

# Preliminary Analysis Report: Mortality Risk in Opioid vs. Poly-Drug Users

## A. Crude Mortality Rates

Code block

```
1 episodes %>%
2   group_by(drug) %>%
3   summarise(
4     deaths = sum(drd_flag),
5     person_years = sum(days_at_risk)/365.25,
6     mortality_rate = (deaths / person_years) * 1000
7   )
8
9
10  # A tibble: 2 × 4
11    drug      person_years deaths rate_per_1000
12    <chr>          <dbl>   <dbl>      <dbl>
13  1 opioids      233933.    3413        14.6
14  2 poly         15774.     220        13.9
```

### Results:

- **Opioids-only:**
  - 3,413 deaths
  - 233,933 person-years
  - **14.6 deaths/1,000 PY**
- **Poly-drug:**
  - 220 deaths
  - 15,774 person-years
  - **13.9 deaths/1,000 PY**

*Interpretation:* The raw mortality rates show marginally lower risk in poly-drug users (-4.8%), but this difference is within expected random variation.

## B. Adjusted Survival Analysis (Cox Model)

Code block

```
1 > # Basic Cox model
2 > model <- coxph(Surv(days_at_risk, drd_flag) ~ drug + age + sex + nfod_count,
3 +               data = episodes)
4 > summary(model)
5 Call:
6 coxph(formula = Surv(days_at_risk, drd_flag) ~ drug + age + sex +
7       nfod_count, data = episodes)
8
9     n= 723972, number of events= 3633
10
11              coef exp(coef) se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
12 drugpoly    -0.028492  0.971911  0.069636 -0.409    0.682
13 age          0.026836  1.027200  0.002031 13.212 <2e-16 ***
14 sexM         0.047060  1.048185  0.036503  1.289    0.197
15 nfod_count   0.096347  1.101141  0.005136 18.760 <2e-16 ***
16 ---
17 Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
18
19              exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
20 drugpoly          0.9719      1.0289    0.8479    1.114
21 age              1.0272      0.9735    1.0231    1.031
22 sexM             1.0482      0.9540    0.9758    1.126
23 nfod_count       1.1011      0.9081    1.0901    1.112
24
25 Concordance= 0.597 (se = 0.005 )
26 Likelihood ratio test= 356.6 on 4 df, p=<2e-16
27 Wald test              = 579.2 on 4 df, p=<2e-16
28 Score (logrank) test = 589.7 on 4 df, p=<2e-16
```

Parameter	exp(coef)	z-value	p-value	95% CI
drugpoly	0.972	-0.409	0.682	(0.848, 1.114)
age	1.027	13.212	<0.001	(1.023, 1.031)
sexM	1.048	1.289	0.197	(0.976, 1.126)
nfod_count	1.101	18.76	<0.001	(1.090, 1.112)

## Key conclusion

### 1. drug type

There was no significant difference in the risk of death between the -poly group and the opioids group (HR=0.97, p=0.68).

- Possible causes:

The number of people in the poly group in the sample is relatively small.

The true effect of the drug may be masked by other variables, such as nfod\_count.

### 2. age

The older the age, the higher the risk of death (for every additional year, the risk increases by 2.7%).

- Possible explanation:

Elderly patients may have other concurrent diseases, increasing the risk of drug-related deaths.

### 3. Non-fatal drug overdose

For each additional non-fatal drug overdose, the risk of death increases by 10.1%.

- Non-fatal drug overdoses are strong predictors and can be used to identify high-risk patients.

### 4. Gender

The risk of death for men was slightly higher (+4.8%), but the statistics were not significant (p=0.197).

- Possible causes:

Gender differences have a relatively small impact on drug-related deaths.

# Interaction Effects in Opioid-Related Mortality Risk

## 1. Executive Summary

This analysis examines how the interaction between drug type (opioids vs. poly-drug use) and key risk factors (non-fatal overdose [NFO] history and age) influences drug-related death (DRD) risk. Using Cox proportional hazards models with interaction terms, we identified significant effect modifications that have important clinical implications.

Code block

```
1  model_drug_nfod <- coxph(
2    Surv(days_at_risk, drd_flag) ~ drug * nfod_count + age + sex,
3    data = episodes
4  )
5
6
7  model_drug_age <- coxph(
8    Surv(days_at_risk, drd_flag) ~ drug * age + nfod_count + sex,
9    data = episodes
10 )
11
12
13 > summary(model_drug_nfod)
14 Call:
15 coxph(formula = Surv(days_at_risk, drd_flag) ~ drug * nfod_count +
16       age + sex, data = episodes)
17
18 n= 723972, number of events= 3633
19
20               coef exp(coef) se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
21 drugpoly      -0.083315  0.920061  0.073964 -1.126  0.25999
22 nfod_count      0.094918  1.099569  0.005281 17.974 < 2e-16 ***
23 age           0.026857  1.027221  0.002032 13.216 < 2e-16 ***
```

```

24 sexM 0.046669 1.047776 0.036500 1.279 0.20103
25 drugpoly:nfod_count 0.097658 1.102586 0.035956 2.716 0.00661 **
26 ---
27 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
28
29 exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
30 drugpoly 0.9201 1.0869 0.7959 1.064
31 nfod_count 1.0996 0.9094 1.0882 1.111
32 age 1.0272 0.9735 1.0231 1.031
33 sexM 1.0478 0.9544 0.9754 1.125
34 drugpoly:nfod_count 1.1026 0.9070 1.0276 1.183
35
36 Concordance= 0.597 (se = 0.005 )
37 Likelihood ratio test= 362.4 on 5 df, p=<2e-16
38 Wald test = 575.8 on 5 df, p=<2e-16
39 Score (logrank) test = 590.3 on 5 df, p=<2e-16
40
41 > summary(model_drug_age)
42 Call:
43 coxph(formula = Surv(days_at_risk, drd_flag) ~ drug * age + nfod_count +
44 sex, data = episodes)
45
46 n= 723972, number of events= 3633
47
48 coef exp(coef) se(coef) z Pr(>|z|)
49 drugpoly -0.972495 0.378139 0.365367 -2.662 0.00777 **
50 age 0.025495 1.025823 0.002093 12.181 < 2e-16 ***
51 nfod_count 0.096591 1.101410 0.005145 18.773 < 2e-16 ***
52 sexM 0.045737 1.046799 0.036508 1.253 0.21028
53 drugpoly:age 0.022782 1.023044 0.008520 2.674 0.00749 **
54 ---
55 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
56
57 exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
58 drugpoly 0.3781 2.6445 0.1848 0.7738
59 age 1.0258 0.9748 1.0216 1.0300
60 nfod_count 1.1014 0.9079 1.0904 1.1126
61 sexM 1.0468 0.9553 0.9745 1.1244
62 drugpoly:age 1.0230 0.9775 1.0061 1.0403
63
64 Concordance= 0.598 (se = 0.005 )
65 Likelihood ratio test= 363.7 on 5 df, p=<2e-16
66 Wald test = 583.3 on 5 df, p=<2e-16
67 Score (logrank) test = 594.5 on 5 df, p=<2e-16

```

## 2. Key Findings

A. Interaction: Drug Type × NFO Count

Variable	HR	P-value	Interpretation
Baseline (opioids)	Ref	-	Reference group
Poly-drug use	0.92	0.260	8% lower baseline risk (NS)
NFO count (opioids)	1.10	<0.001	Each NFO → +9.96% risk
Interaction (poly:NFO)	1.10	0.007	Additional +10.26% risk in poly group

Combined Effect:

- Opioids-only: Each NFO → **+9.96%** DRD risk
- Poly-drug: Each NFO → **+20.22%** (9.96% + 10.26%) DRD risk

B. Interaction: Drug Type × Age

Variable	HR	P-value	Interpretation
Poly-drug use	0.38	0.008	62.2% lower baseline risk
Age (opioids)	1.03	<0.001	Each year → +2.58% risk
Interaction (poly:age)	1.02	0.007	Additional +2.30% risk/year in poly group

Combined Effect:

- Opioids-only: Each year → **+2.58%** DRD risk
  - Poly-drug: Each year → **+4.88%** (2.58% + 2.30%) DRD risk
-

### 3. Clinical Implications

#### Risk Amplification in Poly-Drug Users

##### 1. NFO History

- The mortality risk per NFO episode **doubles** in poly-drug users (+20.22% vs +9.96% in opioids-only).
- *Mechanism*: Potential synergistic cardiotoxicity from opioid-cocaine combinations.

##### 2. Aging Effect

- Age-related risk increases **1.9× faster** in poly-drug users (+4.88%/year vs +2.58%/year).
- *Mechanism*: Reduced drug metabolism exacerbates poly-drug toxicity in older patients.

##### 3. Baseline Paradox

- Despite lower baseline HR (0.38, p=0.008), poly-drug users experience:
    - Faster "risk acceleration" with NFOs/aging
- 

### 4. Limitations

- **Sample Size**: Poly-drug subgroup may be underpowered

### 6. Conclusion

Poly-drug use significantly amplifies the mortality risk associated with NFO history and aging. While these patients show lower baseline risk, their vulnerability to clinical deterioration escalates more rapidly than opioids-only users.