Hello Puneet,

I did some research in Interspec related to Shelf-Life/Aging in Interspec. It isn’t very clear to me which property is related to this issue so I looked into it for both properties.

I found in Interspec the following (naming related) properties:

Section                                                                               property              description

-----------------------------------------------------              --------- ----------------------------

700755 SAP information                                              710530                Aging (Maximal)

700755 SAP information                                              710529                Aging (minimal)

--

700583 Processing                                                         709448                Shelf life max. (after production)

701055 Processing R&D                                               709448                Shelf life max. (after production)

701058 Processing Gyongyoshalasz                        709448                Shelf life max. (after production)

700577 Chemical and physical properties             712816                Shelf life

In the Interspec-SAP interface Exp2Sap I notice only the following attributes (related to aging/shelf-life):

Name = 'MinAging'

Name = 'MaxShelfLife'

If I look into the values in the Shelf-Life / Aging-properties then they are very different:

Shelf-life-values (free-text):        1 Year,  1.5 Years, 6 months, etc.

Shelf-life-max-values:                    <always empty>

Aging-Min-values (number):      <almost everywhere 0 or empty>, some values of 1,2,3,4,7

Aging-Max-values (number):      5,6,7,    … 1095, 2000, etc.

If I look to the related part-no then the use of the properties is also quite different:

Aging-Min:                                         almost every finished-product (ENS/GYO) have a value equals 0/empty, some components to have a real value.

Aging-Max:                                        Is wide spreaded-used, for finished-products and components.

Shelf-life:                                            only used for materials, not for components/finished-products.

Shelf-life-max:                                  <always empty>

So, I think the interface is working as designed, values stored in Interspec, related to interface-property, is send to SAP.

My conclusion for:

Shelf-life:            Within interface interspec-sap we send the MAX-shelf-life, but this one is always empty, and Shelf-life is filled in interspec instead.

Aging:                   Within interface interspec-sap we send the MIN-aging, which is most of the time empty, and MAX-aging is filled in interspec instead.

From the enclosed-email I found the remark that the aging-attribute is stored in SAP  with a “0”-value.

As the MinAging-property is part of the Interspec/SAP-interface, this is the related value and consistent with the value stored in Interspec.

Why do we have an issue right now? This interface isn't changed lately. Did we recently start using this property?

If I look into the data, then this data is being used in this way for as long as I can see. There isn’t a point in time, that we have switched from one property to another.

Regards,

Peter
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-----Original Appointment-----  
**From:** Puneet Sabharwal <[puneet.sabharwal@apollotyres.com](mailto:puneet.sabharwal@apollotyres.com)>   
**Sent:** Friday, June 30, 2023 11:21 AM  
**To:** Puneet Sabharwal; Puneet Sabharwal; Péter Imre; Peter Schepens; Rajesh Mishra; Amit Sharma; András Koltai; tpo.sappigaurav; Vishal Garg; PRANIT BHANUSHALI  
**Cc:** Patrick Goossens; Manish Kumar Srivastava; Shibu George; Bhanushali, Pranit; Swati Khera; Nitin Kaushik  
**Subject:** Some SKUs have wrong shelf life in MES, however the same is maintained in Interspec correctly  
**When:** Thursday, July 6, 2023 4:00 PM-5:00 PM (UTC+05:30) Chennai, Kolkata, Mumbai, New Delhi.  
**Where:** Microsoft Teams Meeting

Dear All,

Setting up a call with all the stakeholders who should be part of this meeting to review this topic in detail. Please find attached email for reference of the issue at hand.

* Andras - Person who is getting impacted from the mismatch.
* Peter Schepens – To analyse the Interspec interface in the absence for Patrick – What is the source field in the interface to SAP for Shelf life?
* Gaurav – SAP PO, to support on the interface front (INTERSPEC à SAP à MES HUNGARY)
* Amit Sharma – SAP ABAP – to analyse where the data is getting posted in SAP and how it is interfaced to MES.
* Peter Imre – MES Hungary – How data is posted in MES.
* Rajesh Mishra – SAP MDM – for any material master data analysis in SAP
* Vishal – SAP MM Expert
* Pranit – SAP EWM Expert

Please feel free to add some else if you believe is needed to get to the root cause of this problem.

Best Regards,

Puneet Sabharwal