is a valid sentence.
In [8]: def checkGoodSentence(sem, sentence, event_groupings):
           event = s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence)
           if not event: return False
           for event_group in event_groupings:
               if set(event.keys()) == set(event_group.keys()):
                  if all([event[k] in event_group[k] for k in event.keys()]):
           return False
       sentence = 'Mary eats the potato'
       event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, groupIfOneDiff)
       event = s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence)
       print "Event: " + str(event)
       print "Event Groupings: " + str(event_groupings)
       print checkGoodSentence(sem, sentence, event_groupings)
Event: {'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
Event Groupings: [{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient':
set(['tomato', 'potato']), 'agent': set(['John', 'Mary'])}]
True
```

What the above means is that the grouping structure that we have generated 'accepts' the sentence 'Mary eats the potato' after being trained on the 3 sentences above, which is exactly what we were looking for!

However, we want to be able to hypothesize that 'potato' is a good word to fill in for 'Mary eats the _'. So instead of starting with 'Mary eats the potato', let's start with 'Mary eats the _', and check the semantic validity of every word in the lexicon.

```
['potato', 'tomato']
```

This is doing what we want, but ideally we would like to extend our groupings to more than just the lexicon that we have written down.

This is where we can use wordnet to generalize our results.

```
In [10]: from nltk.corpus import wordnet as wn
        def flatten(lst):
            out = []
            for x in 1st:
                if type(x) is list:
                    out.extend(flatten(x))
                else:
                   out.append(x)
            return out
        gap_sentence = 'Mary eats the _'
        what_mary_eats = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings)
        print "What Mary Eats: " + str(what_mary_eats)
        synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w)),
        what_mary_eats))
        print "What Mary Eats Extended: " + str(synonyms)
        print
        sents = ['Mary eats the '+syn for syn in synonyms]
        for x in sents: print x
What Mary Eats: ['potato', 'tomato']
What Mary Eats Extended: [u'potato', u'white_potato', u'Irish_potato', u'murphy',
u'spud', u'tater', u'potato', u'white_potato', u'white_potato_vine',
u'Solanum_tuberosum', u'tomato', u'tomato', u'love_apple', u'tomato_plant',
u'Lycopersicon_esculentum']
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the Irish_potato
Mary eats the murphy
Mary eats the spud
Mary eats the tater
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the white_potato_vine
Mary eats the Solanum_tuberosum
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the love_apple
Mary eats the tomato_plant
Mary eats the Lycopersicon_esculentum
```

What if I try to replace the verb using synonyms? I lose tense information, and create ungrammatical sentences.

Eg 'Mary _ the tomato' --> 'Mary chow the tomato'

```
for verb in synonyms:
            sentence = 'Mary '+verb+' the tomato'
            print sentence
Mary potato the tomato
Mary white_potato the tomato
Mary Irish_potato the tomato
Mary murphy the tomato
Mary spud the tomato
Mary tater the tomato
Mary potato the tomato
Mary white_potato the tomato
Mary white_potato_vine the tomato
Mary Solanum_tuberosum the tomato
Mary tomato the tomato
Mary tomato the tomato
Mary love_apple the tomato
Mary tomato_plant the tomato
Mary Lycopersicon_esculentum the tomato
```

One potential attempt at a fix would be to use an off-the-shelf parser to check the syntactic validity of each proposed sentence.

Below, we try this with the Penn Tree Bank grammar.

```
In [12]: from nltk.corpus import treebank
                                          from nltk.grammar import CFG, Nonterminal
                                           tbank_productions = set(production for sent in treebank.parsed_sents()
                                                                                                                                                            for production in sent.productions())
                                          tbank_grammar = CFG(Nonterminal('S'), list(tbank_productions))
                                          parser = nltk.parse.EarleyChartParser(tbank_grammar)
                                          print list(parser.parse('Mary has food'.split()))[:10]
 [Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP', [Tree('VBP', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NT', [Tree('NT
 ['has']), Tree('NP-TMP-CLR', [Tree('NN', ['food'])])]), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8',
[Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP', [Tree('VP', [Tree('VBP', ['has']), Tree('NP-TMP-
CLR', [Tree('NN', ['food'])])]), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP',
['Mary'])]), Tree('VP', [Tree('VP', [Tree('VBZ', ['has']), Tree('NP-PRD', [Tree('NN',
 ['food'])])])), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP',
 [Tree('VP', [Tree('VBZ', ['has']), Tree('NP-PRD', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NN',
 ['food'])])])]), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP',
[Tree('VP', [Tree('VBZ', ['has']), Tree('NP-PRD', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NN', [T
['food'])]))]))]), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]),
Tree('VP', [Tree('VP', [Tree('VBP', ['has']), Tree('NP-PRD', [Tree('NN',
['food'])]))]), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP',
[Tree('VP', [Tree('VBP', ['has']), Tree('NP-PRD', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NN', [Tree('N), [Tree('NN', [Tree('N), [Tree('N), [Tree('N), [Tree('NN', [Tree('N), [Tre
 ['food'])])])])), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP',
[Tree('VP', [Tree('VBP', ['has']), Tree('NP-PRD', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NP', [Tree('NN',
['food'])])])])]), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]),
\label{eq:tree}  \mbox{Tree('VP', [Tree('VBZ', ['has']), Tree('NP-CLR', [Tree('NN', [Tre
 ['food'])]))))), Tree('S', [Tree('NP-SBJ-8', [Tree('NNP', ['Mary'])]), Tree('VP',
 [Tree('VP', [Tree('VBP', ['has']), Tree('NP-CLR', [Tree('NN', ['food'])])])])]
```

Well, it looks like the above is effectively useless for our purposes. It will only have the words in the small subset of PTB that we can download, and takes very long to parse even 'Mary has food'.

Let's try CMU's link grammar.

```
In [13]: %%bash
        cd ./link-4.1b-mod/ && make -B
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/parse.c -o obj/parse.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/prune.c -o obj/prune.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/and.c -o obj/and.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/post-process.c -o obj/post-process.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/pp_lexer.c -o obj/pp_lexer.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/resources.c -o obj/resources.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/analyze-linkage.c -o obj/analyze-linkage.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/string-set.c -o obj/string-set.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/pp_linkset.c -o obj/pp_linkset.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/pp_knowledge.c -o obj/pp_knowledge.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/error.c -o obj/error.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/word-file.c -o obj/word-file.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/utilities.c -o obj/utilities.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/tokenize.c -o obj/tokenize.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/command-line.c -o obj/command-line.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/read-dict.c -o obj/read-dict.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/print.c -o obj/print.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w - Iinclude src/preparation.c -o obj/preparation.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/api.c -o obj/api.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/massage.c -o obj/massage.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/linkset.c -o obj/linkset.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/idiom.c -o obj/idiom.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/fast-match.c -o obj/fast-match.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/extract-links.c -o obj/extract-links.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/count.c -o obj/count.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/build-disjuncts.c -o obj/build-disjuncts.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/constituents.c -o obj/constituents.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/print-util.c -o obj/print-util.o
gcc -0 -g obj/parse.o obj/prune.o obj/and.o obj/post-process.o obj/pp_lexer.o
obj/resources.o obj/analyze-linkage.o obj/string-set.o obj/pp_linkset.o
obj/pp_knowledge.o obj/error.o obj/word-file.o obj/utilities.o obj/tokenize.o
obj/command-line.o obj/read-dict.o obj/print.o obj/preparation.o obj/api.o
obj/massage.o obj/linkset.o obj/idiom.o obj/fast-match.o obj/extract-links.o
obj/count.o obj/build-disjuncts.o obj/constituents.o obj/print-util.o -o ./parse
In [14]: def filterBySyntax(sentences):
            with open("./link-4.1b-mod/input.txt", "w+") as f:
               for sentence in sentences:
                   f.write(sentence+'\n')
            wd = os.getcwd()
            os.chdir(wd+"/link-4.1b-mod")
            subprocess.call(['./parse'])
            os.chdir(wd)
            with open("./link-4.1b-mod/output.txt", "r") as f:
               syntactical\_sentences = [x \ for \ x \ in \ f.read().split('\n') \ if \ x \ != '']
            return syntactical_sentences
        verb_synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w,
        wn.VERB)), what_mary_did))
        input_sentences = ['Mary '+verb+' the tomatoes' for verb in verb_synonyms]
        syntactical_sentences = filterBySyntax(input_sentences)
        print "Input Sentences: " + str(input_sentences)
        print
        print "Grammatical Sentences: " + str(syntactical_sentences)
```

Input Sentences: [u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary feed the

```
tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary eat_on the tomatoes', u'Mary consume the tomatoes', u'Mary eat_up the tomatoes', u'Mary use_up the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary deplete the tomatoes', u'Mary exhaust the tomatoes', u'Mary run_through the tomatoes', u'Mary wipe_out the tomatoes', u'Mary corrode the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary rust the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary feed the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary eat_on the tomatoes', u'Mary consume the tomatoes', u'Mary eat_up the tomatoes', u'Mary use_up the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary exhaust the tomatoes', u'Mary run_through the tomatoes', u'Mary wipe_out the tomatoes', u'Mary corrode the tomatoes', u'Mary eat the tomatoes', u'Mary rust the tomatoes']
```

Grammatical Sentences: []

This did not let a single sentence through the grammar checker, as expected.

Now let's use the NodeBox English Linguistics library to force conjugation of the proposed verbs, and then check our work with our grammar checker.

```
In [15]: conjs = []
        for verb in verb_synonyms:
               conj = en.verb.present(verb, person=3, negate=False)
               conjs.append(conj)
            except Exception as e:
                conjs.append('')
        print verb_synonyms[:10]
        print conjs[:10]
[u'eat', u'eat', u'feed', u'eat', u'eat', u'eat_on', u'consume', u'eat_up', u'use_up',
['eats', 'eats', 'fees', 'eats', 'eats', '', 'consumes', '', '', 'eats']
In [16]: conj_sents = ['Mary '+conj+' the tomato' for conj in conjs if conj]
        print "sentences with conjugated verb: "
        print conj_sents
        print ""
        filtered = filterBySyntax(conj_sents)
        print "after filter:
        print filtered
        print ""
        print "removed: "
        print [x for x in conj_sents if x not in filtered]
sentences with conjugated verb:
['Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary fees the tomato', 'Mary eats
the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary consumes the tomato', 'Mary eats the
tomato', 'Mary depletes the tomato', 'Mary exhausts the tomato', 'Mary corrodes the
tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary rusts the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato',
'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary fees the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the
tomato', 'Mary consumes the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary depletes the
tomato', 'Mary exhausts the tomato', 'Mary corrodes the tomato', 'Mary eats the
tomato', 'Mary rusts the tomato']
after filter:
['Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats
```

```
the tomato', 'Mary consumes the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary depletes the tomato', 'Mary exhausts the tomato', 'Mary corrodes the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary depletes the tomato', 'Mary exhausts the tomato', 'Mary corrodes the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato']

removed:
['Mary fees the tomato', 'Mary rusts the tomato', 'Mary fees the tomato', 'Mary rusts the tomato']
```

This finally allows us to come up with generalized alternatives for 'Mary _ the tomato'! The problem with the above method; however, is that we had to manually specify that the blank was supposed to be 3rd-person verb.

We need to get away from this if we would like to generalize to English in general. Ideally, we could look at the event structures that we generate from our original grouping procedure, and use that information to automate the conjugation/modification of our generated sentences.

```
In [17]: #start_sentence = 'Mary _ the tomato'
         #filler_word_guesses = test(sem, [start_sentence], event_groupings)
         #print filler_word_guesses
         \verb| #what_mary_did = filler_word_guesses['Mary \_ the tomato']|
         what_mary_did_sentences = ['Mary '+verb+" the tomato" for verb in what_mary_did]
         generated_event_structures = [s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence) for sentence in
         what_mary_did_sentences]
         print generated_event_structures[0]
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
In [18]: s.parse_input_str(sem, what_mary_did_sentences[0])
Out[18]:
                          [ *type* = 'Start' ]
                            [ *type* = 'S' ]
       [ *type* = 'NP' ]
                                               [ *type* = 'VP' ]
       [ pro = False ]
       [ wh
               = False 1
                                             [ *type* = 'V_args' ]
                          [ *type* = 'V2' ]
                                                                  [ *type* = 'NP' ]
      [ *type* = 'Name' ]
              1
                           [ tense = True ]
                                                                  [ pro = False ]
                                  -
             Mary
                                                                          = False ]
                                              [ *type* = 'Det' ] [ *type* = 'APX' ]
                                                                                    [ *tvpe* = 'N'
                                                                                     [ mass = False
                                                                                                         1
                                                                                     [ number = 'singular' ]
                                                     the
                                                                                             tomato
```

```
(Start[]
  (S[]
    (NP[-pro, -wh] (Name[] Mary))
    (VP[]
      (V_args[]
        (V2[+tense] eats)
        (NP[-pro, -wh]
          (Det[] the)
          (APX[])
          (N[-mass, number='singular'] tomato))))))
In [20]: subtree = tree.pos()[1] # 2nd element of sentence was blank
        print subtree
        print ""
        features = subtree[1]
        print features
        #print tree.leaf_treeposition(1)
        #print tree[0][1][0][0]
('eats', V2[+tense])
[ *type* = 'V2' ]
[tense = True ]
In [21]: test_sentence = 'Mary _ the tomato'
        filler_index = test_sentence.split().index('_')
        print synonyms, '\n'
        for sentence in what_mary_did_sentences:
            tree = s.parse_input_str(sem, sentence)
            features = tree.pos()[filler_index][1]
            print features, '\n'
[u'potato', u'white_potato', u'Irish_potato', u'murphy', u'spud', u'tater', u'potato',
u'white_potato', u'white_potato_vine', u'Solanum_tuberosum', u'tomato', u'tomato',
u'love_apple', u'tomato_plant', u'Lycopersicon_esculentum']
[ *type* = 'V2' ]
[ tense = True ]
[ *tvpe* = 'V2' ]
[ tense = True ]
```

Now that we have the features, we can use these to inform the filtering of our synonyms!

```
return POS
def getSynonymsSingleWord(word, POS):
   if POS == 'N':
       return flatten([synset.lemma_names() for synset in wn.synsets(word, wn.NOUN)])
   elif POS[0] == 'V':
       return flatten([synset.lemma_names() for synset in wn.synsets(word, wn.VERB)])
   return flatten([synset.lemma_names() for synset in wn.synsets(word, wn.NOUN)])
#future work
def getSynonyms(filler_words, POS):
   return flatten(map(lambda word: getSynonymsSingleWord(word, POS), filler_words))
def conjugate(word, POS_info):
   features, subj_features = POS_info['features'], POS_info['subj_features']
   POS, subj_POS = POS_info['POS'], POS_info['subj_POS']
   conj = None
   if POS[0] == 'V': #Verb
       if features['tense']:
            if subj_POS == 'N' and subj_features['number'] == 'plural':
                conj = en.verb.conjugate(word, tense="present plural")
            elif subj_POS == 'N' or subj_POS == 'Name':
                conj = en.verb.conjugate(word, tense="3rd singular present")
       else:
           conj = en.verb.infinitive(word)
   elif POS == 'N':
        if features['number'] == 'singular':
            conj = en.noun.singular(word)
        elif features['number'] == 'plural':
            conj = en.noun.plural(word)
   elif POS == 'Name':
        conj = en.noun.singular(word)
   if not conj:
       conj = word
   return conj
def fillerWordToPOSInfo(filler_word, gap_sentence):
   filler_index = gap_sentence.split().index('_')
   filled_sentence = gap_sentence.replace('_',filler_word)
   tree = s.parse_input_str(sem, filled_sentence)
   features, subj_features = tree.pos()[filler_index][1], tree.pos()[0][1]
   POS, subj_POS = findPOS(features), findPOS(subj_features)
   POS_info = {'features':features, 'subj_features':subj_features,
                'POS': POS, 'subj_POS':subj_POS}
   return POS_info
#conjugate needs all info, but generalize should only need one POS
def generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_words, generalizationProcedure,
filtering=False):
   POS_info = fillerWordToPOSInfo(filler_words[0], gap_sentence)
   generalizations = generalizationProcedure(filler_words, POS_info['POS'])
   conjugated_gens = []
   for g in generalizations:
        try:
           conjugated_gens.append(conjugate(g, POS_info))
        except Exception as e: pass
   if filtering:
        return filterBySyntax(conjugated_gens)
   else:
       return conjugated_gens
for (gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses) in sents_and_guesses:
   gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getSynonyms,
False)
   print gens
```

```
['eats', 'eats', 'fees', 'eats', 'eats', 'consumes', 'eats', 'depletes', 'exhausts',
'corrodes', 'eats', 'rusts', 'eats', 'fees', 'eats', 'eats', 'consumes',
'eats', 'depletes', 'exhausts', 'corrodes', 'eats', 'rusts']
[u'potato', u'white_potato', u'Irish_potato', u'murphy', u'spud', u'tater', u'potato',
u'white_potato', u'white_potato_vine', u'Solanum_tuberosum', u'tomato', u'tomato',
u'love_apple', u'tomato_plant', u'Lycopersicon_esculentum']
In [24]: filled_sentences = []
        for (gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses) in sents_and_guesses:
            gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getSynonyms,
        False)
            for g in gens:
               filled_sentences.append(gap_sentence.replace('_',g))
            for fill in filler_word_guesses:
               filled_sentences.append(gap_sentence.replace('_',fill))
        for x in filled_sentences:
           print x
        print "Count: ", len(filled_sentences), '\n'
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary fees the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary consumes the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary depletes the tomato
Mary exhausts the tomato
Mary corrodes the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary rusts the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary fees the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary consumes the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary depletes the tomato
Mary exhausts the tomato
Mary corrodes the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary rusts the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the Irish_potato
Mary eats the murphy
Mary eats the spud
Mary eats the tater
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the white_potato_vine
Mary eats the Solanum_tuberosum
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the love_apple
Mary eats the tomato_plant
```

```
Mary eats the Lycopersicon_esculentum
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the tomato
Count: 43
```

Now that we have a way of filtering down our suggested generalizations, we are more free to experiment with different generalization techniques.

For example, what if we notice that the is something in common to many of the suggestions, whether they be tomato or potato? Fundamentally, "Mary eats the _" should semantically match any food item.

Following this idea, we introduce generalization by shared hypernymy.

```
In [25]: def getSharedHypernyms(filler_words): #only use on Nouns
            pairwise_synsets = []
            for i in range(len(filler_words)):
                for j in range(i+1,len(filler_words)):
                     a,b = filler_words[i], filler_words[j]
                    pairwise_synsets.append(findLowest(a, b)[0])
            return reduce(lambda x,y: x.lowest_common_hypernyms(y)[0],
         pairwise_synsets).lemma_names()
         def getEntailments(filler_words): #only use on Verbs
            synsets = flatten([wn.synsets(filler_word, wn.VERB) for filler_word in
         filler_words])
            ents = flatten(map(lambda syn: syn.entailments(), synsets))
            return flatten([x.lemma_names() for x in ents])
         def getSharedHypernymsOrEntailments(filler_words, POS):
            if POS == 'N':
                return getSharedHypernyms(filler_words)
            elif POS[0] == 'V':
                return getEntailments(filler_words)
            return getSharedHypernyms(filler_words) #future work
         def findLowest(w1, w2): #only use on Nouns
            a, b = wn.synsets(w1, wn.NOUN), wn.synsets(w2, wn.NOUN)
            low_depth, low_synset = -float('inf'), None
            curr_x, curr_y = None, None
            for x in a:
                    for y in b:
                             syns = x.lowest_common_hypernyms(y)
                             if svns:
                                 depth = syns[0].min_depth()
                                 if (depth and depth >= low_depth):
                                         low_depth = depth
                                         low_synset = syns
                                         curr_x, curr_y = x, y
            return low_synset
         for (gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses) in sents_and_guesses:
            gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses,
         getSharedHypernymsOrEntailments)
            print gens
['chews', 'masticates', 'manducates', 'jaws', 'swallows', 'chews', 'masticates',
'manducates', 'jaws', 'swallows']
[u'vascular_plant', u'tracheophyte']
```

These generalizations are good, but do not cover very much ground. We are trying to create a long list of viable alternatively for a blank spot, and this method took us from two hypotheses to two hypotheses. We can ameliorate this by taking the transitive closure of hyponymy under these objects. Such a closure would be a generalization of each of our previous generalizations in this paper.

```
In [26]: def getHyponymClosure(filler_words): #Noun
            pairwise_synsets = []
            for i in range(len(filler_words)):
                for j in range(i+1,len(filler_words)):
                    a,b = filler_words[i], filler_words[j]
                    pairwise_synsets.append(findLowest(a, b)[0])
            hypernym_synset = reduce(lambda x,y: x.lowest_common_hypernyms(y)[0],
        pairwise_synsets)
            closure = flatten(hypernym_synset.closure(lambda y: y.hyponyms()))
            return flatten(map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), closure))
        def getHyponymsClosureOrEntailments(filler_words, POS):
            if POS == 'N':
                return getHyponymClosure(filler_words)
            elif POS[0] == 'V':
                return getEntailments(filler_words)
            return getHyponymClosure(filler_words) #future work
        for (gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses) in sents_and_guesses:
            gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses,
         getHyponymsClosureOrEntailments)
            print gens[:10]
['chews', 'masticates', 'manducates', 'jaws', 'swallows', 'chews', 'masticates',
'manducates', 'jaws', 'swallows']
[u'aquatic_plant', u'water_plant', u'hydrophyte', u'hydrophytic_plant',
u'bulbous_plant', u'cormous_plant', u'creeper', u'cultivar', u'cultivated_plant',
u'deciduous_plant']
```

Hyponym closure of shared hyponyms works very well for nouns. However, when we apply the same technique on verbs, we find that many times there are no hypernyms. We may choose to be selective -- using hyponym closure for nouns and entailment for verbs.

We can fill the currently unused word_type argument of getHyponymClosure to implement differing generalization behavior based on the type of word.

```
In [27]: training_sentences_file = 'Input/training.txt'
         gap_sentences_file = 'Input/testing.txt'
         output_dir = 'Output/'
         with open(training_sentences_file, 'r') as f:
             training_sentences = [x.strip() for x in f]
         with open(gap_sentences_file, 'r') as f:
             gap_sentences = [x.strip() for x in f]
         sem = semantic_rule_set.SemanticRuleSet()
         sem = rules.addLexicon(sem)
         def fillInTheGaps(training_sentences, gap_sentences, groupingProcedure,
         generalizationProcedure):
             event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, groupingProcedure)
         open(output_dir+groupingProcedure.__name__+generalizationProcedure.__name__+".txt",
         'w+') as f:
                 for gap_sentence in gap_sentences:
                     filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence,
```

```
event_groupings)
                    gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses,
        generalizationProcedure)
                    for g in gens:
                       f.write(gap_sentence.replace('_', g)+'\n')
        fillInTheGaps(training_sentences, gap_sentences, groupIfOneDiff, getSynonyms)
        fillInTheGaps(training_sentences, gap_sentences, groupIfOneOrTwoDiffs, getSynonyms)
        fillInTheGaps(training_sentences, gap_sentences, groupIfOneDiff,
        getHyponymsClosureOrEntailments)
        fillInTheGaps(training_sentences, gap_sentences, groupIfOneOrTwoDiffs,
        getHyponymsClosureOrEntailments)
In [28]: %%bash
        head -10 ./Output/groupIfOneDiffgetSynonyms.txt
        tail -10 ./Output/groupIfOneDiffgetSynonyms.txt
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the Irish_potato
Mary eats the murphy
Mary eats the spud
Mary eats the tater
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the white_potato_vine
Mary eats the Solanum_tuberosum
Mary fees the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary consumes the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary depletes the tomato
Mary exhausts the tomato
Mary corrodes the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary rusts the tomato
In [29]: %%bash
        head -10 ./Output/groupIfOneDiffgetHyponymsClosureOrEntailments.txt
        tail -10 ./Output/groupIfOneDiffgetHyponymsClosureOrEntailments.txt
Mary eats the aquatic_plant
Mary eats the water_plant
Mary eats the hydrophyte
Mary eats the hydrophytic_plant
Mary eats the bulbous_plant
Mary eats the cormous_plant
Mary eats the creeper
Mary eats the cultivar
Mary eats the cultivated_plant
Mary eats the deciduous_plant
Mary chews the tomato
Mary masticates the tomato
Mary manducates the tomato
Mary jaws the tomato
Mary swallows the tomato
Mary chews the tomato
Mary masticates the tomato
Mary manducates the tomato
```

Mary jaws the tomato
Mary swallows the tomato

Thanks for reading! Future Work:

- 1. Grouping procedures that use synsets during the iterative grouping.
- 2. Grouping procedures that only group according to n/log(n) human learning rule
- 3. Extending supported parts of speech

Assumptions/Limitations: 1. Can currently only replace nouns or verbs