

EDS241: Take Home Final

Scout Leonard

03/15/2022

Read in and clean data

```
#read in data  
housing <- read.csv(here("data", "KM_EDS241.csv"))
```

Question A

Using the data for 1981, estimate a simple OLS regression of real house values on the indicator for being located near the incinerator in 1981. What is the house value “penalty” for houses located near the incinerator? Does this estimated coefficient correspond to the ‘causal’ effect of the incinerator (and the negative amenities that come with it) on housing values? Explain why or why not.

The code chunk below estimates a simple OLS regression of real house values on the indicator for being located near the incinerator in 1981.

```
#filter housing for only observations from 1981
housing_1981 <- housing %>%
  filter(year == 1981)

#model simple ols regression
ols_mod_1981 <- lm_robust(nearinc ~ rprice, data = housing_1981)
```

The code chunk below inputs the outputs of the simple OLS regression `ols_mod_1981` into a table using the function `kable()`.

```
#create table with regression results
ols_mod_1981_table <- tidy(ols_mod_1981)

#print table
ols_mod_1981_table %>%
  select(term, estimate, std.error, p.value, conf.low, conf.high) %>%
  kable()
```

term	estimate	std.error	p.value	conf.low	conf.high
(Intercept)	0.7808873	0.1358348	0.0000001	0.5123346	1.0494401
rprice	-0.0000054	0.0000014	0.0001646	-0.0000081	-0.0000026

The house value “penalty” for houses located near the incinerator is 0.0000054

This estimated coefficient does not correspond to the ‘causal’ effect of the incinerator. It is likely that there are other variables which effect the proximity of houses to the incinerator, causing omitted variables bias in this model.

Question B

Using the data for 1978, provide some evidence the location choice of the incinerator was not “random”, but rather selected on the basis of house values and characteristics. [Hint: in the 1978 sample, are house values and characteristics balanced by nearinc status?]

```
#filter housing for only observations from 1981
```

```
housing_1978 <- housing %>%  
  filter(year == 1978)
```

Houses Near the Incinerator: 1978 Summary

```
housing_1978_nearinc <- housing_1978 %>%  
  filter(nearinc == 1)
```

```
summary(housing_1978_nearinc) %>%  
  kable()
```

year	age	rooms	area	land	nearinc	rprice
Min. :1978	Min. : 0.00	Min. :4.000	Min. : 750	Min. : 1710	Min. :1	Min. : 31000
1st	1st Qu.:	1st	1st	1st Qu.:	1st Qu.:1	1st Qu.:
Qu.:1978	17.00	Qu.:5.000	Qu.:1336	8143		44000
Median	Median :	Median	Median	Median :	Median :1	Median :
:1978	28.00	:6.000	:1581	10684		50950
Mean :1978	Mean : 39.79	Mean :6.036	Mean :1835	Mean :	Mean :1	Mean :
				21840		63693
3rd	3rd Qu.:	3rd	3rd	3rd Qu.:	3rd Qu.:1	3rd Qu.:
Qu.:1978	56.00	Qu.:6.250	Qu.:2093	17724		62250
Max. :1978	Max. :189.00	Max. :9.000	Max. :5078	Max.	Max. :1	Max.
				:282704		:300000

Houses Far from the Incinerator: 1978 Summary

```
housing_1978_farinc <- housing_1978 %>%  
  filter(nearinc == 0)
```

```
summary(housing_1978_farinc) %>%  
  kable()
```

year	age	rooms	area	land	nearinc	rprice
Min. :1978	Min. : 0.00	Min. : 4.000	Min. : 960	Min. : 7858	Min. :0	Min. : 26000
1st	1st Qu.: 0.00	1st Qu.:	1st	1st Qu.:	1st Qu.:0	1st Qu.:
Qu.:1978		6.000	Qu.:1819	43560		69000
Median	Median :	Median :	Median	Median :	Median :0	Median :
:1978	2.00	7.000	:2071	44431		84300
Mean :1978	Mean : 12.75	Mean : 6.829	Mean :2075	Mean :	Mean :0	Mean :
				52569		82517
3rd	3rd Qu.: 9.00	3rd Qu.:	3rd	3rd Qu.:	3rd Qu.:0	3rd Qu.:
Qu.:1978		7.000	Qu.:2443	48593		94000
Max. :1978	Max. :188.00	Max. :10.000	Max. :3792	Max.	Max. :0	Max.
				:544500		:142500

In comparing summary statistics for variables from 1978 Andover houses from near and far from the incinerator, we can see that houses far from the incinerator have higher mean and median area, land, and price, and lower mean and median age. This suggests location choice of the incinerator was *not* random.

NOTE: maybe do hypothesis testing???

Question C

Based on the observed differences in (b), explain why the estimate in (a) is likely to be biased downward (i.e., overstate the negative effect of the incinerator on housing values).

Based on the observed differences in (b), the estimate in (a) is likely to be biased downward (overstate the negative effect of the incinerator on housing values), because there are variables that interact with

Question D

Use a difference-in-differences (DD) estimator to estimate the causal effect of the incinerator on housing values without controlling for house and lot characteristics. Interpret the magnitude and sign of the estimated DD coefficient.

Question E

Report the 95% confidence interval for the estimate of the causal effect on the incinerator in (d).

Question F

How does your answer in (d) changes when you control for house and lot characteristics? Test the hypothesis that the coefficients on the house and lot characteristics are all jointly equal to 0.

Question G

Using the results from the DD regression in (f), calculate by how much did real housing values change on average between 1978 and 1981.

Question H

Explain (in words) what is the key assumption underlying the causal interpretation of the DD estimator in the context of the incinerator construction in North Andover.