Use Cases: Scheduling (both collaborative and automatic)

David Bialik, Julian Fleischer, Hagen Mahnke, Konrad Reiche, André Zoufahl

February 14, 2011

| | Program admin starts scheduling |
|--------------------------|--|
| Actors | Program administrator, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running and the user is logged in with the rights of a program administrator. |
| Postcondition | . • |
| Postcondition | The system is still running, the user is still logged in and if there was a previous proposed scheduling it is not lost due to exceptions. |
| Postcondition on Success | |
| | The proposed schedule is inserted or updated into the database. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. User opens schedule panel. |
| | 2. User defines a program to schedule. |
| | 3. User clicks on start. |
| | 4. Scheduler launches the scheduling of the defined program. |
| | 5. Scheduler changes its status to running. |
| | 6. User waits until the scheduling is finished by itself. |
| | 7. Scheduler inserts the proposed schedule into the database. |
| | 8. Scheduler changes its status to ready. |
| Alternative Paths | 6a. User clicks on stop. |
| | 6b. Scheduler changes its status to stopping. |
| | 7a. Scheduler updates the proposed schedule in the database. |
| Open Questions | Q: Should a program administrator only be enabled to schedule his own program? |
| | Q: Should an administrator also be enabled to schedule a program? |
| Solved issues | Q: Should the program administrator get a notifaction on his next |
| 30.1104 130400 | login? |
| | A: Yes. |
| | Q: Will there be scheduling proposals for conctlict resolutions? |
| | A: No, but the user will be informed about the reasons of the conflicts |
| Implementation Notes | - |
| Implementation Status | Program administrator notification is missing. |

| | Pause the scheduling process |
|--------------------------|---|
| Actors | Program administrator, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running, the scheduling process has been started, it is |
| | running and the user is still logged in with the rights of a program |
| | administrator. |
| Postcondition | The system is still running, the user is still logged in and if the pausing |
| | did not take action yet the scheduling status is set to stopping. |
| Postcondition on Success | The scheduling process is paused. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. User opens schedule panel. |
| | 2. User clicks on stop. |
| | 3. Scheduler changes its status to stopping. |
| | 4. Schedulers inserts current proposed schedule into the database. |
| | 5. Scheduler changes its status to ready. |
| Alternative Paths | 4a. Scheduler updates current proposed schedule in the database. |
| Open Questions | - |
| Solved issues | - |
| Implementation Notes | • The Java thread interruption policy is used for implementation. |
| Implementation Status | - |

| | Resume a scheduling process |
|--------------------------|--|
| Actors | Program administrator, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running, the scheduling process is ready and the user is |
| | still logged in with the rights of a program administrator. |
| Postcondition | The system is still running, the user is still logged in and if there was no |
| | proposed schedule the scheduling process starts as a new scheduling. |
| Postcondition on Success | The resumed scheduling starts with the previous number of resolved |
| | constraints. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. User opens schedule panel. |
| | 2. User clicks on resume. |
| | 3. Scheduler launches the scheduling of the defined program. |
| | 4. Scheduler changes its status to running. |
| Alternative Paths | - |
| Open Questions | - |
| Solved issues | - |
| Implementation Notes | • Resume is implemented by using the current proposed schedule of |
| | each course to reallocate their position in the scheduler internal pre- |
| | sentation of rooms, time slots and courses. |
| Implementation Status | - |

| | A conflict occurs |
|--------------------------|---|
| Actors | Program administrator, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running, the user is logged in with the rights of a |
| | program administrator and the scheduling was started. |
| Postcondition | The system is still running, the user is still logged in and if there was |
| | a previous proposed scheduling it is not lost due to the conflict. |
| Postcondition on Success | The reasons for the conflicts are displayed. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. Scheduler spots a non-solveable conflict while scheduling. |
| | 2. Scheduler notfies the systems about the conflict. |
| | 3. Scheduler terminates the scheduling process. |
| | 4. User opens schedule panel. |
| | 5. GUI displays the reason of the conflict. |
| Alternative Paths | - |
| Open Questions | Q: The scheduler uses exceptions to inform the system about conflicts. |
| | Will the exceptions be saved to display them until the user opens the |
| | schedule panel again? |
| Solved issues | Q: Should the current proposed schedule of the scheduling process be |
| | inserted or updated into the database? |
| | A: No, as the current proposed schedule is incomplete. |
| Implementation Notes | - |
| Implementation Status | - |

| | Change the proposed schedule of a Course Element Instance |
|--------------------------|---|
| Actors | Program administrator, Main lecturer, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running and user is logged in with the rights of a program |
| | administrator or main lecturer. |
| Postcondition | The system is still running, the user is still logged in and if there was |
| | a previous proposed schedule it is not lost due to exceptions. |
| Postcondition on Success | The proposed schedule is inserted or updated into the database. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. User opens the timetable of a room. |
| | 2. User moves the Course Element Instance to its new time slot. |
| | 3. User clicks on submit. |
| | 4. Scheduler calculates the score and potential conflicts. |
| | 5. GUI displays potential conflicts. |
| | 6. User clicks on accept. |
| | 7. Scheduler inserts the new proposed schedule into the database. |
| Alternative Paths | 3a. User clicks on discard. |
| | 3b. GUI reverses the changes and displays the original state. |
| | 6a. User clicks on discard. |
| | 6b. GUI reverses the changes and displays the original state. |
| | 7a. Scheduler updates the existing proposed schedule in the database. |
| Open Questions | - |
| Solved issues | Q: Should the program administrator get a notification on his next |
| | login? |
| | A: Yes. |
| | Q: Will there be scheduling proposals for conflict resolutions? |
| | A: No, but the user will be informed about the reasons of the conflicts. |
| Implementation Notes | - |
| Implementation Status | - |

| | Automatically reschedule a subset of courses |
|--------------------------|--|
| Actors | Program administrator, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running, the user is logged in with the rights of a |
| | program administrator and there is no running scheduling process. |
| Postcondition | The system is still running, the user is still logged in and if there was |
| | a previous proposed schedule it is not lost due to exceptions. |
| Postcondition on Success | The proposed schedule is inserted or updated into the database. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. User opens the course panel. |
| | 2. User defines a program |
| | 3. User selects the course element instances which belong to the |
| | subset of courses. |
| | 4. User clicks on schedule. |
| | 5. System updates the course element instances attribute scheduleable |
| | lesson of those course element instances which were not selected but |
| | belong to the same program to false. |
| | 6. Scheduler launches the scheduling of the defined program. |
| | 7. Scheduler changes its status to running. |
| | 8. User waits until the scheduling is finished by itself. |
| | 9. Scheduler inserts the proposed schedule into the database. |
| | 10. Scheduler changes its status to ready. |
| Alternative Paths | 8a. User clicks on stop. |
| | 8b. Scheduler changes its status to stopping. |
| | 9a. Scheduler updates the proposed schedule in the database. |
| Open Questions | Q: Should the subset scheduling of courses be offered as a method of |
| | the scheduler? |
| Solved issues | - |
| Implementation Notes | - |
| Implementation Status | - |

| | Define a program |
|--------------------------|---|
| Actors | Program administrator, GUI, Scheduler, Database |
| Scope | GUI |
| Precondition | The system is running and the user is logged in with the rights of a |
| | program administrator. |
| Postcondition | The system is still running and the user is still logged in. |
| Postcondition on Success | The system finds a program fitting for the specified academic term |
| | and department. |
| Basic Course of Events | 1. User opens the schedule panel. |
| | 2. User selects an academic term from the available academic terms. |
| | 3. User selects a department from the available departments. |
| | 4. User clicks on start. |
| | 5. System queries the database for a program with the given academic |
| | term and the given department. |
| | 6. Database returns the required program. |
| Alternative Paths | 4a. User clicks on resume. |
| Open Questions | - |
| Solved issues | - |
| Implementation Notes | - |
| Implementation Status | - |