

Adversarial Search:

With custom agent with custom heuristic and iterative deepening:

Running 10 games:

+++-----+

Your agent won 90.0% of matches against Minimax Agent

Normal minimax with iterative deepening.

Running 10 games:

---+-----++

Your agent won 30.0% of matches against Minimax Agent

### Advanced Heuristic

- What features of the game does your heuristic incorporate, and why do you think those features matter in evaluating states during the search?

For my custom heuristic I have combined the state.utility with num\_of\_possible moves for us –  $1.2 * \text{num\_of\_possible moves for opponent}$ . This essentially tries to maximize the total number of moves for us more aggressively

From the above you can see that the custom heuristic performs 3 times as better than a normal minimax.

Answer:

- Analyze the search depth your agent achieves using your custom heuristic. Does search speed matter more or less than accuracy to the performance of your heuristic?

Ans: I have used a depth of 3 although a depth of 5 gives better results but it takes more time. Search speed matters in time constraint games like chess where we need to respond under a fixed time.