

Since a new regularization term was introduced, the derivative has been changed.

$$\frac{\partial J(\vec{w}, b)}{\partial w_j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w}, b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} + \frac{\lambda}{m} w_j$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\vec{w}, b)}{\partial b} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_{\vec{w}, b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}$$

it remains the same  
because we don't  
regularize  $b$

Gradient Descent :-

repeat {

$$w_j = w_j - \alpha \left( \frac{\partial J(\vec{w}, b)}{\partial w_j} \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w}, b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} + \frac{\lambda}{m} w_j$$

$$b = b - \alpha \left( \frac{\partial J(\vec{w}, b)}{\partial b} \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_{\vec{w}, b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}$$

} simultaneous update

How is regularization shrinking all the parameters?

Gradient descent is as follows:-

$$w_j = w_j - \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w},b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} + \frac{\lambda}{m} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\vec{w}, b)}{\partial w_j}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_j = w_j - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w},b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} - \frac{\alpha \lambda}{m} w_j$$

On rearrangement

$$\Rightarrow w_j = w_j - \frac{\alpha \lambda}{m} w_j - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w},b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_j = w_j \left[ 1 - \alpha \frac{\lambda}{m} \right] - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w},b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

taking  $w_j$  common                      usual term

Let's take  $\alpha = 0.01$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $m = 50$ , for example.

$$w_j = w_j [1 - 0.0002] - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w},b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

$$w_j = w_j \underline{(0.9998)} - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_{\vec{w},b}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

very small

# Earlier we used to subtract just the usual term  $\left(\frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f(\vec{w}, b)(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x^{(i)}\right)$  from  $w_j$ , but now

we have to multiply a small number like 0.9998 ( $< 1$ ) to  $w_j$  and then subtract the usual term.

This makes the  $w_j$  shrinking process more effective and faster by decreasing  $w_j$  in each iteration by a little bit.

## How we get the derivative term (optional)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} J(\vec{w}, b) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2}_{\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}^{(i)} + b} + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ (\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)}) \cancel{2x_j^{(i)}} \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \cancel{2w_j} \quad \text{No } \sum_{j=1}^n \\
 &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \underbrace{(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)})}_{f(\vec{x})} x_j^{(i)} \right] + \frac{\lambda}{m} w_j
 \end{aligned}$$

no need for summation because in gradient descent  $w$  will automatically be updated.