

# Supreme Court of the United States

No. 09-13

RESET4K,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES, ET AL.

---

## ORDER

---

IT IS ORDERED that the *amicus curiae* representing current and former Members of Congress, shall have until this Saturday, April 18, 2020, by 8 p.m. ET, to, if they wish, respond to the following questions submitted by Justices:

- “Were *Ichigo* and *Procursive* wrongly decided, and should they be overturned?”— Justice Stewart
- “The Constitution, through the Anytime Review Clause, empowers the Court to impose its understandings of natural justice and, as a result of that, displace the contrary acts and understandings of the people’s elected Executive and Legislative representatives—when does this exercise of power constitute

usurpation under the Constitution's positive law?"— Justice Chase

- "Do you recognize that the people, at the time of the Constitution's ratification, have incorporated the Anytime Review Clause, a principle which transfers authority to the judiciary over all federal subject matter, when the judiciary 'deems it necessary'?"— Justice Chase
- "In what circumstances would you consider the Anytime Review Clause as a warrant for the Court to produce right policy in the face of elected branches that are dogmatic, misinformed, immoral and prejudiced?"— Justice Chase
- "At what point, if any, do you believe review by this Court would violate the Constitution's provisions giving the House and Senate the sole power to impeach and try impeachments respectively?"— Justice Pitney
- "Under your view, is there any room for review of a process labeled impeachment? For example, if the Speaker of the House and President pro tempore were to simply announce that a Vice President had been impeached and convicted, disregarding the requirements related to charges and votes, would review be

available or would the label of ‘impeachment’ foreclose  
review?”— Chief Justice Holmes

BY THE COURT.

Dated this 16th  
day of April, 2020.