Autonomous Vehicle Driving: Group 8

Faiella Ciro, Giannino Pio Roberto, Scovotto Luigi and Tortora Francesco

 $\{c.faiella8, p.giannino, l.scovotto1, f.tortora21\}$ @studenti.unisa.it

Jun, 2023

Department of Computer Engineering, Electrical Engineering and Applied Mathematics (DIEM), University of Salerno, Fisciano, Italy

Contents

1	Inti	roduction 4
	1.1	Development environment
		1.1.1 Hardware
		1.1.2 How we optimized the performance?
		1.1.3 CARLA Simulator
	1.2	Task
2	Ope	erational Design Domain 5
	2.1	Traffic Scenario
		2.1.1 Control loss
		2.1.2 Traffic negotiation 6
		2.1.3 Obstacle avoidance 6
		2.1.4 Braking and lane changing
3	Veh	nicle configuration 9
	3.1	Control system
4	Eva	duation and metrics 9
	4.1	Infractions and shutdown events
5	Pro	ject development and phases 11
	5.1	Controllers
		5.1.1 PID Controller
		5.1.2 Stanley Controller
	5.2	Obstacle avoidance and overtake
	5.3	Stop sign and Traffic negotiation
	5.4	Other vehicles invading the ego lane
	5.5	Pedestrian avoidance behaviors
6	Qua	alitative analysis of results 14
	6.1	Control loss
	6.2	Traffic negotiation
		6.2.1 Right turn at an intersection with crossing traffic 14
		6.2.2 Unprotected left turn at intersection with oncoming traffic 15
	6.3	Obstacle avoidance
		6.3.1 Obstacle in lane
		6.3.2 Slow moving hazard at lane edge
		6.3.3 Vehicle invading lane on bend
	6.4	Braking and lane changing
		6.4.1 Longitudinal control after leading vehicle's brake 15
		6.4.2 Obstacle avoidance without prior action 16
		6.4.3 Pedestrian emerging from behind parked vehicle 16
		6.4.4 Obstacle avoidance with prior action - pedestrian or bicycle 16
		6.4.5 Obstacle avoidance with prior action - vehicle 16

Faiella	Ciro,	Giannino	Pio	Roberto,	Scovotto	Luigi	and	Tortora Francesco	3

7	Fut	ture Improvements and Errors								
	7.1	Errors or missing feature	16							
	7.2	Errors caused by the simulator	17							
	7.3	Possible improvements and advantages that could they bring	17							

1 Introduction

The project focuses on the creation of an autonomous driving system to be included in the CARLA simulator environment. Unlike the task performed in the real world, in this case there is full knowledge of the world in which the vehicle is located, nevertheless the system has to face several challenges in order to avoid errors.

1.1 Development environment

1.1.1 Hardware

We conducted the software testing on a Dell machine equipped with an NVIDIA Quadro P2000 graphics card, boasting 4GB of dedicated memory. The system was powered by an Intel 8th generation i9 processor, delivering exceptional processing power. Furthermore, it was equipped with a substantial 16GB of RAM, ensuring smooth and efficient operation throughout the testing phase.

1.1.2 How we optimized the performance?

In order to optimize performance and enhance the execution speed, we opted to remove all unnecessary sensors from the machine, as they were not utilized during the testing process. Leveraging our comprehensive global knowledge of the surrounding environment, we were able to confidently eliminate these redundant components. As a result, the execution speed experienced a notable improvement, exhibiting an impressive 30% increase compared to the performance of the machine with all the sensors on.

1.1.3 CARLA Simulator

The version of Carla used for this project is 0.9.13, which is launched via the carla simulator leaderboard 2.0. The simulator is launched with the following command:

```
./CarlaUE4.sh -quality-level=Low -prefernvidia
```

The parameter -quality-level=Low is used to reduce the quality of the graphics, in order to increase the performance of the simulator. -preferrividia is used to force the use of the NVIDIA graphics card, if present, so we ensure the usage of our NVIDIA Quadro P2000.

1.2 Task

The CARLA AD Leaderboard challenges AD agents to drive through a series of predefined routes. For each route, agents are initialized at a starting point and directed to a destination point with a route description. Routes are defined in a variety of situations, including urban areas, residential neighborhoods and rural environments. The classification evaluates AD agents in different weather conditions, including daytime scenes, sunset, rain, fog and night.

2 Operational Design Domain

First of all, considering the fact that the data used by the ego-vehicle are directly provided by CARLA simulator and they are not took by any kind of sensor, we have that the our ODD has reached the following operational domains:

- the vehicle is able to maintain the selected speed, thanks the longitudinal control:
- the vehicle is able to maintain the correct position between the lanes of a road thanks to the lateral control;
- the vehicle is able to manage its speed in according to the variable traffic conditions;
- the vehicle is able to avoid collisions with the car ahead if asphalt conditions are optimal and adapts its speed in order to follow that one;
- the vehicle is capable of overtaking when possible and when necessary;
- the vehicle is capable to makes an emergency stop when a pedestrian or a cyclist is detected in front of it in time;
- the vehicle is able to operate in sunny, foggy and poor lighting environments, but in presence of rain it is not always able to operate correctly;
- the vehicle can operate in urban and non-urban contexts;
- the vehicle is capable of handling junctions;
- the vehicle is able to avoid collisions with obstacles that can be find through the path (e.g. road cones, traffic sign, construction obstacle, etc.);
- the vehicle is able to correctly pass the traffic light;
- the vehicle is able to elude vehicles that invade its lane;

2.1 Traffic Scenario

Agents will experience multiple instances of traffic scenarios selected from the NHTSA pre-crash typology [NHTSA].

2.1.1 Control loss

The ego-vehicle loses control due to bad conditions on the road and it must recover, coming back to its original lane.



Figure 1: Control loss without previous action

2.1.2 Traffic negotiation

Unprotected left turn at intersection with oncoming traffic The egovehicle is performing an unprotected left turn at an intersection, yielding to oncoming traffic. This scenario occurs at both signalized and non-signalized junctions.



Figure 2: Right turn at an intersection with crossing traffic

Right turn at an intersection with crossing traffic The ego-vehicle is performing a right or left turn at an intersection, yielding to crossing traffic. This scenario occurs at both signalized and non-signalized junctions.



Figure 3: Right turn at an intersection with crossing traffic

2.1.3 Obstacle avoidance

Obstacle in lane The ego-vehicle encounters an obstacle blocking the lane and must perform a lane change into traffic moving in the opposite direction to avoid

it. The obstacle may be a construction site, an accident or a parked vehicle.



Figure 4: Obstacle in lane

Slow moving hazard at lane edge The ego-vehicle encounters a slow moving hazard blocking part of the lane. The ego-vehicle must brake or maneuver to avoid it next to a lane of traffic moving in the opposite direction.



Figure 5: Slow moving hazard at lane edge

Vehicle invading lane on bend The ego-vehicle encounters an oncoming vehicles invading its lane on a bend due to an obstacle. It must brake or maneuver to the side of the road to navigate past the oncoming traffic.



Figure 6: Vehicle invading lane on bend

2.1.4 Braking and lane changing

Longitudinal control after leading vehicle's brake The leading vehicle decelerates suddenly due to an obstacle and the ego-vehicle must perform an

emergency brake or an avoidance maneuver.



Figure 7: Longitudinal control after leading vehicle's brake

Obstacle avoidance without prior action The ego-vehicle encounters an obstacle / unexpected entity on the road and must perform an emergency brake or an avoidance maneuver.



Figure 8: Obstacle avoidance without prior action

Pedestrian emerging from behind parked vehicle The ego-vehicle encounters an pedestrian emerging from behind a parked vehicle and advancing into the lane. The ego-vehicle must brake or maneuver to avoid it.



Figure 9: Pedestrian emerging from behind parked vehicle

Obstacle avoidance with prior action - pedestrian or bicycle While performing a maneuver, the ego-vehicle encounters an obstacle in the road, either a pedestrian or a bicycle, and must perform an emergency brake or an avoidance maneuver.

Obstacle avoidance with prior action - vehicle While performing a



Figure 10: Obstacle avoidance with prior action - pedestrian or bicycle

maneuver, the ego-vehicle encounters a stopped vehicle in the road and must perform an emergency brake or an avoidance maneuver.



Figure 11: Obstacle avoidance with prior action - vehicle

3 Vehicle configuration

3.1 Control system

4 Evaluation and metrics

The driving proficiency of an agent can be characterized by multiple metrics. For the assigned leaderboard, we have a number of metrics that help to understand different aspects of driving. Although all routes have the same type of metrics, the respective values are calculated separately. The specific metrics are as follows:

- **Driving score**: Product between the route completion and the infraction penalty, R_iP_i . Main metric of the leaderboard, serving as the product between the route completion and the infractions penalty. Here Ri is the percentage of completion of the *i-th* route, and Pi, the infraction penalty of the *i-th* route.
- Route completion: Percentage of the route completed by the agent. The route completion is calculated as the percentage of the route

Infraction penalty: Productory of the infractions committed,
 \(\Pi_j^{ped.,...,stop}(a_i^j)^{\#infractions_j}\). — The leaderboard tracks several types of infractions and this metric aggregates all of these infractions triggered by an agent as a geometric series. Agents start with an ideal 1.0 base score, which is reduced each type an infraction is committed.

When all routes have been completed, a global metric for each of the previous three types is also generated, being the arithmetic mean of all the individual routes combined. The global driving score is the main metric on which you will be classified with respect to other participants.

4.1 Infractions and shutdown events

The CARLA leaderboard offers individual metrics for a series of infractions. Each of these has a penalty coefficient that will be applied everytime it happens. Ordered by severity, the infractions are the following:

- Collisions with pedestrians $a_i^{ped.} = 0.60$
- Collisions with vehicles $a_i^{veh.} = 0.50$
- Collisions with static elements $a_i^{statEl.} = 0.65$
- Running a red light $a_i^{light.} = 0.70$
- Stop sign $a_i^{stop.} = 0.80$
- Scenario timeout $a_i^{time.} = 0.70$. Some scenarios feature behaviors that can block the ego-vehicle indefinitely. These scenarios will have a timeout of 4 minutes after which the ego-vehicle will be released to continue the route. However, a penalty is applied when the time limit is breached.
- Failure to maintain minimum speed $a_i^{speed.} = 0.70$. The agent is expected to maintain a minimum speed in keeping with nearby traffic. The agent's speed will be compared with the speed of nearby vehicles. Failure to maintain a suitable speed will result in a penalty.
- Failure to yield to emergency vehicle a_i^{yield} = 0.70. The agent should yield to emergency vehicles coming from behind. Failure to allow the emergency vehicle to pass will incur a penalty.
- Off-road driving If an agent drives off-road, that percentage of the route will not be considered towards the computation of the route completion score.

Additionally, some events will interrupt the simulation, preventing the agent to continue. In these cases, the route which is being simulated will be shut down, and the leaderboard will move onto the next one, triggering it normally.

- Route deviation If an agent deviates more than 30 meters from the assigned route.
- Agent blocked If an agent doesn't take any actions for 180 simulation seconds.
- Simulation timeout If no client-server communication can be established in 60 seconds.
- Route timeout If the simulation of a route takes too long to finish.

5 Project development and phases

In this section we will explain how we handled certain situations that occurred in the routes, in order to show the level of attention achieved by our system.

The main problems were determined in order to define the problem domain. From this, we moved on to the development of solutions, with the highest priority problems being developed first.

5.1 Controllers

One of the first tasks was the configuration of the controller, in order to determine a driving behavior that would seem as natural as possible.

5.1.1 PID Controller

The PID controller was configured on the basis of several initial attempts. They led to the following values:

"longitudinal_control_dict": " K_P ": 0.888, " K_I ": 0.0768, " K_D ": 0.05, "dt": 0.05

5.1.2 Stanley Controller

The Stanley controller was configured on the basis of several initial attempts. They led to the following values:

```
"lateral_control_dict": "K_V": 4, "K_S": 1, "dt": 0.05
```

5.2 Obstacle avoidance and overtake

The development of the overtaking manoeuver was one of the most challenging tasks due to its importance within such a system; its difficulty was such that it required constant development of this feature during the course of the entire project. The solution found is optimal, with no errors in the management of the various events.

Previous checks

We do different checks for the overtake of a vehicle and of an obstacle.

If we have to overtake a vehicle, we check whether it is possible to overtake it in the following way:

- check if the lane is broken or solid-broken;
- check if we are not already overtaking;
- check if we are near the following vehicle;
- check if the direction is "LANEFOLLOW";
- check if the vehicle in front of us is slow;
- check if in the other lane there is a vehicle;
- check if we are in proximity of a junction.

If we have to overtake an obstacle, we check whether it is possible to overtake it in the following way:

- check if our speed is near to zero;
- check if the lane is broken or solid-broken;
- check if in the other lane there is a vehicle;

Calculate the total distance of the overtake

We developed a function that calculates the total distance of the overtake. It consists in counting the number of vehicles in front which have as their distance from each other max 15 meters. So we know the total distance of the overtake, the number of vehicles in front of, the distance between each vehicle. This method is really important for the previous checks and for the lane change.

Lane change

In order to create a path that allow the ego-vehicle to change lane, here's the logic we used: we generates a path to perform a lane change in a controlled manner. It uses the given parameters to calculate the distance to the same lane, to the target lane and to the lane change. It then creates the path that crosses these distances, taking into account the required directions and checking the availability of lanes.

Finally, it returns the complete route. In the event of errors or inability to perform the lane change, we returns an empty route. In short, this function facilitates safe and controlled lane changes.

A clarification

We save the path before overtaking and, once we have finished, we take the saved path, delete the points that are not needed (so the points preceding the return of the overtaking) and use it to follow the initial path.

Overtaking manoeuver

The logic of the overtake is the following: first, if the agent is terminating an overtaking manoeuver, actions are performed to complete the overtaking and re-enter the original lane. If the agent is in the process of overtaking, actions are performed to continue overtaking and to check the possibility of re-entering the original lane. In both cases, the target speed is set and the local planner is executed to obtain driving control.

5.3 Stop sign and Traffic negotiation

Another phase concerned the management of stops; after trying countless solutions, we arrived at a solution that uses the static *stop*. What we do, is to take all the stops in the scenario and evaluate whether they affect the ego-vehicle. Management begins by taking all stop signals and putting them into a listings, then the system follows these steps:

- 1. for each stop sign, evaluate the distance between the stop sign and the ego-vehicle and discarding all distant stops;
- 2. sort the remaining stops by the minimum distance and not consider those we have already passed;
- 3. check all vehicles coming from the right and left.

Now we have all the information that we needed, so the ego-vehicle follows this behavior:

- if there is no vehicle in the left and right lane, the stop sign is not affecting the vehicle;
- if there is a vehicle in the left lane, check if it is still affecting the vehicle;
- if there is a vehicle in the right lane, check if it is still affecting the vehicle;
- otherwise, the ego-vehicle can go.

5.4 Other vehicles invading the ego lane

There was also a phase where we handled lane invasion, since there are lane restrictions within the routes. The solution to this problem is the following:

1. Check if an invasion is detected and calculate the distance between the center of the vehicle that invaded our lane and his waypoint;

- 2. The vehicle performs a deceleration and moves sideways, moving at the distance calculated before, to avoid the invasion;
- 3. The target speed is reduced to ensure safe driving during this manoeuver;
- 4. Once the expansion disappears, the vehicle returns to its normal driving position by restoring the lateral offset and resuming the target speed.

These measures allow the vehicle to react appropriately and safely to lanechange situations.

5.5 Pedestrian avoidance behaviors

The management of vehicle behavior in the presence of pedestrians was partly carried out, although there was not enough time for full development of the solution. We check if a pedestrian is on our lane and, if so, appropriate actions are taken to avoid a collision. The distance between the vehicle and the pedestrian is calculated taking into account the size of the respective bounding boxes.

- If the distance is less than the vehicle's braking distance, an emergency brake is executed to avoid an imminent collision;
- If the distance is less than 15 metres, an emergency brake is also executed.

These measures allow the vehicle to react quickly and effectively to the presence of pedestrians on the road, ensuring safety for both vehicle driver and pedestrians.

6 Qualitative analysis of results

scrivere dove siamo arrivati mostrando i video (minuti) e fare il confronto tra prima e dopo l'ottimizzazione

6.1 Control loss

Since neither the traction control system nor ABS has been implemented, impacts may occur due to wet or dirty road conditions, resulting in loss of vehicle control. Nevertheless, if the braking distance exceeds a certain threshold, impacts will not occur as indicated in moment XX. Due to the lack of control, we are unable to stop promptly at a stop sign and from minute XX we are unable to avoid a rear-end collision.

6.2 Traffic negotiation

6.2.1 Right turn at an intersection with crossing traffic

As shown in the video, starting from minute XX, it can be seen that the system demonstrates good robustness in handling intersections with stop signs.

However, with regard to intersections regulated by traffic lights, our control is limited to checking the status of the traffic light, whether it is green or red, and consequently, in the case of a red signal, an emergency brake is executed. In the event that the traffic light is green, the system continues without any further control. This represents a potential vulnerability of our system.

6.2.2 Unprotected left turn at intersection with oncoming traffic

Starting from minute XX of the video, which only illustrates the management of crossings, it is possible to observe the behaviour manifested by the system in this specific situation. In this context, all the observations previously made apply.

6.3 Obstacle avoidance

6.3.1 Obstacle in lane

All overtaking obstacles can be observed in minute XX of the overtaking video. There are two different types of obstacles: those of a static nature and those of vehicles parked at the side of the road. The former include signs of roadworks, cones, etc. The system appears to be robust in both situations as all the necessary checks are carried out, as described in detail in section YY.

6.3.2 Slow moving hazard at lane edge

All overtaking of slow vehicles can be observed from minute XX of the overtaking video. The system demonstrates robustness as all necessary checks are carried out, as described in detail in section YY.

6.3.3 Vehicle invading lane on bend

We have made a video specifically dedicated to this issue, which is available for viewing here. This case is handled more efficiently than in other situations, as the system is able to accurately calculate the distance invaded by the vehicle moving into the lane, and is able to induce the vehicle to brake and steer in order to avoid a collision.

6.4 Braking and lane changing

6.4.1 Longitudinal control after leading vehicle's brake

The system demonstrates a high capacity to handle emergency braking generated by vehicles ahead of the autonomous vehicle, ensuring an adequate response in dry asphalt conditions. However, it is important to emphasise that if there is moisture on the road, please refer to the specific section on loss control. In order to provide a clear example of how the system works in this specific situation, please refer to the full video available at minute XX.

6.4.2 Obstacle avoidance without prior action

On the only occasion when this occurred, the system responded appropriately (at minute XX of the full video), except that the vehicle travelling in the adjacent lane unintentionally ran over the pedestrian in our direction.

6.4.3 Pedestrian emerging from behind parked vehicle

This is the main source of errors in our system, as we have not yet implemented pedestrian tracking and prediction systems. Therefore, the system cannot detect the presence of pedestrians behind a parked vehicle, but can only activate the brakes if pedestrians are already on the road. An example of such behaviour can be seen in the case where the pedestrian is not hit in minute XX of the full video. Similarly, an example of misbehaviour can be seen at minute XX of the full video.

6.4.4 Obstacle avoidance with prior action - pedestrian or bicycle

In the present context, the system shows an adequate response in that no accident involving pedestrians or cyclists is detected after a bend. This observation can be verified, for example, in minute XX of the full video.

6.4.5 Obstacle avoidance with prior action - vehicle

In the same context as above, it can be seen that the system proves capable of providing an adequate response, successfully avoiding any vehicular collisions that might occur following a curve. This situation can be clearly observed in the full video in minute XX, as an illustrative case in point.

7 Future Improvements and Errors

First of all, it should be emphasized how much time was available for the development of the project: it allowed the system to be developed up to the point described above; any further developments, on the other hand, could have been entangled with the spending of additional working hours. The presence of errors can be traced back to a choice based on the priority of the error: certain features were chosen over others in order to find solutions to the most serious problems.

7.1 Errors or missing feature

Pedestrians hit by ego-vehicle: this is one of the most serious problems not resolved in the system; what happens is that the pedestrian appears when the ego-vehicle is too close to the pedestrian spawn point: the vehicle's behavior is correct because it performs a stop manoeuver, but the small distance to the pedestrian makes braking impossible. In particular, this event occurs twice and the calculated distances between the ego vehicle and the pedestrian, at the time of spawning, are 11 and 11.8 metres respectively, so distances are too small.

Lack of ABS and traction control implementation: Within our system, there is no braking aid and this generates the problem where the vehicle fails to stop properly, because it brakes in wet conditions. Within route1, the system correctly detects the stop signal and proceeds to stop, but vehicle skidding occurs. In weather conditions that make the asphalt slippery this turns out to be a serious problem and, for this reason, it is one of the most needed possible future improvements. Lack of control at traffic lights With regard to vehicle handling at traffic lights, our system manages the correct behaviour depending on the colour of the traffic light. A vulnerability here is the fact that we do not check left and right when we pass the traffic light. The problem, if everyone follows the rules of the road, should not be there; which is not the case if, on the other hand, a vehicle commits an offence by going through a red light and thus encroaching on a piece of road that was the ego-vehicle's right at the time. However, it does not seem to be a major problem.

7.2 Errors caused by the simulator

Among the errors we find:

- 1. Pedestrians hit by other vehicle There is a possibility, in the route4, that a vehicle (not the ego-vehicle) may collide with a pedestrian, causing the pedestrian to bounce and land on the ego-vehicle. This problem seems to be due to an internal error in the simulator, so it was decided not to try to develop a solution, as the ego-vehicle cannot be blamed in this case. VIDEO MINUTO
- 2. Vehicle getting stuck at the junction A vehicle occasionally gets stuck in the center of an intersection, preventing any other vehicles from moving and subsequently causing a disruption in traffic flow. The behaviour of the ego vehicle in this case is correct, as it remains stationary and no attempt is made to overtake the stationary vehicle as it is in a junction. VIDEO MINUTO
- 3. Collision with stop sign On certain occasions, when a vehicle accelerates from a stop, it may inadvertently mount the sidewalk and collide with a roadside sign. VIDEO MINUTO
- 4. Fails to yield On certain occasions, a vehicle approaching from the right on the main road fails to yield and collides with the ego-vehicle, correctly entering the intersection. VIDEO MINUTO

7.3 Possible improvements and advantages that could they bring

Implementing other features in our system would be a huge benefit for our autonomous driving system. In particular, we thought about the benefits that they could bring:

- ABS braking system: Adding an ABS braking system would allow me to handle emergency braking more effectively. Avoiding wheel lock-up during sudden braking would ensure greater vehicle stability and optimal traction control. This would mean greater safety for passengers and a reduction in the risk of accidents due to loss of control in critical braking situations;
- Advanced traction control: With traction control, optimal traction could be maintained on slippery or low-grip roads. The system would constantly monitor wheel grip and adjust acceleration according to road conditions. This would allow me to move with greater safety and stability, reducing the risk of skidding or loss of control;
- Pedestrian tracking and prediction system: Being able to track and predict
 the behaviour of pedestrians would be a huge advantage for our ability to
 safely interact with the road environment. Thanks to advanced sensors
 and recognition algorithms, I could identify pedestrians along the road,
 monitor their movements and predict their intentions. This would allow
 me to take preventive measures to avoid potential collisions and interact
 safely with pedestrians, ensuring their safety and our reliability as an
 autonomous vehicle.

Ultimately, the implementation of these improvements would greatly enhance the safety and ability to adapt to road conditions and surroundings. It would allow us to offer a safer, more reliable and comfortable autonomous driving experience for both passengers on board and road users.

References

[1] Cao, Q., Shen, L., Xie, W., Parkhi, O.M., Zisserman, A. VGGFace2: a dataset for recognising faces across pose and age. 2018.