FillInTheGaps

May 17, 2018

```
In [1]: import subprocess
        import os
        import copy
        import math
        import semantic as s
        import semantic_rule_set
        import rules
        import en
In [2]: #setup
        training_sentences_file = 'Input/training.txt'
        gap_sentences_file = 'Input/testing.txt'
        with open(training_sentences_file, 'r') as f:
            training_sentences = [x.strip() for x in f]
        with open(gap_sentences_file, 'r') as f:
            gap_sentences = [x.strip() for x in f]
        sem = semantic_rule_set.SemanticRuleSet()
        sem = rules.addLexicon(sem)
```

0.0.1 Predicting the missing word

Our goal is take a sentence with a missing word – for example "Mary eats a _", and replace the blank with a 'reasonable' replacement word. This task is something that people do everyday, especially in a noisy setting or when speaking to someone with a thick accent. However, this task goes beyond just syntactic validity, since few people would guess that the sentence was 'Mary eats a laptop'. We need to inject some notion of semantics. However, we cannot just naively apply methods from WordNet, because we are missing the word that fits in the blank. Most WordNet methods are ways of mapping from one word to other words that are related in a particular way, eg hypernymy or synonymy.

So in order to move forward, we should first come up with a way of distinguishing valid sentences in a way that lets us generate a missing word. We decided to adopt a model of language learning that is very similar to the notion of near-miss learning. We take a set of training sentences, use software from lab 3 to convert them into event structures, and group event structures together

in a way that lets us generalize semantically valid sentences from our training data. This means that we are assuming that the training data is semantically valid.

Below, we will experiment with different grouping and generalization strategies in order to determine semantically valid replacements for a missing word.

0.0.2 Generate Event Structures

for g in event_groupings:

print g

First we generate event structures from sentences, which we store in a list of dictionaries for simplicity.

```
In [3]: print gap_sentences
        events = map(lambda sent: s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sent), training_sentences)
        for e in events:
            print e
['Mary _ the potato']
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
0.0.3 Simplest Strategy: No Grouping
In [4]: #Parse each sentence in training data
        def train(sem, sentences, groupingProcedure):
            event_list = []
            for sentence in sentences:
                try:
                   new_event_dict = s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence)
                   event_list = groupingProcedure(event_list, new_event_dict)
                except Exception as e:
                    # The parser did not return any parse trees.
                    raise
            return event_list
        def keepSeparate(event_list, new_event_dict):
            return event_list + [new_event_dict]
        event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, keepSeparate)
```

{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}

0.0.4 One Difference Groupings

This is a very conservative form of grouping. If two training sentences the same event structure but differ across one feature, we group together the values of that feature.

```
In [5]: def groupIfOneDiff(event_list, new_event): #if different structure, do not match
            #maybe only do after reaching a certain size
            new_event_list = copy.deepcopy(event_list)
            merged = False
            #try merging in
            for i in range(len(event_list)): #try to match with event_list[i]
                event = event_list[i]
                if set(event.keys()) == set(new_event.keys()):
                    unequal_count = 0
                    for feat in event.keys():
                        if new_event[feat] not in event[feat]:
                            unequal_feat = feat
                            unequal_count += 1
                    if unequal_count == 0: merged = True
                    elif unequal_count == 1: #merge into previous
                        new_event_list[i][unequal_feat].add(new_event[unequal_feat])
                        merged = True
            #make new spot
            if not merged:
                new_event_list.append({k:set([v]) for k,v in new_event.iteritems()})
            return new_event_list
        event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, groupIfOneDiff)
        for e in events:
            print e
        for g in event_groupings:
            print g
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato', 'potato']), 'agent
```

Note that the above only produces one output grouping (as opposed to two groupings, composed of sentences (1,2) and (2,3)). This is because we are applying the groupings iteratively. We loop through the events, and compare the current event with the groupings that we have collected up to that point. The comparison in this case is not checking for equality between values of a common feature, but rather it is checking for inclusion of the current event's feature values within groupings of that feature.

```
for feat in event.keys():
    if new_event[feat] not in event[feat]:
        unequal_feat = feat
```

This part of the previous method demonstrates this inclusion checking.

This implies that the same training sentences, in different orders, can lead to different event groupings.

This grouping pattern is related to near-miss learning:

The reason that event_groupings_2 creates 2 instead of 1 grouping is that the 1st and 2nd sentence differ from each other is 2 ways, instead of just 1.

But maybe this is a bit too conservative of an assumption. Alternately we could try grouping when seeing two differences.

```
In [7]: def groupIfOneOrTwoDiffs(event_list, new_event): #if different structure, do not match
            #maybe only do after reaching a certain size
            new_event_list = copy.deepcopy(event_list)
            merged = False
            #try merging in
            for i in range(len(event_list)): #try to match with event_list[i]
                event = event_list[i]
                if set(event.keys()) == set(new_event.keys()):
                    unequal_count = 0
                    for feat in event.keys():
                        if new_event[feat] not in event[feat]:
                            if unequal_count == 0:
                                unequal_feat_1 = feat
                            if unequal_count == 1:
                                unequal_feat_2 = feat
                            unequal\_count += 1
                    if unequal_count == 0: merged = True
                    elif unequal_count == 1: #merge into previous
                        new_event_list[i][unequal_feat_1].add(new_event[unequal_feat_1])
                        merged = True
                    elif unequal_count == 2: #merge into previous
                        new_event_list[i][unequal_feat_1].add(new_event[unequal_feat_1])
                        new_event_list[i][unequal_feat_2].add(new_event[unequal_feat_2])
```

```
merged = True
#make new spot
if not merged:
    new_event_list.append({k:set([v]) for k,v in new_event.iteritems()})
    return new_event_list

events = map(lambda sent: s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sent), rotate(training_sentences))
for e in events:
    print e
    event_groupings = train(sem, rotate(training_sentences), groupIfOneOrTwoDiffs)
    print event_groupings

{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'John'}
[{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato', 'potato']), 'agent']
```

0.0.5 Filling In the Word Blank

Now that we have come up with some event grouping strategies, we return to the original goal of our project – to fill in the missing word.

The reason for the groupings above, is that we would like to take training event structures like:

```
['John eats the potato', 'John eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato']
   And conclude that:
['Mary eats the potato']
   is a valid sentence.
In [8]: def checkGoodSentence(sem, sentence, event_groupings):
            event = s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence)
            if not event: return False
            for event_group in event_groupings:
                if set(event.keys()) == set(event_group.keys()):
                    if all([event[k] in event_group[k] for k in event.keys()]):
                        return True
            return False
        sentence = 'Mary ate the potato'
        event_groupings = train(sem, training_sentences, groupIfOneDiff)
        event = s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence)
        print event
        print event_groupings
        print checkGoodSentence(sem, sentence, event_groupings)
```

```
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'potato', 'tense': 'past', 'agent': 'Mary'}
[{'action': set(['eat']), 'tense': set(['present']), 'patient': set(['tomato', 'potato']), 'agent')
```

What the above means is that the grouping structure that we have generated 'accepts' the sentence 'Mary ate the potato' after being trained on the 3 sentences above, which is exactly what we were looking for!

However, we want to be able to hypothesize that 'potato' is a good word to fill in for 'Mary ate the _'. So instead of starting with 'Mary ate the potato', let's start with 'Mary ate the _', and check the semantic validity of every word in the lexicon.

This is doing what we want, but ideally we would like to extend our groupings to more than just the lexicon that we have written down.

This is where we can use wordnet to generalize our results.

```
In [10]: from nltk.corpus import wordnet as wn

def flatten(lst):
    out = []
    for x in lst:
        if type(x) is list:
            out.extend(flatten(x))
        else:
            out.append(x)
    return out

gap_sentence = 'Mary ate the _'
    what_mary_ate = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings)
    synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w)), what_mary_ate_markstandard important contents.
```

```
sents = ['Mary ate the '+syn for syn in synonyms]
for x in sents: print x
```

We immediately see a problem with this method. In trying to generalize our semantic results, we lose even basic syntactic correctness. If I change the training sentences to present tense, I end up with noun synonyms.

Note that here I am filtering by noun synonym class for clarity. Eg 'Mary _ the tomato' -> 'Mary chow the tomato'

```
In [11]: gap_sentence = 'Mary _ the tomato'
         what_mary_did = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings)
         synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w)), what_ma
         noun_synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w, wn.N
         for syn in synonyms:
             sentence = 'Mary '+syn+' the tomato'
             if syn in noun_synonyms:
                 print "Noun synonym: "+sentence
             else:
                 print "Verb synonym: "+sentence
Noun synonym: Mary chow the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary chuck the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary eats the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary grub the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary feed the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat_on the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary consume the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat_up the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary use_up the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary deplete the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary exhaust the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary run_through the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary wipe_out the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary corrode the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary rust the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary chow the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary chuck the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary eats the tomato
Noun synonym: Mary grub the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
```

```
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary feed the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat_on the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary consume the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat_up the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary use_up the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary deplete the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary exhaust the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary run_through the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary wipe_out the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary corrode the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary eat the tomato
Verb synonym: Mary rust the tomato
```

However, in this case, I can just as easily filter by verb, fixing the part of speech, but not the tense or the plurality.

```
In [12]: synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w, wn.VERB))
         sents = ['Mary '+syn+' the tomato' for syn in synonyms]
         for x in sents: print x
Mary eat the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary feed the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary eat_on the tomato
Mary consume the tomato
Mary eat_up the tomato
Mary use_up the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary deplete the tomato
Mary exhaust the tomato
Mary run_through the tomato
Mary wipe_out the tomato
Mary corrode the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary rust the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary feed the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
```

```
Mary eat_on the tomato
Mary consume the tomato
Mary eat_up the tomato
Mary use_up the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary deplete the tomato
Mary exhaust the tomato
Mary run_through the tomato
Mary wipe_out the tomato
Mary corrode the tomato
Mary eat the tomato
Mary rust the tomato
```

In [13]: import nltk

One potential attempt at a fix would be to use an off-the-shelf parser to check the syntactic validity of each proposed sentence.

Below, we try this with the Penn Tree Bank grammar.

Well, it looks like the above is effectively useless for our purposes. It will only have the words in the small subset of PTB that we can download, and takes very long to parse even 'Mary has food'.

Let's try CMU's link grammar.

```
In [14]: %%bash
cd ./link-4.1b-mod/ && make -B

gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/parse.c -o obj/parse.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/prune.c -o obj/prune.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/and.c -o obj/and.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/post-process.c -o obj/post-process.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/pp_lexer.c -o obj/pp_lexer.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/resources.c -o obj/resources.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/resources.c -o obj/analyze-linkage.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/string-set.c -o obj/string-set.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/string-set.c -o obj/pp_linkset.o
```

```
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/pp_knowledge.c -o obj/pp_knowledge.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/error.c -o obj/error.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/word-file.c -o obj/word-file.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/utilities.c -o obj/utilities.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/tokenize.c -o obj/tokenize.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/command-line.c -o obj/command-line.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/read-dict.c -o obj/read-dict.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/print.c -o obj/print.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/preparation.c -o obj/preparation.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/api.c -o obj/api.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/massage.c -o obj/massage.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/linkset.c -o obj/linkset.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/idiom.c -o obj/idiom.o
gcc -c -g -O -w - Iinclude src/fast-match.c -o obj/fast-match.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/extract-links.c -o obj/extract-links.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/count.c -o obj/count.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/build-disjuncts.c -o obj/build-disjuncts.o
gcc -c -g -O -w -Iinclude src/constituents.c -o obj/constituents.o
gcc -c -g -0 -w -Iinclude src/print-util.c -o obj/print-util.o
gcc -O -g obj/parse.o obj/prune.o obj/and.o obj/post-process.o obj/pp_lexer.o obj/resources.o o
In [15]: def filterBySyntax(sentences):
             with open("./link-4.1b-mod/input.txt", "w+") as f:
                 for sentence in sentences:
                     f.write(sentence+'\n')
             wd = os.getcwd()
             os.chdir(wd+"/link-4.1b-mod")
             subprocess.call(['./parse'])
             os.chdir(wd)
             with open("./link-4.1b-mod/output.txt", "r") as f:
                 syntactical_sentences = [x for x in f.read().split('\n') if x != '']
             return syntactical_sentences
         synonyms = flatten(map(lambda w: map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), wn.synsets(w)), what_ma
         sentences = ['Mary '+syn+' the tomato' for syn in synonyms]
         syntactical_sentences = filterBySyntax(sentences)
         print syntactical_sentences
['Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato']
```

This seems to be working better as a syntax filter, but we are again at a cross-roads. No sentence from our generalizations was grammatical!

So we will have to be a bit more careful in how we handle this.

For this particular case, we can use the NodeBox English Linguistics library to force conjugation of the proposed verbs.

```
try:
                 conj = en.verb.present(syn, person=3, negate=False)
                 conjs.append(conj)
             except Exception as e:
                 conjs.append('')
         print synonyms[0:5]
         print conjs[0:5]
[u'chow', u'chuck', u'eats', u'grub', u'eat']
['', 'chucks', 'eats', 'grubs', 'eats']
In [17]: conj_sents = ['Mary '+conj+' the tomato' for conj in conjs if conj]
         print "sentences with conjugated verb: "
         print conj_sents
         print ""
         filtered = filterBySyntax(conj_sents)
         print "after filter: "
         print filtered
        print ""
         print "removed: "
         print [x for x in conj_sents if x not in filtered]
sentences with conjugated verb:
['Mary chucks the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary grubs the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomat
after filter:
['Mary chucks the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato', 'Mary eats the tomato'
['Mary grubs the tomato', 'Mary fees the tomato', 'Mary rusts the tomato', 'Mary grubs the tomat
```

In [16]: conjs = []

for syn in synonyms:

This finally allows us to come up with generalized alternatives for 'Mary _ the tomato'! The problem with the above method; however, is that we had to manually specify that the blank was supposed to be 3rd-person verb.

We need to get away from this if we would like to generalize to English in general. Ideally, we could look at the event structures that we generate from our original grouping procedure, and use that information to automate the conjugation/modification of our generated sentences.

```
#what_mary_did = filler_word_guesses['Mary _ the tomato']
          what_mary_did_sentences = ['Mary '+verb+" the tomato" for verb in what_mary_did]
          generated_event_structures = [s.sentenceToEventDict(sem, sentence) for sentence in what
         print generated_event_structures[0]
{'action': 'eat', 'patient': 'tomato', 'tense': 'present', 'agent': 'Mary'}
In [19]: s.parse_input_str(sem, what_mary_did_sentences[0])
   Out[19]:
                     [ *type* = 'Start' ]
                      [ *type* - 's' ]
     [ *type* - 'NP' ]
                                     [ *type* - 'VP' ]
     [ pro - False ]
     [ wh = False ]
                                    [ *type* - 'V_args' ]
     [ *type* - 'Name' ] [ *type* - 'V2' ]
                                                     [ *type* - 'NP' ]
           [ tense - True ]
                                                     [ pro = False ]
                          [ wh - False ]
          Mary
                           eats
                                     [ *type* - 'Det' ] [ *type* - 'APX' ]
                                                                    [ *type* - 'N'
                                                                     [ mass - False
                                                                     [ number - 'singular' ]
                                          the
                                                                           tomato
In [20]: tree = s.parse_input_str(sem, what_mary_did_sentences[0])
         print tree
(Start[]
  (S[]
    (NP[-pro, -wh] (Name[] Mary))
    (VP[]
      (V_args[]
        (V2[+tense] eats)
        (NP[-pro, -wh]
           (Det[] the)
           (APX[] )
           (N[-mass, number='singular'] tomato))))))
In [21]: subtree = tree.pos()[1] # 2nd element of sentence was blank
         print subtree
         print ""
```

features = subtree[1]

```
print features
         #print tree.leaf_treeposition(1)
         #print tree[0][1][0][0]
('eats', V2[+tense])
[ *type* = 'V2' ]
[ tense = True ]
In [22]: test_sentence = 'Mary _ the tomato'
         filler_index = test_sentence.split().index('_')
         print synonyms, '\n'
         for sentence in what_mary_did_sentences:
             tree = s.parse_input_str(sem, sentence)
             features = tree.pos()[filler_index][1]
             print features, '\n'
[u'chow', u'chuck', u'eats', u'grub', u'eat', u'eat', u'feed', u'eat', u'eat', u'eat_on', u'cons
[ *type* = 'V2' ]
[ tense = True ]
[ *type* = 'V2' ]
[ tense = True ]
   Now that we have the features, we can use these to inform the filtering of our synonyms!
In [23]: def findPOS(features):
             feat_keys = features.keys()
             feat_type_index = filter(lambda (i,x): type(x) is nltk.featstruct.Feature, enumerat
             feat_type = feat_keys[feat_type_index]
             POS = features[feat_type]
             return POS
         def getSynonymsSingleWord(word, POS):
             if POS == 'N':
                 return flatten([synset.lemma_names() for synset in wn.synsets(word, wn.NOUN)])
             elif POS[0] == 'V':
                 return flatten([synset.lemma_names() for synset in wn.synsets(word, wn.VERB)])
             return flatten([synset.lemma_names() for synset in wn.synsets(word, wn.NOUN)]) #fut
         def getSynonyms(filler_words, POS):
```

return flatten(map(lambda word: getSynonymsSingleWord(word, POS), filler_words))

```
def conjugate(word, POS_info):
    features, subj_features = POS_info['features'], POS_info['subj_features']
    POS, subj_POS = POS_info['POS'], POS_info['subj_POS']
    conj = None
    if POS[0] == 'V': #Verb
        if features['tense']:
            if subj_POS == 'N' and subj_features['number'] == 'plural':
                conj = en.verb.conjugate(word, tense="present plural")
            elif subj_POS == 'N' or subj_POS == 'Name':
                conj = en.verb.conjugate(word, tense="3rd singular present")
        else:
            conj = en.verb.infinitive(word)
    elif POS == 'N':
        if features['number'] == 'singular':
            conj = en.noun.singular(word)
        elif features['number'] == 'plural':
            conj = en.noun.plural(word)
    elif POS == 'Name':
        conj = en.noun.singular(word)
    if not conj:
        conj = word
    return conj
def fillerWordToPOSInfo(filler_word, gap_sentence):
    filler_index = gap_sentence.split().index('_')
    filled_sentence = gap_sentence.replace('_',filler_word)
    tree = s.parse_input_str(sem, filled_sentence)
    features, subj_features = tree.pos()[filler_index][1], tree.pos()[0][1]
    POS, subj_POS = findPOS(features), findPOS(subj_features)
    POS_info = {'features':features, 'subj_features':subj_features,
                'POS': POS, 'subj_POS':subj_POS}
    return POS_info
#conjugate needs all info, but generalize should only need one POS
def generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_words, generalizationProcedure):
    POS_info = fillerWordToPOSInfo(filler_words[0], gap_sentence)
    generalizations = generalizationProcedure(filler_words, POS_info['POS'])
    conjugated_gens = []
    for g in generalizations:
        try:
            conjugated_gens.append(conjugate(g, POS_info))
        except Exception as e: pass
    return filterBySyntax(conjugated_gens)
gap_sentences = ['Mary _ the tomato', 'Mary eats the _']#, '_ eats the tomato']
for gap_sentence in gap_sentences:
    filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_group
```

```
gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getSynonyms)
             print gens
['white_potato', 'murphy', 'tater', 'white_potato', 'white_potato_vine', 'love_apple', 'tomato_p
In [24]: filled_sentences = []
         for gap_sentence in gap_sentences:
             filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_group
             gens = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getSynonyms)
             for g in gens:
                 filled_sentences.append(gap_sentence.replace('_',g))
             for fill in filler_word_guesses:
                 filled_sentences.append(gap_sentence.replace('_',fill))
         for x in filled_sentences:
             print x
         print "Count: ", len(filled_sentences), '\n'
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the tomato
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the murphy
Mary eats the tater
Mary eats the white_potato
Mary eats the white_potato_vine
Mary eats the love_apple
Mary eats the tomato_plant
Mary eats the potato
Mary eats the tomato
Count: 11
```

Now that we have a way of filtering down our suggested generalizations, we are more free to experiment with different generalization techniques.

For example, what if we notice that the is something in common to many of the suggestions, whether they be tomato or potato? Fundamentally, "Mary ate the _" should semantically match any food item.

Following this idea, we introduce generalization by shared hypernymy.

```
synsets = flatten([wn.synsets(filler_word, wn.VERB) for filler_word in filler_words
             ents = flatten(map(lambda syn: syn.entailments(), synsets))
             return flatten([ent.lemma_names() for ent in ents])
         def getSharedHypernymsOrEntailments(filler_words, POS):
             if POS == 'N':
                 return getSharedHypernyms(filler_words)
             elif POS[0] == 'V':
                 return getEntailments(filler_words)
             return getSharedHypernyms(filler_words) #future work
         def findLowest(w1, w2): #only use on Nouns
             a, b = wn.synsets(w1, wn.NOUN), wn.synsets(w2, wn.NOUN)
             low_depth, low_synset = -float('inf'), None
             curr_x, curr_y = None, None
             for x in a:
                     for y in b:
                             syns = x.lowest_common_hypernyms(y)
                             if syns:
                                 depth = syns[0].min_depth()
                                 if (depth and depth >= low_depth):
                                         low_depth = depth
                                         low_synset = syns
                                         curr_x, curr_y = x, y
             return low_synset
         gap_sentence = 'Mary eats the _'
         filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings
         generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getSharedHypernymsOrEnta
         gap_sentence = 'Mary _ the tomato'
         filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings
         generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getSharedHypernymsOrEnta
Out[25]: []
```

def getEntailments(filler_words): #only use on Verbs

These generalizations are good, but do not cover very much ground. We are trying to create a long list of viable alternatively for a blank spot, and this method took us from two hypotheses to two hypotheses. We can ameliorate this by taking the transitive closure of hyponymy under these objects. Such a closure would be a generalization of each of our previous generalizations in this paper.

```
a,b = filler_words[i], filler_words[j]
                     pairwise_synsets.append(findLowest(a, b)[0])
            hypernym_synset = reduce(lambda x,y: x.lowest_common_hypernyms(y)[0], pairwise_syns
            closure = flatten(hypernym_synset.closure(lambda y: y.hyponyms()))
            return flatten(map(lambda x: x.lemma_names(), closure))
        def getHyponymsClosureOrEntailments(filler_words, POS):
             if POS == 'N':
                return getHyponymClosure(filler_words)
            elif POS[0] == 'V':
                return getEntailments(filler_words)
            return getHyponymClosure(filler_words) #future work
        gap_sentence = 'Mary eats the _'
        filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings
        hyponym_closure = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getHyp
        print hyponym_closure[:10]
        gap_sentence = 'Mary _ the tomato'
        filler_word_guesses = gapSentenceToFillerWordGuesses(sem, gap_sentence, event_groupings
        hyponym_closure = generalizeAndConjugate(sem, gap_sentence, filler_word_guesses, getHyp
        print hyponym_closure[:10]
['aquatic_plant', 'water_plant', 'hydrophyte', 'hydrophytic_plant', 'bulbous_plant', 'cormous_pl
Π
```

for j in range(i+1,len(filler_words)):

Hyponym closure of shared hyponyms works very well for nouns. However, when we apply the same technique on verbs, we find that many times there are no hypernyms. We may choose to be selective – using hyponym closure for nouns and entailment for verbs.

We can fill the currently unused word_type argument of getHyponymClosure to implement differening generalization behavior based on the type of word.

Looking forward: 1. Grouping procedures that use synsets during the iterative grouping. 2. Grouping procedures that only group according to n/log(n) rule 3. Extending supported parts of speech 4. Make faster – currently very inefficient

Assumptions/Limitations: 1. Can currently only replace nouns or verbs